

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

BEHAVIOR OF GREEN LINES AT THE KURAMOCHI BOUNDARY OF A RIEMANN SURFACE

MITSURU NAKAI AND LEO SARIO

BEHAVIOR OF GREEN LINES AT THE KURAMOCHI BOUNDARY OF A RIEMANN SURFACE

MITSURU NAKAI AND LEO SARIO

We shall establish necessary and sufficient conditions, in terms of Green lines, for a point of the Kuramochi boundary Γ^k of a hyperbolic Riemann surface R to be of positive harmonic measure.

Explicitly, let \mathfrak{B} be the bundle of all Green lines l issuing from a fixed point of R . It forms a measure space with the Green measure. We call a subset \mathfrak{A} of \mathfrak{B} a distinguished bundle if it has positive measure and there exists a point p in Γ^k such that almost every l in \mathfrak{A} terminates at p . The point p will be referred to as the end of \mathfrak{A} .

Our main result is that a point p of Γ^k has positive measure if and only if there exists a distinguished bundle \mathfrak{A} whose end is p .

We shall also give an intrinsic characterization of the latter property, without reference to points of Γ^k : A bundle \mathfrak{A} is distinguished if and only if it has positive measure and for every HD-function u there exists a real number c_u such that u has the limit c_u along almost every l in \mathfrak{A} .

1. Green lines

1. Let R be a hyperbolic Riemann surface, the hyperbolicity characterized by the existence of Green's functions. Fix a point $z_0 \in R$ and denote by $g(z) = g(z, z_0)$ the Green's function on R with singularity z_0 . Consider the differential equations

$$(1) \quad \frac{dr(z)}{r(z)} = -dg(z), \quad r(z_0) = 0,$$

$$(2) \quad d\theta(z) = -*dg(z).$$

Equation (1) has the unique solution $r(z) = e^{-g(z)}$ on R with $0 \leq r(z) < 1$. In any simply connected subregion of $R - z_0$ where $dg(z) \neq 0$, equation (2) also has a solution $\theta(z)$, unique up to an additive constant. The global solution $\theta(z)$, however, is a multivalued harmonic function.

Set $G_\rho = \{z \in R \mid r(z) < \rho\}$, $C_\rho = \partial G_\rho$ ($0 < \rho < 1$). For a sufficiently small ρ , the analytic function $w = \varphi(z) = r(z)e^{i\theta(z)}$ is single-valued and gives a univalent conformal mapping of G_ρ onto the disk $|w| < \rho$. Denote by ρ_0 the supremum of all ρ with this property.

2. An open arc α is called a *Green arc* if $dg(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in \alpha$,

and a branch of θ is constant on α . The set of Green arcs is partially ordered by inclusion. A maximal Green arc in this partially ordered set is called a *Green line*.

A Green line l is said to *issue from* z_0 if $z_0 \in \bar{l}$. We denote by \mathfrak{B} the set of Green lines issuing from z_0 and use the suggestive term *bundle* for a subset \mathfrak{A} of \mathfrak{B} , with the case $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{B}$ not excluded.

For a fixed $\rho \in (0, \rho_0)$ and a given $p \in C_\rho$ let $l(p)$ be the Green line in \mathfrak{B} passing through p . Making use of the function $w = \varphi(z) = r(z)e^{i\theta(z)}$ we see that the mapping $p \rightarrow l(p)$ is bijective; let $p(l)$ be the inverse mapping. We call a bundle $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{B}$ *measurable* if $p(\mathfrak{A})$ is measurable in C_ρ , and define the *Green measure* of \mathfrak{A} by

$$(3) \quad m(\mathfrak{A}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{p(\mathfrak{A})} d\theta(z) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{p(\mathfrak{A})} *dg(z).$$

The space (\mathfrak{B}, m) is a probability space, i.e., a measure space of total measure unity. The definition is independent of the choice of $\rho \in (0, \rho_0)$.

3. Fix an $l \in \mathfrak{B}$. The number $a(l) = \sup_{z \in l} r(z)$ is in $(0, 1]$. If $a(l) < 1$, then l terminates at a point of R at which $dg = 0$. Such an l is called *singular*. If $a(l) = 1$, then l tends to the ideal boundary of R and is called *regular*. The bundle \mathfrak{B}_r of regular Green lines "almost" comprises \mathfrak{B} , that is, $m(\mathfrak{B}_r) = 1$. This is a result of Brelot-Choquet [1] (cf. [7], [8]).

2. Compactifications.

4. Let R° be a compactification of R , i.e., a compact Hausdorff space containing R as its open dense subspace. For a bounded continuous function φ on the ideal boundary $\Gamma^\circ = R^\circ - R$ of R , denote by $U_\varphi^{R^\circ}$ the class of superharmonic functions s on R such that

$$\liminf_{z \in R, z \rightarrow p} s(z) \geq \varphi(p)$$

for every $p \in \Gamma^\circ$. The function

$$H_\varphi^{R^\circ}(z) = \inf_{s \in U_\varphi^{R^\circ}} s(z)$$

is harmonic on R . We assume that R° is a *resolutive* compactification (cf. Constantinescu-Cornea [2]), that is, $\varphi \rightarrow H_\varphi^{R^\circ}(z)$ is a continuous linear functional. Then for $z_0 \in R$ there exists a measure μ° , called the *harmonic measure on Γ°* , and a function $P^\circ(z, p)$ on $R \times \Gamma^\circ$ with properties $P_\circ(z_0, p) \equiv 1$,

$$(4) \quad H_\varphi^{R^\circ}(z) = \int_{\Gamma^\circ} P^\circ(z, p) \varphi(p) d\mu^\circ(p).$$

This representation extends to bounded Borel measurable functions φ on I^c .

Let $\widetilde{HD}(R)$ be the class of harmonic functions $u \geq 0$ on R such that there exists a decreasing sequence $\{u_n\} \subset HD(R)$ with $u = \lim_n u_n$ on R . A function $u \in \widetilde{HD}(R)$ is said to be \widetilde{HD} -minimal if for every $v \in \widetilde{HD}(R)$ with $v \leq u$ on R there exists a constant c_v such that $v = c_v u$ on R . We shall call the compactification R^c \widetilde{HD} -compatible if the following condition is satisfied: $u \in \widetilde{HD}(R)$ is \widetilde{HD} -minimal if and only if there exists a point $p_0 \in I^c$ with $\mu^c(p_0) > 0$ and a number $k > 0$ such that

$$(5) \quad u(z) = k \int_{p_0} P^c(z, p) d\mu^c(p).$$

5. The Royden compactification R^* of R , with the Royden boundary $I^* = R^* - R$, is a typical example of an \widetilde{HD} -compatible compactification (see [6], [8]). We let μ and P stand for μ^c and P^c corresponding to R^* .

A compactification R^c is said to lie below R^* if there exists a continuous mapping $\pi = \pi^c$ of R^* onto R^c such that $\pi|_R$ is the identity and $\pi^{-1}(R) = R$. Clearly π is unique and we have

$$(6) \quad \int_{I^c} P^c(z, p) \varphi(p) d\mu^c(p) = \int_I P(z, p^*) \varphi(\pi(p^*)) d\mu(p^*)$$

for every bounded Borel function φ on I^c .

6. We are interested in the behavior of $l \in \mathfrak{B}_r$ in R^c . We set

$$(7) \quad e^c(l) = \bar{l}^c - l \cup \{z_0\},$$

with \bar{l}^c the closure of l in R^c , and call $e^c(l)$ the end part of l in R^c . It is a compact set in I^c . If

$$\mathfrak{B}^c = \{l \in \mathfrak{B}_r \mid e^c(l) \text{ is a single point}\}$$

is of measure $m(\mathfrak{B}^c) = 1$, then we call R^c Green-compatible.

We shall make use of a result of Maeda [4]: A metrizable compactification R^c which lies below R^* is Green-compatible.

7. A compactification R^c of R is said to be of type G if R^c is metrizable, \widetilde{HD} -compatible, and lies below R^* . Note that R^c is then Green-compatible. An important example:

PROPOSITION. The Kuramochi compactification R^k of R is of type G .

In fact, metrizability and \widetilde{HD} -compatibility of R^k are immediate

consequences of related results of Constantinescu-Cornea [2, pp. 171 and 169]. That R^k lies below R^* follows from the definition of the Kuramochi compactification given in [2, p. 167].

R^k is actually the only significant compactification of type G known thus far. For a general discussion of its properties we also refer to [5].

3. Distinguished bundles.

8. Let R^c be a compactification of R of type G . We call a bundle $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{B}$ R^c -distinguished if $m(\mathfrak{A}) > 0$ and there exists a point $p \in I^c$ such that $e^c(l) = p$ for almost every $l \in \mathfrak{A}$. The point p will be referred to as the *end* of \mathfrak{A} . In the case $R^c = R^k$ we simply say that \mathfrak{A} is *distinguished*.

We shall characterize points $p \in I^c$ of positive measure in terms of R^c -distinguished bundles:

THEOREM. *Let R^c be a compactification of type G of a hyperbolic Riemann surface R . A point $p \in I^c = R^c - R$ has positive harmonic measure if and only if there exists an R^c -distinguished bundle \mathfrak{A} with end p .*

The proof will be given in 9–13.

9. Let $I' = R^* - R$ be the Royden boundary of R . For $l \in \mathfrak{B}$, denote by $e(l)$ the set $\bar{l} - l \cup \{z_0\}$ in I' , with \bar{l} the closure of l in R^* . Given a subset $S \subset I'$ we write

$$(8) \quad \tilde{S} = \{l \in \mathfrak{B} | e(l) \cap S \neq \emptyset\}, \quad \check{S} = \{l \in \mathfrak{B} | e(l) \subset S\}.$$

We shall employ the following auxiliary result ([7], [8]): For every F_σ -set K (resp. G_δ -set U) in I'

$$(9) \quad \bar{m}(\tilde{K}) \leq \mu(K), \quad \underline{m}(\check{U}) \geq \mu(U),$$

where \bar{m} and \underline{m} are the outer and inner measures induced by m .

Let p^* be on the Royden *harmonic boundary* Δ of R . The set

$$A_{p^*} = \{q^* \in I' | u(q^*) = u(p^*) \text{ for all } u \in HBD(R)\}$$

is called a *block* at p^* . It is known ([7], [8]) that it has a measurable \tilde{A}_{p^*} ,

$$(10) \quad m(\tilde{A}_{p^*}) = \mu(p^*),$$

and that

$$(11) \quad u(p^*) = \lim_{z \in I, r(z) \rightarrow 1} u(z)$$

for every $u \in HD(R)$ and almost every $l \in \tilde{A}_{p^*}$.

10. Suppose \mathfrak{U} is an R^c -distinguished bundle with end $p \in I^c$. We are to prove that $\mu^c(p) > 0$. Take the projection $\pi = \pi^c$ of R^* onto R^c (see 5). The set $K = \pi^{-1}(p)$ is compact and clearly $\mathfrak{U} \subset \tilde{K}$. By (9),

$$0 < m(\mathfrak{U}) \leq \bar{m}(\tilde{K}) \leq \mu(K).$$

From (6) it follows that $\mu(K) = \mu(\pi^{-1}(p)) = \pi^c(p)$. Therefore

$$0 < m(\mathfrak{U}) \leq \mu^c(p).$$

11. Conversely suppose that $p \in I^c$ and $\mu^c(p) > 0$. Since R^c is \widetilde{HD} -compatible, the function $u(z) = \int_p P^c(z, q) d\mu^c(q)$ is \widetilde{HD} -minimal on R . By (6) we see that

$$(12) \quad u(z) = \int_{\pi^{-1}(p)} P(z, q^*) d\mu(q^*).$$

Since R^* is also \widetilde{HD} -compatible and the integral representation (12) of the \widetilde{HD} -function u is unique up to a boundary function vanishing μ -almost everywhere on I' ([6], [8]), we conclude that there exists a point $p^* \in \pi^{-1}(p)$ with $\mu(p^*) = \mu(\pi^{-1}(p)) > 0$. Observe that

$$(13) \quad m(\tilde{A}_{p^*}) = \mu(p^*) > 0.$$

In view of the Green-compatibility of R^c , there exists a measurable subset $\mathfrak{U} \subset \tilde{A}_{p^*}$ with $m(\tilde{A}_{p^*}) = m(\mathfrak{U})$ and such that $e^c(l)$ is a single point in I^c for each $l \in \mathfrak{U}$.

To conclude that \mathfrak{U} is an R^c -distinguished bundle with end p , we must show that $\mathfrak{U}' = \{l \in \mathfrak{U} \mid e^c(l) \neq p\}$ is of m -measure zero. For this purpose take a sequence $\{U_n\}_1^\infty$ of open sets in I^c with

$$U_{n+1} \subset \bar{U}_{n+1} \subset U_n, \quad \bigcap_1^\infty U_n = \{p\}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{U}'_n = \{l \in \mathfrak{U}' \mid e^c(l) \notin U_n\}$. Since $\mathfrak{U}' = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathfrak{U}'_n$, it suffices to show that $m(\mathfrak{U}'_n) = 0$ for every n .

12. First we assume that $R \notin O_{HD}$. For an arbitrarily fixed n there exists a $u_n \in HBD(R)$ such that

$$(14) \quad 0 \leq u_n|_{\Delta} \leq 1, u_n|_{\pi^{-1}(U_{n+1}) \cap \Delta} = 1, u_n|_{(\Delta - \pi^{-1}(U_n))} = 0.$$

In view of (11), there exists a measurable subset $\mathfrak{U}''_n \subset \mathfrak{U}'_n$ with $m(\mathfrak{U}'_n - \mathfrak{U}''_n) = 0$ and

$$(15) \quad 1 = u_n(p^*) = \lim_{z \in I, r(z) \rightarrow 1} u_n(z)$$

for every $l \in \mathfrak{U}''_n$. The set $E_n = \{q^* \in I' \mid u_n(q^*) < \frac{1}{2}\}$ is open in I' . By

(15), $e(l) \cap E_n = \emptyset$ for every $l \in \mathfrak{U}_n''$. Because of the definition of \mathfrak{U}_n' , it is also clear that $e(l) \cap \pi^{-1}(U_n) = \emptyset$ for every $l \in \mathfrak{U}_n''$. Since the set $K_n = \Gamma - \pi^{-1}(U_n) \cup E_n$ is compact and $\pi^{-1}(U_n) \cup E_n \supset \Delta$, we have $K_n \subset \Gamma - \Delta$ and a fortiori $\mu(K_n) = 0$.

On the other hand, $e(l) \subset K_n$ for every $l \in \mathfrak{U}_n''$. Therefore $\mathfrak{U}_n'' \subset \check{K}_n \subset \tilde{K}_n$. In view of (9), we obtain

$$m(\mathfrak{U}_n'') \leq \bar{m}(\tilde{K}_n) \leq \mu(K_n) = 0$$

and conclude that $m(\mathfrak{U}_n') = m(\mathfrak{U}_n'') = 0$.

13. If $R \in O_{HD}$, then Δ consists of a single point and consequently $\Delta = \{p^*\}$. The set $F_n = \Gamma - \pi^{-1}(U_n)$ is compact in $\Gamma - \Delta$ and hence $\mu(F_n) = 0$. By the definition of \mathfrak{U}_n' we have $\mathfrak{U}_n' \subset \check{F}_n \subset \tilde{F}_n$. Therefore $m(\mathfrak{U}_n') \leq m(\tilde{F}_n) \leq \mu(F_n) = 0$. The proof of Theorem 8 is herewith complete.

4. Characterization of distinguished bundles.

14. We next give necessary and sufficient conditions for a bundle to be distinguished, without referring to its end:

THEOREM. *Let R^c be a compactification of type G of a hyperbolic Riemann surface R . A bundle $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{B}$ is R^c -distinguished if and only if $m(\mathfrak{A}) > 0$ and for each $u \in HD(R)$ there exists a number c_u such that*

$$(16) \quad \lim_{z \in l, r(z) \rightarrow 1} u(z) = c_u$$

for almost every $l \in \mathfrak{A}$.

The proof will be given in 15-18.

15. First suppose \mathfrak{A} is R^c -distinguished with end $p \in I^c$. Then by 10 and 11, there exists a point $p^* \in K = \pi^{-1}(p)$ such that

$$0 < \mu^c(p) = \mu(K) = \mu(p^*).$$

Fix a $u \in HD(R)$. By the Godefroid theorem [3] (see also [7], [8]),

$$(17) \quad u(l) = \lim_{z \in l, r(z) \rightarrow 1} u(z)$$

exists for almost every $l \in \mathfrak{B}_+$. On omitting from \mathfrak{A} a set of measure zero we may assume that $u(l)$ in (17) exists for every $l \in \mathfrak{A}$. We may also suppose that $e^c(l) = p$ and a fortiori $e(l) \subset K$ for every $l \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Since $\mu(p^*) > 0$, $|u(p^*)| < \infty$ (cf. [6], [8]). Let

$$\mathfrak{A}' = \{l \in \mathfrak{A} \mid u(l) - u(p^*) \neq 0\}$$

and

$$K_n = \{q^* \in K \mid |u(q^*) - u(p^*)| \geq 1/n\}.$$

Clearly K_n is a compact set. For $l \in \mathfrak{U}'$ and $q^* \in e(l)$, we have $u(l) = u(q^*)$ by (17) and the continuity of u on R^* . Therefore $|u(q^*) - u(p^*)| \geq 1/n$ for some n and a fortiori $e(l) \subset K_n$. It follows that

$$\mathfrak{U}' \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{K}_n \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{K}_n,$$

which by (9) gives

$$m(\mathfrak{U}') \leq \bar{m}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{K}_n\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{m}(\tilde{K}_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(K_n).$$

From $K_n \subset K - p^*$ and $\mu(K) = \mu(p^*)$, we obtain $\mu(K_n) = 0$. Consequently $m(\mathfrak{U}') = 0$ and, since

$$\lim_{z \in l, r(z) \rightarrow 1} u(z) = u(l) = u(p^*)$$

for every $l \in \mathfrak{U} - \mathfrak{U}'$, we have (16) for almost every $l \in \mathfrak{U}$.

16. Conversely suppose that, for a bundle $\mathfrak{U} \subset \mathfrak{B}$ with $m(\mathfrak{U}) > 0$, (16) is satisfied. We may assume that $e^\circ(l)$ is a single point in Γ° for every $l \in \mathfrak{U}$.

First consider the case $R \in O_{HD}$. The harmonic boundary Δ consists of a single point p^* and $\mu(p^*) > 0$. Let $p = \pi(p^*)$. Take a sequence $\{U_n\}_1^\infty$ of open sets in Γ° such that $\bar{U}_{n+1} \subset U_n$ and $\bigcap_1^\infty U_n = \{p\}$. For the bundles $\mathfrak{U}'_n = \{l \in \mathfrak{U} \mid e^\circ(l) \notin U_n\}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and

$$\mathfrak{U}' = \{l \in \mathfrak{U} \mid e^\circ(l) \neq p\}$$

we have $\mathfrak{U}' = \bigcup_1^\infty \mathfrak{U}'_n$. Set $K_n = \Gamma - \pi^{-1}(U_n) \subset \Gamma - \Delta$. Every $l \in \mathfrak{U}'_n$ has $e(l) \subset K_n$ and we obtain $\mathfrak{U}'_n \subset \tilde{K}_n \subset \tilde{K}_n$. Hence

$$m(\mathfrak{U}'_n) \leq \bar{m}(\tilde{K}_n) \leq \mu(K_n) = 0$$

and therefore $m(\mathfrak{U}') = 0$, i.e., $e^\circ(l) = p$ for almost every $l \in \mathfrak{U}$. This proves that \mathfrak{U} is R° -distinguished.

17. Next suppose $R \notin O_{HD}$. The family

$$T(\mathfrak{U}) = \{u \in HBD(R) \mid 0 \leq u \leq 1 \text{ on } R, u(l) = 1 \text{ for almost every } l \in \mathfrak{U}\}$$

is a Perron family and

$$(18) \quad s(z) = \inf \{u(z) \mid u \in T(\mathfrak{U})\}$$

is an \widetilde{HD} -minimal function on R (see [7], [8]). We can therefore choose a decreasing sequence $\{h_n\} \subset T(\mathfrak{U})$ such that

$$(19) \quad s(z) = \lim_n h_n(z)$$

on R . Let \mathfrak{U}_0 be a measurable subset of \mathfrak{U} with $m(\mathfrak{U}) = m(\mathfrak{U}_0)$ such that $h_n(l)$ exists and equals unity for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and every $l \in \mathfrak{U}_0$. We set

$$\bar{s}(l) = \limsup_{z \in l, r(z) \rightarrow 1} s(z)$$

and observe that

$$s(z_0) = \int_{\mathfrak{B}} s(re^{il}) dm(l) \leq \int_{\mathfrak{B}} h_n(re^{il}) dm(l) = h_n(z_0)$$

for every $r \in (0, 1)$ (see [7], [8]). By Fatou's lemma

$$s(z_0) \leq \int_{\mathfrak{B}} \bar{s}(l) dm(l) \leq \int_{\mathfrak{B}} h_n(l) dm(l) = h_n(z_0).$$

Let $h(l) = \lim_n h_n(l)$. Since $h_n(l) \geq \bar{s}(l)$ and

$$0 \leq \int_{\mathfrak{B}} (h(l) - \bar{s}(l)) dm(l) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (h_n(z_0) - s(z_0)) = 0,$$

we conclude that $\bar{s}(l) = h(l)$ almost everywhere on \mathfrak{B} . In view of $h(l) = 1$ for every $l \in \mathfrak{U}_0$ we may suppose that

$$(20) \quad \bar{s}(l) = 1 \quad (l \in \mathfrak{U}).$$

18. The remainder of the proof is analogous to that in 11-12. In fact, since s is \widetilde{HD} -minimal, there exist points p and p^* in I^c and I respectively such that $\mu^c(p) = \mu(p^*) > 0$, $p^* \in \pi^{-1}(p)$, and

$$s(z) = \int_p P^c(z, q) d\mu^c(q) = \int_{p^*} P(z, q^*) d\mu(q^*).$$

We wish to show that $e^c(l) = p$ for almost every $l \in \mathfrak{U}$, that is, \mathfrak{U} is R^c -distinguished with end p . For this purpose set $\mathfrak{U}' = \{l \in \mathfrak{U} | e^c(l) \neq p\}$. To see that $m(\mathfrak{U}') = 0$ take a sequence $\{U_n\}$ of open sets in I^c such that

$$\bar{U}_{n+1} \subset U_n, \quad \bigcap_1^\infty U_n = \{p\}.$$

For $\mathfrak{U}'_n = \{l \in \mathfrak{U} | e^c(l) \notin U_n\}$ we have $\mathfrak{U}' = \bigcup_1^\infty \mathfrak{U}'_n$ and it suffices to show that $m(\mathfrak{U}'_n) = 0$ for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Take a function $u_n \in HBD(R)$ with

$$0 \leq u_n|_{\Delta} \leq 1, \quad u_n|_{\pi^{-1}(U_{n+1}) \cap \Delta} = 1, \quad u_n|_{(\Delta - \pi^{-1}(U_n))} = 0.$$

We may suppose $u_n(l)$ exists for every $l \in \mathfrak{U}$. Since $1 \geq u_n \geq s$ on R , (20) implies that

$$(21) \quad u_n(l) = 1 \quad (l \in \mathfrak{U}).$$

Clearly $e(l) \subset \Gamma - \pi^{-1}(U_n)$ for every $l \in \mathfrak{U}'_n$. Moreover, if we set $E_n = \{q^* \in \Gamma \mid u_n(q^*) < \frac{1}{2}\}$, then $e(l) \subset \Gamma - E_n \cup \pi^{-1}(U_n) = K_n$ for every $l \in \mathfrak{U}'_n$. Since K_n is compact and contained in $\Gamma - \Delta$,

$$\mathfrak{U}'_n \subset \check{K}_n \subset \tilde{K}_n$$

implies that

$$m(\mathfrak{U}'_n) \leq \bar{m}(\tilde{K}_n) = \mu(K_n) = 0.$$

The proof of Theorem 14 is herewith complete.

5. Conclusion.

19. Recall that a bundle $\mathfrak{U} \subset \mathfrak{B}$ is distinguished with end p on the Kuramochi boundary if $m(\mathfrak{U}) > 0$ and almost every Green line in \mathfrak{U} terminates at p . Since the Kuramochi compactification is of type G , Theorems 8 and 14 imply:

THEOREM. *A point p of the Kuramochi boundary of a hyperbolic Riemann surface R has positive measure if and only if there exists a distinguished bundle \mathfrak{U} of Green lines with end p .*

A bundle \mathfrak{U} of Green lines with $m(\mathfrak{U}) > 0$ is distinguished if and only if, for every $u \in HD(R)$, there exists a number c_u such that the "radial limit" $\lim_{z \in l, r(z) \rightarrow 1} u(z)$ exists and equals c_u for almost every $l \in \mathfrak{U}$.

REFERENCES

1. M. Brelot and G. Choquet, *Espace et lignes de Green*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **3** (1952), 199-263.
2. C. Constantinescu and A. Cornea, *Ideale Ränder Riemannscher Flächen*, Springer-Verlag, 1963.
3. M. Godefroid, *Une propriété des fonctions BLD dans un espace de Green*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **9** (1959), 301-304.
4. F.-Y. Maeda, *Notes on Green lines and Kuramochi boundary of a Green space*, J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. **28** (1964), 59-66.
5. F.-Y. Maeda, M. Ohtsuka, et al., *Kuramochi Boundaries of Riemann Surfaces*, Lecture Notes 58, Springer-Verlag, 1968.
6. M. Nakai, *A measure on the harmonic boundary of a Riemann surface*, Nagoya Math. J. **17** (1960), 181-218.
7. ———, *Behavior of Green lines at Royden's boundary of Riemann surfaces*, Nagoya Math. J. **24** (1964), 1-27.
8. L. Sario and M. Nakai, *Classification Theory of Riemann Surfaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1970.

Received April 13, 1970. The work was sponsored by the U.S. Army Research Office-Durham, Grant DA-AROD-31-124-G855, University of California, Los Angeles.

NAGOYA UNIVERSITY
CHIKUSA-KU, NAGOYA, JAPAN

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. R. PHELPS
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLE

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 36, No. 2

December, 1971

George E. Andrews, <i>On a partition problem of H. L. Alder</i>	279
Thomas Craig Brown, <i>An interesting combinatorial method in the theory of locally finite semigroups</i>	285
Yuen-Kwok Chan, <i>A constructive proof of Sard's theorem</i>	291
Charles Vernon Coffman, <i>Spectral theory of monotone Hammerstein operators</i>	303
Edward Dewey Davis, <i>Regular sequences and minimal bases</i>	323
Israel (Yitzchak) Nathan Herstein and Lance W. Small, <i>Regular elements in P.I.-rings</i>	327
Marcel Herzog, <i>Intersections of nilpotent Hall subgroups</i>	331
W. N. Hudson, <i>Volterra transformations of the Wiener measure on the space of continuous functions of two variables</i>	335
J. H. V. Hunt, <i>An n-arc theorem for Peano spaces</i>	351
Arnold Joseph Insel, <i>A decomposition theorem for topological group extensions</i>	357
Caulton Lee Irwin, <i>Inverting operators for singular boundary value problems</i>	379
Abraham A. Klein, <i>Matrix rings of finite degree of nilpotency</i>	387
Wei-Eihn Kuan, <i>On the hyperplane section through a rational point of an algebraic variety</i>	393
John Hathway Lindsey, II, <i>On a six-dimensional projective representation of $PSU_4(3)$</i>	407
Jorge Martinez, <i>Approximation by archimedean lattice cones</i>	427
J. F. McClendon, <i>On stable fiber space obstructions</i>	439
Mitsuru Nakai and Leo Sario, <i>Behavior of Green lines at the Kuramochi boundary of a Riemann surface</i>	447
Donald Steven Passman, <i>Linear identities in group rings. I</i>	457
Donald Steven Passman, <i>Linear identities in group rings. II</i>	485
David S. Promislow, <i>The Kakutani theorem for tensor products of W^*-algebras</i>	507
Richard Lewis Roth, <i>On the conjugating representation of a finite group</i> ...	515
Bert Alan Taylor, <i>On weighted polynomial approximation of entire functions</i>	523
William Charles Waterhouse, <i>Divisor classes in pseudo Galois extensions</i>	541
Chi Song Wong, <i>Subadditive functions</i>	549
Ta-Sun Wu, <i>A note on the minimality of certain bitransformation groups</i>	553
Keith Yale, <i>Invariant subspaces and projective representations</i>	557