

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

APOSYNDETIK PROPERTIES OF UNICOHERENT CONTINUA

DONALD EARL BENNETT

APOSYNDETIC PROPERTIES OF UNICOHERENT CONTINUA

DONALD E. BENNETT

In the first part of this paper the structure of n -aposyndetic continua is studied. In particular, those continua which are n -aposyndetic but fail to be $(n + 1)$ -aposyndetic are investigated. Unicoherence is shown to be a sufficient condition for an n -aposyndetic continuum to be $(n + 1)$ -aposyndetic. In the final portion of the paper a stronger form of unicoherence is defined. As a point-wise property, aposynthesis and connected im kleinen are shown to be equivalent in continua with this property.

Throughout this paper a *continuum* is a compact connected metric space and M will denote a continuum. If N is a subcontinuum of M , the interior of N in M will be denoted by $\text{int } N$. Suppose $p \in M$ and F is a closed subset of M such that $p \notin F$. M is *aposyndetic at p with respect to F* if there is a subcontinuum N of M such that $p \in \text{int } N \subset N \subset M - F$. Let n be a positive integer. If M is aposyndetic at p with respect to each subset of M consisting of n points, then M is *n -aposyndetic at p* . M is *n -aposyndetic* if it is n -aposyndetic at each point. By convention if M is 1-aposyndetic then M is said to be aposyndetic.

For other terms not defined herein, see [3], [4] and [6].

LEMMA 1. *Suppose M is n -aposyndetic, $p \in M$, F is a subset of $M - \{p\}$ consisting of $n + 1$ points, and M is not aposyndetic at p with respect to F . If F_1 and F_2 are disjoint nonempty subsets of F such that $F = F_1 \cup F_2$, there exist subcontinua H and K such that $F_1 \subset H - K$, $F_2 \subset K - H$, $p \in \text{int}(H \cap K)$, and $M = H \cup K$.*

Proof. Suppose F_1 and F_2 are disjoint nonempty subsets of F and $F = F_1 \cup F_2$. For each $x \in F_1$ there is a subcontinuum N_x in $M - (F - \{x\})$ such that $p \in \text{int } N_x$. Clearly $x \in N_x$. Let $A = \bigcup \{N_x : x \in F_1\}$. For each $x \in F_1$ there is a subcontinuum L_x such that $x \in \text{int } L_x$ and $L_x \cap F_2 = \emptyset$. Let $A_1 = A \cup (\bigcup \{L_x : x \in F_1\})$. Then A_1 is a continuum, $\{p\} \cup F_1 \subset \text{int } A_1$, and $A_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset$.

Now by interchanging the roles of F_1 and F_2 we obtain a continuum A_2 such that $\{p\} \cup F_2 \subset \text{int } A_2$ and $A_2 \cap F_1 = \emptyset$.

Let $V = (M - A_1) \cap \text{int } A_2$ and $U = (M - A_2) \cap \text{int } A_1$. Let H be the component of $M - V$ which contains A_1 and let K be the component of $M - U$ which contains A_2 . Then $F_1 \subset H - K$, $F_2 \subset K - H$,

$p \in \text{int}(H \cap K)$, and $M = H \cup K$.

THEOREM 1. *Suppose M is n -aposyndetic but fails to be $(n + 1)$ -aposyndetic. Then for each pair of positive integers i and j such that $i + j = n + 1$, there exist subcontinua H and K such that H is not i -aposyndetic, K is not j -aposyndetic, and $M = H \cup K$.*

Proof. Suppose M is not aposyndetic at p with respect to $F = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}\}$. Let i and j be positive integers such that $i + j = n + 1$. Let $F_i = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i\}$ and $F_j = \{x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, \dots, x_{n+1}\}$. By Lemma 1 there are subcontinua H and K such that $F_i \subset H - K$, $F_j \subset K - H$, $p \in \text{int}(H \cap K)$, and $M = H \cup K$.

Now if H is i -aposyndetic, there is a subcontinuum N in $H - F_i$ and a set V open in H such that $p \in V \subset N$. Let $U = (\text{int}(H \cap K)) \cap V$. Then U is open in M and $p \in U \subset N \subset M - F$. Since this is contrary to the supposition, H is not i -aposyndetic.

In a similar manner, it follows that K fails to be j -aposyndetic.

THEOREM 2. *Let n be a positive integer and suppose M is n -aposyndetic. If M is unicoherent, then M is $(n + 1)$ -aposyndetic.*

Proof. Suppose M fails to be $(n + 1)$ -aposyndetic. There is a $p \in M$, a set $F = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ consisting of $n + 1$ points in $M - \{p\}$, and M is not aposyndetic at p with respect to F . By Lemma 1, there are continua H and K such that $\{x_0\} \subset H - K$, $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subset K - H$, $p \in \text{int}(H \cap K)$, and $M = H \cup K$. Since $p \in \text{int}(H \cap K) \subset H \cap K \subset M - F$, it follows that $H \cap K$ is not a continuum. Therefore M fails to be unicoherent.

COROLLARY 1. *Suppose M is unicoherent and aposyndetic. Then for each positive integer n , M is n -aposyndetic.*

A continuum M is said to be k -coherent (finitely coherent) provided that for each pair of proper subcontinua H and K such that $M = H \cup K$, then $H \cap K$ has at most k components (a finite number of components). Thus unicoherence is the same as 1-coherence.

With obvious modifications, Theorem 2 and Corollary 1 also hold for continua which are finitely coherent.

In [5] Vought proves that a planar continuum is locally connected if and only if it is 2-aposyndetic. By combining this result with Corollary 1 we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 3. *Let M be unicoherent planar continuum. Then M is locally connected if and only if M is aposyndetic.*

The following example shows that the theorem does not hold if M fails to be planar.

EXAMPLE 1. Let M be the product of the cone over the Cantor set with the unit interval. Then M is unicoherent and aposyndetic but is not locally connected.

According to [1, Th. 13, p. 100] and [3, Th. 2, p. 437], each planar continuum which fails to separate the plane is unicoherent. Thus the following theorem is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.

THEOREM 4. (Jones [2]) *Suppose M is a planar continuum which does not separate the plane. Then M is locally connected if and only if M is aposyndetic.*

A *dendrite* is a locally connected continuum which does not contain a simple closed curve. One of many characterizations of a dendrite is that a continuum is a dendrite if and only if it is one-dimensional, unicoherent, and locally connected [3, Cor. 8, p. 442].

Question. If M is a one-dimensional, unicoherent, aposyndetic continuum, does it follow that M is a dendrite?

It is easily seen that if M is hereditarily unicoherent and aposyndetic, then M is locally connected and hence a dendrite. The following results establish a weaker condition under which aposyndesis and locally connectedness are equivalent.

DEFINITION. A decomposable unicoherent continuum M is *strongly unicoherent* provided that for each pair of proper subcontinua H and K such that $M = H \cup K$, both H and K are unicoherent.

EXAMPLE 2. Let M consist of a ray R and a simple closed curve C such that R limits on C . Clearly M is strongly unicoherent, but not hereditarily unicoherent since it contains the non-unicoherent continuum C .

THEOREM 5. *Suppose M is strongly unicoherent and aposyndetic. Then M is hereditarily decomposable.*

Proof. Let N be a proper subcontinuum of M and let x and y be distinct points of N . Since M is aposyndetic, there exist subcontinua H and K such that $x \in H - K$, $y \in K - H$, and $M = H \cup K$ [2]. Now $H \cup N$ and $K \cup N$ are subcontinua of M and $(H \cup N) \cup K = M =$

$H \cup (K \cup N)$. It follows that $H \cap N$ and $K \cap N$ are nonempty continua and $N = (H \cap N) \cup (K \cap N)$. Thus N is decomposable.

COROLLARY 2. *A strongly unicoherent aposyndetic continuum is one-dimensional.*

THEOREM 6. *Suppose M is strongly unicoherent. Then M is aposyndetic at a point p if and only if M is connected im kleinen at p .*

Proof. If M is connected im kleinen at p , it is immediate that M is aposyndetic at p .

Suppose M is aposyndetic at p and is not connected im kleinen at p . There is an open set U containing p such that M is not aposyndetic at p with respect to $M - U$. This property on “ p ” is inducible. Thus by the Brower Reduction Theorem [6, Th. 11, p. 17], there is an open set V such that $U \subset V$, M is not aposyndetic at p with respect to $M - V$, but for any open set W properly containing V , M is aposyndetic at p with respect to $M - W$.

Let $x \in M - V$. There is a subcontinuum N in $M - \{x\}$ such that $p \in \text{int } N$.

Assertion. There are proper subcontinua H and K such that $M = H \cup K$, $p \in \text{int } H$, and $x \in K - H$. For if N does not separate M , let $H = N$ and $K = \text{Cl}(M - N)$. If N separates M into disjoint open sets S and T , assume $x \in T$; let $H = N \cup S$, and let $K = N \cup T$.

Let $A = (M - V) \cap H$. If $A = \emptyset$, then $M - V \subset K - H$ which implies that M is aposyndetic at p with respect to $M - V$. So assume $A \neq \emptyset$. Since $M - A$ properly contains V , there is a subcontinuum L in $M - A$ such that $p \in \text{int } L$. Now $p \in [(\text{int } H) \cap (\text{int } L)] \subset L \cap H \subset V$ which implies that $L \cap H$ is not a continuum. Since $M = (L \cup H) \cup K$, this contradicts the strong unicoherence of M .

Therefore M is connected im kleinen at p .

COROLLARY 3. *Suppose M is strongly unicoherent. Then M is aposyndetic if and only if M is locally connected.*

Since a strongly unicoherent aposyndetic continuum is one-dimensional (Corollary 2), we have the following characterization of a dendrite.

THEOREM 7. *A continuum M is a dendrite if and only if M is strongly unicoherent and aposyndetic.*

If the answer to the question proposed above is negative, then the following corollary provides some information concerning the structure of such continua.

COROLLARY 4. *Let M be a unicoherent, aposyndetic, one-dimensional continuum. If M is not a dendrite, there exist proper subcontinua H and K such that $M = H \cup K$ and either H or K fails to be unicoherent.*

REFERENCES

1. W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, *Dimension Theory*, Princeton U. Press, 1948, Princeton, N. J.
2. F. B. Jones, *Aposyndetic continua and certain boundary problems*, Amer. J. Math., **63** (1941), 545-553.
3. K. Kuratowski, *Topology II*, Academic Press, 1968, New York and London.
4. R. L. Moore, *Foundations of point set theory*, Amer. Math. Soc., Colloquium Publications, Vol. 13, Revised Edition, 1962, New York.
5. E. J. Vought, *n -Aposyndetic continua and cutting theorems*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **140** (1969), 127-135.
6. G. T. Whyburn, *Analytical Topology*, Amer. Math. Soc., Colloquium Publications, Vol. 28, 1942, New York.

Received September 21, 1970. This paper is part of the author's dissertation written under the direction of Professor J. B. Fugate at the University of Kentucky. The author wishes to express his appreciation to Professor Fugate for his guidance and encouragement.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY
AND
MURRAY STATE UNIVERSITY
MURRAY, KENTUCKY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

C. R. HOBBY
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

Mohammad Shafqat Ali and Marvin David Marcus, <i>On the degree of the minimal polynomial of a commutator operator</i>	561
Howard Anton and William J. Pervin, <i>Integration on topological semifields</i>	567
Martin Bartelt, <i>Multipliers and operator algebras on bounded analytic functions</i>	575
Donald Earl Bennett, <i>Aposyndetic properties of unicoherent continua</i>	585
James W. Bond, <i>Lie algebras of genus one and genus two</i>	591
Mario Borelli, <i>The cohomology of divisorial varieties</i>	617
Carlos R. Borges, <i>How to recognize homeomorphisms and isometries</i>	625
J. C. Breckenridge, <i>Burkill-Cesari integrals of quasi additive interval functions</i>	635
J. Csima, <i>A class of counterexamples on permanents</i>	655
Carl Hanson Fitzgerald, <i>Conformal mappings onto ω-swirly domains</i>	657
Newcomb Greenleaf, <i>Analytic sheaves on Klein surfaces</i>	671
G. Goss and Giovanni Viglino, <i>C-compact and functionally compact spaces</i>	677
Charles Lemuel Hagopian, <i>Arcwise connectivity of semi-aposyndetic plane continua</i>	683
John Harris and Olga Higgins, <i>Prime generators with parabolic limits</i>	687
David Michael Henry, <i>Stratifiable spaces, semi-stratifiable spaces, and their relation through mappings</i>	697
Raymond D. Holmes, <i>On contractive semigroups of mappings</i>	701
Joseph Edmund Kist and P. H. Maserick, <i>BV-functions on semilattices</i>	711
Shûichirô Maeda, <i>On point-free parallelism and Wilcox lattices</i>	725
Gary L. Musser, <i>Linear semiprime $(p; q)$ radicals</i>	749
William Charles Nemitz and Thomas Paul Whaley, <i>Varieties of implicative semilattices</i>	759
Jaroslav Nešetřil, <i>A congruence theorem for asymmetric trees</i>	771
Robert Anthony Nowlan, <i>A study of H-spaces via left translations</i>	779
Gert Kjærgaard Pedersen, <i>Atomic and diffuse functionals on a C^*-algebra</i>	795
Tilak Raj Prabhakar, <i>On the other set of the biorthogonal polynomials suggested by the Laguerre polynomials</i>	801
Leland Edward Rogers, <i>Mutually aposyndetic products of chainable continua</i>	805
Frederick Stern, <i>An estimate for Wiener integrals connected with squared error in a Fourier series approximation</i>	813
Leonard Paul Sternbach, <i>On k-shrinking and k-boundedly complete basic sequences and quasi-reflexive spaces</i>	817
Pak-Ken Wong, <i>Modular annihilator A^*-algebras</i>	825