

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**SOME RESTRICTED PARTITION FUNCTIONS.
CONGRUENCES MODULO 11**

D. B. LAHIRI

SOME RESTRICTED PARTITION FUNCTIONS: CONGRUENCES MODULO 11

D. B. LAHIRI

Ramanujan's congruences for the unrestricted partition function $p(n)$ with 5, 7 and 11 as moduli can be shown to be equivalent to precisely similar congruences for some restricted partition functions of the type

$$(1) \quad {}_r^t p(n),$$

where to determine the value of (1) we count all the unrestricted partitions of n excepting those which contain any number of the forms tn or $tn \pm r$ as a part. The purpose of the present paper is to deal with congruences modulo 11.

In [5] the author has established a number of congruences modulo 3 for (1) with certain selected values of t and r . Functions of the type (1) are not new in number theory literature; for example, in the combinatorial interpretation of the famous Rogers-Ramanujan identities one finds

$${}_1^5 p(n), \quad {}_2^5 p(n).$$

2. **The final results.** The restricted partition function (1) with $t = 363$ and $r = 121$ has a somewhat simpler interpretation. It is easily seen that this function counts the unrestricted partitions of n excepting those which contain 121 or any multiple thereof as a part. We use the simpler notation

$$(2) \quad {}_{121}^{121} p(n) = {}_{0}^{121} p(n) = {}_{121}^{363} p(n),$$

in the theorems to emphasize this interpretation.

The phrase 'for almost all values of n ' appearing in Theorem 1 means that the number of integers $n \leq N$ for which any specified congruence does not hold is $o(N)$. We assume ${}_r^t p(m)$ to be 1 when $m = 0$, and 0 when $m < 0$.

THEOREM 1. *For almost all values of n the following congruences with respect to the modulus 11 hold.*

- (1) ${}^{121}_p(n) \equiv 0,$
- (2) ${}^{363}_{176}p(n) \equiv -{}^{363}_{55}p(n-22),$
- (3) ${}^{353}_{154}p(n) \equiv -{}^{363}_{88}p(n-11),$
- (4) ${}^{363}_{143}p(n) \equiv -{}^{363}_{22}p(n-33),$
- (5) ${}^{363}_{77}p(n) \equiv {}^{363}_{44}p(n-11),$
- (6) ${}^{363}_{110}p(n) \equiv {}^{363}_{11}p(n-33).$

THEOREM 2. For all values of $n \geq 0$

$${}^{121}_p(11n+6) \equiv 0 \pmod{11},$$

and more generally with $0 \leq \lambda \leq 16$

$${}^{363}_{11^\lambda}p(11n+6) \equiv 0 \pmod{11}.$$

THEOREM 3. The following congruences modulo 11 are true for all values of $n \geq 0$.

- (1) $-{}^{363}_{176}p(11n+10) - {}^{363}_{55}p(11n-12) \equiv 5 {}^{121}_p(11n+5),$
- (2) ${}^{363}_{154}p(11n+7) + {}^{363}_{88}p(11n-4) \equiv 5 {}^{121}_p(11n+3),$
- (3) ${}^{363}_{143}p(11n+4) + {}^{363}_{22}p(11n-29) \equiv 5 {}^{121}_p(11n+1),$
- (4) ${}^{363}_{77}p(11n-2) - {}^{363}_{44}p(11n-13) \equiv 5 {}^{121}_p(11n+8),$
- (5) $-{}^{363}_{110}p(11n) + {}^{363}_{11}p(11n-33) \equiv 5 {}^{121}_p(11n+2),$
- (6) $-{}^{363}_{176}p(11n+9) - {}^{363}_{55}p(11n-13) \equiv 5 {}^{121}_p(11n+4),$
- (7) ${}^{363}_{154}p(11n+4) + {}^{363}_{88}p(11n-7) \equiv 5 {}^{121}_p(11n),$
- (8) ${}^{363}_{143}p(11n+10) + {}^{363}_{22}p(11n-23) \equiv 5 {}^{121}_p(11n+7),$
- (9) ${}^{363}_{77}p(11n) - {}^{363}_{44}p(11n-11) \equiv 5 {}^{121}_p(11n+10),$
- (10) $-{}^{363}_{110}p(11n+7) + {}^{363}_{11}p(11n-26) \equiv 5 {}^{121}_p(11n+9).$

THEOREM 4. *The following congruences with respect to the modulus 11 hold for all values of $n \geq 0$.*

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{363}{176}p(121n + 121) - \frac{363}{176}p(11n + 11) \\ & \equiv -\frac{363}{55}p(121n + 99) + \frac{363}{55}p(11n - 11), \end{aligned}$$

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{363}{154}p(121n + 120) - \frac{363}{154}p(11n + 10) \\ & \equiv -\frac{363}{88}p(121n + 109) + \frac{363}{88}p(11n - 1), \end{aligned}$$

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{363}{143}p(121n + 119) - \frac{363}{143}p(11n + 9) \\ & \equiv -\frac{363}{22}p(121n + 86) + \frac{363}{22}p(11n - 24), \end{aligned}$$

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{363}{77}p(121n + 106) - \frac{363}{77}p(11n - 4) \\ & \equiv \frac{363}{44}p(121n + 95) - \frac{363}{44}p(11n - 15), \end{aligned}$$

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{363}{110}p(121n + 114) - \frac{363}{110}p(11n + 4) \\ & \equiv \frac{363}{11}p(121n + 81) - \frac{363}{11}p(11n - 29). \end{aligned}$$

3. Notations and conventions. Ramanujan [8] defined

$$(3) \quad \Phi_{r,s}(x) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\beta=1}^{\infty} \alpha^r \beta^s x^{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^r \sigma_{s-r}(n) x^n,$$

where $\sigma_k(n)$ is, as usual, the sum of the k th powers of the divisors of n . The author has found it convenient to simplify the notation to $\Phi_{r,s}$, [3], and even to just (r, s) , [4], so that

$$(4) \quad (r, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^r \sigma_{s-r}(n) x^n.$$

The meanings of $f(x)$, u_r , v and $\sum_v [\mp V(v)]$ as given below are the same as in the previous paper [5], but those of U_i and $P_i(v)$ are different:

$$(5) \quad f(x) = \prod_1^{\infty} (1 - x^n) = \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (-1)^m x^{m(3m+1)/2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n,$$

$$(6) \quad [f(x)]^{-1} = \left[\prod_1^{\infty} (1 - x^n) \right]^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n) x^n.$$

$$(7) \quad u_r = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^r a_n x^n \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n) x^n.$$

\sum_v denotes summation over the pentagonal numbers v , where

$$(8) \quad v = \frac{1}{2} m(3m + 1), \quad m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots ;$$

$$\sum_v [\mp V(v)]$$

implies that the sign to be prefixed is negative or positive according as v is of the form $(2m + 1)(3m + 2)$ or $m(6m + 1)$. (The first form $(2m + 1)(3m + 2)$ is equivalent to $(2m + 1)(3m + 1)$ as given in the previous paper [5]; m ranges over all integers positive, zero or negative.) It is obvious that

$$(9) \quad u_r = \sum_v (\mp v^r x^v) / f(x) .$$

We define the U_i 's by

$$(10) \quad \begin{cases} U_0 = 2u_5 - 5u_4 + 2u_3 + 5u_2 - 5u_1 + u_0 , \\ U_1 = 2u_5 - 3u_4 - u_3 + 4u_2 - u_1 , \\ U_2 = 2u_5 - u_4 + 5u_2 + 5u_1 , \\ U_4 = 2u_5 + 3u_4 + 3u_3 - 5u_2 - 3u_1 , \\ U_5 = u_5 - 3u_4 - 3u_3 + 4u_2 + u_1 , \\ U_7 = 2u_5 - 2u_4 - u_3 - 2u_2 + 3u_1 . \end{cases}$$

We also need polynomials $P_i(v)$ in v which like U_i are defined only for $i = 0, 1, 2, 4, 5$ and 7 and which are obtained by replacing U_i by $P_i(v)$ and u_r by v^r in the above relations (10).

4. **Some lemmas.** For the pentagonal numbers v which fall only in the residue classes $i = 0, 1, 2, 4, 5$ and 7 modulo 11 the following lemma can be verified.

LEMMA 1. *If v is a pentagonal number, then*

$$P_i(v) \equiv 1 \pmod{11}, \text{ if } v \equiv i \pmod{11}$$

$$\equiv 0 \pmod{11}, \text{ if } v \not\equiv i \pmod{11} .$$

Applying relation (9) to (10) we obtain

$$(11) \quad U_i = \sum_v [\mp P_i(v)x^v] / f(x) ;$$

and then the use of Lemma 1 leads to Lemma 2.

LEMMA 2.
$$U_i \equiv \sum_{v \equiv i} (\mp x^v) / f(x) \pmod{11} ,$$

the summation being extended over all pentagonal numbers $v \equiv i \pmod{11}$.

The following lemma can be verified without difficulty by writing $11m + j$ with $j = 0, -4; -1, -3; 1, -5; 3, 4; -2$; and $2, 5$ respectively in place of m in the expression $\frac{1}{2}m(3m + 1)$ for the pentagonal numbers, and in $(-1)^m$ its associated sign. It is also to be remembered (when j is negative, say, $-j'$) that $\frac{1}{2}(11m - j')(33m - 3j' + 1)$ and $\frac{1}{2}(11m + j')(33m + 3j' - 1)$ represent the same set of numbers.

LEMMA 3. *With respect to the modulus 11 the pentagonal numbers v fall in the six residue classes $i = 0, 1, 2, 4, 5$ and 7 ; and the solutions of*

$$v \equiv i \pmod{11}$$

and the corresponding associated signs are as follows.

i	solutions (1st set):	sign	solutions (2nd set):	sign
0	$\frac{1}{2}(363m^2 + 11m)$, $(-1)^m$	$\frac{1}{2}(363m^2 + 253m) + 22$,	$(-1)^m$
1	$\frac{1}{2}(363m^2 + 55m) + 1$,	$(-1)^{m+1}$	$\frac{1}{2}(363m^2 + 187m) + 12$,	$(-1)^{m+1}$
2	$\frac{1}{2}(363m^2 + 77m) + 2$,	$(-1)^{m+1}$	$\frac{1}{2}(363m^2 + 319m) + 35$,	$(-1)^{m+1}$
4	$\frac{1}{2}(363m^2 + 209m) + 15$,	$(-1)^{m+1}$	$\frac{1}{2}(363m^2 + 275m) + 26$,	$(-1)^m$
5	$\frac{1}{2}(363m^2 + 121m) + 5$,	$(-1)^m$	_____	_____
7	$\frac{1}{2}(363m^2 + 143m) + 7$,	$(-1)^m$	$\frac{1}{2}(363m^2 + 341m) + 40$,	$(-1)^{m+1}$

The identities given in the next lemma are simple applications of a special case of a famous identity of Jacobi [2, p. 283] viz.,

$$(12) \quad \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} [(1 - x^{2kn+k-l})(1 - x^{2kn+k+l})(1 - x^{2kn+2k})] = \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (-1)^m x^{km^2+lm} .$$

In establishing this lemma k and l are given values in conformity with the expressions quadratic in m given in Lemma 3.

LEMMA 4. *If v is a pentagonal number then, writing $v \equiv i$ simply for $v \equiv i \pmod{11}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v \equiv 0} (\mp x^v) &= \prod_0^{\infty} [(1 - x^{363n+176})(1 - x^{363n+187})(1 - x^{363n+363})] \\ &\quad + x^{22} \prod_0^{\infty} [(1 - x^{363n+55})(1 - x^{363n+308})(1 - x^{363n+363})] , \\ \sum_{v \equiv 1} (\mp x^v) &= -x \prod_0^{\infty} [(1 - x^{363n+154})(1 - x^{363n+209})(1 - x^{363n+363})] \\ &\quad - x^{12} \prod_0^{\infty} [(1 - x^{363n+88})(1 - x^{363n+275})(1 - x^{363n+363})] , \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{v \equiv 2} (\mp x^v) &= -x^2 \prod_0^\infty [(1 - x^{363n+143})(1 - x^{363n+220})(1 - x^{363n+363})] \\
&\quad - x^{35} \prod_0^\infty [(1 - x^{363n+22})(1 - x^{363n+341})(1 - x^{363n+363})], \\
\sum_{v \equiv 4} (\mp x^v) &= -x^{15} \prod_0^\infty [(1 - x^{363n+77})(1 - x^{363n+286})(1 - x^{363n+363})] \\
&\quad + x^{26} \prod_0^\infty [(1 - x^{363n+4})(1 - x^{363n+319})(1 - x^{363n+363})], \\
\sum_{v \equiv 5} (\mp x^v) &= x^5 \prod_0^\infty (1 - x^{121n+121}), \\
\sum_{v \equiv 7} (\mp x^v) &= x^7 \prod_0^\infty [(1 - x^{362n+110})(1 - x^{363n+253})(1 - x^{363n+363})] \\
&\quad - x^{40} \prod_0^\infty [(1 - x^{363n+11})(1 - x^{363n+352})(1 - x^{363n+363})].
\end{aligned}$$

The next lemma is derived from Lemma 2 after the substitution in it of the product expressions for $\sum_{v \equiv i} (\mp x^v)$ as given in Lemma 4. The following fact is to be used in addition.

$$\begin{aligned}
(13) \quad & \frac{\prod_{n=0}^\infty [(1 - x^{363n+r})(1 - x^{363n+363-r})(1 - x^{363n+363})]}{f(x)} \\
&= \frac{\prod_{n=0}^\infty [(1 - x^{363n+r})(1 - x^{363n+363-r})(1 - x^{363n+363})]}{[(1-x)(1-x^2)(1-x^3)\dots]} = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{363}{r} p(n) x^n.
\end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 5. *With respect to the modulus 11*

$$\begin{aligned}
U_0 &\equiv \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{363}{176} p(n) x^n + \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{363}{55} p(n-22) x^n, \\
U_1 &\equiv -\sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{363}{154} p(n-1) x^n - \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{363}{88} p(n-12) x^n, \\
U_2 &\equiv -\sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{363}{143} p(n-2) x^n - \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{363}{22} p(n-35) x^n, \\
U_4 &\equiv -\sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{363}{77} p(n-15) x^n + \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{363}{44} p(n-26) x^n, \\
U_5 &\equiv \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{121}{11} p(n-5) x^n, \\
U_7 &\equiv \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{363}{110} p(n-7) x^n - \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{363}{11} p(n-40) x^n.
\end{aligned}$$

We require a set of congruences which are directly derivable from the identities for $u_r = u_{r,0}$ given in [3], for $r = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and 5 . These identities express u_r 's as linear functions of $\Phi_{a,b}$'s. By suitable multiplications of both sides of these identities the fractional coefficients appearing in [3] may be made integral. Since we are concerned

with congruences modulo 11 we have in the following lemma reduced these coefficients with respect to the modulus 11. For the sake of simplicity we have written (a, b) instead of $\Phi_{a,b}$.

LEMMA 6. *With respect to the modulus 11 for the congruences we have*

$$\begin{aligned} u_0 &= 1 ; \\ u_1 &= -(0, 1) ; \\ u_2 &\equiv (0, 1) + 4(1, 2) + 5(0, 3) ; \\ u_3 &\equiv 2(0, 1) + 5(1, 2) + 5(2, 3) - 2(0, 3) - 3(1, 4) + 3(0, 5) ; \\ u_4 &\equiv -5(0, 1) - 5(1, 2) + (2, 3) + 4(3, 4) + 2(0, 3) - 5(1, 4) \\ &\quad - 2(2, 5) + 5(0, 5) - 4(1, 6) - 3(0, 7) ; \\ u_5 &\equiv -(0, 10) - 5(1, 2) - 4(2, 3) + (3, 4) - (4, 5) + 2(0, 3) \\ &\quad - 2(1, 4) + 5(2, 5) + 3(3, 6) + 2(0, 5) - (1, 6) + 3(2, 7) \\ &\quad + 2(0, 7) - 5(1, 8) . \end{aligned}$$

The next lemma is obtained by the substitution of the above values of u_r 's in the expressions for U_i given in (10).

LEMMA 7. *With respect to the modulus 11*

$$\begin{aligned} U_0 - 1 &\equiv L_0 \\ U_i &\equiv L_i , \quad i = 1, 2, 4, 5, 7 ; \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_i &= A_1(1, 8) + A_0(0, 7) \\ &\quad + B_2(2, 7) + B_1(1, 6) + B_0(0, 5) \\ &\quad + C_3(3, 6) + C_2(2, 5) + C_1(1, 4) + C_0(0, 3) \\ &\quad + D_4(4, 5) + D_3(3, 4) + D_2(2, 3) + D_1(1, 2) + D_0(0, 1) , \end{aligned}$$

the set of coefficients

$$(A_1, A_0; B_2, B_1, B_0; C_3, C_2, C_1, C_0; D_4, D_3, D_2, D_1, D_0)$$

being respectively

$$\begin{aligned} &(1, -3; -5, -4, -4; -5, -2, 4, 4; -2, 4, -3, 1, 4) ; \\ &(1, 2; -5, -1, -3; -5, 5, 3, -2; -2, 1, -5, 5, 5) ; \\ &(1, -4; -5, 2, -1; -5, 1, 1, 5; -2, -2, 2, 4, 3) ; \\ &(1, -5; -5, -3, -5; -5, 4, 5, 1; -2, 3, -1, 3, -2) ; \\ &(-5, 0; 3, 0, 0; 3, 0, 0, 0; -1, 0, 0, 0, 0) ; \\ &(1, -1; -5, -5, 2; -5, 3, -2, 3; -2, 5, -4, -2, 1) ; \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 0, 1, 2, 4, 5$ and 7.

5. **The basic theorem.** By comparing the coefficients of the two expressions for $U_i \pmod{11}$ given in Lemmas 6 and 7 we obtain the following theorem from which our final conclusions are drawn.

THEOREM 0. *The following congruences are true for $n > 0$, the modulus being 11.*

$$(1) \equiv \frac{363}{176}p(n) + \frac{363}{55}p(n-22) \\ \equiv (n-3)\sigma_7(n) - (5n^2+4n+4)\sigma_5(n) - (5n^3+2n^2-4n-4)\sigma_3(n) \\ - (2n^4-4n^3+3n^2-n-4)\sigma(n);$$

$$(2) \equiv \frac{363}{154}p(n-1) - \frac{363}{88}p(n-12) \\ \equiv (n+2)\sigma_7(n) - (5n^2+n+3)\sigma_5(n) - (5n^3-5n^2-3n+2)\sigma_3(n) \\ - (2n^4-n^3+5n^2-5n-5)\sigma(n);$$

$$(3) \equiv \frac{363}{143}p(n-2) - \frac{363}{22}p(n-35) \\ \equiv (n-4)\sigma_7(n) - (5n^2-2n+1)\sigma_5(n) - (5n^3-n^2-n-5)\sigma_3(n) \\ - (2n^4+2n^3-2n^2-4n-3)\sigma(n);$$

$$(4) \equiv \frac{363}{77}p(n-15) + \frac{363}{44}p(n-26) \\ \equiv (n-5)\sigma_7(n) - (5n^2+3n+5)\sigma_5(n) - (5n^3-4n^2-5n-1)\sigma_3(n) \\ - (2n^4-3n^3-n^2-3n+2)\sigma(n);$$

$$(5) \equiv \frac{121}{1}p(n-5) \\ \equiv -5n\sigma_7(n) + 3n^2\sigma_5(n) + 3n^3\sigma_3(n) - n^4\sigma(n);$$

$$(6) \equiv \frac{363}{110}p(n-7) - \frac{363}{11}p(n-40) \\ \equiv (n-1)\sigma_7(n) - (5n^2+5n-2)\sigma_5(n) - (5n^3-3n^2+2n-3)\sigma_3(n) \\ - (2n^4-5n^3+4n^2+2n-1)\sigma(n).$$

6. **Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2.** In view of the well-known congruence [9, 1 p. 167]

$$(14) \quad \sigma_s(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$$

for 'almost all' n for arbitrarily fixed k and odd s it is a straightforward matter to infer Theorem 1 from Theorem 0.

The first relation of Theorem 2 is also obtained immediately by writing $11n+11$ for n in the relation (5) of Theorem 0. The general result enunciated in Theorem 2 actually emanates from the first

part, and the process of derivation has two stages. In the first stage Ramanujan's congruence modulo 11 for the partition function $p(n)$ is derived from the first relation, and then this derived relation is used in the second stage to establish the general proposition. It easily follows from (13) and (12) that ${}_{11\lambda}^{363}p(n)$ can be expressed in the (really finite) form,

$$(15) \quad {}_{11\lambda}^{363}p(n) = p(n) + \sum_{n'=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon(n')p(n - 11n'),$$

where $\varepsilon(n') = 0$ or ± 1 . For the special case corresponding to $\lambda = 11$ we have the fully specified expression,

$$(16) \quad {}_{121}p(n) = \sum_v [\mp p(n - 121v)].$$

Keeping in mind the first relation of Theorem 2, viz.,

$$(17) \quad {}_{121}p(11n + 6) \equiv 0 \pmod{11},$$

Ramanujan's congruence is seen to be valid by putting successively $n = 6, 17, 28, 39, \dots$ in (16). Thus (17) implies Ramanujan's congruence. Conversely Ramanujan's congruence implies (17) as can be easily seen when n is replaced by $11n + 6$ in (16). Hence Ramanujan's congruence for the unrestricted partition function is equivalent to the congruence (17) for the restricted partition function. To derive the general proposition we merely write $11n + 6$ for n in (15) and make use of Ramanujan's congruence. It can be easily seen that this latter congruence is also equivalent to any particular case of the general proposition.

7. **Corollaries of the basic Theorem 0.** An interesting consequence of the congruences for the restricted partition functions so far established is that these enable us to deduce a certain congruence property of the divisor function $\sigma_k(n)$, viz., Lemma 8, which in its turn helps us to provide further congruences for the restricted partition functions. This lemma however, is also a particular case of a very general theorem established elsewhere [6].

LEMMA 8. *If n is not a multiple of 11 then*

$$\sigma_7(n) \equiv \left(\frac{n}{11}\right)n^2\sigma_3(n) \pmod{11},$$

where $(n/11)$ is the Legendre symbol.

The congruence relation of the divisor functions given in Lemma 8 proves useful for the reduction of the basic congruences of the Theorem 0 into neater forms when attention is separately paid to the cases when n is a quadratic non-residue or a residue of 11. When n is a multiple of 11 this theorem reduces obviously to an elegant form.

COROLLARY 1. *If n is a quadratic non-residue of 11, then with respect to the modulus 11,*

$$(1) \quad \frac{363}{176}p(n) + \frac{363}{55}p(n-22) \\ \equiv (5n^3 + n^2 + 4n + 4)\sigma_3(n) - (2n^4 - 4n^3 + 2n^2 - n - 4)\sigma(n),$$

$$(2) \quad -\frac{363}{154}p(n-1) - \frac{363}{88}p(n-12) \\ \equiv (5n^3 + 3n^2 + 3n - 2)\sigma_3(n) - (2n^4 - n^3 + 5n^2 - 5n - 5)\sigma(n),$$

$$(3) \quad -\frac{363}{143}p(n-2) - \frac{363}{22}p(n-35) \\ \equiv (5n^3 + 5n^2 + n + 5)\sigma_3(n) - (2n^4 + 2n^3 - 2n^2 - 4n - 3)\sigma(n),$$

$$(4) \quad -\frac{363}{77}p(n-15) + \frac{363}{44}p(n-26) \\ \equiv (5n^3 - 2n^2 + 5n + 1)\sigma_3(n) - (2n^4 - 3n^3 + n^2 - 3n + 2)\sigma(n),$$

$$(5) \quad 121p(n-5) \equiv -3n^3\sigma_3(n) - n^4\sigma(n),$$

$$(6) \quad \frac{363}{110}p(n-7) - \frac{363}{11}p(n-40) \\ \equiv (5n^3 + 4n^2 - 2n + 3)\sigma_3(n) - (2n^4 - 5n^3 + 4n^2 + 2n - 1)\sigma(n).$$

This corollary easily follows from Theorem 0 when use is made of Lemma 8 which enables replacement of the terms involving $\sigma_r(n)$ by terms involving $\sigma_3(n)$, and of the following relation (18) which makes redundant the terms involving $\sigma_5(n)$:

$$(18) \quad \sigma_5(11n + i) \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$$

when i is a quadratic non-residue of 11. This congruence is a particular case of a more general relation [7, 4] which holds for any odd prime modulus.

When n is a quadratic residue of 11 there is no scope for using the relation (18) but Lemma 8 can still be used with some advantage, and the result is given in Corollary 2.

COROLLARY 2. *If n is a quadratic residue of 11 then with respect to the modulus 11,*

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{363}{176}p(n) + \frac{363}{55}p(n-22) \\ \equiv & -(5n^2 + 4n + 4)\sigma_5(n) - (4n^3 + 5n^2 - 4n - 4)\sigma_3(n) \\ & - (2n^4 - 4n^3 + 3n^2 - n - 4)\sigma(n), \end{aligned}$$

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} & -\frac{363}{154}p(n-1) - \frac{363}{88}p(n-12) \\ \equiv & -(5n^2 + n + 3)\sigma_5(n) - (4n^3 + 4n^2 - 3n + 2)\sigma_3(n) \\ & - (2n^4 - n^3 + 5n^2 - 5n - 5)\sigma(n), \end{aligned}$$

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} & -\frac{363}{143}p(n-2) - \frac{363}{22}p(n-35) \\ \equiv & -(5n^2 - 2n + 1)\sigma_5(n) - (4n^3 + 3n^2 - n - 5)\sigma_3(n) \\ & - (2n^4 + 2n^3 - 2n^2 - 4n - 3)\sigma(n). \end{aligned}$$

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} & -\frac{363}{77}p(n-15) + \frac{363}{44}p(n-26) \\ \equiv & -(5n^2 + 3n + 5)\sigma_5(n) - (4n^3 + n^2 - 5n - 1)\sigma_3(n) \\ & - (2n^4 - 3n^3 + n^2 - 3n + 2)\sigma(n), \end{aligned}$$

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} & 121p(n-5) \\ \equiv & 3n^2\sigma_5(n) - 2n^3\sigma_3(n) - n^4\sigma(n), \end{aligned}$$

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{363}{110}p(n-7) - \frac{363}{11}p(n-40) \\ \equiv & -(5n^2 + 5n - 2)\sigma_5(n) - (4n^3 - 2n^2 + 2n - 3)\sigma_3(n) \\ & - (2n^4 - 5n^3 + 4n^2 + 2n - 1)\sigma(n). \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 3. This theorem follows from the above corollaries. We shall show that the first set of five congruences of Theorem 3 is deducible from Corollary 1 whereas the last set is obtainable from the other corollary.

Eliminating $\sigma_3(n)$ between (5) and each of the remaining congruences of Corollary 1 we find that if n is a quadratic non-residue then

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} & 3n^3 \left[\frac{363}{176}p(n) + \frac{363}{55}p(n-22) \right] \\ & + (5n^3 + n^2 + 4n + 4)121p(n-5) \\ \equiv & -n^3(2n^2 + n - 1)\sigma(n) \pmod{11}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -3n^3 \left[\frac{363}{154} p(n-1) + \frac{363}{88} p(n-12) \right] \\
 (20) \quad & + (5n^3 + 3n^2 + 3n - 2) 5^{121} p(n-5) \\
 & \equiv n^3(4n^2 - 5n + 4)\sigma(n) \pmod{11},
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -3n^3 \left[\frac{363}{143} p(n-2) + \frac{363}{22} p(n-35) \right] \\
 (21) \quad & + (5n^3 + 5n^2 + n + 5) 5^{121} p(n-5) \\
 & \equiv n^3(5n^2 - 4n - 2)\sigma(n) \pmod{11},
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -3n^3 \left[\frac{363}{77} p(n-15) - \frac{363}{44} p(n-26) \right] \\
 (22) \quad & + (5n^3 - 2n^2 + 5n + 1) 5^{121} p(n-5) \\
 & \equiv n^3(3n^2 - 3n + 5)\sigma(n) \pmod{11},
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 3n^3 \left[\frac{363}{110} p(n-7) - \frac{363}{11} p(n-40) \right] \\
 (23) \quad & + (5n^3 + 4n^2 - 2n + 3) 5^{121} p(n-5) \\
 & \equiv n^3(n^2 + 2n + 3)\sigma(n) \pmod{11}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By putting $11n + 10$, $11n + 8$, $11n + 6$, $11n + 13$ and $11n + 7$ in place of n in the congruences (19), (20), (21), (22) and (23) respectively we obtain the first five congruences, (1) – (5) of Theorem 3.

To prove the remaining congruences we turn to Corollary 2. Multiplying both sides of the congruence (5) of this corollary by 5, and adding the result to each of the other congruences one by one we have respectively the following congruences modulo 11,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{363}{176} p(n) + \frac{363}{55} p(n-22) + 5^{121} p(n-5) \\
 (24) \quad & \equiv -(n^2 + 4n + 4)\sigma_5(n) - (3n^3 + 5n^2 - 4n - 4)\sigma_3(n) \\
 & + (4n^4 + 4n^3 - 3n^2 + n + 4)\sigma(n),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\frac{363}{154} p(n-1) - \frac{363}{88} p(n-12) + 5^{121} p(n-5) \\
 (25) \quad & \equiv -(n^2 + n + 3)\sigma_5(n) - (3n^3 + 4n^2 - 3n + 2)\sigma_3(n) \\
 & + (4n^4 + n^3 - 5n^2 + 5n + 5)\sigma(n),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\frac{363}{143} p(n-2) - \frac{363}{22} p(n-35) + 5^{121} p(n-5) \\
 (26) \quad & \equiv -(n^2 - 2n + 1)\sigma_5(n) - (3n^3 + 3n^2 - n - 5)\sigma_3(n) \\
 & + (4n^4 - 2n^3 + 2n^2 + 4n + 3)\sigma(n),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\frac{363}{77}p(n-15) + \frac{363}{44}p(n-26) + 5^{121}p(n-5) \\
 (27) \quad & \equiv -(n^2 + 3n + 5)\sigma_5(n) - (3n^3 + n^2 - 5n - 1)\sigma_3(n) \\
 & \quad + (4n^4 + 3n^3 - n^2 + 3n - 2)\sigma(n),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{363}{110}p(n-7) - \frac{363}{11}p(n-40) + 5^{121}p(n-5) \\
 (28) \quad & \equiv -(n^2 + 5n - 2)\sigma_5(n) - (3n^3 - 2n^2 + 2n - 3)\sigma_3(n) \\
 & \quad + (4n^4 + 5n^3 - 4n^2 - 2n + 1)\sigma(n).
 \end{aligned}$$

By writing $11n + 9$, $11n + 5$, $11n + 12$, $11n + 15$ and $11n + 14$ respectively in (24), (25), (26), (27) and (28) we obtain the last five congruences (6) – (10) of Theorem 3.

10. Proof of Theorem 4. This theorem is based upon an artifice which depends upon the following simple congruence which can be established easily from first principles.

$$(29) \quad \sigma_k(11n) \equiv \sigma_k(n) \pmod{11}, \quad k > 0.$$

We shall illustrate the procedure adopted by proving the last congruence of the theorem. Writing $11n$ for n in the last congruence (6) of the basic Theorem 0 we have on using the above relation the following

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{363}{110}p(11n-7) - \frac{363}{11}p(11n-40) \\
 (30) \quad & \equiv -\sigma_7(n) + 2\sigma_5(n) + 3\sigma_3(n) + \sigma(n) \pmod{11}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting (6) of Theorem 0 from (30), and then writing $11n + 11$ for n we arrive at the desired result. Other congruences of the theorem are similarly established.

REFERENCES

1. G. H. Hardy, *Ramanujan*. Cambridge, 1940.
2. G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, 4th ed. Oxford, 1960.
3. D. B. Lahiri, *On a type of series involving the partition function with applications to certain congruence relations*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., **38** (1946), 125-132.
4. ———, *On Ramanujan's function $\tau(n)$ and the divisor function $\sigma_k(n)$ – I*, Bull. Calcutta Math Soc., **38** (1946), 193-206.
5. ———, *Some restricted partition functions: congruences modulo 3*, Pacific J., Math., **28** (1969), 575-581.
6. ———, *Some congruences for the elementary divisor functions*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **76** (1969), 395-397.
7. K. G. Ramanathan, *Congruence properties of Ramanujan's function $\tau(n)$ II*, J. Indian Math. Soc., **9** (1945), 55-59.

8. S. Ramanujan, *Collected Papers* (Cambridge University Press: 1927, and New York. Chelsea Publishing Company: 1962).
9. G. N. Watson, *Über Ramanujansche Kongruenzeigenschaften der Zerfallungszahlen*, Math. Z. **39** (1935) 712-731.

Received July 15, 1969 and in revised form May 26, 1971.

INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE
CALCUTTA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

C. R. HOBBY
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Bruce Alan Barnes, <i>Banach algebras which are ideals in a Banach algebra</i>	1
David W. Boyd, <i>Inequalities for positive integral operators</i>	9
Lawrence Gerald Brown, <i>Note on the open mapping theorem</i>	25
Stephen Daniel Comer, <i>Representations by algebras of sections over Boolean spaces</i>	29
John R. Edwards and Stanley G. Wayment, <i>On the nonequivalence of conservative Hausdorff methods and Hausdorff moment sequences</i>	39
P. D. T. A. Elliott, <i>On the limiting distribution of additive functions (mod 1)</i>	49
Mary Rodriguez Embry, <i>Classifying special operators by means of subsets associated with the numerical range</i>	61
Darald Joe Hartfiel, <i>Counterexamples to a conjecture of G. N. de Oliveira</i>	67
C. Ward Henson, <i>A family of countable homogeneous graphs</i>	69
Satoru Igari and Shigehiko Kuratsubo, <i>A sufficient condition for L^p-multipliers</i>	85
William A. Kirk, <i>Fixed point theorems for nonlinear nonexpansive and generalized contraction mappings</i>	89
Erwin Kleinfeld, <i>A generalization of commutative and associative rings</i>	95
D. B. Lahiri, <i>Some restricted partition functions. Congruences modulo 11</i>	103
T. Y. Lin, <i>Homological algebra of stable homotopy ring π_* of spheres</i>	117
Morris Marden, <i>A representation for the logarithmic derivative of a meromorphic function</i>	145
John Charles Nichols and James C. Smith, <i>Examples concerning sum properties for metric-dependent dimension functions</i>	151
Asit Baran Raha, <i>On completely Hausdorff-completion of a completely Hausdorff space</i>	161
M. Rajagopalan and Bertram Manuel Schreiber, <i>Ergodic automorphisms and affine transformations of locally compact groups</i>	167
N. V. Rao and Ashoke Kumar Roy, <i>Linear isometries of some function spaces</i>	177
William Francis Reynolds, <i>Blocks and F-class algebras of finite groups</i>	193
Richard Rochberg, <i>Which linear maps of the disk algebra are multiplicative</i>	207
Gary Sampson, <i>Sharp estimates of convolution transforms in terms of decreasing functions</i>	213
Stephen Scheinberg, <i>Fatou's lemma in normed linear spaces</i>	233
Ken Shaw, <i>Whittaker constants for entire functions of several complex variables</i>	239
James DeWitt Stein, <i>Two uniform boundedness theorems</i>	251
Li Pi Su, <i>Homomorphisms of near-rings of continuous functions</i>	261
Stephen Willard, <i>Functionally compact spaces, C-compact spaces and mappings of minimal Hausdorff spaces</i>	267
James Patrick Williams, <i>On the range of a derivation</i>	273