Pacific Journal of Mathematics

CLASSIFYING SPECIAL OPERATORS BY MEANS OF SUBSETS ASSOCIATED WITH THE NUMERICAL RANGE

Mary Rodriguez Embry

Vol. 38, No. 1 March 1971

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 38, No. 1, 1971

CLASSIFYING SPECIAL OPERATORS BY MEANS OF SUBSETS ASSOCIATED WITH THE NUMERICAL RANGE

MARY R. EMBRY

Let A be a continuous linear operator on a complex Hilbert space X, with inner product $<, >$ and associated norm $\| \|$. For each complex number z let $M_z(A) = \{x: \langle Ax, x\rangle = z\|x\|^2\}$. The following classifications of special operators are obtained: (i) A is a scalar multiple of an isometry if and only if $AM_z(A) \subset M_z(A)$ for each complex z; (ii) A is a nonzero scalar multiple of a unitary operator if and only if $AM_z(A) = M_z(A)$ for each complex z; and (iii) A is normal if and only if for each complex z $\{x \mid Ax \in M_z(A)\} = \{x \mid A^*x \in M_z(A)\}$.

1. Introduction. The sets, $M_z(A)$, are closely associated with the numerical range of A: $W(A) = \{\langle Ax, x\rangle: \|x\| = 1\}$. These sets were introduced in [1] and used to characterize the elements of $W(A)$ as follows:

THEOREM A. *If $z \in W(A)$, then*
(i) *z is an extreme point of $W(A)$ if and only if $M_z(A)$ is linear,*
(ii) *if z is a nonextreme boundary point of $W(A)$, then*

$$\gamma M_z(A) = \cup\{M_w(A): w \in L\}$$

where L is the line of support for $W(A)$ passing through z,
(iii) *if $W(A)$ is a convex body, then z is an interior point of $W(A)$ if and only if $\gamma M_z(A) = X$.*

It was also shown in [1, Theorem 2] that $\cap$\{maximal linear subspaces of $M_z(A)$\} plays a special role in determining the normal eigenvalues of A.

With the aforementioned evidence concerning the sets $M_z(A)$ in mind, it seemed natural to ask whether these sets behave in a particular fashion if A has special characteristics or whether the action of A on these sets determines special properties of A. Obviously A is Hermitian if and only if $M_z(A) = M_{z^*}(A)$ for all complex z. The first question which came to mind was: when is it the case that each of the sets $M_z(A)$ is invariant under A. The techniques developed to answer this question in Theorem 1 led to the other theorems in this paper.

The following elementary facts can be noted about the sets, $M_z(A)$. 1. Each set $M_z(A)$ is homogeneous and 2. either $M_z(A) \cap M_w(A) = \{0\}$ or $M_z(A) = M_w(A)$.

2. Notation and terminology.

The notation and terminology used in this paper are the same as that found in [1] with the following additions. f is a *bilinear functional* on a complex vector space X if and only if $f: X \times X \to \{\text{complex numbers}\}$, f is linear in the first variable and conjugate linear in the second variable.

Throughout the paper A is a continuous linear operator on a complex Hilbert space X; A is an *isometry* if $A^*A = I$; A is *unitary* if $A^*A = AA^* = I$; A is *normal* if $AA^* = A^*A$; and A is *hyponormal* if $AA^* \leqq A^*A$. ker A denotes the null space of A: $\{x: Ax = 0\}$.

3. Classification theorems.

The following lemma plays a fundamental part in the proofs of Theorems 1–4.

LEMMA 1. *If f, g, h and k are bilinear functionals on a complex vector space X, satisfying*

(1) $\quad f(x, x)g(x, x) = h(x, x)k(x, x)$ *for all x in X, then*

(2) $\quad f(x, y)g(x, y) = h(x, y)k(x, y)$ *for all x and y in X.*

Indication of proof. Let $x, y \in X$ and let z be an arbitrary complex number. By substituting $y + zx$ for x in equation (1) and equating coefficients, one arrives at equation (2) by means of the coefficients of z^2.

THEOREM 1. *A is a scalar multiple of an isometry if and only if $AM_z(A) \subset M_z(A)$ for each complex z.*

Proof. $M_z(A)$ is invariant under A for each complex z if and only if

(3) $\quad \langle A^2x, Ax\rangle \|x\|^2 = \langle Ax, x\rangle \|Ax\|^2$ for all x in X.

Obviously if A is a scalar multiple of an isometry, then equation (3) holds for all x in X. Thus we assume that equation (3) holds for all x in X and by Lemma 1 have

(4) $\quad \langle A^2x, Ay\rangle \langle x, y\rangle = \langle Ax, y\rangle \langle Ax, Ay\rangle$ for all x and y in X.

It now follows that $\{x\}^\perp \subset \{Ax\}^\perp \cup \{A^*Ax\}^\perp$. Moreover with x and y interchanged in (4) we see that $\{x\}^\perp \subset \{A^*x\}^\perp \cup \{A^*Ax\}^\perp$. Since $\{y\}^\perp$

is linear, we have either $\{x\}^{\perp} \subset \{A^*Ax\}^{\perp}$ or $\{x\}^{\perp} \subset \{Ax\}^{\perp} \cap \{A^*x\}^{\perp}$. Either case implies that there exists a scalar r_x such that $A^*Ax = (r_x)x$. This is sufficient to imply that A is a scalar multiple of an isometry.

If A is a nonunitary isometry, the only complex z in $W(A)$ for which $AM_z(A) = M_z(A)$ are the extreme points of $W(A)$. To prove this we make use of results from [2] and [3] which assert that in this case $\sigma(A) = \overline{W(A)} = \{z: |z| \leqq 1\}$. Thus the elements of $W(A)$ are either extreme points z with $|z| = 1$ or interior points. If z is an extreme point of $W(A)$, then since A is hyponormal,

$$M_z(A) = \{x: Ax = zx \quad \text{and} \quad A^*x = z^*x\}$$

by [4] and thus $M_z(A) = AM_z(A) = A^*M_z(A)$. Conversely if $M_z(A) = AM_z(A)$, then $\gamma M_z(A) = A(\gamma M_z(A))$. By Theorem A, (iii) if z is an interior point of $W(A)$, then $X = AX$, implying that A is invertible and hence unitary. Therefore if $M_z(A) = AM_z(A)$ and $z \in W(A)$, then z is an extreme point of $W(A)$.

THEOREM 2. *A^* is a scalar multiple of an isometry if and only if $A^*M_z(A) \subset M_z(A)$ for each complex z.*

Proof. Apply Theorem 1 to A^* and note that $M_z(A^*) = M_{z^*}(A)$ for each complex z.

THEOREM 3. *A is a nonzero scalar multiple of a unitary operator if and only if $AM_z(A) = M_z(A)$ for each complex z.*

Proof. By Theorems 1 and 2 A is a scalar multiple of a unitary operator if and only if $AM_z(A) \subset M_z(A)$ and $A^*M_z(A) \subset M_z(A)$ for each complex z. Thus if A is nonzero, this is equivalent to $AM_z(A) \subset M_z(A)$ and $M_z(A) \subset AM_z(A)$.

The proof of Theorem 4 which classifies normal operators in terms of the sets $M_z(A)$ appears to depend upon the following lemma.

LEMMA 2. *If A and E are operators on X such that ker $A \subset$ ker E and for each x in X either*

(i) $\|Ax\| = \|Ex\|$

or

(ii) *there exists a real number r_x such that*

$$A^*Ax = (r_x)E^*Ex ,$$

then A^*A is a scalar multiple of E^*E.

Proof. Assume that $A^*Ax = aE^*Ex$ and $A^*Ay = bE^*Ey$ where E^*Ex and E^*Ey are linearly independent. Let t be real, $0 < t < 1$. Either $||A(tx + (1 - t)y|| = ||E(tx + (1 - t)y||$ or there exists a real number c such that $A^*A(tx + (1 - t)y) = cE^*E(tx + (1 - t)y$. In this last case since $0 < t < 1$ and E^*Ex and E^*Ey are linearly independent, we have $a = c = b$. Thus if $a \neq b$, then

$$||A(tx + (1 - t)y)|| = ||E(tx + (1 - t)y)||$$

for all t, $0 < t < 1$. Letting t approach 1 and 0, we have $||Ax|| = ||Ex||$ and $||Ay|| = ||Ey||$. Therefore $|a| = |b| = 1$ and since $E^*Ex \neq 0$ and $E^*Ey \neq 0$, necessarily $a = b = 1$. Thus we must have $a = b$ if E^*Ex and E^*Ey are linearly independent.

Secondly if E^*Ex and E^*Ey are linearly dependent and $A^*Ax = aE^*Ex$ and $A^*Ay = bE^*Ey$, then it follows from the hypothesis $\ker A \subset \ker E$ that a and b can be chosen to be the same real number.

The arguments in the two preceding paragraphs show that there exists a real number r such that if $x \in X$, then either $A^*Ax = rE^*Ex$ or $||Ax|| = ||Ex||$. Thus either $||Ax|| \leqq ||Ex||$ for all x in X or $||Ax|| \geqq ||Ex||$ for all x in X. In either case $\{x: ||Ax|| = ||Ex||Ex||\}$ is linear by Theorem A, (i). proving that X is the union of the two linear subspaces:

$$\{x: A^*Ax = rE^*Ex\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{x: ||Ax|| = ||Ex||\} .$$

Therefore either $A^*A = rE^*E$ or $A^*A = E^*E$.

THEOREM 4. *A is normal if and only if for each complex z*

$$\{x \mid Ax \in M_z(A)\} = \{x \mid A^*x \in M_z(A)\} .$$

Proof. If A is normal it follows that $Ax \in M_z(A)$ if and only if $A^*x \in M_z(A)$. Assume now that this condition holds. Then

$$\langle A^2x, Ax\rangle ||A^*x||^2 = \langle AA^*x, A^*x\rangle ||Ax||^2 \text{ for all } x \text{ in } X \tag{5}$$

and

$$\ker A = \ker A^* . \tag{6}$$

This last assertion can be proven as follows: $x \in \ker A \leftrightarrow Ax \in M_z(A)$ for all complex $z \leftrightarrow A^*x \in M_z(A)$ for all complex $z \leftrightarrow x \in \ker A^*$.

Using the same techniques as in the proof of Theorem 1, we show that if $x \in X$, either their exists a number b such that $AA^*x = bA^*Ax$ or there exist numbers c and d such that $AA^{*2}x = cAA^*x$ and $A^*A^2x = dA^*Ax$. These last two equations combined with (5) and (6) imply that either $Ax = A^*x = 0$ or $c = d^*$. They also imply that $A^{*2}x =$

cA^*x and $A^2x = dAx$. Again using (6), we have $AA^*x = cAx$ and $A^*Ax = dA^*x$. Thus if $Ax \neq 0$, $||A^*x||^2 = c \langle Ax, x\rangle = d^*\langle x, A^*x\rangle = ||Ax||^2$. Therefore A and A^* satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 2 and there exists a real number r such that $AA^* = rA^*A$. This is sufficient to imply that A is normal.

COROLLARY 5. *Let A be an invertible operator on X. The following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *A is normal,*

(ii) *$A^{-1}M_z(A) = A^{*-1}M_z(A)$ for each complex z,*

(iii) *$A^{-1}M_z(A^*A^{-1}) = A^{*-1}M_z(A^*A^{-1})$ for each complex z.*

Proof. The equivalence of (i) and (ii) is a restatement of Theorem 4 for the case in which A is invertible. The equivalence of (i) and (iii) is obtained by applying Theorem 3 to the operator A^*A^{-1}.

I should like to express my appreciation to the referee of this paper for his helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. M. R. Embry, *The numerical range of an operator,* Pacific J. Math., **32** (1970) 647-650.

2. C. R. Putnam, *On semi-normal operators,* Pacific J. Math., **7** (1957), 1649-1652.

3. ———, *On the spectra of semi-normal operators,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **119** (1965), 509-523.

4. J. G. Stampfli, *Extreme points of the numerical range of a hyponormal operator,* Mich. Math. J., **13** (1966), 87-89.

Received June 16, 1970 and in revised form October 5, 1970.

UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA AT CHARLOTTE

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Vol. 38, No. 1 March, 1971

Bruce Alan Barnes, *Banach algebras which are ideals in a Banach algebra* 1
David W. Boyd, *Inequalities for positive integral operators* 9
Lawrence Gerald Brown, *Note on the open mapping theorem* 25
Stephen Daniel Comer, *Representations by algebras of sections over Boolean spaces* 29
John R. Edwards and Stanley G. Wayment, *On the nonequivalence of conservative Hausdorff methods and Hausdorff moment sequences* 39
P. D. T. A. Elliott, *On the limiting distribution of additive functions (mod 1)* 49
Mary Rodriguez Embry, *Classifying special operators by means of subsets associated with the numerical range* 61
Darald Joe Hartfiel, *Counterexamples to a conjecture of G. N. de Oliveira* 67
C. Ward Henson, *A family of countable homogeneous graphs* 69
Satoru Igari and Shigehiko Kuratsubo, *A sufficient condition for L^p-multipliers* 85
William A. Kirk, *Fixed point theorems for nonlinear nonexpansive and generalized contraction mappings* 89
Erwin Kleinfeld, *A generalization of commutative and associative rings* 95
D. B. Lahiri, *Some restricted partition functions. Congruences modulo 11* 103
T. Y. Lin, *Homological algebra of stable homotopy ring π_* of spheres* 117
Morris Marden, *A representation for the logarithmic derivative of a meromorphic function* 145
John Charles Nichols and James C. Smith, *Examples concerning sum properties for metric-dependent dimension functions* 151
Asit Baran Raha, *On completely Hausdorff-completion of a completely Hausdorff space* 161
M. Rajagopalan and Bertram Manuel Schreiber, *Ergodic automorphisms and affine transformations of locally compact groups* 167
N. V. Rao and Ashoke Kumar Roy, *Linear isometries of some function spaces* 177
William Francis Reynolds, *Blocks and F-class algebras of finite groups* 193
Richard Rochberg, *Which linear maps of the disk algebra are multiplicative* 207
Gary Sampson, *Sharp estimates of convolution transforms in terms of decreasing functions* 213
Stephen Scheinberg, *Fatou's lemma in normed linear spaces* 233
Ken Shaw, *Whittaker constants for entire functions of several complex variables* 239
James DeWitt Stein, *Two uniform boundedness theorems* 251
Li Pi Su, *Homomorphisms of near-rings of continuous functions* 261
Stephen Willard, *Functionally compact spaces, C-compact spaces and mappings of minimal Hausdorff spaces* 267
James Patrick Williams, *On the range of a derivation* 273