

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**OPERATORS THAT COMMUTE WITH A UNILATERAL SHIFT
ON AN INVARIANT SUBSPACE**

LAVON BARRY PAGE

OPERATORS THAT COMMUTE WITH A UNILATERAL SHIFT ON AN INVARIANT SUBSPACE

LAVON B. PAGE

A co-isometry on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is a bounded operator having an isometric adjoint. If V is a co-isometry on \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{M} is an invariant subspace for V , then every bounded operator on \mathcal{M} that commutes with V on \mathcal{M} can be extended to an operator on \mathcal{H} that commutes with V , and the extension can be made without increasing the norm of the operator. This paper is concerned with unilateral shifts. The questions asked are these: (1) Do shifts enjoy the above property shared by co-isometries and self-adjoint operators? (The answer to this question is "rarely".) (2) Why not? (3) If S is a shift, \mathcal{M} is an invariant subspace for S , S_0 is the restriction of S to \mathcal{M} , and T is a bounded operator on \mathcal{M} satisfying $TS_0 = S_0T$, how tame do T and \mathcal{M} have to be in order that T can be extended (without increasing the norm) to an operator in the commutant of S ? Extension is possible in a large number of cases.

The result mentioned above for co-isometries is due to Sz.-Nagy and Foias [8]. (An excellent exposition on the problem is found in [3]; see Theorem 4 in particular.) For self-adjoint operators the statement is trivial for the simple reason that every invariant subspace is then reducing and any commuting operator on a subspace can be extended by simply requiring it to be zero on the orthogonal complement of the subspace.

Recall that a unilateral shift S is an isometry having the property that $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} S^n \mathcal{H} = \{0\}$. The Hilbert space dimension of the subspace $(S\mathcal{H})^{\perp}$ is called the multiplicity of S . Within the class of partial isometries on \mathcal{H} the unilateral shifts are in a sense as far removed as possible from the co-isometries and the self-adjoint partial isometries. For shifts have no self-adjoint part, and far from being co-isometric if S is a shift S^{*n} goes strongly to zero. (These and other simple properties of shifts may be deduced from problem 118 and the surrounding material in Halmos [5].)

II. We begin with a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} (not necessarily separable) and a unilateral shift S on \mathcal{H} . It is well known that shifts decompose the underlying Hilbert space in the following way:

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} S^n \mathcal{C} \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{C} = (S\mathcal{H})^{\perp},$$

(See for example Halmos [5], problem 118).

We also fix an invariant subspace \mathcal{M} of S . By S_0 we denote the restriction of S to \mathcal{M} , $S_0 = S|_{\mathcal{M}}$. The commutant of S is the algebra of bounded operators on \mathcal{H} which commute with S and is denoted by \mathcal{A}_S .

The invariant subspaces of S are known to the following extent. Every invariant subspace of S is the range of a partial isometry in \mathcal{A}_S whose initial space reduces S . (This well known result appears in many forms. The particular form cited here appears in [7], see proof of Theorem 1.) Particularly when a function space model is used these operators are often referred to as inner functions or rigid functions.

Finally we will fix a bounded operator T on \mathcal{M} which commutes with S_0 . As indicated earlier the problem being considered is that of extending T to an operator on \mathcal{H} lying in \mathcal{A}_S and having norm equal to $\|T\|$.

THEOREM 2.1. *If S is the simple shift, i.e., if $\dim \mathcal{E} = 1$, then T has an extension in \mathcal{A}_S whose norm is equal to $\|T\|$.*

Proof. This theorem will follow from a later result. (See Remark 2.4 below.) The simple shift can be represented as the usual shift on the Hardy space H^2 of complex valued functions on the unit circle (Helson [6], chapter 1). It is instructive to sketch a proof in this setting where $\mathcal{M} = BH^2$ with B an inner function in H^2 . Also $B \in \mathcal{M}$, and $T: B \rightarrow Bg$ for some g in H^2 . The fact that $TS_0 = S_0T$ allows one to argue that $T: Bf \rightarrow Bfg$ for all $f \in H^\infty$, and finally using standard techniques one shows that $g \in H^\infty$, that T is multiplication by g on \mathcal{M} , and hence that T has an obvious extension to an operator on H^2 which commutes with S . The extension does not increase the norm.

EXAMPLE 2.2. *T does not necessarily have a bounded extension which commutes with S if S is a shift of multiplicity two, i.e., if $\dim \mathcal{E} = 2$.*

Proof. Here we let $\mathcal{H} = H^2 \oplus H^2$. Vectors in \mathcal{H} will be written as ordered pairs (f, g) . Let χ be the identity function on the unit circle, $\chi(e^{it}) = e^{it}$, and then the shift S of multiplicity two on \mathcal{H} is $S: (f, g) \rightarrow (\chi f, \chi g)$.

Let \mathcal{M} be the subspace of \mathcal{H} consisting of all vectors of the form $(f, \chi g)$ where $f, g \in H^2$. Clearly $S\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. Define T on \mathcal{M} by $T: (f, g) \rightarrow (\bar{\chi}g, 0)$, the bar denoting complex conjugate. It is trivial

to verify that T is a bounded operator mapping \mathcal{M} into \mathcal{M} , and that $TS = ST$ on \mathcal{M} . But it is equally easy to see that T can have no extension in \mathcal{A}_S . For if T' is an extension of T to \mathcal{H} , then we must have $T'S: (0, 1) \rightarrow (1, 0)$, whereas everything in the range of ST' must be orthogonal to $(1, 0)$.

It becomes apparent in the discussion which follows that the reason we obtain different answers in the case of the simple shift as opposed to nonsimple shifts is that the simple shift is the only shift having an abelian commutant. Recall that $\mathcal{M} = B\mathcal{H}$ where B is a partial isometry in \mathcal{A}_S and $B^*\mathcal{H}$ reduces S . Let A_T be the operator on \mathcal{H} defined by

$$A_T = B^*TB.$$

Since BB^* is the orthogonal projection onto \mathcal{M} we have

$$BB^*TBS = TBS = STB = SBB^*TB = BSB^*TB,$$

or $BA_TS = BSA_T$. Now the range of A_T is contained in the range of B^* which is a reducing subspace for S . Since B is isometric on the range of B^* we can infer from the last equation that $A_TS = SA_T$. Thus A_T satisfies the three conditions

- (i) $A_T \in \mathcal{A}_S$
- (ii) $TB = BA_T$
- (iii) $\|A_T\| \leq \|T\|$.

Clearly an operator A in \mathcal{A}_S is an extension of T if and only if $AB = TB$. Thus it follows that T has an extension in \mathcal{A}_S if and only if there exists an operator $A \in \mathcal{A}_S$ such that $AB = BA_T$, i.e., the problem is now one of solving the operator equation $AB = BA_T$ for $A \in \mathcal{A}_S$. (B and A_T are already in \mathcal{A}_S .)

A *hypercentinvariant* subspace for S is a subspace which is invariant under every operator which commutes with S .

PROPOSITION 2.3. *If \mathcal{M} is a hyperinvariant subspace of S , then T has an extension in \mathcal{A}_S whose norm is $\|T\|$.*

Proof. The fact that \mathcal{M} is hyperinvariant guarantees that B can be chosen so as to have the additional property that B commutes with every operator in \mathcal{A}_S . (Douglas and Pearey [2], Theorem 5). Thus $A_TB = BA_T$, and T possesses the desired extension by the remarks above.

REMARK 2.4. Since every invariant subspace for the simple shift is hyperinvariant, the above proposition contains Theorem 2.1.

There is a relationship between T having an extension in \mathcal{A}_s and a factorization of a familiar type. From the definition of A_T it is clear that $\text{range } A_T^* \subseteq \text{range } B^*$. Thus by a standard factorization result (Douglas [1]) there exists a bounded operator D on \mathcal{H} such that $A_T = DB$.

PROPOSITION 2.5. *If $A_T = DB$ where $D \in \mathcal{A}_s$, then T has an extension in \mathcal{A}_s .*

Proof. Suppose $D \in \mathcal{A}_s$ and $A_T = DB$. Then $BA_T = BDB$. Setting $A = BD$ it follows from the remarks made preceding Proposition 2.3 that T has an extension in \mathcal{A}_s .

III. In order that an operator A on \mathcal{H} commute with the shift S it is necessary that every subspace $S^n \mathcal{H}$ ($n \geq 0$) be invariant under A . The proposition below is a slight generalization of this statement. For $n \geq 0$, let $P_n = I - S^n S^{*n}$, the orthogonal projection onto the orthogonal complement of $S^n \mathcal{H}$.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *If $A \in \mathcal{A}_s$, then there is a constant α such that $\|P_n A f\| \leq \alpha \|P_n f\|$ for every $n \geq 0$ and every $f \in \mathcal{H}$. In fact α can be chosen to be $\|A\|$.*

Proof. If $n \geq 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}$ write $f = S^n g + h$ where $g = S^{*n} f$ and $h = P_n f$. Then since $S^{*n} S^n = I$ and $P_n A^* = P_n A^* P_n$, $\|P_n A f\| = \|P_n A h\| \leq \|A\| \|h\| = \|A\| \|P_n f\|$.

With T defined initially on \mathcal{M} Proposition 3.1 indicates that it is fruitless to look for an extension of T in \mathcal{A}_s unless T initially satisfies a similar condition on \mathcal{M} . Henceforth we assume that there exists a constant α such that

$$(*) \quad \|P_n T f\| \leq \alpha \|P_n f\|$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{M}$ and $n \geq 0$.

It is easy now to see that in Example 2.2 T could have no extension in \mathcal{A}_s because condition (*) is not satisfied. If in that example we take $f = (0, \chi)$, then $\|P_n f\| = 0$ but $\|P_n T f\| = 1$ when $n = 1$.

Whether condition (*) is sufficient to guarantee that T has an extension in \mathcal{A}_s we have been unable to determine (see Remark 3.6). We have been able to show, Example 3.5 below, that such an extension cannot always be made without increasing the norm.

The next theorem indicates the existence of a certain subspace

\mathcal{W} between \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{H} and also invariant under S to which T , if T satisfies condition (*), can always be extended without increasing the norm and so as to commute with S . Two corollaries indicate that frequently \mathcal{W} is all of \mathcal{H} .

If $f \in \mathcal{H}$, let $\rho(f, \mathcal{M}) = \inf \{\|f - g\| : g \in \mathcal{M}\}$.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let \mathcal{W} be the set of all $f \in \mathcal{H}$ such that*

$$\rho(S^n f, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then \mathcal{W} is a (closed) subspace of \mathcal{H} which is invariant under S , and if T satisfies condition () on \mathcal{M} then T has an extension to an operator T' on \mathcal{W} satisfying $T'S = ST'$ on \mathcal{W} and $\|T'\| = \|T\|$.*

Proof. It is easy to verify that \mathcal{W} is a linear manifold and that $S\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$. To see that \mathcal{W} is closed, suppose that f is in the closure of \mathcal{W} . Then for $g \in \mathcal{W}$,

$$\rho(S^n f, \mathcal{M}) \leq \|S^n f - S^n g\| + \rho(S^n g, \mathcal{M}).$$

By choosing g sufficiently near to f and n sufficiently large, the two terms on the right can be made as small as desired.

We next describe the manner in which T extends to \mathcal{W} . Suppose f is in \mathcal{W} . Let $\{g_n\}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{M} such that $\lim \|S^n f - g_n\| = 0$, and set $h_n = S^n f - g_n$. Now if $m \geq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|S^{*n} T g_n - S^{*m} T g_m\| &= \|S^{*m} T S^{m-n} g_n - S^{*m} T g_m\| \\ &\leq \|T\| \|S^{m-n} g_n - g_m\| = \|T\| \|S^{m-n} h_n - h_m\| \\ &\leq \|T\| (\|h_n\| + \|h_m\|), \end{aligned}$$

and the last expression goes to zero as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. Thus we have shown that the sequence $\{S^{*n} T g_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. To extend T to \mathcal{W} , if $f \in \mathcal{W}$ we select a sequence $\{g_n\}$ in \mathcal{M} such that

$$\|S^n f - g_n\| \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and set $T'f = \lim S^{*n} T g_n$. In light of the earlier remarks in this paragraph it is easy to see that the way in which $T'f$ is defined here is independent of the sequence $\{g_n\}$ chosen and coincides with the original operator T in case $f \in \mathcal{M}$. It is also clear that the extension does not increase the norm.

To see that $T'\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$, we assume $f \in \mathcal{W}$. Let $\{g_n\}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{M} such that $\|S^n f - g_n\| \rightarrow 0$. Now making use of the fact that T satisfies condition (*) we have $\|P_n T g_n\| \leq \alpha \|P_n g_n\|$, and the right-hand side here goes to zero. Furthermore,

$$\rho(S^n T'f, \mathcal{M}) \leq \|S^n T'f - S^n S^{*n} Tg_n\| + \rho(S^n S^{*n} Tg_n, \mathcal{M}).$$

The first term on the right goes to zero by the definition of $T'f$, and the second goes to zero because $Tg_n \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\|P_n Tg_n\| \rightarrow 0$. Thus $T'f \in \mathcal{W}$.

Finally we show that $T'S = ST'$ on \mathcal{W} . If $f \in \mathcal{W}$, let $\{g_n\}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{M} such that $\|S^n f - g_n\| \rightarrow 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|T'Sf - ST'f\| &\leq \limsup \|S^{*n} T S g_n - S S^{*n} T g_n\| \\ &= \limsup \|S^{*(n-1)} T g_n - S S^{*n} T g_n\| \\ &\leq \limsup \|S^{(n-1)} S^{*(n-1)} T g_n - T g_n\| + \limsup \|T g_n - S^n S^{*n} T g_n\| \\ &\leq \alpha \limsup \|P_{n-1} g_n\| + \alpha \limsup \|P_n g_n\| = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Frequently the subspace \mathcal{W} of Theorem 3.2 will be all of \mathcal{H} . The two corollaries below give examples of this occurrence.

COROLLARY 3.3. *If $\dim \mathcal{M}^\perp < \infty$, and if T satisfies (*), then T has an extension in \mathcal{A}_S whose norm is $\|T\|$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{W} be the subspace of Theorem 3.2. Assume that x is an eigenvector for the operator on \mathcal{W}^\perp obtained by compressing S to \mathcal{W}^\perp , the operator $(I - P)S|_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}$ where P is the orthogonal projection of \mathcal{H} onto \mathcal{W} . Let λ be the corresponding eigenvalue, so $|\lambda| \leq 1$ and $Sx = y + \lambda x$ where $y = PSx$.

Then $S^2x = Sy + \lambda Sx = (Sy + \lambda y) + \lambda^2 x$. In general

$$S^n x = y_n + \lambda^n x$$

where $y_n \in \mathcal{W}$. Now if $|\lambda| = 1$ then $\|Sx\|^2 = \|y\|^2 + \|x\|^2$, implying that $y = 0$ since S is a contraction. But this would imply that λ is an eigenvalue of S , and since S is a shift S has no eigenvalues.

Thus $|\lambda| < 1$, and $\lambda^n x \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, implying that $x \in \mathcal{W}$. This too is a contradiction and we have shown that in fact $(I - P)S|_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}$ can have no eigenvalues and hence since \mathcal{W}^\perp is finite dimensional we must have $\dim \mathcal{W}^\perp = 0$. The proof is now complete in light of Theorem 3.2.

There is a special type of invariant subspace for nonsimple shifts which is encountered frequently in the literature. Such subspaces are the ones which, in the Hardy space model (Helson [6], chapter 6), correspond to operator valued analytic functions on the unit disk assuming unitary values on the boundary. For a general invariant subspace the corresponding rigid function (see Halmos, [4]) can be required only to assume partially isometric values.

There is an equivalent abstract formulation of the condition that an invariant subspace correspond to a unitary valued function. First of all it is evident that the minimal unitary extension of a unilateral

shift is a bilateral shift of the same multiplicity. If we continue to let S and \mathcal{H} denote respectively a unilateral shift and the space on which it acts and now let U and \mathcal{K} denote respectively the minimal unitary extension of S and the space \mathcal{K} on which U acts, then for each subspace \mathcal{M} of \mathcal{H} invariant under S it is clear that \mathcal{M} is invariant under U as well. It can be shown without great difficulty that in the Hardy space model \mathcal{M} corresponds to a unitary function if and only if the smallest reducing subspace for U containing \mathcal{M} is \mathcal{K} itself.

COROLLARY 3.4. *If the smallest reducing subspace for U which contains \mathcal{M} is \mathcal{K} (where U and \mathcal{K} are as in the preceeding paragraph) then every operator T on \mathcal{M} satisfying (*) has an extension in \mathcal{A}_S whose norm is $\|T\|$.*

Proof. Recall that $\mathcal{M} = B\mathcal{H}$ where B is a partial isometry in \mathcal{A}_S . From the folklore of the field we know that B has a unique extension to an operator on \mathcal{K} , call it B' , which commutes with U . (This also can be deduced from the lifting theorem of Sz-Nagy and Foias, Theorem 4 of [3].) Now the range of B' reduces U and contains \mathcal{M} . Hence by assumption $B'\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}$.

Let $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Since the subspaces $U^{*n}\mathcal{H}$, $n \geq 0$, span \mathcal{K} , for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an integer $n \geq 0$ and a $g \in U^{*n}\mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\|B'g - f\| < \varepsilon.$$

We have $U^n B'g = B'U^n g \in B\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{M}$, and $\|S^n f - U^n B'g\| < \varepsilon$. Thus we have shown that $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{H}$ in Theorem 3.2 and therefore that T has the desired extension.

Our final task will be to show that in general condition (*) on T and \mathcal{M} is not sufficient to guarantee an extension in \mathcal{A}_S with norm equal to $\|T\|$. Because the condition is sufficient in the rather inclusive instances already considered, it is not surprising that some care must be exercised in constructing the following example.

EXAMPLE 3.5. We take S to be a shift of multiplicity 7 on \mathcal{H} . Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^7$ be an orthonormal basis for $(S\mathcal{H})^\perp$. We take the subspace \mathcal{M} of \mathcal{H} to be the smallest invariant subspace for S containing the following vectors:

$$u_1 = e_1 + Se_2, u_2 = e_3 + Se_4, u_3 = e_5 + Se_6, u_4 = e_7 + Se_1.$$

The operator T is defined on a dense linear manifold in \mathcal{M} by requiring that

$$Tu_1 = u_3, Tu_2 = u_4, \quad \text{and} \quad Tu_3 = Tu_4 = 0$$

and by requiring that $TS = ST$. (The linear manifold referred to is the linear span of the vectors $P(S)u_k$, $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$, where $P(S)$ is a polynomial in S .)

Some elementary calculations show that T is in fact bounded on this linear manifold, and that moreover $\|T\| \leq \sqrt{3}/\sqrt{2}$. Furthermore it can be shown that T on \mathcal{M} satisfies condition (*) where the constant α can be taken to be $\sqrt{2}$.

Finally one shows that any extension of T to \mathcal{H} which is to commute with S on \mathcal{H} must map $e_1 + e_3$ to $2e_3$, and must hence have norm not less than $\sqrt{2}$. Thus T cannot be extended to an operator which commutes with S on \mathcal{H} without increasing the norm.

REMARK 3.6. It is peculiar in the above example that we could show only that any extension of T to an operator in \mathcal{A}_S must have norm not less than α where α is the constant in (*). This leads naturally to the following conjecture.

CONJECTURE. If T on \mathcal{M} satisfies (*) then T has an extension in \mathcal{A}_S having norm less than or equal to α .

REFERENCES

1. R. G. Douglas, *On factorization, majorization, and range inclusion of operators on Hilbert Space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **17** (1966), 413-415.
2. R. G. Douglas, and C. Pearcy, *On a topology for invariant subspaces*, Journal of Functional Analysis, **2** (1968), 323-341.
3. R. G. Douglas, P. S. Muhly, and C. Pearcy, *Lifting commuting operators*, Michigan Math. Journal, **15** (1968), 385-396.
4. P. R. Halmos, *Shifts on Hilbert Spaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **208** (1961), 102-112.
5. ———, *A Hilbert Space problem book*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1967.
6. H. Helson, *Lectures on invariant subspaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1964.
7. M. Rosenblum, *Vectorial Toeplitz operators and the Fejer-Riesz theorem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **23** (1968), 139-147.
8. B. Sz.-Nagy, and C. Foias, *Dilations des commutants d'opérateurs*, Comptes Rendus, **266** (1968), 493-495.

Received April 6, 1970. This research was supported in part by a grant from the North Carolina Engineering Foundation.

NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY AT RALEIGH

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

C. R. HOBBY

University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLE

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 36, No. 3

BadMonth, 1971

E. M. Alfsen and B. Hirsberg, <i>On dominated extensions in linear subspaces of $\mathcal{C}_C(X)$</i>	567
Joby Milo Anthony, <i>Topologies for quotient fields of commutative integral domains</i>	585
V. Balakrishnan, G. Sankaranarayanan and C. Suyambulingom, <i>Ordered cycle lengths in a random permutation</i>	603
Victor Allen Belfi, <i>Nontangential homotopy equivalences</i>	615
Jane Maxwell Day, <i>Compact semigroups with square roots</i>	623
Norman Henry Eggert, Jr., <i>Quasi regular groups of finite commutative nilpotent algebras</i>	631
Paul Erdős and Ernst Gabor Straus, <i>Some number theoretic results</i>	635
George Rudolph Gordh, Jr., <i>Monotone decompositions of irreducible Hausdorff continua</i>	647
Darald Joe Hartfiel, <i>The matrix equation $AXB = X$</i>	659
James Howard Hedlund, <i>Expansive automorphisms of Banach spaces. II</i>	671
I. Martin (Irving) Isaacs, <i>The p-parts of character degrees in p-solvable groups</i>	677
Donald Glen Johnson, <i>Rings of quotients of Φ-algebras</i>	693
Norman Lloyd Johnson, <i>Transition planes constructed from semifield planes</i>	701
Anne Bramble Searle Koehler, <i>Quasi-projective and quasi-injective modules</i>	713
James J. Kuzmanovich, <i>Completions of Dedekind prime rings as second endomorphism rings</i>	721
B. T. Y. Kwee, <i>On generalized translated quasi-Cesàro summability</i>	731
Yves A. Lequain, <i>Differential simplicity and complete integral closure</i>	741
Mordechai Lewin, <i>On nonnegative matrices</i>	753
Kevin Mor McCrimmon, <i>Speciality of quadratic Jordan algebras</i>	761
Hussain Sayid Nur, <i>Singular perturbations of differential equations in abstract spaces</i>	775
D. K. Oates, <i>A non-compact Krein-Milman theorem</i>	781
Lavon Barry Page, <i>Operators that commute with a unilateral shift on an invariant subspace</i>	787
Helga Schirmer, <i>Properties of fixed point sets on dendrites</i>	795
Saharon Shelah, <i>On the number of non-almost isomorphic models of T in a power</i>	811
Robert Moffatt Stephenson Jr., <i>Minimal first countable Hausdorff spaces</i>	819
Masamichi Takesaki, <i>The quotient algebra of a finite von Neumann algebra</i>	827
Benjamin Baxter Wells, Jr., <i>Interpolation in $C(\Omega)$</i>	833