

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

STRUCTURE OF SEMIPRIME (p, q) RADICALS

THOMAS L. GOULDING AND AUGUSTO H. ORTIZ

STRUCTURE OF SEMIPRIME (p, q) RADICALS

T. L. GOULDING AND AUGUSTO H. ORTIZ

In this note, the structure of the semiprime (p, q) radicals is investigated. Let $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ be polynomials over the integers. An element a of an arbitrary associative ring R is called (p, q) -regular if $a \in p(a) \cdot R \cdot q(a)$. A ring R is (p, q) -regular if every element of R is (p, q) -regular. It is easy to prove that (p, q) -regularity is a radical property and also that it is a semiprime radical property (meaning that the radical of a ring is a semiprime ideal of the ring) if and only if the constant coefficients of $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ are ± 1 . It is shown that every (p, q) -semisimple ring is isomorphic to a subdirect sum of rings which are either right primitive or left primitive.

Our results follow the ideas in [1]. However, a direct application of the results of [1] is not possible here because condition P_1 [1, p. 302] is not always satisfied in the present case.

Let R be an arbitrary associative ring. Let $p(x) = 1 + n_1x + \dots + n_kx^k$ be a polynomial over the integers. For each element $a \in R$, let $F_R(a) = p(a) \cdot R$. In what follows we take $q(x) = 1$. Thus an element a of R is called $(p, 1)$ -regular if $a \in F_R(a)$. A ring R is called $(p, 1)$ -regular if every element in R is $(p, 1)$ -regular. We shall denote the $(p, 1)$ radical property by F .

A right ideal I of R will be called $(p, 1)$ -modular if there exists an element $e \in I$ such that $F_R(e) + eI \subset I$. In order to specify the element e we shall sometimes say that I is $(p, 1)_e$ -modular. An ideal P of R will be called $(p, 1)$ -primitive if P is the largest two sided ideal contained in some maximal $(p, 1)_e$ -modular right ideal for some e . For a right ideal M of R , let $(M:R) = \{a \in R \mid Ra \subset M\}$ and let $p_0(x) = p(x) - 1$ throughout this paper.

LEMMA 1. *An ideal P of R is $(p, 1)$ -primitive if and only if there exists $e \in R$ and a maximal $(p, 1)_e$ -modular right ideal M such that $P = (M:R)$.*

Proof. It is clear that $(M:R)$ is a two sided ideal of R . Moreover if $a \in (M:R)$, then $a = p(e) \cdot a - p_0(e) \cdot a \in F_R(e) + Ra \subset M$. Finally if K is an ideal contained in M , then $RK \subset K \subset M$. Hence $K \subset (M:R)$. Thus $(M:R)$ is the largest two sided ideal contained in M .

LEMMA 2. *If I is a $(p, 1)_e$ -modular right ideal of R and if $b \in I$, then*

$$F_R(e + b) \subset I.$$

Proof. $p(e + b) \cdot r = p(e) \cdot r + br_1 + ebr_2 + \dots + e^{k-1}br_k \in F_R(e) + I + eI + \dots + e^{k-1}I \subset I$.

THEOREM 3. *If P is a $(p, 1)$ -primitive ideal of R , then R/P is F -semisimple.*

Proof. Let W/P be a nonzero $(p, 1)$ -regular ideal of R/P , where P is $(p, 1)_e$ -primitive, say $P = (M: R)$. Since P is the largest ideal in M , $W + M$ contains M properly. But $e(W + M) \subset W + M$. Hence $e \in W + M$, since otherwise $W + M$ would be $(p, 1)_e$ -modular, violating the maximality of M . Thus, say, $e = w + m$. Since W/P is $(p, 1)$ -regular,

$$w + P \in F_{R/P}(w + P) = [F_R(w) + P]/P.$$

Now $F_R(w) = F_R(e - m) \subset M$, using Lemma 2. Thus $w \in M + P \subset M$. But then $e = w + m \in M$, a contradiction. Therefore W/P must be 0.

THEOREM 4. *Let F be any semiprime $(p, 1)$ radical property. Then for all rings R , $F(R)$ is the intersection of all $(p, 1)$ -primitive ideals of R .*

Proof. If P is a $(p, 1)$ -primitive ideal of R , then R/P is F -semisimple, thus $P \supset F(R)$.

On the other hand suppose that the intersection K of all $(p, 1)$ -primitive ideals of R is not $(p, 1)$ -regular. That is, there is $e \in K$ such that $e \notin F_R(e)$. Then $e \notin F_R(e)$. But $F_R(e)$ is a $(p, 1)_e$ -modular right ideal of R . Let M be a maximal $(p, 1)_e$ -modular right ideal of R . Then $e \notin M \supset (M: R) \supset K$, a contradiction. Therefore K is $(p, 1)$ -regular and thus $K \subset F(R)$.

COROLLARY 5. *Every F -semisimple ring is isomorphic to a sub-direct sum of $(p, 1)$ -primitive rings.*

This, together with the next theorem, give the structure of the F -semisimple rings.

THEOREM 6. *Every $(p, 1)$ -primitive ideal is primitive.*

Proof. Let P be a $(p, 1)$ -primitive ideal of R . Then $P = (M: R)$ for some maximal $(p, 1)_e$ -modular right ideal M . Then M is a modular (in the sense of [3]) right ideal. Thus M is contained in a modular maximal right ideal N . Thus $(M: R) \subset (N: R)$. Now if $(N: R) \not\subset (M: R)$, then there exists $a \in R$ such that $Ra \subset N$ but $Ra \not\subset M$. Thus $M + Ra + RaR$ is a right ideal which contains M properly. Since $e(M +$

$Ra + RaR \subset M + Ra + RaR$, and since M is a maximal $(p, 1)_e$ -modular right ideal of R , $M + Ra + RaR = R$. But each term M , Ra , and RaR is contained in N . Thus $N = R$, a contradiction. Therefore $P = (N:R)$ and P is primitive (in the Jacobson sense).

COROLLARY 7. *Every $(p, 1)$ -regular radical F contains the Jacobson radical.*

THEOREM 8. *A semiprime $(p, 1)$ -regular radical coincides with the Jacobson radical if the sum $p(1)$ or the alternate sum $p(-1)$ of the coefficients of $p(x)$ is 0.*

Proof. Let P be a primitive ideal of R , say $P = (M:R)$, where M is a modular [3] maximal right ideal of R . Suppose that $F(R) \not\subset P$. Then there exists $r \in R$ such that $r \cdot F(R) \not\subset M$. Thus $M + r \cdot F(R) = R$. In particular, there exists $a \in F(R)$ such that $r = ra \pmod{M}$. Since a is $(p, 1)$ -regular, there is $a' \in R$ such that $a = p(a) \cdot a'$. Hence, supposing that $p(1) = 0$, $ra = r \cdot p(a) \cdot a' = p(1) \cdot raa' = 0$. But then $r \in M$, a contradiction. The case when $p(-1) = 0$ is analogous.

Since each $(p, 1)$ -primitive ideal P of R is prime and R/P is F -semisimple, $F(R)$ is the intersection of all ideals I of R such that R/I is prime and F -semisimple. Since F is also hereditary, we have [2, p. 149] that F is a special radical.

The generalization of our results to all semiprime (p, q) radicals is as follows: Define $(1, q)_e$ -modular left ideals and left $(1, q)$ -primitive ideals in an analogous fashion. Next show that a $(1, q)$ -semisimple ring is isomorphic to a subdirect sum of left primitive [3] rings. Finally, use Theorem 3 of [4] to prove, for $p(0) = \pm 1$ and $q(0) = \pm 1$, the following:

THEOREM 9. *For any semiprime (p, q) radical, every (p, q) -semisimple ring is isomorphic to a subdirect sum of rings which are either right primitive or left primitive.*

REFERENCES

1. B. Brown and N. H. McCoy, *Some theorems on groups with applications to ring theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **69** (1950), 302-311.
2. N. J. Divinsky, *Rings and Radicals*, University of Toronto Press, 1965.
3. N. Jacobson, *Structure of Rings*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Pub., Vol. **37**, 1964.
4. G. L. Musser, *On linear semiprime (p, q) radicals*.

Received April 2, 1970. This work was done while the second author held a Post Doctoral Fellowship at the University of Florida.

UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA AND UNIVERSITY OF PUERTO RICO

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

C. R. HOBBY
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Gregory Frank Bachelis and Haskell Paul Rosenthal, <i>On unconditionally converging series and biorthogonal systems in a Banach space</i>	1
Richard William Beals, <i>On spectral theory and scattering for elliptic operators with singular potentials</i>	7
J. Lennart (John) Berggren, <i>Solvable and supersolvable groups in which every element is conjugate to its inverse</i>	21
Lindsay Nathan Childs, <i>On covering spaces and Galois extensions</i>	29
William Jay Davis, David William Dean and Ivan Singer, <i>Multipliers and unconditional convergence of biorthogonal expansions</i>	35
Leroy John Derr, <i>Triangular matrices with the isoclinal property</i>	41
Paul Erdős, Robert James McEliece and Herbert Taylor, <i>Ramsey bounds for graph products</i>	45
Edward Graham Evans, Jr., <i>On epimorphisms to finitely generated modules</i>	47
Hector O. Fattorini, <i>The abstract Goursat problem</i>	51
Robert Dutton Fray and David Paul Roselle, <i>Weighted lattice paths</i>	85
Thomas L. Goulding and Augusto H. Ortiz, <i>Structure of semiprime (p, q) radicals</i>	97
E. W. Johnson and J. P. Lediaev, <i>Structure of Noether lattices with join-principal maximal elements</i>	101
David Samuel Kinderlehrer, <i>The regularity of minimal surfaces defined over slit domains</i>	109
Alistair H. Lachlan, <i>The transcendental rank of a theory</i>	119
Frank David Lesley, <i>Differentiability of minimal surfaces at the boundary</i>	123
Wolfgang Liebert, <i>Characterization of the endomorphism rings of divisible torsion modules and reduced complete torsion-free modules over complete discrete valuation rings</i>	141
Lawrence Carlton Moore, <i>Strictly increasing Riesz norms</i>	171
Raymond Moos Redheffer, <i>An inequality for the Hilbert transform</i>	181
James Ted Rogers Jr., <i>Mapping solenoids onto strongly self-entwined, circle-like continua</i>	213
Sherman K. Stein, <i>B-sets and planar maps</i>	217
Darrell R. Turnidge, <i>Torsion theories and rings of quotients of Morita equivalent rings</i>	225
Fred Ustina, <i>The Hausdorff means of double Fourier series and the principle of localization</i>	235
Stanley Joseph Wertheimer, <i>Quasi-compactness and decompositions for arbitrary relations</i>	253
Howard Henry Wicke and John Mays Worrell Jr., <i>On the open continuous images of paracompact Čech complete spaces</i>	265