Pacific Journal of Mathematics

THE KAKUTANI THEOREM FOR TENSOR PRODUCTS OF W^*-ALGEBRAS

DAVID S. PROMISLOW

Vol. 36, No. 2 December 1971

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 36, No. 2, 1971

THE KAKUTANI THEOREM FOR TENSOR PRODUCTS OF W^*-ALGEBRAS

DAVID PROMISLOW

In a recent paper Bures proved a result concerning the classification of tensor products of a family of semi-finite W^*-algebras and showed that it constituted a non-commutative extension of the main part of Kakutani's theorem on infinite product measures. In this paper these results are extended, first by removing the semi-finiteness restriction, and secondly by completing the analogy with Kakutani's Theorem.

In particular, it is shown in [1] that if $(\mathscr{A}_i)_{i\in I}$ is a family of semi-finite W^*-algebras, then the incomplete tensor products determined respectively by the families of normal states (μ_i) and (ν_i) are essentially the same (i.e., product isomorphic) if and only if $\Sigma_{i\in I}[d(\mu_i, \nu_i)]^2 < \infty$, where d is a certain metric defined on the normal states (see Definition 1.1 below). In fact d is a generalization of the metric defined by Kakutani on sets of measures and when each $\mathscr{A}_i$ is abelian the above result yields the first part of the theorem proved in [4].

By removing the semi-finiteness condition from Bures' product formula ([1], Th. 2.5), which relates the distance d between product states to the distances between their components, we are able to obtain the same result for an arbitrary family of W^*-algebras. This then completes the classification of tensor products up to product isomorphism as given in ([2], p. 15). Moreover we prove the product formula for the case of infinite product states which gives the extension of the second part of Kakutani's Theorem.

1. Preliminaries. If $\mathscr{A}$ is a W^*-algebra we let $\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}$ denote the set of all normal states on $\mathscr{A}$. (We always consider a state μ to be normalized so that $\mu(1) = 1$). If $\mu \in \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}$ and $T \in \mathscr{A}$ is such that $\mu(TT^*) = 1$, we define $\mu_T \in \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}$ by $\mu_T(A) = \mu(TAT^*)$ for all $A \in \mathscr{A}$. For $\mu \in \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}$ we let $S(\mu)$ denote the support of μ.

Suppose that $\mathscr{A}$ and $\mathscr{B}$ are W^*-algebras and that $\mu \in \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}$, $\nu \in \Sigma_{\mathscr{B}}$. Then $\mu \otimes \nu$ denotes the unique element of $\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}\otimes\mathscr{B}}$ (where $\mathscr{A} \otimes \mathscr{B}$ is the W^*-tensor product) such that

$$(\mu \otimes \nu)(A \otimes B) = [\mu(A)][\nu(B)] \text{ for all } A \in \mathscr{A}, B \in \mathscr{B} .$$

A *homomorphism* between two W^*-algebras will always mean a^*-preserving identity preserving, algebraic homomorphism.

By a *representation* ϕ of a W^*-algebra $\mathscr{A}$ on a Hilbert space H

we always mean a one-to-one homomorphism from $\mathscr{A}$ into $\mathscr{L}(H)$ such that $\phi(\mathscr{A})$ is a von Neumann algebra on H. For $\mu \in \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}$, we say that the vector $x \in H$ *induces* μ relative to ϕ if $\mu(A) = (\phi(A)x \mid x)$ for all $A \in \mathscr{A}$.

DEFINITION 1.1. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a W^*-algebra and let μ and $\nu \in \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}$. We define:

$$Q(\mu, \nu) = \{[\phi, x, y]: \phi \text{ is a representation of } \mathscr{A} \text{ on } H, \text{ and } x, y \in H \text{ induce } \mu, \nu \text{ respectively relative to } \phi.\}$$

$$d(\mu, \nu) = \inf \{\|x - y\|: [\phi, x, y] \in Q(\mu, \nu).\}$$

$$\rho(\mu, \nu) = \sup \{|(x \mid y)|: [\phi, x, y] \in Q(\mu, \nu).\} .$$

The quantities d and ρ were introduced in [1] where it is shown that d is a metric on $\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}$ and that d and ρ are related by the formula

$$[d(\mu, \nu)]^2 = 2[1 - \rho(\mu, \nu)] . \tag{1.1}$$

The number $d(\mu, \nu)$ can vary from 0 to $\sqrt{2}$ and is equal to 0 if and only if $\mu = \nu$. We consider the other extreme.

LEMMA 1.2. *$d(\mu, \nu) = \sqrt{2}$ if and only if $S(\mu)S(\nu) = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that $S(\mu)S(\nu) = 0$. Choose any $[\phi, x, y] \in Q(\mu, \nu)$. A direct calculation shows that $\phi(S(\mu))x = x$ and $\phi(S(\nu))y = y$, so that $(x \mid y) = 0$. It follows that $\rho(\mu, \nu) = 0$, and from (1.1) $d(\mu, \nu) = \sqrt{2}$.

Conversely, suppose that $d(\mu, \nu) = \sqrt{2}$ so that $\rho(\mu, \nu) = 0$. Choose any $[\phi, x, y] \in Q(\mu, \nu)$. It is a well known fact that $\phi(S(\mu)) =$ the uniform closure of the set $\{(\phi(\mathscr{A}))'x\}$, and similary for $\phi(S(\nu))$ with y replacing x. Therefore, to show that $S(\mu)S(\nu) = 0$ it is enough to show that

$$(A'x \mid B'y) = 0$$

for all $A', B' \in (\phi(\mathscr{A}))'$. Clearly it is sufficient to consider the case where A' and B' are unitaries. But then a direct calculation shows that

$$[\phi, A'x, B'y] \in Q(\mu, \nu), \text{ so that}$$
$$|(A'x \mid B'y)| \leqq \rho(\mu, \nu) = 0 .$$

2. The product formula for ρ. In this section we prove in general the product formula for ρ which was obtained in ([1], Th. 2.5) for semi-finite algebras. The key step is Lemma 2.1 which is similar in statement and proof to ([1], Lemma 1.6). However by dealing with

only one element of the algebra we are able to avoid the use of a trace.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a W^*-algebra. Suppose that $\mu \in \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}$, and $T \in \mathscr{A}^+$ is such that $\mu(T^2) = 1$. Then,*

$$\rho(\mu, \mu_T) = \mu(T) .$$

Proof. Choose any $[\phi, x, y] \in Q(\mu, \mu_T)$. A direct calculation shows that $[\phi, x, \phi(T)x]$ also $\in Q(\mu, \mu_T)$. Therefore

$$\rho(\mu, \mu_T) \geqq |(x|\phi(T)x)| = \mu(T) . \tag{2.1}$$

On the other hand, since y and $\phi(T)x$ induce the same state relative to ϕ it is a standard result that $y = U'\phi(T)x$ for some partial isometry U' in $(\phi(\mathscr{A}))'$ (see [3], Chapt. 1, § 4, Lemma 3). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |(x|y)| &= |(x|U'\phi(T)x)| \\ &= |(U'^*\phi(T)^{1/2}x|\phi(T)^{1/2}x)| \\ &\leqq ||\phi(T)^{1/2}x||^2 \\ &= \mu(T) . \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over all $[\phi, x, y] \in Q(\mu, \nu)$ we obtain that

$$\rho(\mu, \mu_T) \leqq \mu(T) ,$$

which together with (2.1) completes the proof.

We now consider two W^*-algebras $\mathscr{A}_1$ and $\mathscr{A}_2$. For $j = 1$ or 2 let μ_j and ν_j be elements of $\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}_j}$. We want to prove the following:

$$\rho(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2, \nu_1 \otimes \nu_2) = [\rho(\mu_1, \nu_1)][\rho(\mu_2, \nu_2)] . \tag{2.2}$$

LEMMA 2.2. *Suppose that for $j = 1$ or 2, $\mu_j = (\nu_j)_{T_j}$ for some $T_j \in \mathscr{A}_j^+$. Then* (2.2) *holds.*

Proof. $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2 = (\nu_1)_{T_1} \otimes (\nu_2)_{T_2}$ which is easily seen to be equal to $(\nu_1 \otimes \nu_2)_{T_1 \otimes T_2}$. The result now follows from a direct calculation, using Lemma 2.1.

LEMMA 2.3. *For any $0 \leqq \delta \leqq 1$, let $\nu_j' = (1 - \delta)\nu_j + \delta\mu_j$, $j = 1$ or 2. Then*

(a) $|\rho(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2, \nu_1 \otimes \nu_2) - \rho(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2, \nu_1' \otimes \nu_2')| \leqq k\delta^{1/2}$,

(b) $|\rho(\mu_i, \nu_j) - \rho(\mu_j, \nu_j')| \leqq k\delta^{1/2}$,

where k is a constant independent of δ.

Proof. From ([1], Proposition 1.8 (A))

$$\begin{aligned} & d(\nu_1 \otimes \nu_2, \nu_1 \otimes \nu_2') \\ &= d(\nu_1 \otimes \nu_2, [(1-\delta)\nu_1 \otimes \nu_2 + \delta(\nu_1 \otimes \mu_2)]) \\ &\leqq 2\delta^{1/2} . \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $d(\nu_1 \otimes \nu_2', \nu_1' \otimes \nu_2') \leqq 2\delta^{1/2}$ so by the triangle inequality

$$d(\nu_1 \otimes \nu_2, \nu_1' \otimes \nu_2') \leqq 4\delta^{1/2} ,$$

and (a) follows from ([1], Proposition 1.9 (*B*)). Part (b) follows in a similar manner.

THEOREM 2.4. *Formula* (2.2) *holds in general.*

Proof. For any $0 < \delta < 1$, let ν_j' be defined as in Lemma 2.3. Then for $n > 1/\delta$, $\mu_j(A) \leqq n\nu_j'(A)$ for all $A \in \mathscr{A}_j^+$. By Sakai's Radon-Nikodym Theorem ([3], Chapt. 1, § 4, Th. 5), $\mu_j = (\nu_j')_{T_j}$ for some $T_j \in \mathscr{A}_j^+$. From Lemma 2.3

$$(\rho(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2, \nu_1 \otimes \nu_2) - [\rho(\mu_1, \nu_1')][\rho(\mu_2, \nu_2')]) = 0 .$$

By taking δ sufficiently small and applying Lemma 2.3, we have that for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$(\rho(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2, \nu_1 \otimes \nu_2) - [\rho(\mu_1, \nu_1)][\rho(\mu_2, \nu_2)]) < \varepsilon$$

which completes the proof.

REMARK. We can of course remove the normalizing condition and define d and ρ for any positive, normal linear functionals, as was done in [1]. Since a vector x induces the functional μ if and only if the vector $k^{1/2}x$ induces $k\mu$, we have that $\rho(k\mu, \nu) = k^{1/2}\rho(\mu, \nu)$ for all $k > 0$. Moreover we can still define $\mu \otimes \nu$ and the mapping (μ, ν) to $(\mu \otimes \nu)$ is bilinear. It follows that Theorem 2.4 will hold for positive, normal, linear functionals.

3. Application to infinite tensor products. In ([1], Th. 4.1), the main result of that paper, the only need of the semi-finiteness restriction was to invoke the product formula. We can now appeal to Theorem 2.4 to conclude that this result holds in general. We will however present an alternate proof here, which at the same time extends the product formula to the case of infinite product states.

We begin by reviewing some basic definitions. See [2] for a complete discussion of the following concepts.

Let I be an arbitrary indexing set and let $(\mathscr{A}_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of W^*-algebras.

A *product* for this family is an object $(\mathscr{A}, (\alpha_i)_{i \in I})$, where $\mathscr{A}$ is

a W^*-algebra and for each $i \in I$ α_i is a one-to-one homomorphism from $\mathscr{A}_i$ into $\mathscr{A}$ satisfying:

(a) $\alpha_i(\mathscr{A}_i)$ and $\alpha_j(\mathscr{A}_j)$ commute pointwise for $i \neq j$

(b) $\{\alpha_i(\mathscr{A}_i): i \in I\}$ generates $\mathscr{A}$ as a W^*-algebra.

We say that the products $(\mathscr{A}, (\alpha_i))$ and $(\mathscr{B}, (\beta_i))$ are *product isomorphic* if there exists an isomorphism ϕ from $\mathscr{A}$ onto $\mathscr{B}$ such that $\phi\alpha_i = \beta_i$ for all $i \in I$.

Let $\Lambda = \Lambda((\mathscr{A}_i))$ denote the set of all families $(\mu_i)_{i \in I}$ where $\mu_i \in \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}_i}$ for all $i \in I$. We say that μ is a *product state* of the product $(\mathscr{A}, (\alpha_i))$ if $\mu \in \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}$, and for some $(\mu_i) \in \Lambda$ (necessarily unique),

$$\mu(\prod_{i \in F} \alpha_i(A_i)) = \prod_{i \in F} \mu_i(A_i)$$

for all finite $F \subset I$ and all $A_i \in \mathscr{A}_i$. We denote such a state by $\bigotimes_{i \in I} \mu_i$.

DEFINITION 3.1. For any $(\mu_i) \in \Lambda$ we define a product, denoted by $\bigotimes_{i \in I}(\mathscr{A}_i, \mu_i)$, as follows.

For each $i \in I$, let $\mathscr{A}_i$ be represented as a von Neumann algebra on a Hilbert space H_i such that $x_i \in H_i$ induces μ_i. Let

$$H = \bigotimes_{i \in I}(H_i, x_i)$$

be von Neumann's incomplete tensor product of (H_i) with respect to the C_0-sequence (x_i) [5]. For any $k \in I$ and $A_k \in H_k$ let $\bar{A}_k$ denote the unique element of $\mathscr{L}(H)$ such that $\bar{A}_k(\bigotimes y_i) = \bigotimes y_i'$ where $y_k' = A_k y_k$ and $y_i' = y_i$ for $i \neq k$. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be the von Neumann algebra on H generated by the $\mathscr{A}_i$. Then the product $\bigotimes_{i \in I}(\mathscr{A}_i, \mu_i)$ is defined to be the algebra $\mathscr{A}$, together with the injections α_i given by $\alpha_i(A_i) = \bar{A}_i$ for all $A_i \in \mathscr{A}_i$.

See [2] for an alternative method of defining $\bigotimes(\mathscr{A}_i, \mu_i)$ and a justification of the above definition. It is shown that the product constructed as above is unique up to product isomorphism ([2], Th. 4.7).

Note that the product state $\bigotimes \mu_i$ exists on $\bigotimes(\mathscr{A}_i, \mu_i)$. In fact in the construction above it is induced by the vector $\bigotimes x_i$. It will follow from the results in this section that the converse holds. That is, if a product constructed as above from an element of Λ admits $\bigotimes \mu_i$ as a product state, then this product is product isomorphic to $\bigotimes(\mathscr{A}_i, \mu_i)$.

If I is a finite set it is well known that the $\bigotimes(\mathscr{A}_i, \mu_i)$ are all product isomorphic for any choice of $(\mu_i) \in \Lambda$, and the resulting product is simply $\bigotimes_{i \in I} \mathscr{A}_i$, the usual W^*-tensor product of a finite family.

DEFINITION 3.2. Let $(\mathscr{A}, (\alpha_i))$ be a product for the family $(\mathscr{A}_i)_{i \in I}$.

Let ϕ be any represention of $\mathscr{A}$ and let $\mu \in \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}$. Then for any non-void $J \subset I$ we let $\mathscr{A}^J =$ the W^*-algebra generated by

$$\{\alpha_i(A_i): i \in J, A_i \in \mathscr{A}_i\} ,$$

and we let ϕ^J, μ^J denote respectively the restrictions of ϕ, μ to $\mathscr{A}^J$.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let $\mathscr{A} =$ the algebra $\bigotimes_{i \in I}(\mathscr{A}_i, \mu_i)$. Let $\mu = \bigotimes \mu_i$ and let ν be any element of $\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}$. Then there exists $J \subset I$ with finite compliment such that $\rho(\mu^J, \nu^J) > 0$.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that $\rho(\mu^J, \nu^J) = 0$ for all $J \subset I$ with finite compliment. Let (H_i), (x_i) and H be as in Definition 3.1. It is well known that we can choose an orthonormal basis of H with the property that every basis element x' is of the form $\bigotimes_{i \in I} x_i'$, where for all but a finite number of $i \in I$ $x_i' = x_i$. See ([5], Lemma 4.14). Fix such a basis element x' and let $J = \{i \in I: x' = x_i\}$. Obviously x' induces μ^J on $\mathscr{A}^J$. Then by our assumption and Lemma 1.2,

$$|| S(\nu^J)x' ||^2 = \mu^J(S(\nu^J)) = 0 .$$

Since $\nu(S(\nu^J)) = \nu^J(S(\nu^J)) = 1$ we have $S(\nu) \leqq S(\nu^J)$, and therefore $S(\nu)x' = 0$.

Since this is true for all x' in some basis of H we have $S(\nu) = 0$, a contradiction.

REMARK. We next recall some elementary facts about infinite products of numbers. If $(r_i)_{i \in I}$ is family of nonnegative numbers, $\prod_{i \in I} r_i$ is said to converge if and only if for some $J \subset I$ with finite compliment, $\lim_F (\prod_{i \in F} r_i)$ as F runs over the finite subsets of J exists as a positive number. The value of $\prod_{i \in I} r_i$ is then defined to be $\lim_F \prod_{i \in F} r_i$ as F runs over the finite subsets of I. It follows that $\prod_{i \in I} r_i$ converges if and only if $\sum_{i \in I} |1 - r_i| < \infty$.

THEOREM 3.4. *Suppose that the product state $\nu = \bigotimes_{i \in I} \nu_i$ exists on $\bigotimes_{i \in I}(\mathscr{A}_i, \mu_i)$. Let $\mu = \bigotimes_{i \in I} \mu_i$. Then $\prod_{i \in I} \rho(\mu_i, \nu_i)$ converges, and*

$$\rho(\mu, \nu) = \prod_{i \in I} \rho(\mu_i, \nu_i) .$$

Proof. Choose any $[\phi, x, y] \in Q(\mu, \nu)$ and let F be any finite subset of I. It is evident that $[\phi^F, x, y] \in Q(\mu^F, \nu^F)$ so that $\rho(\mu^F, \nu^F) \geqq |(x \mid y)|$. Taking the supremum over all elements of $Q(\mu, \nu)$ we obtain

$$\rho(\mu, \nu) \leqq \rho(\mu^F, \nu^F) . \tag{3.1}$$

It is obvious that $\mu^F = \bigotimes_{i \in F} \mu_i$ and $\nu^F = \bigotimes_{i \in F} \nu_i$ on $\bigotimes_{i \in F} \mathscr{A}_i$. So by

Theorem 2.4 which extends to any finite number of factors by an obvious induction and the associativety properties of tensor products, we obtain that

$$\rho(\mu^F, \nu^F) = \prod_{i \in F} \rho(\mu_i, \nu_i) .$$

Then from (3.1) we have

$$\rho(\mu, \nu) \leqq \inf \{\prod_{i \in F} \rho(\mu_i, \nu_i) : F \text{ a finite subset of } I\} . \tag{3.2}$$

Now by Lemma 3.2 choose $J \subset I$ with finite compliment such that $\rho(\mu^J, \nu^J) > 0$. By applying the above argument to the algebra $\bigotimes_{i \in J}(\mathscr{A}_i, \mu_i)$ we see from (3.2) that

$$0 < \inf \{\prod_{i \in F} \rho(\mu_i, \nu_i) : F \text{ a finite subset of } J\} . \tag{3.3}$$

Since the value of ρ is $\leqq 1$, (3.3) shows that $\prod_{i \in I} \rho(\mu_i, \nu_i)$ converges and (3.2) shows that

$$\rho(\mu, \nu) \leqq \prod_{i \in I} \rho(\mu_i, \nu_i) . \tag{3.4}$$

We now prove the other direction. Let k be any positive number <1 and choose a sequence (k_n) of positive numbers <1 such that $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n = k$. Let $I_0 = \{i \in I : d(\mu_i, \nu_i) > 0\}$. From the convergence of $\prod \rho(\mu_i, \nu_i)$ and formula (1.1) we see that I_0 is at most countable. Let γ be an injection from I_0 into the positive integers, and let $g(i) = k_{\gamma(i)}$ for $i \in I_0$, $g(i) = 1$ for $i \in I - I_0$. Then choose for each $i \in I$ an element $[\phi_i, x_i, y_i]$ of $Q(\mu_i, \nu_i)$ such that $|(x_i | y_i)| \geqq g(i)[\rho(\mu_i, \nu_i)]$. (This is certainly possible by the definition of ρ and the fact that $\mu_i = \nu_i$ for $i \in I - I_0$). By multiplying the vectors by suitable scalars of absolute value 1 we may assume

$$1 \geqq (x_i | y_i) \geqq g(i)[\rho(\mu_i, \nu_i)] . \tag{3.5}$$

Evidently $\prod_{i \in I} g(i)$ converges and its value is $\geqq \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n = k$. So from (3.5) $\prod_{i \in I} (x_i | y_i)$ converges and

$$\prod_{i \in I} (x_i | y_i) \geqq k \prod_{i \in I} \rho(\mu_i, \nu_i) . \tag{3.6}$$

We have then that $\sum_{i \in I} |1 - (x_i | y_i)| < \infty$ which shows that for the family of Hilbert spaces (H_i), where H_i is the underlying space of ϕ_i, (x_i) and (y_i) are equivalent C_0-sequences ([5], Definition 3.3.2). There exists therefore a vector in $\bigotimes_{i \in I}(H_i, x_i)$ of the form $\bigotimes y_i$ and this obviously induces the state ν. Using (3.6),

$$\rho(\mu, \nu) \geqq |(\bigotimes x_i | \bigotimes y_i)| = \prod (x_i | y_i) \geqq k \prod \rho(\mu_i, \nu_i) .$$

Since k was chosen arbitrarily we have that

$$\rho(\mu, \nu) \geqq \prod \rho(\mu_i, \nu_i)$$

which together with (3.4) completes the proof.

COROLLARY 3.5. *Let* $(\mathscr{A}_i)$ *be a family of* W^**-algebras and let* (μ_i) *and* $(\nu_i) \in \Lambda$. *Then the following conditions on* (μ_i) *and* (ν_i) *are equivalent*:

(a) $\sum_{i \in I} [d(\mu_i, \nu_i)]^2 < \infty$;

(b) $\bigotimes_{i \in I}(\mathscr{A}_i, \mu_i)$ *and* $\bigotimes_{i \in I}(\mathscr{A}_i, \nu_i)$ *are product isomorphic*;

(c) $\bigotimes_{i \in I}\nu_i$ *exists as a product state on* $\bigotimes_{i \in I}(\mathscr{A}_i, \mu_i)$.

Moreover if any of these conditions hold,

$$\rho(\mu, \nu) = \prod_{i \in I} \rho(\mu_i, \nu_i), \text{ a convergent product .}$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.4 and formula (1.1), (c) implies (a). It follows easily from the second part of the proof of Theorem 3.4 that (a) implies (b). This is also proved in ([1], Lemma 3.6). It is immediate that (c) implies (a) and the final statement is immediate from Theorem 3.4.

REFERENCES

1. D. Bures, *An extension of Kakutani's theorem on infinite product measures to the tensor product of semi-finite* W^**-algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **135** (1969), 199-212.

2. ———, *Tensor products of* W^**-algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **27** (1968), 13-37.

3. J. Dixmier, *Les Algebres d'Operateurs dans l'Espace Hilbertien*, second edition, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.

4. S. Kakutani, *On equivalence of infinite product measures*, Ann. of Math. **49** (1948), 214-226.

5. J. von Neumann, *On infinite direct products*, Composito Math. **6** (1938), 1-77.

Received May 19, 1970. The results in this paper constitute a portion of the author's doctoral thesis prepared under the direction of Professor Donald Bures at the University of British Columbia.

THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA AND YORK UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is $8.00; single issues, $3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: $4.00 per volume; single issues $1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Vol. 36, No. 2 December, 1971

George E. Andrews, *On a partition problem of H. L. Alder* 279
Thomas Craig Brown, *An interesting combinatorial method in the theory of locally finite semigroups* 285
Yuen-Kwok Chan, *A constructive proof of Sard's theorem* 291
Charles Vernon Coffman, *Spectral theory of monotone Hammerstein operators* 303
Edward Dewey Davis, *Regular sequences and minimal bases* 323
Israel (Yitzchak) Nathan Herstein and Lance W. Small, *Regular elements in P.I.-rings* 327
Marcel Herzog, *Intersections of nilpotent Hall subgroups* 331
W. N. Hudson, *Volterra transformations of the Wiener measure on the space of continuous functions of two variables* 335
J. H. V. Hunt, *An n-arc theorem for Peano spaces* 351
Arnold Joseph Insel, *A decomposition theorem for topological group extensions* 357
Caulton Lee Irwin, *Inverting operators for singular boundary value problems* 379
Abraham A. Klein, *Matrix rings of finite degree of nilpotency* 387
Wei-Eihn Kuan, *On the hyperplane section through a rational point of an algebraic variety* 393
John Hathway Lindsey, II, *On a six-dimensional projective representation of* $\mathrm{PSU}_4(3)$ 407
Jorge Martinez, *Approximation by archimedean lattice cones* 427
J. F. McClendon, *On stable fiber space obstructions* 439
Mitsuru Nakai and Leo Sario, *Behavior of Green lines at the Kuramochi boundary of a Riemann surface* 447
Donald Steven Passman, *Linear identities in group rings. I* 457
Donald Steven Passman, *Linear identities in group rings. II* 485
David S. Promislow, *The Kakutani theorem for tensor products of* W^**-algebras* 507
Richard Lewis Roth, *On the conjugating representation of a finite group* . . . 515
Bert Alan Taylor, *On weighted polynomial approximation of entire functions* 523
William Charles Waterhouse, *Divisor classes in pseudo Galois extensions* 541
Chi Song Wong, *Subadditive functions* 549
Ta-Sun Wu, *A note on the minimality of certain bitransformation groups* 553
Keith Yale, *Invariant subspaces and projective representations* 557