

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURE FOR A SET OF NONLINEAR
INTEGRAL OPERATIONS**

DAVID LOWELL LOVELADY

ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURE FOR A SET OF NONLINEAR INTEGRAL OPERATIONS

DAVID LOWELL LOVELADY

A generalized addition is introduced for a set of generators, and a generalized multiplication is introduced for a set of evolution systems. Then the mapping which takes a generator to the corresponding evolution system becomes an isomorphism. Necessary and sufficient conditions are found for the generalized addition to reduce to addition, and hence, under these conditions, we are able to write a formula for the evolution system generated by the sum of two generators.

Preliminaries. Let $S = [0, \infty)$, and let $(G, +)$ be a complete normed abelian group with norm N_1 . Let H be the set to which A belongs only in case A is a function from G to G , $A[0] = 0$, and there is a number b so that $N_1[A[p] - A[q]] \leq bN_1[p - q]$ whenever (p, q) is in $G \times G$. If A is in H , let $N_2[A]$ be the least number b so that $N_1[A[p] - A[q]] \leq bN_1[p - q]$ whenever (p, q) is in $G \times G$, and let $N_3[A]$ be the least number b so that $N_1[A[p]] \leq bN_1[p]$ whenever p is in G .

Let OA^+ , OM^+ , and \mathcal{E}^+ be as in [8]. Let OA be the set to which V belongs only in case V is a function from $S \times S$ to H so that

- (i) $V(x, y) + V(y, z) = V(x, z)$ whenever (x, y, z) is in $S \times S \times S$ and y is between x and z , and
- (ii) there is a member α of OA^+ so that

$$N_2[V(a, b)] \leq \alpha(a, b)$$

whenever (a, b) is in $S \times S$.

If α and V are related as in (ii), α will be said to dominate V .

Let OM be the set to which W belongs only in case W is a function from $S \times S$ to H so that

- (i) $W(x, y)W(y, z) = W(x, z)$ whenever (x, y, z) is in $S \times S \times S$ and y is between x and z , where the multiplication is composition, and
- (ii) there is a member μ of OM^+ so that

$$N_2[W(a, b) - I] \leq \mu(a, b) - 1$$

whenever (a, b) is in $S \times S$, where I in H is given by $I[p] = p$.

The following theorem is due to Mac Nerney [9].

THEOREM 1. *There is a bijection \mathcal{E} from OA onto OM so that if V is in OA and W is in OM , then (i), (ii), (iii), (iv), and (v) are*

equivalent.

- (i) $W = \mathcal{E}[V]$.
- (ii) $W(a, b)[p] = {}_a\Pi^b[I + V][p]$ whenever (a, b, p) is in $S \times S \times G$.
- (iii) $V(a, b)[p] = {}_a\Sigma^b[W - I][p]$ whenever (a, b, p) is in $S \times S \times G$.
- (iv) There is (α, μ) in \mathcal{E}^+ so that

$$N_3[W(a, b) - I - V(a, b)] \leq \mu(a, b) - 1 - \alpha(a, b)$$

whenever (a, b) is in $S \times S$.

(v) If (a, p) is in $S \times G$, and h is given by $h(t) = W(t, a)[p]$, then h has bounded N_1 -variation on each bounded interval of S , and is the only such function such that

$$h(t) = p + (R) \int_t^a V[h]$$

whenever t is in S .

REMARK 1. The notions of Π , Σ , and $(R) \int$ are to be taken as in [9].

Let OAI be that subset of OA to which V belongs only in case each of $I + V(t, t^+)$, $I + V(t, t^-)$, $I + V(t^+, t)$, and $I + V(t^-, t)$ has inverse in H whenever t is in S . The following theorem is due to Herod [6] (see also [4] and [5]).

THEOREM 2. Let (V, W) be in \mathcal{E} . Then (i) and (ii) are equivalent.

- (i) V is in OAI .
- (ii) Each value of W has inverse in H .

Furthermore, there is a bijection \mathcal{E} from OAI onto OAI such that if V is in OAI , then each of (iii), (iv), (v), and (vi) is true.

- (iii) $\mathcal{E}[\mathcal{E}[V]] = V$.
- (iv) $\mathcal{E}[V](a, b) = -V(b, a)$ for each (a, b) in $S \times S$ only in case ${}_a\Sigma^b N_3[V[I - V] - V] = 0$ whenever (a, b) is in $S \times S$.
- (v) $\mathcal{E}[\mathcal{E}[V]](a, b) \cdot \mathcal{E}[V](b, a) = \mathcal{E}[V](b, a) \cdot \mathcal{E}[\mathcal{E}[V]](a, b) = I$ whenever (a, b) is in $S \times S$.
- (vi) $\mathcal{E}[V](a, b)[p] = -{}_b\Sigma^a V[I + V]^{-1}[p]$ whenever (a, b, p) is in $S \times S \times G$.

The \oplus Operation.

LEMMA 1. If each of α and β is in OA^+ , and (a, b) is in $S \times S$, then ${}_a\Sigma^b \alpha[1 + \beta]$ exists and is the greatest lower bound of the set to which r belongs only in case there is a chain $(t_k)_{k=0}^n$ from a to b so that $r = \Sigma_{k=1}^n \alpha(t_{k-1}, t_k)[1 + \beta(t_{k-1}, t_k)]$.

Proof. It suffices to show that if (a, b, c) is in $S \times S \times S$, and b is between a and c , then

$$\alpha(a, c)[1 + \beta(a, c)] \geq \alpha(a, b)[1 + \beta(a, b)] + \alpha(b, c)[1 + \beta(b, c)].$$

But $\alpha(a, c) \geq \alpha(a, b)$ and $\alpha(a, c) \geq \alpha(b, c)$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(a, c)\beta(a, c) &= \alpha(a, c)\beta(a, b) + \alpha(a, c)\beta(b, c) \\ &\geq \alpha(a, b)\beta(a, b) + \alpha(b, c)\beta(b, c), \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete.

THEOREM 3. *If each of V_1 and V_2 is in OA , and (a, b, p) is in $S \times S \times G$, then ${}_a\Sigma^b V_1[I + V_2][p]$ exists. If, for $i = 1, 2$, α_i in OA^+ dominates V_i , then*

$$\begin{aligned} N_3[V_1(a, b)[I + V_2(a, b)] - {}_a\Sigma^b V_1[I + V_2]] \\ \leq \alpha_1(a, b)[1 + \alpha_2(a, b)] - {}_a\Sigma^b \alpha_1[1 + \alpha_2] \end{aligned}$$

whenever (a, b) is in $S \times S$. Furthermore, if U is given by $U(a, b)[p] = {}_a\Sigma^b V_1[I + V_2][p]$, then U is in OA .

Proof. Let (a, b, c, p) be in $S \times S \times S \times G$, with b between a and c . Now

$$\begin{aligned} N_1[V_1(a, c)[I + V_2(a, c)][p] - V_1(a, b)[I + V_2(a, b)][p] \\ - V_1(b, c)[I + V_2(b, c)][p] \\ = N_1[V_1(a, b)[I + V_2(a, c)][p] - V_1(a, b)[I + V_2(a, b)][p] \\ + V_1(b, c)[I + V_2(a, c)][p] - V_1(b, c)[I + V_2(b, c)][p] \\ \leq [\alpha_1(a, b)\alpha_2(b, c) + \alpha_1(b, c)\alpha_2(a, b)]N_1[p] \\ = N_1[p](\alpha_1(a, c)[1 + \alpha_2(a, c)] - \alpha_1(a, b)[1 + \alpha_2(a, b)] \\ - \alpha_1(b, c)[1 + \alpha_2(b, c)]). \end{aligned}$$

The theorem is now clear.

DEFINITION 1. If each of V_1 and V_2 is in OA , then $V_1 \oplus V_2$ is that member U of OA given by

$$U(a, b)[p] = V_2(a, b)[p] + {}_a\Sigma^b V_1[I + V_2][p].$$

DEFINITION 2. If V is in OA , V^* will be that member of OA given by $V^*(a, b) = V(b, a)$.

THEOREM 4. *If each of V_1, V_2 , and V_3 is in OA , then*

$$V_1 \oplus (V_2 \oplus V_3) = (V_1 \oplus V_2) \oplus V_3,$$

and consequently (OA, \oplus) is a semigroup. (OAI, \oplus) is a subgroup of (OA, \oplus) , each subgroup of (OA, \oplus) is contained in OAI , and if V is in OAI , then

$$V \oplus \mathcal{S}[V]^* = \mathcal{S}[V]^* \oplus V = 0.$$

Proof. Let U be given by

$$\begin{aligned} U(a, b)[p] &= V_3(a, b)[p] + {}_a\Sigma^b V_2[I + V_3][p] \\ &\quad + {}_a\Sigma^b V_1[I + V_2][I + V_3][p]. \end{aligned}$$

A moment's reflection shows

$$V_1 \oplus (V_2 \oplus V_3) = U = (V_1 \oplus V_2) \oplus V_3,$$

so the first part of the theorem is clear.

Now if A is in H , and $I + A$ has inverse in H , then

$$\begin{aligned} &-A[I + A]^{-1} + A[I - A[I + A]^{-1}] \\ &= -A[I + A]^{-1} + A[[I + A] - A][I + A]^{-1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This, with (vi) of Theorem 2, says that if V is in OAI , then $V \oplus \mathcal{S}[V]^* = 0$. Similarly, $\mathcal{S}[V]^* \oplus V = 0$, so (OAI, \oplus) is a group.

To complete the proof it suffices to show that if U and V are in OA , and $U \oplus V = V \oplus U = 0$, then U is in OAI and $V = \mathcal{S}[U]^*$. If t is in S , then $[U \oplus V](t, t^+) = 0$, so

$$\begin{aligned} U(t, t^+)[I + V(t, t^+)] + V(t, t^+) &= 0, \\ U(t, t^+)[I + V(t, t^+)] + [I + V(t, t^+)] &= I, \\ [I + U(t, t^+)][I + V(t, t^+)] &= I. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, since $[V \oplus U](t, t^+) = 0$, we have

$$[I + V(t, t^+)][I + U(t, t^+)] = I.$$

Similar computations for (t, t^-) , (t^+, t) , and (t^-, t) show that each of U and V is in OAI . Also, it is clear that V is given by

$$V(a, b)[p] = -{}_a\Sigma^b U[I + U]^{-1}[p] = \mathcal{S}[U]^*(a, b)[p],$$

so the proof is complete.

LEMMA 2. *Let each of α_1 and α_2 be in OA^+ , and let β be a continuous member of OA^+ . Suppose $\beta(a, b) \leq {}_a\Sigma^b \alpha_1 \alpha_2$ whenever (a, b) is in $S \times S$. Then $\beta = 0$.*

REMARK 2. Lemma 2 is immediate, and we shall not prove it here.

THEOREM 5. *Let each of V_1 and V_2 be in OA . Then (i) and (ii) are equivalent, and (iii) and (iv) are equivalent.*

- (i) $V_1 \oplus V_2 = V_1 + V_2$.
- (ii) $V_1[I + V_2] - V_1 = 0$ at all "pairs" of the forms (t, t^+) , (t, t^-) , (t^+, t) , and (t^-, t) for t in S .
- (iii) $V_1 \oplus V_2 = V_2 \oplus V_1$.
- (iv) $V_1 - V_2 = V_1[I + V_2] - V_2[I + V_1]$ at all "pairs" of the forms (t, t^+) , (t, t^-) , (t^+, t) , and (t^-, t) for t in S .

Proof. We shall indicate the first equivalence, and leave the second to the reader. Since $[V_1 \oplus V_2] - [V_1 + V_2] = \Sigma V_1[I + V_2] - V_1$, it is clear that (i) implies (ii). Now suppose (ii). For $i = 1, 2$, let α_i in OA^+ dominate V_i . Let β in OA^+ be given by $\beta(a, b) = {}_a\Sigma^b N_3[V_1[I + V_2] - V_1]$. Now, by (ii), β is continuous, and clearly $\beta(a, b) \leq {}_a\Sigma^b \alpha_1 \alpha_2$ whenever (a, b) is in $S \times S$. Thus $\beta = 0$, (i) follows, and the proof is complete.

The \otimes Operation and the Exponential Identity.

THEOREM 6. *Let each of (V_1, W_1) and (V_2, W_2) be in \mathcal{E} , and let (a, b, p) be in $S \times S \times G$. Then each of*

$${}_aH^b[I + V_1][I + V_2][p] \quad \text{and} \quad {}_aH^b W_1 W_2[p]$$

exists, and they are equal. Furthermore, if M is given by

$$M(a, b)[p] = {}_aH^b W_1 W_2[p],$$

then M is in OM .

Proof. Let $U = V_1 \oplus V_2$. Let α be a member of OA^+ which dominates each of U, V_1 , and V_2 , and let $\mu = \mathcal{E}^+[\alpha]$. Let (a, b, p) be in $S \times S \times G$, and let $(t_k)_{k=0}^n$ be a chain from a to b . Now, by [7, Lemma 4],

$$\begin{aligned} & N_1[{}_aH_{k=1}^n[I + U(t_{k-1}, t_k)][p] - {}_aH_{k=1}^n[I + V_1(t_{k-1}, t_k)][I + V_2(t_{k-1}, t_k)][p]] \\ & \leq N_1[p]\mu(a, b)^2 \Sigma_{k=1}^n N_3[V_1(t_{k-1}, t_k)[I + V_2(t_{k-1}, t_k)] \\ & \quad - {}_{t_{k-1}}\Sigma^{t_k} V_1[I + V_2]] \\ & \leq N_1[p]\mu(a, b)^2 [\Sigma_{k=1}^n \alpha(t_{k-1}, t_k)[1 + \alpha(t_{k-1}, t_k)] - {}_a\Sigma^b \alpha[1 + \alpha]]. \end{aligned}$$

It is now clear that ${}_aH^b[I + V_1][I + V_2][p]$ exists and equals ${}_aH^b[I + U][p]$ whenever (a, b, p) is in $S \times S \times G$. Now [9, Lemma 1.2] tells us that ${}_aH^b W_1 W_2[p] = {}_aH^b[I + V_1][I + V_2][p]$ whenever (a, b, p) is in $S \times S \times G$. Since these products describe $\mathcal{E}[U]$, it is clear that M is in OM and the proof is complete.

DEFINITION 3. If each of W_1 and W_2 is in OM , $W_1 \otimes W_2$ is that member M of OM given by $M(a, b)[p] = {}_a\Pi^b W_1 W_2[p]$.

There emerges from the proof of Theorem 6 a fact which we now record.

THEOREM 7. If each of V_1 and V_2 is in OA , then

$$\mathcal{E}[V_1 \oplus V_2] = \mathcal{E}[V_1] \otimes \mathcal{E}[V_2].$$

REMARK 3. Theorem 7, together with the first equivalence of Theorem 5, includes and extends Theorem 6 of [7].

THEOREM 8. Let V_1 be in OA , V_2 in OAI . Let U in OA be given by

$$U(a, b)[p] = {}_a\Sigma^b V_1[I + V_2]^{-1}[p].$$

Then

$$\mathcal{E}[V_1 + V_2] = \mathcal{E}[U] \otimes \mathcal{E}[V_2].$$

Proof. Let (a, b, p) be in $S \times S \times G$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{E}[U] \otimes \mathcal{E}[V_2]](a, b)[p] &= {}_a\Pi^b \mathcal{E}[U] \mathcal{E}[V_2][p] \\ &= {}_a\Pi^b [I + U][I + V_2][p] \\ &= {}_a\Pi^b [I + V_1[I + V_2]^{-1}][I + V_2][p] \\ &= {}_a\Pi^b [I + V_1 + V_2][p] \\ &= \mathcal{E}[V_1 + V_2](a, b)[p]. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

REMARK 4. Note that by using Theorems 5, 7, and 8 we can compute, under two different sets of hypotheses, $\mathcal{E}[V_1 + V_2]$ in terms of the \otimes operation.

REMARK 5. The notion of continuously multiplying solutions for generators in order to construct the solution for a sum of generators has been used by Trotter [11] and Chernoff [1], [2] for the case of autonomous linear differential equations with discontinuous linear operators, by Helton [3] for the case of linear Stieltjes integral equations, and by Mermin [10] for the case of autonomous nonlinear differential equations with accretive operators.

REFERENCES

1. P. R. Chernoff, *Note on product formulas for operator semigroups*, J. Functional Analysis, **2** (1968), 238-242.
2. ———, *Semigroup product formulas and addition of unbounded operators*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **76** (1970), 395-398.
3. B. W. Helton, *Integral equations and product integrals*, Pacific J. Math., **16** (1966), 297-322.
4. J. V. Herod, *Multiplicative inverses of solutions for Volterra-Stieltjes integral equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **22** (1969), 650-656.
5. ———, *Coalescence of solutions for nonlinear Volterra equations*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc., **16** (1969), 834.
6. ———, *Coalescence of solutions for nonlinear Stieltjes equations*, J. Reine Angew. Math., (to appear).
7. D. L. Lovelady, *Perturbations of solutions of Stieltjes integral equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **155** (1971), 175-188.
8. J. S. Mac Nerney, *Integral equations and semigroups*, Illinois J. Math., **7** (1963), 148-173.
9. ———, *A nonlinear integral operation*, Illinois J. Math., **8** (1964), 621-638.
10. J. L. Mermin, *Accretive operators and nonlinear semigroups*, Ph. D. Thesis, University of California, Berkeley (1968).
11. H. F. Trotter, *On the product of semigroups of operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **10** (1959), 545-551.

Received June 4, 1970.

GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
AND
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

C. R. HOBBY
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLE

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 37, No. 2

February, 1971

Charles Compton Alexander, <i>Semi-developable spaces and quotient images of metric spaces</i>	277
Ram Prakash Bambah and Alan C. Woods, <i>On a problem of Danzer</i>	295
John A. Beekman and Ralph A. Kallman, <i>Gaussian Markov expectations and related integral equations</i>	303
Frank Michael Cholewinski and Deborah Tepper Haimo, <i>Inversion of the Hankel potential transform</i>	319
John H. E. Cohn, <i>The diophantine equation</i> $Y(Y + 1)(Y + 2)(Y + 3) = 2X(X + 1)(X + 2)(X + 3)$	331
Philip C. Curtis, Jr. and Henrik Stetkaer, <i>A factorization theorem for analytic functions operating in a Banach algebra</i>	337
Doyle Otis Cutler and Paul F. Dubois, <i>Generalized final rank for arbitrary limit ordinals</i>	345
Keith A. Ekblaw, <i>The functions of bounded index as a subspace of a space of entire functions</i>	353
Dennis Michael Girard, <i>The asymptotic behavior of norms of powers of absolutely convergent Fourier series</i>	357
John Gregory, <i>An approximation theory for elliptic quadratic forms on Hilbert spaces: Application to the eigenvalue problem for compact quadratic forms</i>	383
Paul C. Kainen, <i>Universal coefficient theorems for generalized homology and stable cohomotopy</i>	397
Aldo Joram Lazar and James Ronald Retherford, <i>Nuclear spaces, Schauder bases, and Choquet simplexes</i>	409
David Lowell Lovelady, <i>Algebraic structure for a set of nonlinear integral operations</i>	421
John McDonald, <i>Compact convex sets with the equal support property</i>	429
Forrest Miller, <i>Quasivector topologies</i>	445
Marion Edward Moore and Arthur Steger, <i>Some results on completability in commutative rings</i>	453
A. P. Morse, <i>Taylor's theorem</i>	461
Richard E. Phillips, Derek J. S. Robinson and James Edward Roseblade, <i>Maximal subgroups and chief factors of certain generalized soluble groups</i>	475
Doron Ravdin, <i>On extensions of homeomorphisms to homeomorphisms</i>	481
John William Rosenthal, <i>Relations not determining the structure of L</i>	497
Prem Lal Sharma, <i>Proximity bases and subbases</i>	515
Larry Smith, <i>On ideals in Ω_*^u</i>	527
Warren R. Wogen, <i>von Neumann algebras generated by operators similar to normal operators</i>	539
R. Grant Woods, <i>Co-absolutes of remainders of Stone-Čech compactifications</i>	545