

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**AN  $n$ -ARC THEOREM FOR PEANO SPACES**

J. H. V. HUNT

## AN N-ARC THEOREM FOR PEANO SPACES

J. H. V. HUNT

G. T. Whyburn gave an elementary inductive proof of the  $n$ -arc theorem for Peano spaces, which had originally been proved by G. Nobeling and K. Menger. In the course of doing this he gave a necessary and sufficient condition for there to be  $n$  disjoint arcs joining two disjoint closed sets  $A$  and  $B$  in a Peano space  $S$ . In this paper we split the set  $A$  into  $n$  disjoint closed subsets  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  and give a necessary and sufficient condition for there to be  $n$  disjoint arcs joining  $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_n$  and  $B$  in  $S$ , exactly one arc meeting each  $A_i$ . Our proof uses the inductive technique that Whyburn introduced.

In this paper we present a theorem and a conjecture that arise from [2].

We first recall some definitions from [2]. Let  $A, B$  and  $X$  be closed subsets of a topological space  $S$ . We say that  $X$  *broadly separates*  $A$  and  $B$  in  $S$  if  $S - X$  is the union of two disjoint open sets (possibly empty) one of which contains  $A - X$  and the other of which contains  $B - X$ . The space  $S$  is  *$n$ -point strongly connected between*  $A$  and  $B$  provided no set of less than  $n$  points broadly separates  $A$  and  $B$  in  $S$ . An arc  $ab$  *joins*  $A$  and  $B$  if  $ab \cap A = \{a\}$  and  $ab \cap B = \{b\}$ .

The following theorem, in which we have replaced "completeness" by "local compactness," appears in [2]. It is called the *second  $n$ -arc theorem* by Menger in [1].

**The Second N-Arc Theorem.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be disjoint closed subsets of a locally connected, locally compact metric space  $S$ . A necessary and sufficient condition that there be  $n$  disjoint arcs in  $S$  joining  $A$  and  $B$  is that  $S$  be  $n$ -point strongly connected between  $A$  and  $B$ .*

In § 2 we split the closed set  $A$  into  $n$  disjoint closed subsets  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$ . The theorem then gives a necessary and sufficient condition for there to be  $n$  disjoint arcs joining  $A$  and  $B$ , one meeting each  $A_i$ .

In § 3 we split  $A$  and  $B$  into disjoint closed subsets  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  and  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n$ . The conjecture then gives a necessary and sufficient condition for there to be  $n$  disjoint arcs joining  $A$  and  $B$ , one meeting each  $A_i$  and one meeting each  $B_i$ . (I have given a proof of this conjecture for the case  $n = 4$ , which is the first case that offers difficulties, but it is not included here.)

It will be noticed that the space  $S$  in the theorem and in the conjecture is not actually a Peano space, as the title of the article states, but it becomes one when the property of connectedness is placed on it.

2. **The theorem.** Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  and  $B$  be disjoint closed subsets of a topological space  $S$ . We shall say that a subset  $X$  of  $S$  is a *large point* of  $S$  (with respect to  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$ ) if it is a one-point set or one of the sets  $A_i$ . We shall say that  $S$  is  *$n$ -point strongly connected between  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  and  $B$*  provided the union of less than  $n$  large points does not broadly separate  $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_n$  and  $B$  in  $S$ .

We shall say that a system of  $n$  disjoint arcs in  $S$  *joins*

$$A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$$

and  $B$  if each arc joins  $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_n$  and  $B$  and each  $A_i$  is joined to  $B$  by exactly one of the arcs.

**THEOREM.** Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  and  $B$  be disjoint closed subsets of a locally connected, locally compact metric space  $S$ . A necessary and sufficient condition that there be  $n$  disjoint arcs in  $S$  joining

$$A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$$

to  $B$  is that  $S$  be  *$n$ -point strongly connected between  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  and  $B$* .

We need two more definitions for the proof of the theorem. Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  be disjoint closed sets in a topological space  $S$ , and let  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m$  be disjoint arcs in  $S$ . We shall say that  $A_i$  is a *zero*, a *single* or a *multiple* with respect to  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m$  according as to whether it meets zero, one or more than one of the arcs  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m$ . A subarc  $\beta$  of some  $\beta_i$  is said to be a *bridge* of  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m$  *spanning*  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  if  $\beta$  joins some  $A_j$  to some  $A_k$ , for  $j \neq k$ . Clearly there are only a finite number of bridges in  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m$  spanning  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$ .

*Proof.* Using the terminology and notation of the theorem, it is clear that the condition is necessary for the existence of  $n$  disjoint arcs joining  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  to  $B$  in  $S$ . So we turn to proving that it is sufficient.

By the arcwise connectivity theorem, the condition is sufficient for  $n = 1$ . So we assume its sufficiency for each positive integer  $< n$  and prove its sufficiency for  $n$  by induction.

By the second  $n$ -arc theorem there are  $n$  disjoint arcs  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n$  in  $S$  joining  $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_n$  and  $B$ . Let  $p$  be the number of singles of  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  with respect to  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n$ . We shall suppose that  $p < n$  and show how to construct a second system of  $n$  disjoint arcs joining  $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_n$  and  $B$  with respect to which the number of singles is  $p + 1$ . The process can be repeated  $n - p$  times to obtain the desired system of arcs joining  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  and  $B$ .

Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_p$  be the singles,  $A_{p+1}, A_{p+2}, \dots, A_q$  the zeros and  $A_{q+1}, A_{q+2}, \dots, A_n$  the multiples of  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  with respect to  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n$ . Since  $p < n$  there is at least one zero and at least one multiple here. We shall construct a system of  $n$  disjoint arcs joining  $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_n$  and  $B$  with respect to which  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{p+1}$  are singles. To this end we consider the locally connected, locally compact space  $S - A_{p+2} \cup A_{p+3} \cup \dots \cup A_n$ . Since it is  $(p + 1)$ -point strongly connected between  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{p+1}$  and  $B$  and  $p + 1 \leq q < n$ , it follows from the inductive hypothesis that it contains  $p + 1$  disjoint arcs  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{p+1}$  joining  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{p+1}$  and  $B$ . We suppose, further, that  $\alpha_r$  meets  $A_r$  for  $r \leq p + 1$ .

We now use an inductive technique that is familiar from [2]. We relabel  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n$  so that  $\beta_r$  meets  $A_r$  for  $r \leq p$ , and we start by defining  $\alpha_r = \alpha_r^1 \cap A_r$  for  $r \leq p + 1$  and  $\beta_r^0 = \beta_r$  for  $r \leq p$ . Now we suppose that we have defined systems of arcs  $\alpha_1^m, \alpha_2^m, \dots, \alpha_{p+1}^m$  (possibly degenerate) and  $\beta_1^m, \beta_2^m, \dots, \beta_p^m$  such that (a)  $\alpha_r \cap A_r \subset \alpha_r^m \subset \alpha_r$  and  $\alpha_r^m$  does not meet  $B \cup \beta_{p+1} \cup \beta_{p+2} \cup \dots \cup \beta_n$ , (b)  $\beta_s \cap B \subset \beta_s^m \subset \beta_s$ , (c) if  $A_r, \beta_s^m$  meet then  $\alpha_r^m$  is degenerate, (d) if  $\alpha_r^m, \beta_s^m$  meet then they meet in a common end point, (e) exactly one of the sets

$$\alpha_1^m \cup A_1, \alpha_2^m \cup A_2, \dots, \alpha_{p+1}^m \cup A_{p+1}$$

fails to meet  $\beta_1^m \cup \beta_2^m \cup \dots \cup \beta_p^m$ , (f) if  $b_m$  is the number of bridges of  $\beta_1^m, \beta_2^m, \dots, \beta_p^m$  that span

$$\alpha_1 \cup A_1, \alpha_2 \cup A_2, \dots, \alpha_{p+1} \cup A_{p+1},$$

then  $b_m < b_{m-1}$  for  $m \geq 1$ . We now show how the induction may be continued to the next stage and how it leads, after at most a finite number of stages, to the construction of  $n$  disjoint arcs joining

$$A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_n$$

to  $B$  with respect to which  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{p+1}$  are singles.

We proceed by denoting by  $\alpha_t^m \cup A_t$  the set, given in (e), which does not meet  $\beta_1^m \cup \beta_2^m \cup \dots \cup \beta_p^m$ . We let  $x$  be the first point of  $\alpha_t$  in the direction  $\alpha_t \cap A_t, \alpha_t \cap B$  that belongs to the union of the three sets  $\beta_1^m \cup \beta_2^m \cup \dots \cup \beta_p^m, \beta_{p+1} \cup \beta_{p+2} \cup \dots \cup \beta_n$  and

$$B - \beta_1 \cup \beta_2 \cup \dots \cup \beta_n .$$

We consider separately the three mutually exclusive cases (1)

$$x \in \beta_1^m \cup \beta_2^m \cup \dots \cup \beta_p^m ,$$

(2)  $x \in \beta_{p+1} \cup \beta_{p+2} \cup \dots \cup \beta_n$  and (3)  $x \in B - \beta_1 \cup \beta_2 \cup \dots \cup \beta_n$ .

We first consider case (1) and let  $x \in \beta_u^m$ . We define  $\alpha_r^{m+1} = \alpha_r^m$  for  $r \neq t$ ,  $r \leq p + 1$ , and  $\alpha_t^{m+1}$  as the subarc of  $\alpha_t$  whose endpoints are  $a_t \cap A_t$ ,  $x$ . We define  $\beta_s^{m+1} = \beta_s^m$  for  $s \neq u$ ,  $s \leq p$ , and  $\beta_u^{m+1}$  as the subarc of  $\beta_u^m$  whose endpoints are  $\beta_u \cap B$ ,  $x$ . It is easily seen that (a)—(d) of the inductive hypotheses are preserved. In order to verify that (e) is preserved, we notice that it follows from (a)—(d) that each  $\beta_s^m$  meets at most one  $\alpha_r^m \cup A_r$ . Thus it follows from (e) that the relation  $(\alpha_r^m \cup A_r) \cap \beta_s^m \neq \emptyset$  establishes a one-to-one correspondence between the collections  $\beta_1^m, \beta_2^m, \dots, \beta_p^m$  and

$$\alpha_1^m \cup A_1, \alpha_2^m \cup A_2, \dots, \alpha_{t-1}^m \cup A_{t-1}, \alpha_{t+1}^m \cup A_{t+1}, \dots, \alpha_{p+1}^m \cup A_{p+1} .$$

If we now let  $\alpha_v^m \cup A_v$  be the set that correspond to  $\beta_u^m$  under this relation, it is clear that by (d)  $\alpha_v^{m+1} \cup A_v$  does not meet

$$\beta_1^{m+1} \cup \beta_2^{m+1} \cup \dots \cup \beta_p^{m+1} ,$$

and that it is the only set among  $\alpha_1^{m+1} \cup A_1, \alpha_2^{m+1} \cup A_2, \dots, \alpha_{p+1}^{m+1} \cup A_{p+1}$  with this property. It is clear that (f) is also preserved, since

$$(\beta_u^m - \beta_u^{m+1}) \cup \{x\}$$

is an arc that joins  $\alpha_v^m \cup A_v$  and  $\alpha_t^m \cup A_t$ , and so it contains at least one bridge of  $\beta_1^m, \beta_2^m, \dots, \beta_p^m$  spanning  $\alpha_1 \cup A_1, \alpha_2 \cup A_2, \dots, \alpha_{p+1} \cup A_{p+1}$  that is not contained in  $\beta_1^{m+1} \cup \beta_2^{m+1} \cup \dots \cup \beta_p^{m+1}$ ; i.e.,  $b_{m+1} < b_m$ .

Thus in case (1) the inductive hypotheses are preserved. We notice that it follows from (f) that case (1) can occur for only a finite number of values of  $m$ , since  $b_0$  is finite. Thus case (2) or case (3) must eventually occur. We complete the proof of the theorem by showing that in either of these cases we can readily obtain a system of  $n$  disjoint arcs joining  $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_n$  and  $B$  with respect to which  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{p+1}$  are singles.

We shall only deal with case (2), as case (3) is practically identical to it. Thus we let  $x \in \beta_w$ ,  $p + 1 \leq w \leq n$ . We define  $\alpha$  as the subarc of  $\alpha_t$  whose endpoints are  $a_t \cap A_t$ ,  $x$  and  $\beta$  as the subarc of  $\beta_w$  whose endpoints are  $\beta_w \cap B$ ,  $x$ . We first notice that it follows from (a)—(d) that if  $\alpha_r^m \cup A_r, \beta_s^m$  meet, then  $\alpha_r^m \cup \beta_s^m$  is an arc joining  $A_r, B$ . Since a one-to-one correspondence is established between the collections

$$\alpha_1^m \cup A_1, \alpha_2^m \cup A_2, \dots, \alpha_{t-1}^m \cup A_{t-1}, \alpha_{t+1}^m \cup A_{t+1}, \dots, \alpha_{p+1}^m \cup A_{p+1}$$

and  $\beta_1^m, \beta_2^m, \dots, \beta_p^m$  by the relation  $(\alpha_r^m \cup A_r) \cap \beta_s^m \neq \emptyset$  it follows that the union of

$$\alpha_1^m, \alpha_2^m, \dots, \alpha_{t-1}^m, \alpha_{t+1}^m, \dots, \alpha_{p+1}^m, \beta_1^m, \beta_2^m, \dots, \beta_p^m$$

may be expressed as a union of  $p$  disjoint arcs joining

$$A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{t-1}, A_{t+1}, \dots, A_{p+1}$$

and  $B$ . Furthermore, by (a), (b) these arcs are disjoint from the arcs  $\beta_{p+1}, \beta_{p+2}, \dots, \beta_{w-1}, \beta_{w+1}, \dots, \beta_n, \alpha, \beta$ . Thus the union of

$$\alpha_1^m, \alpha_2^m, \dots, \alpha_{t-1}^m, \alpha_{t+1}^m, \dots, \alpha_{p+1}^m, \beta_1^m, \beta_2^m, \dots, \beta_p^m, \\ \beta_{p+1}, \beta_{p+2}, \dots, \beta_{w-1}, \beta_{w+1}, \dots, \beta_n,$$

$\alpha, \beta$  may be expressed as a union of  $n$  disjoint arcs joining

$$A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_n$$

and  $B$  with respect to which  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{p+1}$  are singles. This completes the proof of the theorem.

**3. The conjecture.** Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  and  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n$  be disjoint closed subsets of a topological space  $S$ . We shall say that a subset  $X$  of  $S$  is a *large point* of  $S$  (with respect to  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  and  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n$ ) if it is a one-point set, a set  $A_i$ , or a set  $B_i$ . We shall say that  $S$  is *n-point strongly connected between  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  and  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n$*  provided the union of less than  $n$  large points does not broadly separate  $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_n$  and  $B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots \cup B_n$  in  $S$ .

We shall say that a system of  $n$  disjoint arcs in  $S$  *joins*

$$A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \text{ and } B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n$$

if each arc joins  $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_n$  and  $B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots \cup B_n$ , and each  $A_i$  meets just one arc, and each  $B_i$  meets just one arc.

*Conjecture.* Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  and  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n$  be disjoint closed subsets of a locally connected, locally compact metric space  $S$ . A necessary and sufficient condition that there be  $n$  disjoint arcs in  $S$  joining  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  and  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n$  is that  $S$  be *n-point strongly connected between  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  and  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n$* .

The necessity of the condition is again trivial, so it is the sufficiency of the condition that is interesting.

The conjecture is clearly true if the sets

$$A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \text{ and } B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n$$

are compact. For in this case the quotient space  $Q$  obtained by identifying a pair of points if they belong to a common  $A_i$  or a common  $B_j$  is locally compact, locally connected and metrizable. If  $\pi$  is the natural projection from  $S$  onto  $Q$ , it is clear that  $Q$  is  $n$ -point strongly connected between

$$\pi(A_1) \cup \pi(A_2) \cup \cdots \cup \pi(A_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi(B_1) \cup \pi(B_2) \cup \cdots \cup \pi(B_n).$$

Consequently it follows from the second  $n$ -arc theorem that there are  $n$  disjoint arcs in  $Q$  joining

$$\pi(A_1) \cup \pi(A_2) \cup \cdots \cup \pi(A_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi(B_1) \cup \pi(B_2) \cup \cdots \cup \pi(B_n).$$

The  $\pi$ -inverse of each of these arcs contains a connected closed set which meets both  $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_n$  and  $B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \cdots \cup B_n$ , from which it easily follows that there are  $n$ -disjoint arcs in  $S$  joining  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  and  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n$ .

When some of the sets  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  or  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n$  fail to be compact, the above argument does not suffice as the quotient space  $Q$  is not in general metrizable.

There ought to be a combinatorial proof of this conjecture along the lines of the proof in § 2, which would work equally well whether some of the sets  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  or  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n$  fail to be compact or not. Such a proof has been given for the case  $n = 4$ , as was remarked in paragraph § 1.

#### REFERENCES

1. K. Menger, *Kurventheorie*, Teubner, Berlin-Leipzig, 1932, chap. VI.
2. G. T. Whyburn, *On  $n$ -arc connectedness*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **63** (1948) 452-456.

Received August 26, 1970. The theorem in this paper is taken from the author's doctoral dissertation, presented to the University of Warwick, Coventry, in March 1970.

UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

H. SAMELSON  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. R. PHELPS  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS  
University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLE

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION  
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

---

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.



George E. Andrews, <i>On a partition problem of H. L. Alder</i> .....	279
Thomas Craig Brown, <i>An interesting combinatorial method in the theory of locally finite semigroups</i> .....	285
Yuen-Kwok Chan, <i>A constructive proof of Sard's theorem</i> .....	291
Charles Vernon Coffman, <i>Spectral theory of monotone Hammerstein operators</i> .....	303
Edward Dewey Davis, <i>Regular sequences and minimal bases</i> .....	323
Israel (Yitzchak) Nathan Herstein and Lance W. Small, <i>Regular elements in P.I.-rings</i> .....	327
Marcel Herzog, <i>Intersections of nilpotent Hall subgroups</i> .....	331
W. N. Hudson, <i>Volterra transformations of the Wiener measure on the space of continuous functions of two variables</i> .....	335
J. H. V. Hunt, <i>An n-arc theorem for Peano spaces</i> .....	351
Arnold Joseph Insel, <i>A decomposition theorem for topological group extensions</i> .....	357
Caulton Lee Irwin, <i>Inverting operators for singular boundary value problems</i> .....	379
Abraham A. Klein, <i>Matrix rings of finite degree of nilpotency</i> .....	387
Wei-Eihn Kuan, <i>On the hyperplane section through a rational point of an algebraic variety</i> .....	393
John Hathway Lindsey, II, <i>On a six-dimensional projective representation of <math>PSU_4(3)</math></i> .....	407
Jorge Martinez, <i>Approximation by archimedean lattice cones</i> .....	427
J. F. McClendon, <i>On stable fiber space obstructions</i> .....	439
Mitsuru Nakai and Leo Sario, <i>Behavior of Green lines at the Kuramochi boundary of a Riemann surface</i> .....	447
Donald Steven Passman, <i>Linear identities in group rings. I</i> .....	457
Donald Steven Passman, <i>Linear identities in group rings. II</i> .....	485
David S. Promislow, <i>The Kakutani theorem for tensor products of <math>W^*</math>-algebras</i> .....	507
Richard Lewis Roth, <i>On the conjugating representation of a finite group</i> ...	515
Bert Alan Taylor, <i>On weighted polynomial approximation of entire functions</i> .....	523
William Charles Waterhouse, <i>Divisor classes in pseudo Galois extensions</i> .....	541
Chi Song Wong, <i>Subadditive functions</i> .....	549
Ta-Sun Wu, <i>A note on the minimality of certain bitransformation groups</i> .....	553
Keith Yale, <i>Invariant subspaces and projective representations</i> .....	557