Pacific Journal of Mathematics

LINEAR SEMIPRIME $(p; q)$ RADICALS

GARY L. MUSSER

Vol. 37, No. 3 March 1971

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 37, No. 3, 1971

LINEAR SEMIPRIME $(p;q)$ RADICALS

GARY L. MUSSER

This paper introduces McKnight's $(p; q)$-regularity and $(p; q)$ radicals, a collection of radicals which contains the Jacobson radical and the radicals of regularity and strong regularity among its members. The linear semiprime $(p; q)$ radicals are classified canonically and, as a result of this classification, these radicals can be distinguished by the fields $GF(p)$ and are shown to form a lattice. The semiprime $(p; q)$ radicals are found to be hereditary and the linear semiprime $(p; q)$ radical of a complete matrix ring of a ring R is determined to be the complete matrix ring over the $(p; q)$ radical of R. More generally, the $(p; q)$ radical of a complete matrix ring over R is contained in the matrix ring over the $(p; q)$ radical of R for all $(p; q)$ radicals.

A function ρ which assigns to each ring R an ideal ρR of the ring is called a *radical function* in the sense of Amitsur and Kurosh [1; 5] if it has the following properties:

R1: If $\phi: R \rightarrow S$ is a ring epimorphism and $\rho R = R$, then $\rho S = S$.

R2: $\rho(\rho R) = \rho R$ for all rings R and if $\rho I = I$ for any ideal I of R, then $I \subseteqq \rho R$.

R3: $\rho(R/\rho R) = 0$ for all rings R.

If ρ is a radical function, then the ideal ρR is called the *radical* of R. If $\rho R = R$ for some ring R, then R is called a *ρ-radical ring* while if $\rho R = 0$ we call R a *ρ-semisimple ring*. If I is an ideal (right ideal) of a ring R, then I is called a *ρ-radical ideal* (right ideal) if I is a ρ-radical ring.

Now let $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ be polynomials with integer coefficients. An element r of a ring R is called *$(p; q)$-regular* if $r \in p(r)Rq(r)$, that is, $r = p(r)sq(r)$ for some $s \in R$ where an integer multiple of a ring element has its usual meaning. If every element of an ideal I of R is $(p; q)$-regular, that is, if $r \in p(r)Iq(r)$ for all $r \in I$, then I is said to be a *$(p; q)$-regular ideal*. Examples of $(p; q)$-regularity are quasi-regularity, $(x + 1; 1)$ [4], von Neumann regularity, $(x; x)$ [7] and strong regularity, $(x^2; 1)$ [2].

LEMMA 1. *If I and R/I are $(p; q)$-regular, then R is $(p; q)$-regular.*

Proof. Let $r \in R$. Then $r + I \in R/I$, which implies

$$r + I = p(r + I)(s + I)q(r + I) = p(r)sq(r) + I$$

for some $s + I \in R/I$. Thus $r - p(r)sq(r) \in I$ and, since I is $(p; q)$-

regular, $r - p(r)sq(r) = p[r - p(r)sq(r)]tq[r - p(r)sq(r)]$ for some $t \in I$. Moreover there exist $u, v \in R$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} r - p(r)sq(r) &= p[r - p(r)sq(r)]tq[r - p(r)sq(r)] \\ &= [p(r) - p(r)u]t[q(r) - vq(r)] \end{aligned}$$

or $r = p(r)(s + t - ut - tv + utv)q(r)$. Therefore R is $(p; q)$-regular.

LEMMA 2. *If I and J are $(p; q)$-regular ideals of R, then $I + J$ is a $(p; q)$-regular ideal of R.*

Proof. Immediate from Lemma 1, since the homomorphic image of a $(p; q)$-regular ring is a $(p; q)$-regular ring.

COROLLARY 1. *The sum of all $(p; q)$-regular ideals of a ring R is a $(p; q)$-regular ideal of R.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2, since $(p; q)$-regularity is defined elementwise.

We shall let $(p(x)Rq(x))$ denote the largest $(p; q)$-regular ideal of the ring R. Then we have

THEOREM 1. (J. D. McKnight, Jr.) *If a function ρ is defined by $\rho R = (p(x)Rq(x))$ for all rings R, then ρ is a radical function.*

Proof. We only need to show R3 holds. Let $I/\rho R$ be a $(p; q)$-regular ideal of $\rho(R/\rho R)$. Then by Lemma 1, I is a $(p; q)$-regular ideal of R and $I \subseteqq \rho R$.

We shall call $(p(x)Rq(x))$ the $(p; q)$ radical of the ring R. Thus the Jacobson radical and the radicals of regularity and strong regularity of R are given by $((x + 1)R)$, (xRx) and (x^2R) respectively.

1. A canonical representation for linear semiprime $(p; q)$ radicals. A radical function ρ is called *semiprime* if ρR is a semiprime ideal, equivalently, if ρR contains the prime (Baer-lower) radical [6; 3]. Now we shall determine the form of the semiprime $(p; q)$ radicals.

LEMMA 3. *ρ is a semiprime radical function if and only if $\rho R = R$ for all zero rings R.*

Proof. The necessity is clear. Now if $I^2 \subseteqq \rho R$ for some ideal I of R, then $\rho[(I + \rho R)/\rho R] = (I + \rho R)/\rho R$ since $(I + \rho R)/\rho R$ is isomorphic to the zero ring $I/(I \cap \rho R)$. Also $\rho(R/\rho R) = 0$ implies

$$\rho[(I+\rho R)/\rho R]=0$$

and therefore $I \subseteqq \rho R$.

THEOREM 2. (A. H. Ortiz) *$(p(x)Rq(x))$ is semiprime for all rings R if and only if the constant terms of $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ are* 1 *or* -1.

Proof. Let $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ have constant terms 1 or -1 and R be any zero ring. Then for $r \in R$, we have $r = p(r)(\pm r)q(r)$ and $R \subseteqq (p(x)Rq(x))$. Thus $R = (p(x)Rq(x))$. Conversely, if a_0 and b_0 are the constant terms of $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ respectively, then suppose $a_0 \neq \pm 1$ or $b_0 \neq \pm 1$. Since we are assuming the $(p; q)$ radical is semiprime, it follows from Lemma 3 that for the zero ring with additive group $\boldsymbol{Z}/(a_0b_0)$ we have $(p(x)[\boldsymbol{Z}/(a_0b_0)]q(x)) = \boldsymbol{Z}/(a_0b_0)$ where $\boldsymbol{Z}$ denotes the ring of integers and (a_0b_0) the ideal generated by a_0b_0. However if $r \in (p(x)[\boldsymbol{Z}/(a_0b_0)]q(x))$, then $r \in p(r)[\boldsymbol{Z}/(a_0b_0)]q(r)$ and $r = 0$. Hence $\boldsymbol{Z}/(a_0b_0) = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Henceforth we shall be considering semiprime $(p; q)$ radicals and, since $(p(x)R) = (p(-x)R) = (-p(x)R)$, we shall assume that the constant term of $p(x)$, similarly the constant term of $q(x)$, is 1.

LEMMA 4. *If the constant term of $p(x)$ is* 1, *then for all $r \in R$ we have $r \in p(r)R$ if and only if $R = p(r)R$.*

Proof. The sufficiency is obvious. Now let $r \in p(r)R$. Since $p(r) = rf(r) + 1$ for some integral polynomial $f(x)$, for any $s \in R$ we have, $p(r)s = rf(r)s + s$. Since $r \in p(r)R$ we have $s \in p(r)R$ and $R \subseteqq p(r)R$. Therefore, $R = p(r)R$.

COROLLARY 2. *If the constant terms of $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ are both* 1, *then for all $r \in R$ we have $r \in p(r)Rq(r)$ if and only if $R = p(r)Rq(r)$.*

THEOREM 3. *If $(p(x)Rq(x))$ and $(p'(x)Rq'(x))$ are semiprime for all rings R, then $(p(x)Rq(x)) \cap (p'(x)Rq'(x)) = (p(x)p'(x)Rq'(x)q(x))$.*

Proof. Clearly $(p(x)p'(x)Rq'(x)q(x)) \subseteqq (p(x)Rq(x)) \cap (p'(x)Rq'(x))$. Now let $r \in (p(x)Rq(x)) \cap (p'(x)Rq'(x))$. Then $r \in (p'(x)Rq'(x))$ implies $r \in p'(r)Rq'(r)$ and, by Corollary 2, $R = p'(r)Rq'(r)$. Now $r \in p(r)Rq(r)$ and $R = p'(r)Rq'(r)$ implies $r \in p(r)p'(r)Rq'(r)q(r)$. The product polynominals $p(x)p'(x)$ and $q(x)q'(x)$ have constant terms 1, hence $r = p(r)p'(r)sq'(r)q(r)$ implies that $s \in (p(x)Rq(x)) \cap (p'(x)Rq'(x))$. Therefore $(p(x)Rq(x)) \cap (p'(x)Rq'(x))$ is $(pp'; q'q)$-regular and

$$(p(x)Rq(x)) \cap (p'(x)Rq'(x)) \subseteqq (p(x)p'(x)Rq'(x)q(x))\ .$$

In what follows we shall determine a canonical representation for all *linear semiprime* $(p; q)$ *radicals*, that is, $(p; q)$ radicals determined by integral polynomials $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ which are products of linear polynomials having constant term 1.

LEMMA 5. *$((ax+1)(bx+1)R) \subseteqq ([(a+b)x+1]R)$ for all integers a, b.*

Proof. Let $r \in ((ax+1)(bx+1)R)$. Then $r = (ar+1)s$ for

$$s \in ((ax+1)(bx+1)R) .$$

Thus $r = (ar+1)(bs+1)t = (br+ar+1)t = ((a+b)r+1)t$, where $t \in ((ax+1)(bx+1)R)$, implies that

$$((ax+1)(bx+1)R) \subseteqq ([(a+b)x+1]R .$$

COROLLARY 3. *$((ax+1)R) \subseteqq ((\max+1)R)$ for all integers m.*

Proof. By Theorem 3 we have $((ax+1)^m R) = ((ax+1)R)$.

COROLLARY 4. *$((ax+1)R) \subseteqq ((a^k x+1)R)$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$.*

LEMMA 6. *$((ax+1)(bx+1)R) \subseteqq ([(ma+nb)x+1]R)$ for all integers m, n.*

Proof. This is immediate from Corollary 3, Lemma 5 and Theorem 3.

Now Corollary 3, Lemma 6 and Theorem 3 yield

THEOREM 4. *$((ax+1)(bx+1)R) = ([(a, b)x+1]R)$ where (a, b) is the greatest common divisor of a and b.*

We shall now show that the converse of Corollary 4 is true.

LEMMA 7. *$((a^k x+1)R) \subseteqq ((ax+1)R)$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$.*

Proof. We first show that $((a^2x+1)R) \subseteqq ((ax+1)R$. For this inclusion it is sufficient to show that $((a^2x+1)R) = 0$ whenever $((ax+1)R) = 0$ so suppose $((ax+1)S) = 0$ for some ring S. Then if $r \in ((a^2x+1)S)$ we have $r = (a^2r+1)s$ or $ar = (a(ar)+1)as$. Thus $a((a^2x+1)S) \subseteqq ((ax+1)S)$ and $ar = 0$ for all $r \in ((a^2x+1)S)$. Therefore $r = (a^2r+1)s = (ar+1)s$ implies that $((a^2x+1)S) \subseteqq ((ax+1)S) = 0$. The result now follows by induction.

Combining Corollary 4 and Lemma 7 we have

THEOREM 5. *$((ax+1)R) = ((a^k x+1)R)$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$.*

Our next lemma and Theorem 3 permit us to represent each linear semiprime $(p; q)$ radical as a $(pq; 1)$ radical.

LEMMA 8. *$((ax+1)R) = (R(ax+1))$.*

Proof. First, for $r, s \in R$ define a circle product by $r \circ s = r + s + ars$. Then $(r \circ s) \circ t = r \circ (s \circ t)$. Now if $r \in ((ax+1)R)$, then $r \circ s = 0$ for some $s \in ((ax+1)R)$. Since $s \circ t = 0$ for some $t \in ((ax+1)R)$, we have that $r = t$ and $s \circ r = 0$. Therefore, $((ax+1)R) \subseteqq (R(ax+1))$. A similar argument yields the opposite inclusion, hence equality.

We can now give a canonical representation for all linear semiprime $(p; q)$ radicals.

THEOREM 6. *Every linear semiprime $(p; q)$ radical can be uniquely represented by a radical of the form $((ax+1)R)$ where the nonnegative integer a is a finite product of distinct prime factors.*

Proof. Theorem 3 and Lemma 8 show that

$$(p(x)Rq(x)) = (p(x)q(x)R)$$

for the linear semiprime radical $(p(x)Rq(x))$. Then Theorems 3, 4 and 5 show that $(p(x)q(x)R) = ((ax+1)R)$ for some nonnegative integer a where a is a finite product of distinct prime factors.

To distinguish between the linear semiprime radicals observe that if $a = \pi_{i=1}^{n} p_i$ for primes p_i, then $((ax+1)R) = R$ for $R = GF(p_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ and $((ax+1)R) = 0$ for $R = GF(p)$ for all primes $p \neq p_i$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$.

2. The lattice of linear semiprime $(p; q)$ radicals.

Let $(p; q)$ denote the radical function defined by $(p; q)(R) = (p(x)Rq(x))$ for all rings R. We partially order the linear semiprime $(p; q)$ radical functions by defining $(ax+1; 1) \leqq (bx+1; 1)$ if $((ax+1)R) \subseteqq ((bx+1)R)$ for all rings R. Then we have

THEOREM 7. *The collection of all linear semiprime $(p; q)$ radicals form a lattice with respect to the partial order $\leqq$ where the infimum and supremum are given by the canonical representatives:*

(i) $(ax + 1; 1) \wedge (bx + 1; 1) = ((a, b)x + 1; 1)$
(ii) $(ax + 1; 1) \vee (bx + 1; 1) = ([a, b]x + 1; 1)$
where $[a, b]$ *denotes the least common multiple of* a *and* b.

Proof. (i) By Corollary 3 we have $((a, b)x + 1; 1) \leqq (ax + 1; 1)$, $(bx + 1; 1)$. Now if $(cx + 1; 1) \leqq (ax + 1; 1), (bx + 1; 1)$, then $((cx + 1)R) \subseteqq ((ax + 1)R) \cap ((bx + 1)R) = ((ax + 1)(bx + 1)R) = ([(a, b)x + 1]R)$.

(ii) First let a and b be relatively prime. Since $(abx + 1; 1)$ is clearly an upper bound of $(ax + 1; 1)$ and $(bx + 1; 1)$, we show that for all rings R, $((abx + 1)R) \subseteqq ((cx + 1)R)$ for any other upper bound $(cx + 1; 1)$. Again it is enough to show that this inclusion holds for any ring S for which $((cx + 1)S) = 0$. As in the proof of Lemma 7, $a((abx + 1)S) \subseteqq ((bx + 1)S) \subseteqq ((cx + 1)S) = 0$ and similarly $b((abx + 1)S) = 0$. Therefore, since $(a, b) = 1$, for all $r \in ((abx + 1)S)$ we have integers m, n such that $r = m(ar) + n(br) = 0$. Therefore $((abx + 1)S) = 0$ and $((abx + 1)R) \subseteqq ((cx + 1)R)$. Thus when $(a, b) = 1$, we have $(ax + 1; 1) \vee (bx + 1; 1) = ([a, b]x + 1; 1)$. Using this result it is easy to see that the statement is true for arbitrary integers a and b.

It is interesting to observe that $((x + 1); 1)$, the Jacobson radical, is the least element in this lattice.

3. Hereditary $(p; q)$ radicals.

A radical function ρ is called *hereditary* if every ideal of a ρ-radical ring is ρ-radical. Equivalently, if for any (associative) ring R and any ideal I of R we have the equation $\rho I = I \cap \rho R$, then ρ is hereditary [3, p. 125]. The linear semiprime $(p; q)$ radical functions are hereditary. Moreover we have

THEOREM 8. *If* $(p; q)$ *is semiprime, then it is hereditary.*

Proof. Let I be an ideal of R. For any radical function ρ we have $\rho I \subseteqq I \cap \rho R$ [3, p. 125]. Now $r \in I \cap (p(x)Rq(x))$ implies $r \in I$ and $r = p(r)sq(r)$ for some $s \in (p(x)Rq(x))$. Since the constant terms of $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ are 1 we have $s \in I$ and $I \cap (p(x)Rq(x)) \subseteqq (p(x)Iq(x))$.

It is easy to see that if the polynomial $p(x)q(x)$ has x^2 as a factor, then $(p; q)$ is also hereditary. Thus the radicals of von Neumann regularity and strong regularity are hereditary. The radical given by (xR) is not hereditary for if R is the ring of integers modulo 4 and I is the ideal $\{0, 2\}$, then $(xI) = 0$ while $I \cap (xR) = I \cap R = I$.

4. $(p; q)$ radicals of matrix rings.

Let R_n denote the ring of all $n \times n$ matrices whose elements are taken from the ring R. We shall show that for all $(p; q)$ radicals and all rings R the inclusion

$(p(x)R_nq(x)) \subseteqq (p(x)Rq(x))_n$ holds while for linear semiprime $(p; q)$ radicals we have equality. D. M. Morris has shown

LEMMA 9. *If* $p(x) = \pm 1$ *or* $p(x) = \pm x$, *then* $(p(x)\boldsymbol{Z}) = \boldsymbol{Z}$; *otherwise* $(p(x)\boldsymbol{Z}) = 0$

Proof. Clearly $(p(x)\boldsymbol{Z}) = \boldsymbol{Z}$ when $p(x) = \pm 1$ or $p(x) = \pm x$. Suppose that $(p(x)\boldsymbol{Z}) \neq 0$ and that $p(x) \neq \pm 1$. Then $(p(x)\boldsymbol{Z}) = (r)$ where (r) is the ideal generated by some positive integer r. Let m be any prime not dividing r. Since $mr \in (p(x)\boldsymbol{Z})$ we have $mr = p(mr)m'r$ for some $m' \in \boldsymbol{Z}$. Since $p(x) \neq \pm 1$ we must have $p(mr) = \pm m$ for infinitely many primes m. It follows that $p(x) = \pm x$.

COROLLARY 5. $(1\boldsymbol{Z}1) = (x\boldsymbol{Z}) = (\boldsymbol{Z}x) = \boldsymbol{Z}$ *and* $(p(x)\boldsymbol{Z}q(x)) = 0$ *for all other choices of* $p(x)$ *and* $q(x)$.

Proof. Clearly $(x\boldsymbol{Z}x) = 0$ and since $(p(x)\boldsymbol{Z}q(x)) \subseteqq (p(x)\boldsymbol{Z})$, the corollary is established.

LEMMA 10. *Let ρ be any radical function such that* $\rho\boldsymbol{Z} = 0$. *Then any ring R can be embedded in a ring S with unity such that* $\rho R = \rho S$.

Proof. Let ϕ be the usual embedding of a ring R into the ring S with unity and identify R with ϕR, [6, p. 8]. Then $S/R \cong \boldsymbol{Z}$, which implies that $\rho(S/R) = 0$. Therefore $\rho S \subseteqq R$ and $\rho S \subseteqq \rho R$. But since R is an ideal of S we always have $\rho R \subseteqq \rho S$, [3, p. 124]. Therefore $\rho R = \rho S$.

LEMMA 11. *Let ρ be any radical function satisfying* (i) $\rho\boldsymbol{Z} = 0$ *and* (ii) *if S is a ring with unity, then* $\rho(S_n) \subseteqq (\rho S)_n$. *Then* $\rho(R_n) \subseteqq (\rho R)_n$ *for all rings R.*

Proof. By Lemma 10 we can embed R as an ideal in a ring S with unity such that $\rho R = \rho S$. Therefore we have $\rho(R_n) \subseteqq \rho(S_n) \subseteqq (\rho S)_n = (\rho R)_n$.

THEOREM 9. $(p(x)R_nq(x)) \subseteqq (p(x)(Rq(x))_n$ *for all* $(p; q)$ *radicals.*

Proof. For the $(1; 1)$ radical equality is obvious. Now consider all other $(p; q)$ radicals except for the $(x; 1)$ and $(1; x)$ radicals. By Corollary 5, $(p(x)\boldsymbol{Z}q(x)) = 0$. If S has unity, then $(p(x)S_nq(x)) = I_n$ for some ideal I of S [6]. If $r \in I$, then $rE_{11} \in I_n$ and

$$rE_{11} = p(rE_{11})Mq(rE_{11}) = p(r)m_{11}q(r)E_{11}$$

where $M \in I_n$, $m_{11} \in M$ and E_{11} is the $n \times n$ matrix $|e_{ij}|$ where $e_{11} = 1$, $e_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. Therefore $r = p(r)mq(r)$, for $m \in I$, which implies that $I \subseteqq (p(x)Sq(x))$ and $I_n = (p(x)S_nq(x)) \subseteqq (p(x)Sq(x))_n$. Now Lemma 11 yields $(p(x)R_nq(x)) \subseteqq (p(x)Rq(x))_n$ for all $(p; q)$ radicals except the $(x; 1)$ and $(1; x)$ radicals. To show $(xR_n) \subseteqq (xR)_n$, let $A \in (xR_n)$. Then there exists a $B \in (xR_n)$ such that $A = AB$, where $A = |a_{ij}|$ and $B = |b_{ij}|$. Let A_1 denote the product matrix AC of (xR_n) where $C = |c_{ij}|$, $c_{i1} = b_{i1}$, $c_{ij} = 0$ for $j > 1$, that is, $A_1 = |a'_{ij}|$ where $a'_{i1} = a_{i1}$ and $a'_{ij} = 0$ for $j > 1$. $A_1 \in (xR_n)$ implies that $A_1 = A_1D$ or $a'_{i1} = a'_{i1}d_{11}$ where $d_{11} \in D$, $D \in (xR_n)$. Again, there is a matrix $D_1 \in (xR_n)$, $D_1 = |d'_{ij}|$, where $d'_{i1} = d_{i1}$ and $d'_{ij} = 0$ for $j > 1$. Therefore $D_1 = D_1F$ for $F \in (xR_n)$ and $d_{11} = d_{11}f_{11}$ where f_{11} is an element of F. Now for $G = |g_{ij}|$ where $g_{11} = d_{11}$ and $g_{ij} = 0$ otherwise, we have $G \in (xR_n)$ because $G = GF$. If we let $J = \{r \in R \mid |r_{ij}| \in (xR_n),\ r_{11} = r,\ r_{ij} = 0 \text{ otherwise}\}$, then J is an ideal of R. It follows that for all $r \in J$ there exists an $s \in J$ such that $r = rs$. Therefore $J \subseteqq (xR)$ and $d_{11} \in (xR)$. But $d_{11} \in (xR)$ implies that $a_{i1} \in (xR)$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. Similarly, $a_{ij} \in (xR)$ for

$$i = 1, 2, \cdots, n, j = 2, 3, \cdots, n .$$

Thus $A \in (xR)_n$ and $(xR_n) \subseteqq (xR)_n$. Similarly $(R_nx) \subseteqq (Rx)_n$.

R. L. Snider gave the following example to show that the inclusion $\rho(R_n) \subseteqq \rho(R)_n$ is not true for all radicals. Let σR be the upper radical determined by declaring $GF(2)$ to be semisimple (In [3, p. 6] let $M = \{GF(2)\}$). Then since the ring of 2×2 matrices over $GF(2)$ cannot be mapped homomorphically onto $GF(2)$, $(GF(2))_2$ is not semisimple.

Finally we show that for all linear semiprime $(p; q)$ radicals the opposite inclusion holds; hence we have equality.

LEMMA 12. *The sum of two $(ax + 1; 1)$-regular right ideals of the ring R is an $(ax + 1; 1)$-regular right ideal of R.*

Proof. Let I and J be $(ax + 1; 1)$-regular right ideals of R and $r \in I$, $s \in J$. Then there exists an $r' \in I$ such that $r = (ar + 1)r'$. Now $s - asr' \in J$, which implies that there exists an $s' \in J$ such that $s - asr' = (a(s - asr') + 1)s'$. It is easy to see that $r + s = (a(r + s) + 1)(r' - ar's' + s')$, hence $I + J$ is an $(ax + 1; 1)$-regular right ideal.

COROLLARY 7. *The sum of all $(ax + 1; 1)$-regular right ideals of a ring R is an $(ax + 1; 1)$-regular right ideal of R.*

LEMMA 13. *The sum K of all $(ax + 1; 1)$-regular right ideals of a ring R is a two-sided ideal of R. Therefore $K \subseteqq ((ax + 1)R)$.*

Proof. [cf. 3, p. 93] Let $s \in K$ and $r \in R$. Then $sr \in K$ implies that $sr = (asr + 1)s'$ for some $s' \in K$. It is easy to see that $rs = (ars + 1)(-ars's + rs)$, hence rs is $(ax + 1; 1)$-regular. For $m \in \boldsymbol{Z}$, $t \in R$ we have $sm + st \in K$. Since from above $r(sm + st)$ must be $(ax + 1; 1)$-regular, $rs\boldsymbol{Z} + rsR$, the right ideal generated by rs, is an $(ax + 1; 1)$-regular right ideal and we have $rs\boldsymbol{Z} + rsR \subseteqq K$, therefore $rs \in K$. Since K is now an $(ax + 1; 1)$-regular ideal, $K \subseteqq ((ax + 1)R)$.

THEOREM 10. *If $(p; q)$ is a linear semiprime radical, then for all rings R, $(p(x)R_nq(x)) = (p(x)Rq(x))_n$.*

Proof. [cf. 4, p. 11] We only need to show that $((ax + 1)R)_n \subseteqq ((ax + 1)R_n)$ for all positive integers a. Let k be a fixed positive integer, $k \leqq n$, and $|r_{ij}| \in ((ax + 1)R_n)$ where $r_{ij} = 0$ for $i \neq k$. Then by Lemma 4, $r_{kk} \in (ar_{kk} + 1)R$ implies that $R = (ar_{kk} + 1)R$. Therefore for each r_{kj} there exists an s_{kj} such that $r_{kj} = (ar_{kk} + 1)s_{kj}$ for $j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. Thus $|r_{ij}| = (a|r_{ij}| + 1)|s_{ij}|$ where $s_{ij} = 0$ for $i \neq k$. Hence the right ideal P_k of $n \times n$ matrices having elements of $((ax + 1)R)$ in the kth row and zeros elsewhere is an $(ax + 1; 1)$-regular right ideal, thus $P_k \subseteqq ((ax + 1)R_n)$. Since $((ax + 1)R)_n$ is the sum of the P_k, $k = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, we have $((ax + 1)R_n)$.

If R is a field we have $0 = (x^2R_n) \subsetneqq (x^2R)_n = R_n$, therefore the radical of strong regularity shows that we cannot have the matrix equality for all $(p; q)$ radicals.

REFERENCES

1. S. A. Amitsur, *Radicals in rings and bicategories*, Amer. J. Math., **76** (1954), 100–125.
2. R. Arens and I. Kaplansky, *Topological representations of algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **63** (1948), 457–481.
3. N. Divinsky, *Rings and Radicals*, University of Toronto Press, 1965.
4. N. Jacobson, *Structure of Rings*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Pub. Vol. **37**, Providence, 1964.
5. A. G. Kurosh, *Radicals of rings and algebras*, Mat. Sb., **33** (1953), 13–26.
6. N. McCoy, *The Theory of Rings*, MacMillan, New York, 1964.
7. J. von Neumann, *On regular rings*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., **22** (1936), 707–713.

Received November 6, 1969 and in revised form October 23, 1970. The author wishes to thank J. D. McKnight, Jr., D. M. Morris, A. H. Ortiz and R. L. Snider for the use of their unpublished results as indicated in the text. The author was an N.D.E.A. Fellow at the University of Miami.

NORTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY
AND
UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is $8.00; single issues, $3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: $4.00 per volume; single issues $1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Vol. 37, No. 3 March, 1971