

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**DIFFERENTIAL SIMPLICITY AND COMPLETE INTEGRAL
CLOSURE**

YVES A. LEQUAIN

DIFFERENTIAL SIMPLICITY AND COMPLETE INTEGRAL CLOSURE

YVES LEQUAIN

Let R be an integral domain containing the rational numbers, and let R' denote the complete integral closure of R . It is shown that if R is differentially simple, then R need not be equal to R' , even when R is Noetherian, and then the relationship between R and R' is studied.

Let \mathcal{D} be any set of derivations of R . Seidenberg has shown that the conductor $C = \{x \in R \mid xR' \subset R\}$ is a \mathcal{D} -ideal of R , so that when R is \mathcal{D} -simple and $C \neq 0$, then $R = R'$. We investigate here the situation when $C = 0$.

The first observation that one must make is that it is no longer true that $R = R'$ when R is differentially simple, even when R is Noetherian. We show this in Example 2.2 where we construct a 1-dimensional local domain containing the rational numbers which is differentially simple but not integrally closed. This counterexamples a conjecture of Posner [4, p. 1421] and also answers affirmatively a question of Vasconcelos [6, p. 230].

Thus, it is not a redundant task to study the relationship between a differentially simple ring R and its complete integral closure. An important tool in this study is the technique of § 3 which associates to any prime ideal P of R containing no D -ideal a rank-1, discrete valuation ring centered on P ; by means of this, we show in Theorem 3.2 that over such a prime ideal P of R there lies a unique prime ideal of R' . When R is a Noetherian \mathcal{D} -simple ring with $\{P_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ as set of minimal prime ideals, Theorem 3.3 asserts that $R' = \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} \{R_\alpha \mid R_\alpha \text{ is the valuation ring associated with the minimal prime ideal } P_\alpha\}$; Corollary 3.5 asserts that R' is the largest \mathcal{D} -simple overring of R having a prime ideal lying over every minimal prime ideal of R .

1. Preliminaries. Our notation and terminology adhere to that of Zariski-Samuel [7] and [8]. Throughout the paper we use R to denote a commutative ring with 1, K to denote the total quotient ring of R , and A to denote an ideal of R ; A is proper if $A \neq R$. A derivation D of R is a map of R into R such that

$$D(a + b) = D(a) + D(b) \quad \text{and} \quad D(ab) = aD(b) + bD(a)$$

for all $a, b \in R$.

Such a derivation can be uniquely extended to K , and we shall

also denote the extended derivation by D . D is said to be regular on a subring S of K if $D(S) \subset S$. If \mathcal{D} is a family of derivations of R , A is called a \mathcal{D} -ideal if $D(A) \subset A$ for every $D \in \mathcal{D}$; when $\mathcal{D} = \{D\}$, we merely say D -ideal. If R has no \mathcal{D} -ideal different from (0) and (1), R is said to be \mathcal{D} -simple. We use $D^{(\circ)}(x)$ to denote x , and for $n \geq 1$ $D^{(n)}(x)$ to denote $D(D^{(n-1)}(x))$, i.e. the n^{th} derivative of x ; by induction one proves Leibnitz's rule:

$$D^{(n)}(ab) = \sum_{i=0}^n C_n^i D^{(n-i)}(a) D^{(i)}(b) .$$

We assume henceforth that \mathcal{D} is a family of derivations of R and that $D \in \mathcal{D}$. Let $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism onto; then

$$D'(\varphi(r)) = \varphi(D(r))$$

defines a derivation D' on S if and only if the kernel I of φ is a D -ideal. Suppose that I is a \mathcal{D} -ideal, and write \mathcal{D}' to denote the set of derivations of S thus induced by \mathcal{D} ; if A is a \mathcal{D} -ideal of R , then $\varphi(A)$ is a \mathcal{D}' -ideal of S , and conversely if B is a \mathcal{D}' -ideal of S , then $\varphi^{-1}(B)$ is a \mathcal{D} -ideal of R containing I . Thus, in particular, if A is a maximal proper \mathcal{D} -ideal of R , then R/A is \mathcal{D}' -simple.

LEMMA 1.1. *Let D be a derivation of R , M a multiplicative system of R , and $h: R \rightarrow R_M$ the canonical homomorphism. Then, we can define a derivation on R_M , which we also call D , by*

$$D(h(r)(h(m))^{-1}) = [h(m)h(D(r)) - h(r)h(D(m))](h(m^2))^{-1} .$$

Furthermore, if A is a D -ideal of R , then $h(A)R_M$ is a D -ideal of R_M , and if B is a D -ideal of R_M , then $h^{-1}(B)$ is a D -ideal of R .

Proof. $\ker h = \{x \in R \mid xm = 0 \text{ for some } m \in M\}$ is a D -ideal of R since $0 = D(xm) = xD(m) + mD(x) = xmD(m) + m^2D(x) = m^2D(x)$. Hence D induces a derivation on $R/\ker h$, a derivation which can be then extended to R_M . The remainder of the lemma is straightforward.

LEMMA 1.2. *Let \mathcal{D} be a family of derivations of R , and suppose that R contains the rational numbers. Then, the radical of a \mathcal{D} -ideal of R is a \mathcal{D} -ideal.*

Proof. See [2, Lemma 1.8, p. 12].

COROLLARY 1.3. *If P is a minimal prime divisor of a \mathcal{D} -ideal*

A , and P does not contain an integer $\neq 0$, then P is a \mathcal{D} -ideal.

Proof. Localize at P and apply 1.1 and 1.2.

THEOREM 1.4. *Let A be a maximal proper \mathcal{D} -ideal of R , then*

- (i) *A is primary.*
- (ii) *If R/A has characteristic $p \neq 0$, then \sqrt{A} is a maximal ideal.*
- (iii) *If R/A has characteristic 0, then A is prime.*

Proof. (i) Suppose $x, y \in R, x \notin A$ and $xy \in A$; then, $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (A : y^n) \supset A : y > A$. But $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (A : y^n)$ is a \mathcal{D} -ideal; hence, by the maximality of A , $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (A : y^n) = R$ and there exists n such that $y^n \in A$.

(ii) Let P be a maximal ideal of R containing A . Consider the ideal $B = (A, \{x^p \mid x \in P\}) \subset P$; since R/A has characteristic p , B is a \mathcal{D} -ideal; hence, by the maximality of A , $B = A$ and $P = \sqrt{A}$.

(iii) Since R/A has characteristic 0, A contains no integer other than 0, hence the prime ideal $P = \sqrt{A}$ contains no integer either, and by 1.3 P is a \mathcal{D} -ideal. Then, by the maximality of A , $P = A$.

COROLLARY 1.5. *Let R be of characteristic 0. Then R is \mathcal{D} -simple if R contains the rational numbers and has no prime \mathcal{D} -ideal different from (0) and (1). If R is \mathcal{D} -simple, then R is a domain.*

One should note that a \mathcal{D} -simple ring R always contains a field, namely $F = \{x \in R \mid D(x) = 0 \text{ for all } D \in \mathcal{D}\}$; moreover, if the characteristic of R is $p \neq 0$, 1.4 shows that R is a primary ring and hence is equal to its total quotient ring; so this case will not be of interest in our further considerations, and throughout the remainder of this section we shall be dealing with a \mathcal{D} -simple ring of characteristic 0, which is then a domain containing the rational numbers.

DEFINITION 1.6. *Let R be a domain with quotient field K . An element $x \in K$ is said to be quasi-integral over R if there exists an element $d \in R, d \neq 0$, such that $dx^n \in R$ for all $n \geq 1$. The set R' of all elements of K that are quasi-integral over R is a ring, called the complete integral closure of R . R is said to be completely integrally closed if $R = R'$. Note that if R is Noetherian, the concepts of integral dependence and quasi-integral dependence over R for elements of K become the same.*

LEMMA 1.7. *Let R be a domain with quotient field K, S a ring*

such that $R \subset S \subset K$, and \mathcal{D} a family of derivations of R regular on S . Then S is \mathcal{D} -simple if R is \mathcal{D} -simple.

Proof. If B is any \mathcal{D} -ideal of S , then $B \cap R$ is a \mathcal{D} -ideal of R , and if B is different from (0) then $B \cap R$ is also different from (0) since $S \subset K$.

THEOREM 1.8. *Let R be a domain of characteristic 0 and R' its complete integral closure. Then R' is \mathcal{D} -simple if R is \mathcal{D} -simple.*

Proof. By [5, p. 168], any $D \in \mathcal{D}$ is regular on R' , hence the theorem follows from 1.7.

2. Example of a 1-dimensional local ring which is D-simple but not integrally closed. First, in this section, we modify an idea of Akizuki in [1] to construct some 1-dimensional local ring R of arbitrary characteristic such that the integral closure \bar{R} is not a finite R -module.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let k be a field of arbitrary characteristic, Y an indeterminate over k , $\pi = a_1 Y + a_2 Y^3 + \dots + a_r Y^{2^r-1} + \dots$ an element of $k[[Y]]$ which is transcendental over $k[Y]^1$. Set*

$$\theta_1 = \pi Y^{-1}, \theta_r = (\theta_{r-1} - a_{r-1}) Y^{-2^{r-1}}$$

for $r \geq 2$ (alternatively $\theta_r = a_r + a_{r+1} Y^{2^r} + \dots + a_s Y^{2^s-2^r} + \dots$); for $r \geq 1$, set

$$t_r = (\theta_r - a_r)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_r = \pi - (a_1 Y + \dots + a_r Y^{2^r-1}).$$

Set also $T = k[Y, \pi, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_r, \dots]$ and $P = (Y, \pi)T$. Note that $T \subset k[[Y]]$ and that $P \subset Yk[[Y]]$. Then,

(i) For $r > 1$, $t_{r-1} = Y^{2^r}(a_r^2 + t_r) + 2a_r Y \pi_r$ and P is a maximal ideal of T .

(ii) For $r \geq 1$, $\pi_r^2 = Y^{2^{r+1}-2} \text{tr}$ and $k(Y, \pi)$ is the quotient field of T .

(iii) The ring $R = T_P$ is a 1-dimensional local domain.

(iv) The integral closure \bar{R} of R is not a finite R -module.

Proof. (i) For $r > 1$, we have

$$t_{r-1} = (\theta_{r-1} - a_{r-1})^2 = (Y^{2^{r-1}} \theta_r)^2 = Y^{2^r}(a_r^2 + t_r) + 2a_r Y^{2^r}(\theta_r - a_r).$$

But

$$Y^{2^r}(\theta_r - a_r) = Y[\pi - (a_1 Y + \dots + a_r Y^{2^r-1})] = Y\pi_r,$$

¹ Such an element exists; take for example $\pi = a_1 Y + a_2 Y^3 + \dots + a_r Y^{2^{r-1}-1} + \dots$ with $a_r \neq 0$ for every $r \geq 1$.

hence $t_{r-1} = Y^{2r}(a_r^2 + t_r) + 2a_r Y \pi_r$. Since furthermore $P \subset Yk[[Y]]$, $1 \notin P$, and P is a maximal ideal of T .

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_r &= \pi - (a_1 Y + \dots + a_r Y^{2r-1}) \\ &= Y^{2r-1}(a_{r+1} Y^{2r} + \dots + a_{r+1} Y^{2r+1-2r} + \dots) \\ &= Y^{2r-1}(\theta_r - a_r); \end{aligned}$$

thus $\pi_r^2 = Y^{2r+1-2} t_r$ and $k(Y, \pi)$ is the quotient field of T .

(iii) Let us show that Y belongs to every nonzero prime ideal of R . Since $k(Y, \pi)$ is the quotient field of R it suffices to show that $R[Y^{-1}] = k(Y, \pi)$. Let $\beta \in k[Y, \pi]$; then $\beta = \sum_{i=0}^n s_i \pi^i$ with $s_i \in k[Y]$. For any integer $r \geq 1$, set $f_r = \sum_{i=0}^n s_i (a_1 Y + \dots + a_r Y^{2r-1})^i$; then

$$f_{r+1} = \sum_{i=0}^n s_i (a_1 Y + \dots + a_r Y^{2r-1} + a_{r+1} Y^{2r+1-1})^i = f_r + Y^{2r+1-1} h_{r+1}$$

with $h_{r+1} \in k[Y]$, and since $2^{r+1} - 1 > r$, we have $f_r = b_0 + b_1 Y + \dots + b_r Y^r + Y^{r+1} g_r$ and

$$f_{r+1} = b_0 + b_1 Y + \dots + b_r Y^r + b_{r+1} Y^{r+1} + Y^{r+2} g_{r+1}$$

with $b_0, \dots, b_r, b_{r+1} \in k$ and $g_r, g_{r+1} \in k[Y]$. Now, since

$$\pi = \pi_r + (a_1 Y + \dots + a_r Y^{2r-1}), \quad \beta = \sum_{i=0}^n s_i \pi^i = \pi_r \delta_r + f_r$$

with $\delta_r \in T$. Hence, there exists $b_0, b_1, \dots, b_r, \dots \in k$, $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_r, \dots \in T$ and $g_1, \dots, g_r, \dots \in k[Y]$ such that

$$(*) \quad \beta = \sum_{j=0}^r b_j Y^j + \pi_r \delta_r + Y^{r+1} g_r.$$

Note that $\pi_r \in P$ and therefore that π_r is a nonunit in R .

If $b_0 \neq 0$, with $r = 1$, the relation (*) gives that $\beta = b_0 + (b_1 Y + \pi_1 \delta_1 + Y^2 g_1)$ is a unit in R and thus that $\beta^{-1} \in R \subset R[Y^{-1}]$.

If $b_0 = b_1 = \dots = b_{r-1} = 0$ and $b_r \neq 0$, the relation (*) gives $\beta = Y^r (b_r + Y g_r) + \pi_r \delta_r$ where $w_r = b_r + Y g_r$ is a unit in R ; then

$$\beta(Y^r w_r - \pi_r \delta_r) = Y^{2r} w_r^2 - \pi_r^2 \delta_r^2 = Y^{2r} (w_r^2 - Y^{2r+1-2r-2} t_r \delta_r^2)$$

where $w_r^2 - Y^{2r+1-2r-2} t_r \delta_r^2$ is a unit in R , so that $\beta^{-1} \in R[Y^{-1}]$.

If $b_r = 0$ for every $r \geq 0$, then by the relation (*) we have

$$\beta \in \bigcap_{r=1}^{\infty} (\pi_r, Y^{r+1}) T \subset \bigcap_{r=1}^{\infty} Y^{r+1} k[[Y]] = (0).$$

Thus, if $\beta \in k[Y, \pi]$, either $\beta^{-1} \in R[Y^{-1}]$ or $\beta = 0$. If $\eta \in k(Y, \pi)$, then $\eta = \nu \lambda^{-1}$ with $\nu, \lambda \in k[Y, \pi]$, $\lambda \neq 0$, so that $\eta \in R[Y^{-1}]$; hence $R[Y^{-1}] = k(Y, \pi)$.

Now,

$$\pi^2 = (Y\theta_1)^2 = [a_1Y + (\theta_1 - a_1)Y]^2 = (t_1 - a_1^2)Y^2 + 2a_1Y\pi$$

so that $Y^{-1} \in R[\pi^{-1}]$, $k(Y, \pi) = R[Y^{-1}] \subset R[\pi^{-1}]$, and π belongs also to every nonzero prime ideal of R . Thus $PR = (Y, \pi)R$, which is the unique maximal ideal of R and which is contained in every nonzero prime ideal of R , is the only nonzero prime ideal of R . As furthermore PR is finitely generated, R is a 1-dimensional local ring.

(iv) First, let us show that $\theta_1 = \pi Y^{-1} \notin T$. Suppose that $\theta_1 \in T = k[Y, \pi, t_1, \dots, t_r, \dots]$; then $\theta_1 = f(\pi, t_1, \dots, t_\ell)$ where f is a polynomial in $\ell + 1$ indeterminates over $k[Y]$. For $r < \ell$, by (i), t_r can be expressed as a linear combination of 1, t_ℓ and π with coefficients in $k[Y]$, hence $\theta_1 = f(\pi, t_1, \dots, t_\ell) = F(\pi, t_\ell) = F(Y\theta_1, (\theta_\ell - a_\ell)^2)$ where F is a polynomial in two indeterminates over $k[Y]$. Furthermore, by definition $\theta_{r-1} = Y^{2^{r-1}}\theta_r + a_{r-1}$, hence $\theta_1 = Y^{2^{\ell-2}}\theta_\ell + \beta_\ell$ with $\beta_\ell \in k[Y]$ and we have

$$(**) \quad Y^{2^{\ell-2}}\theta_\ell = G(Y^{2^{\ell-1}}\theta_\ell, (\theta_\ell - a_\ell)^2)$$

where G is a polynomial in two indeterminates over $k[Y]$; but π being transcendental over $k[Y]$, θ_ℓ is transcendental over $k[Y]$ also, and the relation $(**)$ has to be an identity, which is absurd. Thus, $\theta_1 \notin T$.

Now, let R^* be the completion of R with the (PR) -adic topology; $\{\pi_r\}_{r \geq 0}$ is a Cauchy sequence in R . Suppose that $\pi_r \in P^2R$ for some $r \geq 1$; since P^2 is a primary ideal of T , we have $\pi_r \in P^2R \cap T = P^2 \subset YT$, and $\pi = \pi_r + (a_1Y + \dots + a_rY^{2^{r-1}}) \in YT$ which is absurd since $\theta_1 \notin T$. Thus, for every $r \geq 0$, $\pi_r \notin P^2R$ and $\beta = \lim_r \pi_r$ is $\neq 0$. However, we also have $\beta^2 = \lim_r \pi_r^2 = \lim_r Y^{2^{r+1}-2}t_r = 0$; hence R^* has a nonzero nilpotent element and \bar{R} is not a finite R -module [1, p. 330].

EXAMPLE 2.2. Let Q be the rational numbers, (X_1, \dots, X_r, \dots) a set of indeterminates over Q and $k = Q(X_1, \dots, X_r, \dots)$. Let

$$\pi = b_1X_1Y + \dots + b_rX_rY^{2^{r-1}} + \dots$$

be transcendental over $k[Y]$ with $b_i \in Q - \{0\}$ for every $i \geq 1$. Construct the rings $T = k[Y, \pi, t_1, \dots, t_r, \dots]$ and $R = T_P$ as in 2.1. On the quotient field $k(Y, \pi) = Q(X_1, \dots, X_r, \dots; Y, \pi)$ define a derivation D by

$$\begin{aligned} D(q) &= 0 \quad \text{for every } q \in Q \\ D(Y) &= 1 \\ D(\pi) &= 3b_2X_2Y^2 + b_1X_1 \\ D(X_1) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

² There exists such a π since k is countable.

$$\begin{aligned}
D(X_2) &= -7b_3b_2^{-1}X_3Y^3 \\
&\vdots \\
D(X_i) &= -(2^{i+1} - 1)b_{i+1}b_i^{-1}X_{i+1}Y^{2^{i+1}-2^{i-1}} \\
&\vdots
\end{aligned}$$

Then,

- (i) D is regular on R
- (ii) R is a 1-dimensional local D -simple ring which is not integrally closed.

Proof. (i) Since $R = T_P$, it suffices to show that $D(T) \subset R$. By definition of D we already have $D(k) \subset R$, $D(Y) \in R$ and $D(\pi) \in R$; hence it remains to show that $D(t_r) \in R$ for every $r \geq 1$. Differentiating $\pi_r^2 = Y^{2^{r+1}-2}t_r$, we get $2\pi_r D(\pi_r) = Y^{2^{r+1}-2}D(t_r) + (2^{r+1} - 2)Y^{2^{r+1}-3}t_r$; but $t_r \in YR$ by 2.1, hence $D(t_r) \in R$ if and only if $\pi_r D(\pi_r) \in Y^{2^{r+1}-2}R$. Let us show that in fact we have $D(\pi_r) \in Y^{2^{r+1}-2}R$. From $\pi_1 = \pi - b_1X_1Y$ we get $D(\pi_1) = D(\pi) - b_1X_1 = 3b_2X_2Y^2$; by induction, if we suppose that $D(\pi_{r-1}) = (2^r - 1)b_rX_rY^{2^r-2}$ and if we differentiate the relation $\pi_r = \pi_{r-1} - b_rX_rY^{2^r-1}$, we get $D(\pi_r) = (2^{r+1} - 1)b_{r+1}X_{r+1}Y^{2^{r+1}-2} \in Y^{2^{r+1}-2}R$. Hence D is regular on R .

(ii) The only prime ideal of R which is not (0) or (1) is $PR = (Y, \pi)R$; it is not a D -ideal since $D(Y) = 1$; thus by 1.5, R is D -simple. Furthermore by 2.1. R is a 1-dimensional local, not integrally closed, domain.

3. On the complete integral closure of a \mathcal{D} -simple ring. We have seen in the preliminaries that a \mathcal{D} -simple ring of characteristic $p \neq 0$ is equal to its total quotient ring. In this section we are concerned with rings of characteristic 0. Henceforth, R will denote a ring containing the integers.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let R be a ring, D a derivation on R , P a prime ideal of R containing no D -ideal other than (0) . Define $v: R \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \{\text{nonnegative integers}\}$ by $v(x) = n$ if $D^{(i)}(x) \in P$ for $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ and $D^{(n)}(x) \notin P$. Then,*

- (i) R is domain.
- (ii) v is rank-1-discrete valuation whose valuation ring R_v contains R and whose maximal ideal M_v lies over P .
- (iii) D is regular on R_v and R_v is D -simple.

Proof. (i) If n is any integer, $D(n) = 0$ and nR is a D -ideal of R ; hence 0 is the only integer contained in P . Now, (0) is a D -ideal, hence by 1.3 any minimal prime divisor Q of (0) is a D -ideal also; then, by the hypothesis made on P , we have $(0) = Q$ and R is a domain.

(ii) Let x and y be two nonzero elements of R , and let $v(x) = n$, $v(y) = m$, $n \leq m$. For every i such that $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, both $D^{(i)}(x)$ and $D^{(i)}(y)$ belong to P , hence $D^{(i)}(x+y) \in P$ and

$$v(x+y) \geq n = \inf \{v(x), v(y)\}.$$

Let k be such that $0 \leq k \leq n+m-1$. For $0 \leq i \leq \inf \{k, n-1\}$ we have $D^{(i)}(x) \in P$, hence also $C_k^i D^{(i)}(x) D^{(k-i)}(y) \in P$; for $n \leq k$ and $n \leq i \leq k$ we have $0 \leq k-i \leq k-n \leq m-1$, hence $D^{(k-i)}(y) \in P$ and $C_k^i D^{(i)}(x) D^{(k-i)}(y) \in P$; thus

$$D^{(k)}(xy) = \sum_{i=0}^k C_k^i D^{(i)}(x) D^{(k-i)}(y) \in P.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} D^{(n+m)}(xy) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n+m} C_{n+m}^i D^{(i)}(x) D^{(n+m-i)}(y); \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} C_{n+m}^i D^{(i)}(x) D^{(n+m-i)}(y) \\ &+ \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+m} C_{n+m}^i D^{(i)}(x) D^{(n+m-i)}(y) \in P \end{aligned}$$

whereas $C_{n+m}^n D^{(n)}(x) D^{(m)}(y) \notin P$ since $C_{n+m}^n, D^{(n)}(x), D^{(m)}(y) \notin P$; thus

$$D^{(n+m)}(xy) \notin P, \quad v(xy) = n+m = v(x) + v(y)$$

and v is a valuation, rank-1-discrete since its value group is the group of integers. Furthermore, we obviously have $R \subset R_v$ and $M_v \cap R = P$.

(iii) Let ab^{-1} be any element of R_v with $a, b \in R, b \neq 0, v(a) \geq v(b)$; then $D(ab^{-1}) = [bD(a) - aD(b)]b^{-2}$. If $v(a) > v(b)$, then $v(D(a)) = v(a) - 1 \geq v(b)$ and $v(D(b)) \geq v(b) - 1$ so that

$$v(bD(a) - aD(b)) \geq \inf \{v(b) + v(D(a)), v(a) + v(D(b))\} \geq 2v(b)$$

and $D(ab^{-1}) \in R_v$. If $v(a) = v(b) = 0$, then $v(bD(a) - aD(b)) \geq 0 = 2v(b)$ and $D(ab^{-1}) \in R_v$. If $v(a) = v(b) = n > 0$, then $v(bD(a)) = v(aD(b)) = 2n-1$, so that $D^{(k)}(bD(a) - aD(b)) \in P$ for every $k \leq 2n-2$; furthermore we have

$$D^{(2n-1)}(bD(a)) = \sum_{i=0}^{2n-1} C_{2n-1}^i D^{(i)}(b) D^{(2n-1-i)}(a) = \alpha_1 + C_{2n-1}^n D^{(n)}(b) D^{(n)}(a)$$

with $\alpha_1 \in P$, and similarly $D^{(2n-1)}(aD(b)) = \alpha_2 + C_{2n-1}^n D^{(n)}(a) D^{(n)}(b)$ with $\alpha_2 \in P$, so that $D^{(2n-1)}(bD(a) - aD(b)) = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \in P$; hence, $v(bD(a) - aD(b)) \geq 2n$ and $D(ab^{-1}) \in R_v$. Thus D is regular on R_v . Moreover, R_v is D -simple since if $A \neq (0)$ were a D -ideal of R_v , then $A \cap R \neq (0)$ would be a D -ideal of R contained in P , which would be absurd.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let R be a domain with quotient field K , S a ring such that $R \subset S \subset K$ and D a derivation of R regular on S .*

Let P be a prime ideal of R such that R_P is D -simple. Then,

(i) There is at most one prime ideal Q of S lying over P , Q being a minimal prime ideal when P is.

(ii) If S is the complete integral closure R' of R there is exactly one prime ideal P' of R' lying over P .

Proof. (i) Let Q be a prime ideal of S such that $Q \cap R = P$. Being regular on S , D is also regular on S_Q , and S_Q is D -simple since $S_Q \supset R_P$. Define $v: R \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \{\text{nonnegative integers}\}$ by $v(x) = n$ if

$$D^{(0)}(x), \dots, D^{(n-1)}(x) \in P \quad \text{and} \quad D^{(n)}(x) \notin P,$$

and $w: S \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \{\text{nonnegative integers}\}$ by

$$w(y) = m \quad \text{if} \quad D^{(0)}(y), \dots, D^{(m-1)}(y) \in Q$$

and $D^{(m)}(y) \notin Q$. By 3.1, v and w extend to valuations of K ; furthermore, for $x \in R$ we have $D^{(k)}(x) \in P$ if and only if $D^{(k)}(x) \in Q$ since $Q \cap R = P$; hence $v = w$, and $Q = M_v \cap S$ where M_v is the maximal ideal of the valuation ring R_v of v .

If P is a minimal prime ideal of R , suppose that Q' is a prime ideal of S such that $0 < Q' \subset Q$. We have $0 < Q' \cap R \subset Q \cap R = P$ and $Q' \cap R = P$ by the minimality of P ; then $Q' = Q$ since Q is the only prime ideal of S lying over P .

(ii) By [5, p. 168] every derivation of R is regular on R' . Being a rank-1 valuation ring, R_v is completely integrally closed and contains R' . Then, $P' = M_v \cap R'$ is a prime ideal of R' lying over P ; of course, by (i), P' is unique.

THEOREM 3.3. Let R be a Noetherian \mathcal{D} -simple ring and \bar{R} its integral closure. Let $\{P_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be the set all the minimal prime ideals of R . Then,

(i) For every $\alpha \in A$, there exists $D \in \mathcal{D}$ such that R_{P_α} is D -simple, and there exists a unique prime ideal \bar{P}_α of \bar{R} lying over P_α .

(ii) $\{\bar{P}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is the set of all the minimal prime ideals of \bar{R} .

(iii) Let $D \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $D(P_\alpha) \not\subset P_\alpha$, w_α the valuation associated by 3.1, and R_α its valuation ring. Then $R_\alpha = \bar{R}_{\bar{P}_\alpha}$ (hence, any two derivations D and D' such that $D(P_\alpha) \not\subset P_\alpha$ and $D'(P_\alpha) \not\subset P_\alpha$ give rise to the same valuation w_α).

(iv) $\bar{R} = \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} R_\alpha$.

Proof. (i) Being \mathcal{D} -simple, R is a domain containing the rational numbers, and for any $\alpha \in A$, there exists $D \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $D(P_\alpha) \not\subset P_\alpha$, and by 1.3, R_{P_α} is D -simple. Then, by 3.2, there exists a unique prime ideal \bar{P}_α of \bar{R} lying over P_α .

(ii) That every P_α is a minimal prime ideal of \bar{R} is given by 3.2. Now, let \bar{P} be a minimal prime ideal of \bar{R} , and let $P = \bar{P} \cap R$; let M be a minimal prime ideal of R contained in P ; by [3, (10.8), p. 30] there exists a prime ideal \bar{M} of \bar{R} lying over M ; since \bar{P} is the only prime ideal of \bar{R} lying over P , we have $\bar{M} \subset \bar{P}$ by [3, (10.9), p. 30], hence $\bar{M} = \bar{P}$, and $P = \bar{P} \cap R = M$ is a minimal prime ideal of R .

(iii) Since R is Noetherian, \bar{R} is a Krull ring [3, (33.10), p. 118], and $\bar{R}_{\bar{P}_\alpha}$ is a rank-1-discrete valuation ring. As furthermore $\bar{R}_{\bar{P}_\alpha} \subset R_\alpha$ we get $\bar{R}_{\bar{P}_\alpha} = R_\alpha$.

(iv) \bar{R} is a Krull ring and $\{\bar{P}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is the set of all the minimal prime ideals of \bar{R} ; thus $\bar{R} = \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} \bar{R}_{\bar{P}_\alpha} = \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} R_\alpha$.

COROLLARY 3.4. *Let R be a Noetherian \mathcal{D} -simple ring with quotient field K . Let S be a ring such that $R \subset S \subset K$ and such that every $D \in \mathcal{D}$ is regular on S . Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *For every minimal prime ideal P of R there exists a (unique) prime ideal Q of S lying over P .*
- (ii) *S is integral over R .*
- (iii) *For every prime ideal M of R there exists a (unique) prime ideal N of S lying over M .*

Proof. That (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) is a consequence of [3, (10.7), p. 30] and 3.2; that (iii) \Rightarrow (i) is obvious. Now, let $\{P_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be the set of the minimal prime ideals of R , $\{w_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ the associated valuations and $\{R_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ the valuation rings of the w_α 's. For any $\alpha \in A$, let $D \in \mathcal{D}$ be such that $D(P_\alpha) \not\subset P_\alpha$, and let Q_α be a prime ideal of S lying over P_α ; S_{Q_α} is D -simple, the valuation associated to Q_α is equal to w_α and $S \subset R_\alpha$. Hence, $S \subset \bar{R} = \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} R_\alpha$.

COROLLARY 3.5. *Let R be a Noetherian \mathcal{D} -simple ring with quotient field K , and \bar{R} its integral closure. Then,*

- (i) *\bar{R} is the largest \mathcal{D} -simple overring of R in K having a prime ideal lying over every prime ideal of R .*
- (ii) *\bar{R} is the largest \mathcal{D} -simple overring of R in K having a prime ideal lying over every minimal prime ideal of R .*

Proof. Apply 3.4.

The author wishes to acknowledge the many helpful discussions on the topics of this paper he had with Professor Ohm.

REFERENCES

1. Y. Akizuki, *Einige Bemerkungen über primäre Integritätsbereiche mit Teilerketten-
tensatz*, Proc. Physico-Math Soc. Japan **17** (1935), 327-336.
2. I. Kaplansky, *An Introduction to Differential Algebra*, Actualités Scientifiques et
industrielles, Herman, 1957.
3. M. Nagata, *Local Rings*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1962.
4. E. C. Posner, *Integral closure of rings of solutions of linear differential equations*,
Pacific J. Math. **12** (1962), 1417-1422.
5. A. Seidenberg, *Derivations and integral closure*, Pacific J. Math. **16** (1966), 167-173.
6. W. V. Vasconcelos, *Derivations of commutative Noetherian rings*, Math. Zeit **112**
(1969), 229-233.
7. O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative Algebra*, V. 1, Van Nostrand Co., Princeton,
1958.
8. ———, *Commutative Algebra*, V. 2, Van Nostrand Co., Princeton, 1960.

Received February 9, 1970. This paper is part of the author's doctoral thesis writ-
ten under the direction of Professor Jack Ohm at Louisiana State University.

LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY
BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA
AND
INSTITUTE DE MATEMATICA PURA AND APLICADA
RUA LUIS DE CAMÕES 68
RIO DE JANEIRO 58, GB, BRAZIL

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University

Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics

University of Southern California

Los Angeles, California 90007

C. R. HOBBY

University of Washington

Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS

University of California

Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLE

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA

NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

* * *

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION

NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 36, No. 3

BadMonth, 1971

E. M. Alfsen and B. Hirsberg, <i>On dominated extensions in linear subspaces of $\mathcal{C}_C(X)$</i>	567
Joby Milo Anthony, <i>Topologies for quotient fields of commutative integral domains</i>	585
V. Balakrishnan, G. Sankaranarayanan and C. Suyambulingom, <i>Ordered cycle lengths in a random permutation</i>	603
Victor Allen Belfi, <i>Nontangential homotopy equivalences</i>	615
Jane Maxwell Day, <i>Compact semigroups with square roots</i>	623
Norman Henry Eggert, Jr., <i>Quasi regular groups of finite commutative nilpotent algebras</i>	631
Paul Erdős and Ernst Gabor Straus, <i>Some number theoretic results</i>	635
George Rudolph Gordh, Jr., <i>Monotone decompositions of irreducible Hausdorff continua</i>	647
Darald Joe Hartfiel, <i>The matrix equation $AXB = X$</i>	659
James Howard Hedlund, <i>Expansive automorphisms of Banach spaces. II</i>	671
I. Martin (Irving) Isaacs, <i>The p-parts of character degrees in p-solvable groups</i>	677
Donald Glen Johnson, <i>Rings of quotients of Φ-algebras</i>	693
Norman Lloyd Johnson, <i>Transition planes constructed from semifield planes</i>	701
Anne Bramble Searle Koehler, <i>Quasi-projective and quasi-injective modules</i>	713
James J. Kuzmanovich, <i>Completions of Dedekind prime rings as second endomorphism rings</i>	721
B. T. Y. Kwee, <i>On generalized translated quasi-Cesàro summability</i>	731
Yves A. Lequain, <i>Differential simplicity and complete integral closure</i>	741
Mordechai Lewin, <i>On nonnegative matrices</i>	753
Kevin Mor McCrimmon, <i>Speciality of quadratic Jordan algebras</i>	761
Hussain Sayid Nur, <i>Singular perturbations of differential equations in abstract spaces</i>	775
D. K. Oates, <i>A non-compact Krein-Milman theorem</i>	781
Lavon Barry Page, <i>Operators that commute with a unilateral shift on an invariant subspace</i>	787
Helga Schirmer, <i>Properties of fixed point sets on dendrites</i>	795
Saharon Shelah, <i>On the number of non-almost isomorphic models of T in a power</i>	811
Robert Moffatt Stephenson Jr., <i>Minimal first countable Hausdorff spaces</i>	819
Masamichi Takesaki, <i>The quotient algebra of a finite von Neumann algebra</i>	827
Benjamin Baxter Wells, Jr., <i>Interpolation in $C(\Omega)$</i>	833