

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

THE COHOMOLOGY OF DIVISORIAL VARIETIES

MARIO BORELLI

THE COHOMOLOGY OF DIVISORIAL VARIETIES

MARIO BORELLI

A well known theorem of Serre states the equivalence between the ampleness of a linear equivalence class of divisors on an algebraic variety and the vanishing of the first cohomology groups related to sufficiently high multiples of such linear equivalence class. In this paper the result of the above theorem is extended in the following direction: given a linear equivalence class on an algebraic variety, does there exist a cohomological characterization of the open subset consisting of points of the variety which belong to affine open complements of effective divisors in the multiples of the given class? The characterization obtained is the main result, and it gives easily Serre's result as a particular case. While in one direction the proof uses the vanishing theorem quoted in the beginning, it is independent of it in the opposite direction. A simple application of the main result gives a first cohomological characterization of divisorial varieties.

We prove first two lemmas, which hold, in our opinion, an intrinsic value, then we prove our main result, namely Theorem 4.

We use throughout this paper the notations and language of [6] and [8]. We deal entirely with schemes of finite type over an algebraically closed groundfield k , and we refer to them, for brevity's sake, simply as schemes. We shall also say "proper schemes" rather than schemes proper over $\text{Spec}(k)$.

When we refer to, say, Lemma 3, without any further reference, we mean Lemma 3 of the present work.

We begin with the two lemmas mentioned in the introduction, needed later in the proof of Theorem 4.

LEMMA 1. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a projective morphism of schemes. Let \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf over X , ample for f , and let*

$$\mathcal{G}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}'' \longrightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence of coherent sheaves over Y . Then, for $n \gg 0$, the sequence of coherent sheaves over Y

$$\begin{aligned} f_*(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \otimes f^*(\mathcal{G}')) &\longrightarrow f_*(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \otimes f^*(\mathcal{G})) \\ &\longrightarrow f_*(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \otimes f^*(\mathcal{G}'')) \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

is exact.

Proof. The functor f^* is right exact, and therefore the sequence

$$f^*(\mathcal{E}') \longrightarrow f^*(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow f^*(\mathcal{E}'') \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Since the morphism f is projective, and \mathcal{L} is ample for f , we can apply Theorem 2.4.1 of Ch. III of [6] to the sheaves $\mathcal{K}' = \ker[f^*(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow f^*(\mathcal{E}'')]$ and $\mathcal{K}'' = \ker[f^*(\mathcal{E}') \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}']$. We obtain that, for $n \gg 0$, the sequence in the statement of the lemma is exact, and the lemma is proved.

In [1] the author defined divisorial schemes as those schemes which have the property that every point is in the affine open complement of an effective Cartier divisor. For divisorial schemes the following lemma holds:

LEMMA 2. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of schemes. Assume that Y is divisorial, and f projective. Let \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf over X , ample for f . Then, for every coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} over Y , the natural homomorphism*

$$f_*(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}) \otimes \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow f_*(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \otimes f^*(\mathcal{F}))$$

is an isomorphism for $n \gg 0$.

Proof. If \mathcal{F} is locally free over Y , the lemma holds by virtue of 5.4.10 of Ch. 0_r of [6]. Let now \mathcal{F} be arbitrary. Since Y is divisorial, by theorem 3.3 of [2] we have an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H} are locally free sheaves over Y .

Now, by tensoring the above exact sequence with $f_*(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n})$, and then applying to the same exact sequence above lemma 1, we obtain two exact sequences which, by comparison and our first remark, give us the proof of the lemma.

As is well known (See [8], or Ch. III of [6]), the vanishing of the first cohomology group $H^1(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{F})$, \mathcal{F} an arbitrary coherent sheaf over X , and $n \gg 0$, is equivalent, when X is a proper scheme, to saying that the invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} is ample on X . Theorem 4 below generalizes the above result.

We begin by recalling, for completeness sake, the definition of \mathcal{L} -projective open subsets, given by the author in [3], where certain properties of such subsets are studied.

DEFINITION 3. Let Y be a scheme, \mathcal{L} an invertible sheaf over Y , U an open subscheme of Y . The subscheme U is called \mathcal{L} -pro-

jective if every point $y \in U$ is contained in the affine complement of the zeros of a section $s \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n})$, for some integer $n > 0$.

Our main result is the following characterization of \mathcal{L} -projective open subschemes.

THEOREM 4. *Let Y be a proper, normal, divisorial, integral scheme. Let \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf over Y , and U an open subscheme of Y . Then U is \mathcal{L} -projective if, and only if, there exists a sequence of coherent sheaves of ideals $\{\mathcal{I}_n\}_{n>0}$ of \mathcal{O}_Y with supports off U and such that*

- (i) $\mathcal{I}_n \supset \mathcal{I}_{n+1}$ for all $n > 0$
- (ii) $\mathcal{I}_n \mathcal{I}_m \subset \mathcal{I}_{n+m}$ for all $n, m > 0$
- (iii) For every coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} over Y there exists an integer N such that, for all $n \geq N$, and all $i > 0$

$$H^i(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{I}_n \otimes \mathcal{F}) = 0 .$$

Proof. Let U be \mathcal{L} -projective. By Proposition 2.7 of [3] there exists a proper scheme X , and a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that:

- (a) f is projective, birational, and surjective.
- (b) $f|_{f^{-1}(U)}: f^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is an isomorphism.
- (c) There exist two invertible sheaves of ideals \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J} of \mathcal{O}_X , with supports off $f^{-1}(U)$, and an integer $t > 0$, such that, for $n \gg 0$, the invertible sheaf $f^*(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes nt}) \otimes \mathcal{I}^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{J}$ is ample for X .

Fix one such n , say n_0 . By property (c) above we have that, for every coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} over Y there exists an integer \bar{n} such that, for all $n \geq \bar{n}$, and all $j, 0 \leq j < n_0 t$, and all $i > 0$,

$$H^i(X, f^*(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n_0 t+j}) \otimes \mathcal{I}^{\otimes n_0} \otimes \mathcal{J}^{\otimes n} \otimes f^*(\mathcal{F})) = 0$$

and also

$$(4.1) \quad R^q f_*[f^*(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n_0 t+j}) \otimes \mathcal{I}^{\otimes n_0} \otimes \mathcal{J}^{\otimes n} \otimes f^*(\mathcal{F})] = 0 \quad q > 0 .$$

By Lemma 2 we may furthermore assume that \bar{n} is chosen so that, for all $n \geq \bar{n}$, and all $j, 0 \leq j < n_0 t$

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & f_*(f^*(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n_0 t+j}) \otimes \mathcal{I}^{\otimes n_0} \otimes \mathcal{J}^{\otimes n} \otimes f^*(\mathcal{F})) \\ & \cong f_*(f^*(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n_0 t+j}) \otimes \mathcal{I}^{\otimes n_0} \otimes \mathcal{J}^{\otimes n}) \otimes \mathcal{F} \\ & \cong \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n_0 t+j} \otimes f_*(\mathcal{I}^{\otimes n_0} \otimes \mathcal{J}^{\otimes n}) \otimes \mathcal{F} . \end{aligned}$$

Consider now the Leray spectral sequence of the morphism f (See [7] or [4]),

$$\begin{aligned} E_2^{p,q} &= H^p[Y, R^q f_*(f^*(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n_0 t+j}) \otimes \mathcal{I}^{\otimes n_0} \otimes \mathcal{J}^{\otimes n} \otimes f^*(\mathcal{F}))] \\ &\implies H^{p+q}(X, f^*(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n_0 t+j}) \otimes \mathcal{I}^{\otimes n_0} \otimes \mathcal{J}^{\otimes n} \otimes f^*(\mathcal{F})) . \end{aligned}$$

By (4.1) above we obtain, for $n \geq \bar{n}$, and $i > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & H^i(Y, f_*(f^*(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n n_0 t+j}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n n_0} \otimes \mathcal{I}^{\otimes n} \otimes f^*(\mathcal{F}))) \\ &= H^i(X, f^*(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n n_0 t+j}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n n_0} \otimes \mathcal{I}^{\otimes n} \otimes f^*(\mathcal{F})) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, by (4.2),

$$(4.3) \quad H^i(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n n_0 t+j} \otimes f_*(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n n_0} \otimes \mathcal{I}^{\otimes n}) \otimes \mathcal{F}) = 0$$

for all $n \geq \bar{n}$, all $j, 0 \leq j < n \circ t$, and all $i > 0$.

For any integer $m > 0$ let $i(m)$ denote the greatest integer $\leq m/n_0 t$. Define

$$\mathcal{I}_m = f_*(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n_0 i(m)} \otimes \mathcal{I}^{\otimes i(m)}).$$

Using that Y is normal, f_* left exact, and (4.3), one easily verifies that the sequence of coherent sheaves $\{\mathcal{I}_m\}_{m>0}$ is indeed a sequence of sheaves of ideals of \mathcal{O}_Y having the desired properties (i), (ii), (iii) of the statement of the theorem. Furthermore, since \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{L} have supports off $f^{-1}(U)$, the sheaves of ideals $\mathcal{I}_m, m = 1, 2, \dots$ have supports off U . The necessity of our condition is proved.

To prove the sufficiency we have to show that every point $y \in U$ belongs to the open affine complement Y_s of some section $s \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n})$, for some integer $n > 0$. It suffices to do this for closed points.

Let \mathcal{I}_y be the sheaf of ideals of \mathcal{O}_Y defining the reduced scheme structure on the closed subscheme $\{y\}$. Choose the integer $n > 0$, using condition (iii), so that

$$H^1(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{I}_n \otimes \mathcal{I}_y) = 0.$$

Since y does not belong to the support of \mathcal{I}_n we have an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{I}_n \otimes \mathcal{I}_y \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{I}_n \\ &\longrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{I}_n \otimes (\mathcal{O}_Y/\mathcal{I}_y) \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

By our choice of n we obtain that the homomorphism

$$H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{I}_n) \longrightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{I}_n \otimes (\mathcal{O}_Y/\mathcal{I}_y)) = k$$

is surjective. Therefore there exists a section $s' \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{I}_n)$ which maps onto the element $1 \in k$ under the above homomorphism. The inclusion $\mathcal{I}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y$ gives rise to a monomorphism

$$H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{I}_n) \longrightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n})$$

and the section $s \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n})$ which corresponds to s' under the above monomorphism is such that $y \in Y_s$.

We now proceed to show that Y_s is affine. Let $V = \text{Spec}(A)$ be

a sufficiently small open subscheme of Y , and let, for every positive integer m ,

$$I_m = H^\circ(V, \mathcal{I}_{nm}) .$$

Since the section $s \in H^\circ(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n})$ is the image of the section $s' \in H^\circ(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{I}_n)$ we see that the local equation of s over U is an element $f \in A$ which belongs to I_1 . By condition (ii) of the theorem we have $f^m \in I_m$ for every $m > 0$. Consequently we have inclusions $A \subseteq (1/f^m)A \otimes I_m \subseteq A_f$, which show that, for every $m > 0$, we have inclusions

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{O}_Y \subseteq \mathcal{L}^{\otimes nm} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{nm} \subseteq j_* \mathcal{O}_{Y_s} ,$$

where $j: Y_s \rightarrow Y$ is the canonical inclusion.

Let now \mathcal{F} be an arbitrary coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_{Y_s} -modules. Since the complement of Y_s in Y is locally given by a single equation, the inclusion j is an affine morphism, and therefore $H^1(Y_s, \mathcal{F}) = H^1(Y, j_*(\mathcal{F}))$. To prove that Y_s is affine it therefore suffices, by a well known theorem of Serre (See [9]), to show that $H^1(Y, j_*(\mathcal{F})) = 0$.

Now $j_*(\mathcal{F})$ is a quasi-coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_Y -modules, and therefore it is the union of an increasing sequence $\{\mathcal{F}_\nu\}_{\nu > 0}$ of coherent subsheaves. The inclusions (4.4) give natural homomorphisms

$$\mathcal{F}_\nu \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\otimes nm} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{nm} \otimes \mathcal{F}_\nu \rightarrow j_*(\mathcal{F})$$

for every $m > 0$, whose composition is the inclusion $\mathcal{F}_\nu \rightarrow j_*(\mathcal{F})$. For $m \gg 0$ (depending possibly on ν) we have, by hypothesis (iii) of the theorem,

$$H^1(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes nm} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{nm} \otimes \mathcal{F}_\nu) = 0 .$$

Therefore the natural homomorphism $H^1(Y, \mathcal{F}_\nu) \rightarrow H^1(Y, j_*(\mathcal{F}))$ is the zero homomorphism. Taking a direct limit over ν we see that the identity homomorphism of $H^1(Y, j_*(\mathcal{F}))$ into itself is the zero homomorphism. Therefore $H^1(Y, j_*(\mathcal{F}))$ must vanish, and the theorem is proved.

The author is grateful to the referee for suggesting the correct proof of the vanishing of $H^1(Y, j_*(\mathcal{F}))$.

REMARKS 1. As usual, only the case $i = 1$ of hypothesis (iii) was used in the proof of the sufficiency of the condition. Note also that the condition is sufficient for any integral scheme Y , normal or not, proper or not.

2. The hypothesis of normality of Y can be relaxed in Theorem 4. However, one does no longer obtain that the sheaves $\mathcal{I}_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$ are sheaves of ideals of \mathcal{O}_Y . More precisely:

COROLLARY 5. *Let Y be a proper, divisorial, integral scheme. Let U be an open subscheme of Y , and \mathcal{L} an invertible sheaf over Y . Then U is \mathcal{L} -projective if, and only if, there exists a descending sequence $\{\mathcal{I}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ of coherent \mathcal{O}_Y -submodules of $\mathcal{B}(Y)$ such that:*

- (i) $\mathcal{O}_Y \cong \mathcal{I}_0$, $\mathcal{I}_n \cap \mathcal{O}_Y|_U = \mathcal{O}_Y|_U$ $n \geq 0$
- (ii) $\mathcal{I}_n \mathcal{I}_m \subset \mathcal{I}_{n+m}$ $n, m \geq 0$
- (iii) For every coherent sheaf of \mathcal{I}_0 -modules \mathcal{F}

$$H^i(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{I}_n \otimes_{\mathcal{I}_0} \mathcal{F}) = 0$$

for $n \gg 0$, and all $i > 0$.

Proof. Note that condition (ii) makes \mathcal{I}_0 into a coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_Y -algebras, and \mathcal{I}_n into a coherent sheaf of \mathcal{I}_0 -ideals. Therefore condition (iii) makes sense.

Let U be \mathcal{L} -projective. With the same notations as in the proof of Theorem 4, let $X \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} Y$ be the Stein factorization of the morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$. Apply the same argument given in the proof of Theorem 4 to the morphism g . Using 1.4.8.1 of Ch. II of [6] we easily verify that the sequence $\{\mathcal{I}_m\}_{m > 0}$ defined as in the proof on the theorem, together with $\mathcal{I}_0 = h_*(\mathcal{O}_Z)$, obeys conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) of the statement of the corollary. The necessity is proved.

To prove the sufficiency, let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{I}_0$, $Z = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{B})$, and let $g: Z \rightarrow Y$ be the canonical morphism. By hypothesis (i) we have that $g|_{g^{-1}(U)}: g^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is an isomorphism. Also, from 1.4.8.1 of Ch. II of [6] and the remark after the proof of Theorem 4, we obtain that $g^{-1}(U)$ is $g^*(\mathcal{L})$ -projective. Let \mathcal{K} be the conductor of \mathcal{B} over \mathcal{O}_Y , and let, with the notations of §1.4 of Ch. II of [6], $\mathcal{K}' = \tilde{\mathcal{K}}$.

Since $\mathcal{K}'|_{g^{-1}(U)} = \mathcal{O}_Z|_{g^{-1}(U)}$, an easy argument shows that U is \mathcal{L} -projective. (See, for example, the proof of 2.6.2.5 of Ch. III of [6]). The corollary is proved.

REMARKS 2. Both in Theorem 4 and Corollary 5 the proof of the necessity of the condition uses the vanishing of $H^1(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{F})$ when \mathcal{L} is an ample invertible sheaf over X . However, the proof of the sufficiency of the condition (s) is independent of the corresponding sufficiency statement for the ampleness of \mathcal{L} . In fact, the latter result can be easily obtained from the former by setting $\mathcal{I}_n = \mathcal{O}_Y$.

2. Note that in the proof of the sufficiency in Theorem 4 we have actually shown that $Y\text{-Supp}(\mathcal{I}_n)$ is \mathcal{L} -projective. In fact one easily checks that the properties stated for the sequence $\{\mathcal{I}_n\}_{n > 0}$ imply that the sheaves of ideals \mathcal{I}_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$ have all the same radical, hence the same support.

COROLLARY 6. *Let X be a normal, proper, integral scheme. Then X is divisorial if and only if, there exists a finite number of decreasing sequences of sheaves of ideals $\{\mathcal{I}_n^{(i)}\}_{n>0}$, $i = 1, \dots, t$, of \mathcal{O}_X , and invertible sheaves $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_t$ over X such that:*

- (i) $\mathcal{I}_n^{(i)} \mathcal{I}_m^{(i)} \subset \mathcal{I}_{n+m}^{(i)}$, $n, m > 0$, $1 \leq i \leq t$
- (ii) $\bigcap_{i=1}^t \text{Supp}(\mathcal{I}_n^{(i)}) = \emptyset$
- (iii) *For every coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} over X and every i , $1 \leq i \leq t$, $H^p(X, \mathcal{L}_i^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{I}_n^{(i)} \otimes \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for all $p > 0$, and $n \gg 0$.*

REFERENCES

1. M. Borelli, *Divisorial varieties*, Pacific J. Math., **13** (1963), 375-388.
2. ———, *Some results on ampleness and divisorial schemes*, Pacific J. Math., **23** (1967), 217-227.
3. ———, *Affine complements of divisors*, Pacific J. Math., **31** (1969), 595-607.
4. H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological algebra*, Princeton University Press, 1956.
5. J. Goodman, and R. Hartshorne, *Schemes with finite dimensional cohomology groups*, Amer. J. Math., **91** (1969), 258-266.
6. A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonne, *Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique*, Publ. Math. de l' I.H.E.S., **4**, **8**, **11**, Paris, (1960, 1961).
7. A. Grothendieck, *Sur Quelques Points d'Algèbre Homologique*, Tohoku Math. J., **9** (1957), 119-221.
8. J. P. Serre, *Faisceaux Algébriques Cohérents*, Ann. of Math., (2), **61** (1955), 197-278.
9. ———, *Sur la Cohomologie des Variétés Algébriques*, J. de Math. pures et appliquées, **36** (1957), 1-16.

Received September 23, 1969 and in revised form March 25, 1970.

UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

C. R. HOBBY
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 37, No. 3

March, 1971

Mohammad Shafqat Ali and Marvin David Marcus, <i>On the degree of the minimal polynomial of a commutator operator</i>	561
Howard Anton and William J. Pervin, <i>Integration on topological semifields</i>	567
Martin Bartelt, <i>Multipliers and operator algebras on bounded analytic functions</i>	575
Donald Earl Bennett, <i>Aposyndetic properties of unicoherent continua</i>	585
James W. Bond, <i>Lie algebras of genus one and genus two</i>	591
Mario Borelli, <i>The cohomology of divisorial varieties</i>	617
Carlos R. Borges, <i>How to recognize homeomorphisms and isometries</i>	625
J. C. Breckenridge, <i>Burkill-Cesari integrals of quasi additive interval functions</i>	635
J. Csima, <i>A class of counterexamples on permanents</i>	655
Carl Hanson Fitzgerald, <i>Conformal mappings onto ω-swirly domains</i>	657
Newcomb Greenleaf, <i>Analytic sheaves on Klein surfaces</i>	671
G. Goss and Giovanni Viglino, <i>C-compact and functionally compact spaces</i>	677
Charles Lemuel Hagopian, <i>Arcwise connectivity of semi-aposyndetic plane continua</i>	683
John Harris and Olga Higgins, <i>Prime generators with parabolic limits</i>	687
David Michael Henry, <i>Stratifiable spaces, semi-stratifiable spaces, and their relation through mappings</i>	697
Raymond D. Holmes, <i>On contractive semigroups of mappings</i>	701
Joseph Edmund Kist and P. H. Maserick, <i>BV-functions on semilattices</i>	711
Shūichirō Maeda, <i>On point-free parallelism and Wilcox lattices</i>	725
Gary L. Musser, <i>Linear semiprime $(p; q)$ radicals</i>	749
William Charles Nemitz and Thomas Paul Whaley, <i>Varieties of implicative semilattices</i>	759
Jaroslav Nešetřil, <i>A congruence theorem for asymmetric trees</i>	771
Robert Anthony Nowlan, <i>A study of H-spaces via left translations</i>	779
Gert Kjærgaard Pedersen, <i>Atomic and diffuse functionals on a C*-algebra</i>	795
Tilak Raj Prabhakar, <i>On the other set of the biorthogonal polynomials suggested by the Laguerre polynomials</i>	801
Leland Edward Rogers, <i>Mutually aposyndetic products of chainable continua</i>	805
Frederick Stern, <i>An estimate for Wiener integrals connected with squared error in a Fourier series approximation</i>	813
Leonard Paul Sternbach, <i>On k-shrinking and k-boundedly complete basic sequences and quasi-reflexive spaces</i>	817
Pak-Ken Wong, <i>Modular annihilator A*-algebras</i>	825