Pacific Journal of Mathematics

THE DIOPHANTINE EQUATION $Y(Y+1)(Y+2)(Y+3) = 2X(X+1)(X+2)(X+3)$

JOHN H. E. COHN

Vol. 37, No. 2 February 1971

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 37, No. 2, 1971

THE DIOPHANTINE EQUATION $Y(Y+1)(Y+2)(Y+3)=2X(X+1)(X+2)(X+3)$

J. H. E. COHN

It is shown that the only solution in positive integers of the equation of the title is $X=4$, $Y=5$.

Substituting $y = 2Y + 3$, $x = 2X + 3$ gives with a little manipulation

$$\left\{\frac{y^2-5}{4}\right\}^2 - 2\left\{\frac{x^2-5}{4}\right\}^2 = -1\,,$$

and since the fundamental solution of $v^2 - 2u^2 = -1$ is $\alpha = 1 + \sqrt{2}$, we find that if $\beta = 1 - \sqrt{2}$ and

$$u_n = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta}\,; \qquad v_n = \frac{\alpha^n + \beta^n}{2} \tag{1}$$

we must have simultaneously

$$y^2 = 5 + 4v_N\,, \tag{2}$$

and

$$x^2 = 5 + 4u_N\,, \tag{3}$$

where N is odd and $N \geqq 3$.

We find easily from (1) since $\alpha\beta = -1$ and $\alpha + \beta = 2$, that

$$u_{-n} = (-1)^{n-1}u_n \tag{4}$$

$$v_{-n} = (-1)^n v_n \tag{5}$$

$$u_{m+n} = u_m v_n + u_n v_m \tag{6}$$

$$v_{m+n} = v_m v_n + 2u_m u_n\,. \tag{7}$$

Throughout k denotes an even integer, and then we find using (4)—(7) that

$$v_{2k} = 2v_k^2 - 1 = 4u_k^2 + 1 \tag{8}$$

$$u_{2k} = 2u_k v_k \tag{9}$$

$$v_{3k} = v_k(8u_k^2 + 1) = v_k(2v_{2k} - 1) \tag{10}$$

$$u_{3k} = u_k(8u_k^2 + 3)\,. \tag{11}$$

We then have, using (6)—(9) that

(12) $$u_{n+2k} \equiv -u_n \pmod{v_k}$$

and

(13) $$v_{n+2k} \equiv -v_n \pmod{v_k}.$$

We have also the following table of values

n	u_n	v_n
0	0	1
1	1	1
2	2	3
3	5	7
4	12	17
5	29	41
6	70	99
7	169	239
8	408	577
9	985	1393
10	2378	3363
11	5741	8119
12	13860	19601

The proof is now accomplished in eight stages:-

(a). (2) *is impossible if* $N \equiv 3 \pmod 6$.

For,

$$\begin{aligned} v_{n+6} &= v_n v_6 + 2u_n u_6 \qquad \text{by (7)} \\ &= 99v_n + 140u_n \\ &\equiv -v_n \pmod 5, \end{aligned}$$

and so if $N \equiv 3 \pmod 6$, $v_N \equiv \pm v_3 \equiv \pm 2 \pmod 5$, whence $y^2 = 5 + 4v_N$ is impossible modulo 5.

(b). (2) *is impossible if* $N \equiv -3$ *or* $-5 \pmod{16}$.

For, using (13) we find that for such N,

$$\begin{aligned} v_N &\equiv v_{-3} \quad \text{or} \quad v_{-5} && \pmod{v_4} \\ &\equiv -v_3 \quad \text{or} \quad -v_5 && \pmod{17}, \text{ using (5)} \\ &\equiv -7 && \pmod{17}. \end{aligned}$$

But then $5 + 4v_N \equiv -6 \pmod{17}$, and since the Jacobi-Legendre symbol $(-6 \mid 17) = -1$, (2) is impossible.

(c). (3) *is impossible if* $N \equiv \pm 7 \pmod{16}$.

For, using, (12) we find that in this case

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\equiv \pm u_{\pm 7} && \pmod{v_8} \\ &\equiv \pm 169 && \pmod{577}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we find that

$5+4u_N \equiv 681$ or $-671 \pmod{577}$, and since

$$(681 \mid 577) = (-671 \mid 577) = -1\,,$$

(3) is impossible.

(d). (3) *is impossible if* $N \equiv \pm 7 \pmod{24}$.

For then

$$\begin{aligned} u_N &\equiv u_{\pm 7} && \pmod{v_6} \\ &\equiv 169 && \pmod{99}\,, \end{aligned}$$

whence $u_N \equiv -2 \pmod 9$, and then $5+4u_N \equiv -3 \pmod 9$, and so (3) is impossible.

(e). (2) *and* (3) *together are impossible if* $N \equiv 3 \pmod{16}$.

If $N=3$, then $5+4v_N = 33 \neq y^2$. If $N \neq 3$, then we may write

$$N-3 = 2lk\,,$$

where l is odd and $k=2^r$ with $r \geqq 3$. Then by using (13) l times we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 5+4u_N &= 5+4u_{3+2lk} \\ &\equiv 5+(-1)^l 4u_3 && \pmod{v_k} \\ &\equiv -15 && \pmod{v_k}, \text{ since } l \text{ is odd.} \end{aligned}$$

But from (8) we find easily by induction upon r, that if $k=2^r$ with $r \geqq 3$, that $v_k \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, $v_k \equiv 1 \pmod 3$ and $v_k \equiv 2 \pmod 5$, whence $(-15 \mid v_k) = -1$ and (3) is impossible.

Combining the results of (a)—(e) we find that we can only have

$$N \equiv 1, 5, -1, 37 \pmod{48}\,, \tag{14}$$

and we deal with each of these in turn.

(f). (3) *is impossible if* $N \equiv 37 \pmod{48}$.

For then $u_N \equiv u_{-11} \equiv 5741 \pmod{v_{12}}$ and then $5+4u_N \equiv 22969 \pmod{19601}$.

But

$$\begin{aligned} (22969 \mid 19601) &= (3368 \mid 19601) \\ &= (2^3 \mid 19601)(421 \mid 19601) \\ &= (19601 \mid 421) \\ &= (235 \mid 421) \\ &= (421 \mid 5)(421 \mid 47) \\ &= (-2 \mid 47) = -1\,, \end{aligned}$$

and so (3) is impossible.

(g). (3) *is impossible if* $N \equiv 1 \pmod{48}$, $N \neq 1$ *or if* $N \equiv -1 \pmod{48}$ *and* $N \neq -1$.

Since if N is odd, $u_{-N} = u_N$ by (4) it suffices to consider $N \equiv 1 \pmod{48}$, $N \neq 1$. Then we may write $N = 1 + 3k(2l+1)$, where $k = 2^r$ and $r \geqq 4$, and so using (12) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} u_N &= u_{1+3k+2l.3k} \\ &\equiv (-1)^l u_{1+3k} && \pmod{v_{3k}} \\ &\equiv \pm(u_{3k} + v_{3k}) && \pmod{v_{3k}} \text{ using (6)} \\ &\equiv \pm u_{3k} && \pmod{v_{3k}} \\ &\equiv \pm u_k(8u_k^2 + 3) && (\mathrm{mod}\ v_k(8u_k^2+1))\,, \end{aligned}$$

using (10) and (11). Thus

$$u_N \equiv \pm 2u_k \quad (\mathrm{mod}\ 8u_k^2 + 1)\,.$$

But now, writing $u = u_k$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} (5 + 4u_N \mid 8u^2 + 1) &= (5 \pm 8u \mid 8u^2 + 1) \\ &= (8u \pm 5 \mid 8u^2 + 1) \\ &= (8u^2 + 1 \mid 8u \pm 5) \\ &= (8 \mid 8u \pm 5)(8^2u^2 + 8 \mid 8u \pm 5) \\ &= -(33 \mid 8u \pm 5) \\ &= -(8u \pm 5 \mid 33)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

But $u = u_k$ with $k = 2^r$ and $r \geqq 4$, and we find that $3 \mid u_8$, whence $3 \mid u_k$ in view of (9). Also $v_8 \equiv 5 \pmod{11}$ whence by induction, using (8), $v_n \equiv 5 \pmod{11}$ for $n = 2^r$ and $r \geqq 3$. Thus $u_{2n} \equiv -u_n \pmod{11}$ in view of (9), and so since $u_8 \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$, $u \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{11}$. Thus we have $u \equiv \pm 12 \pmod{33}$ whence $8u \equiv \mp 3 \pmod{33}$. Considering therefore the right hand side of (15), we observe that $8u \pm 5 \equiv \pm 2$ or $\pm 8 \pmod{33}$ and in any one of the four cases the right hand side of (15) equals -1, and accordingly (3) is impossible.

(h). (2) *and* (3) *together are impossible if* $N \equiv 5 \pmod{48}$, $N \neq 5$.

Suppose if possible that (2), (3) hold with $N \equiv 5 \pmod{48}$, $N \neq 5$. Let $N = 5 + 2l.3k$ where $k = 2^r$, $r \geqq 3$ and l is odd. Then we have using (12) and (13)

$$x^2 = 5 + 4u_N \equiv 5 - 4u_5 \equiv -111 \pmod{v_{3k}} \tag{16}$$

$$y^2 = 5 + 4v_N \equiv 5 - 4v_5 \equiv -159 \pmod{v_{3k}}\,. \tag{17}$$

Now we have from (10) $v_{3k} = v_k(2v_{2k} - 1)$, and as before $v_k \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ whence also $2v_{2k} - 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$. Thus $(-3 \mid v_k) = (-3 \mid 2v_{2k} - 1) = 1$, and so (16) and (17) imply (since as we shall see presently neither v_k nor $2v_{2k} - 1$ is ever divisible by either 37 or 53) that

$$(v_k \mid 37) = (2v_{2k} - 1 \mid 37) = (v_k \mid 53) = (2v_{2k} - 1 \mid 53) = 1\,, \tag{18}$$

for some $k = 2^r$, $r \geqq 3$. We shall demonstrate that (18) occurs for no such k.

In view of (8) it is clear that the residues modulo p for any prime p, of v_k with $k = 2^r$ are eventually periodic with respect to r. It transpires that if $p = 37$ or if $p = 53$, the length of the period is 9, and that the sequence of residues has already become periodic by the time $r = 3$. It is fortunately the case that in no one of the nine cases that arise are all the four conditions of (18) satisfied, and this concludes the proof. A table showing these calculations follows:-

$k = 2^r$	$r = 3$	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
v_k (mod 37)	-15	5	12	-9	13	4	-6	-3	17	-15
$2v_{2k} - 1$ (mod 37)	9	-14	18	-12	7	-13	-7	-4	6	
v_k (mod 53)	-6	18	11	-24	-15	25	-23	-3	17	-6
$2v_{2k} - 1$ (mod 53)	-18	21	4	22	-4	6	-7	-20	-13	
$(v_k \mid 37)$	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	-1	$+1$	-1	$+1$	-1	
$(2v_{2k} - 1 \mid 37)$	$+1$	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	-1	$+1$	$+1$	-1	
$(v_k \mid 53)$	$+1$	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	$+1$	
$(2v_{2k} - 1 \mid 53)$	-1	-1	$+1$	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	$+1$	

Summarising the results, we see that (2) and (3) can hold simultaneously for N odd, $N \geqq 3$ only for $N = 5$, and this value does indeed satisfy (2) and (3) with $x = 11$, $y = 13$. Thus $X = 4$, $Y = 5$ is the only solution of the given equation in positive integers. The complete solution in integers can now be written down; it consists of the sixteen "trivial" pairs of solutions obtained by equating both sides of the given equation to zero, and the four pairs $X = 4$ or -7, $Y = 5$ or -8.

Received October 13, 1970.

ROYAL HOLLOWAY COLLEGE
ENGLEFIELD GREEN, SURREY

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is $8.00; single issues, $3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: $4.00 per volume; single issues $1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 37, No. 2 February, 1971