

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

FATOU'S LEMMA IN NORMED LINEAR SPACES

STEPHEN SCHEINBERG

FATOU'S LEMMA IN NORMED LINEAR SPACES

STEPHEN SCHEINBERG

This note presents a generalization of Fatou's lemma to arbitrary normed linear spaces. Several examples illustrate the situations in which this notion is meaningful. The main theorem gives an abstract characterization of the Fatou property. In particular this resolves the case of any reflexive space. An example shows that Fatou's lemma may fail even for uniform convergence in a normed algebra of continuous functions.

Frequently in analysis one obtains a function by a limiting process which is weaker (less demanding) than convergence in the norm. For example, a continuous function may be obtained as the point-wise, but not necessary uniform, limit of other continuous functions. Even though the limit is not a norm limit, one may still need to know that the norm of the limit function is no greater than the norms of the approximating functions. The classical case is, of course, Fatou's lemma: if $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise, then

$$\int |f| \leq \liminf \int |f_n|.$$

Another common situation is this. A subspace $A \subseteq C(X)$ is given which has a norm, $\|f\| \geq \sup |f|$. If $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise (or uniformly), does it follow that $\|f\| \leq \liminf \|f_n\|$? The answer is "yes" quite often, but can be "no," even when A is a subalgebra.

Motivated by a wide variety of examples, I wish to consider the following general situation. Throughout this paper A will be a normed linear space, not necessarily complete, and \mathcal{T} will be a locally convex Hausdorff topology on A which is weaker (coarser) than the norm topology. Say that *Fatou's lemma holds for A relative to \mathcal{T}* if whenever $a_\beta \xrightarrow{\beta} a$ in \mathcal{T} , it follows that $\|a\| \leq \liminf_{\beta \geq \gamma} \|a_\beta\|$. It is usually easier to apply the equivalent condition stated in the following proposition.

PROPOSITION. *Fatou's lemma holds for A relative to $\mathcal{T} \Leftrightarrow$ whenever $a_\beta \xrightarrow{\beta} a$ in \mathcal{T} , it follows that $\|a\| \leq \sup_{\beta} \|a_\beta\|$.*

Proof. \Rightarrow is obvious, since $\sup \geq \liminf$. For \Leftarrow , let $a_\beta \xrightarrow{\beta} a$ in \mathcal{T} be given. We may assume $\liminf_{\beta \geq \gamma} \|a_\beta\| = L < \infty$, for otherwise there is nothing to prove. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Given γ , $\exists \beta \geq \gamma$ with $\|a_\beta\| \leq L + \varepsilon$. Write this β as $\beta(\gamma)$ and we obtain $a_{\beta(\gamma)} \xrightarrow{\gamma} a$ with $\sup_{\gamma} \|a_{\beta(\gamma)}\| \leq L + \varepsilon$. By hypothesis, then $\|a\| \leq L + \varepsilon$. Now let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

It may be instructive to examine a few examples.

1. For each $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq E_3 \subseteq \dots$ with each E_j measurable and $\bigcup E_j = [0, 1]$, the topology \mathcal{S} of uniform convergence on every E_j is locally convex and Hausdorff. Egoroff's theorem shows that if measurable $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise, then $f_n \rightarrow f$ in \mathcal{S} for some such \mathcal{S} . In this manner the classical Fatou's lemma becomes a special case of the definition above.

2. Let $A = C^1[0, 1]$, $\|f\| = \sup |f| + \sup |f'|$, and \mathcal{S} be the topology of pointwise convergence. To verify Fatou's lemma, suppose $f_\alpha(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ for each x . Let x_0 maximize $|f|$ and x_1 maximize $|f'|$. Then $[f_\alpha(x_0 + \delta) - f_\alpha(x_0)]/\delta \xrightarrow{\alpha} [f(x_0 + \delta) - f(x_0)]/\delta$, implying $f'_\alpha(x_1 + \theta_\alpha \delta) \xrightarrow{\alpha} f'(x_1 + \theta \delta)$ by the mean-value theorem. This takes care of the part of the norm depending $|f'|$, the part depending on $|f|$ following from $f_\alpha(x_0) \xrightarrow{\alpha} f(x_0)$. The same result holds for $\|f\| = \sup(|f| + |f'|)$ or $\|f\| = \max(\sup |f|, \sup |f'|)$, etc. Using the appropriate differential quotients we can extend this example to any of the usual C^k -norms and of course to other manifolds.

3. Let D be an open connected subset of the plane which is the interior of its closure \bar{D} . Let $A =$ all functions continuous on \bar{D} which are analytic on D , with the sup norm, and $\mathcal{S} =$ the topology of convergence in each derivative at some fixed point $z_0 \in D$. To conclude $\|f\| \leq \sup \|f_\alpha\|$ from $f_\alpha^{(k)}(z_0) \rightarrow f^{(k)}(z_0)$ (all k), one may argue this way: if $\sup \|f_\alpha\| = \infty$, done; if $\sup \|f_\alpha\| < \infty$, then a standard normal families argument shows that some subnet converges uniformly on compact subsets of D . This implies that

$$|f(z)| \leq \sup_\alpha |f_\alpha(z)| \leq \sup_\alpha \|f_\alpha\|$$

for each $z \in D$, which completes the proof. See de Leeuw [1] for a different proof of a similar example.

4. Let $A =$ all continuous functions on $[0, 1]$ satisfying $\|f\| = \sup |f| + \sum 2^n |f(1/n)| < \infty$ and let \mathcal{S} be the topology of pointwise convergence. The proof of Fatou's lemma for this example is a direct consequence of the classical case for a discrete measure plus part of the proof in Example 2.

5. Let $A =$ all C^1 functions on the circle with C^1 norm, $\mathcal{S} =$ the topology of convergence in each Fourier coefficient. If $f_\gamma \rightarrow f$ in \mathcal{S} , then $K_n * f_\gamma \rightarrow K_n * f$ uniformly, since the Fejer kernel K_n uses only finitely many coefficients. By the argument in example 2,

$\|K_n * f\| \leq \sup_r \|K_n * f_r\|$ and since $K_n * f \rightarrow f$ uniformly (and even in norm), we get

$$\|f\| \leq \sup_n \|K_n * f\| \leq \sup_n \sup_r \|K_n * f_r\|.$$

The proof is completed by the observation that $\|K_n * g\| \leq \|g\|$ for any g . See [1] for abstractions and generalizations of this example.

In discussing the general situation let us use X^* for the space of all continuous linear mappings of a topological vector space X into the scalar field. Observe that $(A, \mathcal{S})^* \subseteq A^*$. If X is a normed linear space, let $U(X)$ be the unit ball of X .

THEOREM 1. *Let A, \mathcal{S} be given. These are equivalent.*

- (1) *Fatou's lemma holds for A relative to \mathcal{S} .*
- (2) *$(A, \mathcal{S})^* \cap U(A^*)$ is weak- $*$ -dense in $U(A^*)$.*
- (3) *For every $a \in A, \|a\| = \sup \{|\varphi(a)| : \varphi \in (A, \mathcal{S})^*, \|\varphi\| \leq 1\}$.*

Proof. 1 \rightarrow 3: It is sufficient to prove (3) for an arbitrary a_0 of norm 1. Let $C = \{a : \|a\| \leq 1 - \varepsilon\}$, where $\varepsilon > 0$. By (1) the closure \bar{C} of C in \mathcal{S} does not contain a_0 . Since \bar{C} is convex, circled, and contains 0, there is a functional $\varphi \in (A, \mathcal{S})^*$ with

$$\varphi(a_0) > \sup \{|\varphi(a)| : a \in \bar{C}\} \geq \sup \{|\varphi(a)| : a \in C\} = (1-\varepsilon)\|\varphi\|.$$

Since $\|a_0\| = 1$, this proves (3).

3 \rightarrow 2: If (2) is false, let $D = (A, \mathcal{S})^* \cap U(A^*)$ and let $\Psi \in U(A^*)$ be chosen so that $\Psi \notin \bar{D}$ = the weak- $*$ -closure of D . This implies existence of F in $(A^*, \text{weak-}^*\text{-topology})^*$ with

$$F(\Psi) > \sup \{|F(\varphi)| : \varphi \in \bar{D}\}.$$

Since F is given by evaluation at some $a \in A$, we get

$$\Psi(a) > \sup \{|\varphi(a)| : \varphi \in \bar{D}\} \geq \sup \{|\varphi(a)| : \varphi \in D\}.$$

This evidently contradicts (3).

2 \rightarrow 1: Let $a_\beta \xrightarrow{\beta} a_0$ in \mathcal{S} . Choose $\varphi_0 \in A^*$ with $\|\varphi_0\| = 1$ and $\varphi_0(a_0) = \|a_0\|$. By (2) there is $\varphi_\rho \xrightarrow{\rho} \varphi_0$ (weak $*$) with $\|\varphi_\rho\| \leq 1$ and $\varphi_\rho \in (A, \mathcal{S})^*$. Then $|\varphi_\rho(a_\beta)| \leq \|\varphi_\rho\| \|a_\beta\| \leq \|a_\beta\|$ and $\varphi_\rho(a_\beta) \xrightarrow{\beta} \varphi_\rho(a_0)$. Therefore $|\varphi_\rho(a_0)| \leq \sup_\beta |\varphi_\rho(a_\beta)| \leq \sup_\beta \|a_\beta\|$. It follows that

$$\|a_0\| = \varphi_0(a_0) = \lim_\rho \varphi_\rho(a_0) \leq \sup_\beta \|a_\beta\|,$$

and this is equivalent to (1).

COROLLARY. *Let z_0 belong to a plane domain and let A be the space of functions continuous on the closure of the domain and analytic in the interior, $\|f\| = \sup |f|$. Then every $\varphi \in A^*$ is the weak- $*$ -limit of functions Ψ of norm $\leq \|\varphi\|$ of the form $\Psi(f) = \sum_{finite} a_j f^{(j)}(z_0)$.*

THEOREM 2. *If A is reflexive, then Fatou's lemma holds for A relative to any \mathcal{T} .*

Proof. Let $a_r \xrightarrow{\gamma} a_0$ in \mathcal{T} . We need to show that $\|a_0\| \leq \sup_r \|a_r\|$. If the sup is ∞ , we are done. Let the sup be $K < \infty$. Since A is reflexive, the ball of radius K in A is compact in the weak topology induced by A^* and hence is compact in the topology induced by $(A, \mathcal{T})^* \subseteq A^*$. This means that a_1 exists in A with $\|a_1\| \leq K$ and a subnet exists with $\varphi(a_{r(\beta)}) \xrightarrow{\beta} \varphi(a_1)$ for every $\varphi \in (A, \mathcal{T})^*$. Since $a_{r(\beta)} \xrightarrow{\beta} a_0$ in \mathcal{T} , $\varphi(a_{r(\beta)}) \xrightarrow{\beta} \varphi(a_0)$ for every $\varphi \in (A, \mathcal{T})^*$. This implies that $a_0 = a_1$, since \mathcal{T} is locally convex and Hausdorff, and we are done.

In a similar manner we obtain the following.

THEOREM 3. *If A is the dual of a normed linear space and \mathcal{T} is comparable to the weak- $*$ -topology on A , then Fatou's lemma holds for A relative to \mathcal{T} .*

THEOREM 4. *Let A be any normed linear space which is not reflexive. Then there is a locally convex Hausdorff topology \mathcal{T} on A , weaker than the norm topology, so that Fatou's lemma fails for A relative to \mathcal{T} .*

Proof. Let $\varphi \in A^*$, $\varphi \neq 0$, and put $B = \ker \varphi$. B is a closed subspace of A and A is isomorphic with $B \oplus \mathbb{C}$; hence, B is not reflexive. This means that when we view $A \subseteq A^{**}$ in the natural way, B is not closed in the A^* -topology. We can choose $a_\beta \in B$ and $\underline{a} \in A^{**} - B$ so that $\|a_\beta\| = 1$ and $a_\beta \rightarrow \underline{a}$ in the topology induced by A^* . Since $\varphi \equiv 0$ on B , it follows that $\varphi(\underline{a}) = 0$, and since $\underline{a} \in B$ we see that $\underline{a} \in A$.

Let A' be the span of B and \underline{a} . For any $a_0 \in A - B$, the mapping between A' and A given by $b + \lambda \underline{a} \mapsto b + \lambda a_0$ is a vector space isomorphism which is bicontinuous in the norm. Use this mapping to transfer the A^* -induced topology of A' over onto A , where we obtain a locally convex Hausdorff topology \mathcal{T} weaker than the norm topology. Since $a_\beta \rightarrow a_0$ in \mathcal{T} , the proof is completed by selecting a_0 to have norm 2, for example.

REMARK. By a slight modification of the method of proof one can obtain \mathcal{F} so that each element of a sequence $a_n, \|a_n\| = n$, will be the \mathcal{F} -limit of elements of norm ≤ 1 . Then it will be impossible to give A an equivalent norm which accommodates \mathcal{F} in Fatou's lemma.

The failure of Fatou's lemma for an abstract linear space is not surprising. However, it is somewhat unexpected for A a subalgebra of $C(X)$ when \mathcal{F} is uniform convergence on X . Recall that any semi-simple commutative Banach algebra A may be regarded, via the Gelfand transform, as a subalgebra of $C(\Delta)$, Δ the maximal ideal space of A , and $\|f\| \geq \sup_{\Delta} |f|$.

THEOREM 5. *There exists a semi-simple commutative Banach algebra A with elements f, f_n satisfying $\|f_n\| \leq 1, \|f_n\| > 1$, and $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on Δ . That is, Fatou's lemma fails for A relative to the topology of uniform convergence on its maximal ideal space.*

Proof. First consider the Banach algebra B of all sequences $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ such that $\|x\| = \sum 2^k |x_k| < \infty$. All algebraic operations on B are defined coordinate-wise. As a Banach space B is isometrically isomorphic to l^1 . We can compute the maximal ideal space of B as follows. Let $h_j(x) = x_j$. These homomorphisms show that B is semi-simple. To see that these are all the homomorphisms of B , let $h: B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and look at $h(e_j)$, where $e_j = (\dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$ with the "1" in the j^{th} place. If $h \equiv 0$, then $h(e_{j_0}) \neq 0$ for some j_0 , since linear combinations of the e_j are dense. Applying h to the equation $e_i e_j = \delta_{ij}$ yields $h(e_{j_0}) = 1$ and $h(e_j) = 0$ for $j \neq j_0$. Then the equation $e_{j_0}(x - x_{j_0} e_{j_0}) = 0$ leads to $h(x) = x_{j_0}$ and $h \equiv h_{j_0}$.

Now we obtain A as the algebra of B together with a new norm $\| \cdot \|$, equivalent to $\| \cdot \|$. Of course, A will be semi-simple and have the same maximal ideal space. To construct the new norm, let $C = \{x \in B: |x_i| \leq 1/4 \text{ and } \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} 2^k |x_k| \leq 1\}$ and let $D =$ the convex hull of $\{e^{i\theta}(e_1/2 + 4e_k/2^k): \text{all real } \theta, \text{ all } k \geq 4\}$. Both C and D are convex and circled (stable under multiplication by scalars of absolute value ≤ 1). Put $U =$ the convex hull of $C \cup D$ and $p =$ the support functional of $U: p(x) = \inf \{r: x \in rU\} = 1/\sup \{r: rx \in U\}$.

Observe that $\|x\| \leq 1 \Rightarrow 1/2 x \in C \Rightarrow p(x) \leq 2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) \leq 1 &\Rightarrow (1-\epsilon)x = \lambda c + (1-\lambda)d \Rightarrow (1-\epsilon) \|x\| \leq \max(\|c\|, \|d\|) \\ &= \max\left(1\frac{1}{2}, 5\right) = 5. \end{aligned}$$

Since U is convex, circled, and absorbing, p defines a new norm $\|x\| = p(x)$ on B which we have seen is equivalent with the norm $\|\cdot\|$.

To show that p is a Banach algebra norm, it is sufficient to show $uu' \in U$ for any $u, u' \in U$. Since u (resp. u') is a convex combination of c, d (resp. c', d'), uu' is a convex combination of $cc', cd', c'd,$ and dd' . The first three clearly belong to C ; furthermore, $dd' \in C$ since the definition of D requires $k \geq 4$. This proves p is submultiplicative.

Now we estimate $p(e_1)$. Suppose $re_1 \in U$; then $re_1 = \lambda c + (1-\lambda)d$. Apply the linear functional $L(x) = \sum_2^\infty 2^k x_k$ to this equation and get $0 = \lambda L(c) + (1-\lambda)L(d)$. Therefore, $(1-\lambda)|L(d)| \leq \lambda|L(c)| \leq \lambda$, since $|L(c)| \leq 1$. $L(d) = 8d_1$ for all d , since this is true for the generators of D . Hence, $(1-\lambda)|d_1| \leq \lambda/8$. Looking at the first coordinate of $re_1 = \lambda c + (1-\lambda)d$, we see $r = \lambda c_1 + (1-\lambda)d_1$. Finally, $|c_1| \leq 1/4$ by definition and $(1-\lambda)|d_1| \leq \lambda/8$; so $r \leq 3/8\lambda \leq 3/8$. Thus $p(e_1) \geq 8/3$.

The theorem is proved with $f = e_1/2$ and $f_n = e_1/2 + 4e_n/2^n$.

REFERENCE

1. K. de Leeuw, *Linear spaces with a compact group of operators*, Illinois. J. Math., **2** (1958), 367-377.

Received February 18, 1971. This research was supported in part by NSF Grant 11911.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

C. R. HOBBY
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Bruce Alan Barnes, <i>Banach algebras which are ideals in a Banach algebra</i>	1
David W. Boyd, <i>Inequalities for positive integral operators</i>	9
Lawrence Gerald Brown, <i>Note on the open mapping theorem</i>	25
Stephen Daniel Comer, <i>Representations by algebras of sections over Boolean spaces</i>	29
John R. Edwards and Stanley G. Wayment, <i>On the nonequivalence of conservative Hausdorff methods and Hausdorff moment sequences</i>	39
P. D. T. A. Elliott, <i>On the limiting distribution of additive functions (mod 1)</i>	49
Mary Rodriguez Embry, <i>Classifying special operators by means of subsets associated with the numerical range</i>	61
Darald Joe Hartfiel, <i>Counterexamples to a conjecture of G. N. de Oliveira</i>	67
C. Ward Henson, <i>A family of countable homogeneous graphs</i>	69
Satoru Igari and Shigehiko Kuratsubo, <i>A sufficient condition for L^p-multipliers</i>	85
William A. Kirk, <i>Fixed point theorems for nonlinear nonexpansive and generalized contraction mappings</i>	89
Erwin Kleinfeld, <i>A generalization of commutative and associative rings</i>	95
D. B. Lahiri, <i>Some restricted partition functions. Congruences modulo 11</i>	103
T. Y. Lin, <i>Homological algebra of stable homotopy ring π_* of spheres</i>	117
Morris Marden, <i>A representation for the logarithmic derivative of a meromorphic function</i>	145
John Charles Nichols and James C. Smith, <i>Examples concerning sum properties for metric-dependent dimension functions</i>	151
Asit Baran Raha, <i>On completely Hausdorff-completion of a completely Hausdorff space</i>	161
M. Rajagopalan and Bertram Manuel Schreiber, <i>Ergodic automorphisms and affine transformations of locally compact groups</i>	167
N. V. Rao and Ashoke Kumar Roy, <i>Linear isometries of some function spaces</i>	177
William Francis Reynolds, <i>Blocks and F-class algebras of finite groups</i>	193
Richard Rochberg, <i>Which linear maps of the disk algebra are multiplicative</i>	207
Gary Sampson, <i>Sharp estimates of convolution transforms in terms of decreasing functions</i>	213
Stephen Scheinberg, <i>Fatou's lemma in normed linear spaces</i>	233
Ken Shaw, <i>Whittaker constants for entire functions of several complex variables</i>	239
James DeWitt Stein, <i>Two uniform boundedness theorems</i>	251
Li Pi Su, <i>Homomorphisms of near-rings of continuous functions</i>	261
Stephen Willard, <i>Functionally compact spaces, C-compact spaces and mappings of minimal Hausdorff spaces</i>	267
James Patrick Williams, <i>On the range of a derivation</i>	273