

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

INDICES FOR THE ORLICZ SPACES

DAVID W. BOYD

INDICES FOR THE ORLICZ SPACES

DAVID W. BOYD

The determination of the function spaces X which are intermediate in the weak sense between L^p and L^q has been shown, by the author, to depend on a pair of numbers (α, β) called the indices of the space. The indices depend on the function norm of X and on the properties of the underlying measure space: whether it has finite or infinite measure, is non-atomic or atomic. In this paper, formulas are given for the indices of an Orlicz space in case the measure space is non-atomic with finite or infinite measure, or else is purely atomic with atoms of equal measure. The indices for an Orlicz space over a non-atomic finite measure space turn out to be the reciprocals of the exponents of the space as introduced by Matuszewska and Orlicz, and generalized by Shimogaki. Some new results concerning submultiplicative functions are used in the proof of the main result.

DEFINITIONS AND MAIN RESULT. We suppose that $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ is a measure space which is measure-theoretically isomorphic to one of the following three possibilities: the positive reals \mathbf{R}^+ with Lebesgue measure, the interval $I = [0, 1]$ with Lebesgue measure or the positive integers \mathbf{Z}^+ with counting measure. We shall denote these standard spaces by S_i with $i = 0, 1, 2$ for \mathbf{R}^+ , I and \mathbf{Z}^+ respectively. We shall write $\Omega^* = S_i$ if $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ is isomorphic to S_i .

Suppose that ρ is a rearrangement-invariant function norm on the measurable functions $\mathcal{M}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$, (see [2]). Then for each measurable f , $\rho(f) = \sigma(f^*)$ where f^* is the decreasing rearrangement of f onto \mathbf{R}^+ , and σ is a rearrangement invariant norm on \mathbf{R}^+ . We define $E_s f^*$ by $E_s f^*(t) = f^*(st)$ for $0 < s < \infty$, and then let

$$h(s) = \sup \{ \sigma(E_s f^*) : f \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu), \sigma(f^*) \leq 1 \}.$$

It is known that the following limits exist (see Lemma 1, below)

$$\alpha = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\log h(s)}{-\log s}, \quad \beta = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\log h(s)}{\log s}$$

and these are called the indices of the space $L^\rho(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$. Note that in fact α depends only on σ and on Ω^* so we will write $\alpha = \alpha(\sigma, \Omega^*)$ and $\beta = \beta(\sigma, \Omega^*)$. (A slightly different definition was used in case $\Omega^* = \mathbf{Z}^+$ when the indices were introduced in [2], but it is equivalent to the definition given here as Lemma 3 will show). It was shown in [2] that X is intermediate between L^p and L^q in the weak sense if and only if $1/p > \alpha$ and $\beta > 1/q$.

We use the definition of Orlicz space given in [5]. Thus, let φ be a right-continuous nondecreasing function on $[0, \infty]$ and let ψ be its left-continuous inverse. Then the functions Φ and Ψ defined by

$$\Phi(u) = \int_0^u \varphi(t) dt, \quad \Psi(u) = \int_0^u \psi(t) dt$$

are called *complementary Young's functions*. The general form of Φ (or Ψ) is that there are two numbers a and b with $0 \leq a \leq b \leq \infty$ such that $\Phi(u) = 0$ for $0 \leq u \leq a$, Φ is convex and finite valued in $]a, b[$, and $\Phi(u) = \infty$ for $u > b$. If $b < \infty$ we say " Φ jumps" and if $a > 0$ we say " Φ is level". Otherwise we say Φ is strictly increasing.

Define the Minkowski functional by

$$M_\Phi(f^*) = \int_0^\infty \Phi(f^*(t)) dt,$$

and then $\rho_\Phi(f) = \inf \{c: M_\Phi(c^{-1}f^*) \leq 1\} = \sigma_\Phi(f^*)$. The space $L_\Phi(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ is the subspace of $\mathcal{M}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ determined by the function norm ρ_Φ , and its dual space (in the sense of function spaces) is isomorphic to L^Ψ .

We shall write $\alpha_i(\Phi) = \alpha(\sigma_\Phi, S_i)$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$). And similarly for β . Note that the indices of a space X are invariant under isomorphism and that if (α', β') are the indices of the dual space of L^ρ , then $(\alpha', \beta') = (1 - \beta, 1 - \alpha)$ (see [2]). The case $i = 0$ of the following theorem was proved in [1].

THEOREM. *Given a Young's function Φ . For $0 < s < \infty$, let*

$$\begin{aligned} g_0(s) &= \sup \{\Phi^{-1}(t)/\Phi^{-1}(st): 0 < t < \infty\} \\ g_1(s) &= \limsup \{\Phi^{-1}(t)/\Phi^{-1}(st): t \rightarrow \infty\} \\ g_2(s) &= \limsup \{\Phi^{-1}(t)/\Phi^{-1}(st): t \rightarrow 0+\} . \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i(\Phi) &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0+} -\log g_i(s)/\log s, & i &= 0, 1, 2 \\ \beta_i(\Phi) &= \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} -\log g_i(s)/\log s, & i &= 0, 1, 2 . \end{aligned}$$

PRELIMINARY LEMMAS.

LEMMA 1. (a). *Suppose that h is a positive function which satisfies $h(st) \leq h(s)h(t)$ for all $s > 0$ and $t > 0$. Let*

$$\theta(s) = -\log h(s)/\log s,$$

and let

$$\alpha(h) = \inf \{\theta(s): 0 < s < 1\}, \quad \beta(h) = \sup \{\theta(s): s > 1\} .$$

Then

$$\alpha(h) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \theta(s) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(h) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \theta(s) .$$

(b). Suppose h is positive and monotone and satisfies

$$h(mn) \leq h(m)h(n)$$

for m, n in \mathbf{Z}^+ . Let $\theta(n) = -\log h(n)/\log n$, and

$$\beta(h) = \inf \{ \theta(n) : n \in \mathbf{Z}^+ \} .$$

Then $\beta(h) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta(n)$.

Proof. The proof of (a) is in [1], and that of (b) is in [3]. Note that the extra condition in (b), that h be monotone, is needed; otherwise, one could define $h(p)$ to be an arbitrary positive number for each prime p , and then if $n = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_k^{a_k}$, let $h(n) = h(p_1)^{a_1} \cdots h(p_k)^{a_k}$. If $h(1) = 1$, h would satisfy (b) except that it would not be monotone, and certainly the conclusion need not be true, e.g., if $h(p) = p^p$.

LEMMA 2. (a). Let f be a positive function on $[1, \infty[$ and for $s > 1$ let $h(s) = \sup_{t \geq 1} f(st)/f(t)$ and $h_1(s) = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(st)/f(t)$. If β is as in Lemma 1 (a), then

$$\beta(h) = \beta(h_1) .$$

(b) Let f be positive and monotone on \mathbf{Z}^+ , and for $m \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, let

$$h(m) = \sup_{n \in \mathbf{Z}^+} f(mn)/f(n) ,$$

and $h_1(m) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(mn)/f(n)$. If β is as in Lemma 1(b), then

$$\beta(h) = \beta_1(h) .$$

Proof. (a) Clearly h satisfies the condition of Lemma 1 (a) for $s \geq 1, t \geq 1$. Let $\beta = \beta(h)$. By definition of β and the conclusion of Lemma 1, there is a function $\varepsilon(s)$ such that $\varepsilon(s) \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon(s) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$(1) \quad s^{-\beta} \leq h(s) \leq s^{-\beta + \varepsilon(s)} \quad \text{for } s \geq 1 .$$

Define $\Delta(t, s) = f(st)/f(t)$. Let m be a positive integer, and s be fixed > 1 . Then there is a t_m so that

$$h(s^m) \leq \Delta(t_m, s^m) s .$$

Using (1),

$$(2) \quad \Delta(t_m, s^m) \geq s^{-\beta m - 1} .$$

Note that, for any t ,

$$\Delta(ts^n, s) = f(s^{n+1}t)/f(s^nt)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{n=m}^{2m-1} \Delta(t_{2m} s^n, s) &= \Delta(t_{2m}, s^{2m})/\Delta(t_{2m}, s^m) \\ &\geq s^{-2\beta m-1}/s^{-\beta m+\epsilon} \\ &= s^{-\beta m-1-\epsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon = \epsilon(s^m)$.

Thus, if n_m is such that

$$\Delta(t_{2m} s^{n_m}, s) = \max \{ \Delta(t_{2m} s^n, s) : m \leq n \leq 2m - 1 \},$$

one has

$$\Delta(t_{2m} s^{n_m}, s)^m \geq \prod_{n=m}^{2m-1} \Delta(t_{2m} s^n, s)$$

and thus

$$\Delta(t_{2m} s^{n_m}, s) \geq s^{-\beta-(1/m)-(\epsilon/m)}.$$

But

$$t_{2m} s^{n_m} \geq s^m \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence

$$h_1(s) \geq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \Delta(t_{2m} s^{n_m}, s) \geq s^{-\beta}$$

for any $s > 1$, which shows that $\beta(h_1) = \beta(h)$, since obviously $h_1(s) \leq h(s)$. (b) The proof is entirely the same, using Lemma 1 (b) rather than 1 (a).

LEMMA 3. *Suppose that f is positive and monotone on $[1, \infty[$ that*

$$h_1(s) = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(st)}{f(t)} \quad \text{and} \quad h_2(s) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(sn)}{f(n)} \quad \text{for } s > 0.$$

Then

$$h_2(s) \leq h_1(s) \leq h_2(s \pm),$$

where the upper (lower) sign is used if f is increasing (decreasing), and

$$\beta(h_2) = \beta(h_1).$$

Proof. Clearly $h_2(s) \leq h_1(s)$. We treat the case that f is decreasing.

Given $\epsilon > 0$, $s > 1$ and an integer $m \geq 1$, there is an $N \geq m$ such that $n \geq m$ implies

$$\frac{f((s - sm^{-1})n)}{f(n)} \leq h_2(s - sm^{-1}) + \varepsilon .$$

Since f is decreasing, and $(s - sm^{-1})n \leq (s - sn^{-1})n = s(n - 1)$, we have

$$\frac{f(s(n - 1))}{f(n)} \leq h_2(s - sm^{-1}) + \varepsilon , \quad \text{for } n \geq N .$$

Now if $t \geq N + 1$, then there is an integer $n \geq N$ such that

$$n - 1 \leq t < n$$

so that $f(n - 1) \geq f(t) \geq f(n)$, and $f(s(n - 1)) \geq f(st) \geq f(sn)$. Hence

$$\frac{f(st)}{f(t)} \leq \frac{f(s(n - 1))}{f(n)} \leq h_2(s - sm^{-1}) + \varepsilon \quad \text{for } t \geq N + 1 .$$

Thus

$$h_1(s) \leq h_2(s - sm^{-1}) + \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } m \text{ and } \varepsilon$$

so that

$$h_1(s) \leq h_2(s -) .$$

Clearly $\beta(h_2) = \beta(h_1)$ follows from these inequalities.

Proof of the Theorem. $i = 0$. This was proved in [1], Theorem 5.5. In fact, in this case, $h(s) = g_0(s)$ for all s .

$i = 1$. We prove first that $\beta_1(\Phi)$ is as in the statement of the theorem. Then we use $\alpha_1(\Phi) = 1 - \beta_1(\Psi)$, and the well-known inequality:

$$(3) \quad t/\Phi^{-1}(t) \leq \Psi^{-1}(t) \leq 2t/\Phi^{-1}(t) \quad \text{for all } t > 0$$

to show that the expression for $\alpha_1(\Phi)$ is as stated. (For the inequality see [4], p. 13).

Let us thus take $s > 1$. We recall that if E is a set of measure u and χ_E is its characteristic function, then $\chi_E^* = \chi[0, u] = \chi_u$, and $\sigma_\phi(\chi_u) = 1/\Phi^{-1}(1/u)$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} h(s) &= \sup \{ \sigma_\phi(E_s f^*) / \sigma_\phi(f^*) : f \neq 0, f \in L^0(I) \} \\ &\geq \sup \{ \sigma_\phi(E_s \chi_u) / \sigma_\phi(\chi_u) : 0 < u \leq 1 \} \\ (4) \quad &= \sup \{ \Phi^{-1}(u^{-1}) / \Phi^{-1}(su^{-1}) : 0 < u \leq 1 \} \\ &= \sup \{ \Phi^{-1}(t) / \Phi^{-1}(st) : t \geq 1 \} . \end{aligned}$$

We define the latter quantity to be $k(s)$. Then (4) implies

$$\beta(h) \leq \beta(k) .$$

Note that by Lemma 2,

$$\beta(k) = \beta(g_1) .$$

Now we proceed to show that $h(s) \leq k(s/2)$ for $s \geq 2$, which clearly implies $\beta(h) \geq \beta(k)$ so that

$$\beta(h) = \beta(k) = \beta(g_1) .$$

Assume now that Φ is strictly increasing. We leave the other cases to the reader.

By definition of $k(s)$,

$$\Phi^{-1}(t)/k(s) \leq \Phi^{-1}(st) \quad \text{for } s \geq 1, t \geq 1 .$$

Thus,

$$\Phi(\Phi^{-1}(t)/k(s)) \leq st , \quad s \geq 1, t \geq 1$$

and so

$$(5) \quad \Phi(u/k(s)) \leq s\Phi(u) , \quad \text{for } u \geq \Phi^{-1}(1) .$$

Given $0 < f \in L^{\phi}(I)$ with f decreasing let

$$c = \sup \{t: f(t) \geq \Phi^{-1}(1)\} .$$

Then from (5),

$$\Phi(f(t)/k(s)) \leq s\Phi(f(t)) , \quad 0 \leq t \leq c$$

and

$$(6) \quad \Phi(f(t)/k(s)) \leq \Phi(\Phi^{-1}(1)/k(s)) \leq s , \quad \text{for } c < t \leq 1 .$$

Hence, for $s \geq 2$, (6) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\phi}(E_s f/k(s/2)) &= \int_0^{1/s} \Phi(f(st)/k(s/2)) dt \\ &= s^{-1} \int_0^1 \Phi(f(t)/k(s/2)) dt \\ &= s^{-1} \left(\int_0^c \frac{s}{2} \Phi(f(t)) dt + \int_c^1 \frac{s}{2} dt \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \Phi(f(t)) dt + \frac{1}{2} \leq 1 , \quad \text{if } M_{\phi}(f) \leq 1 . \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\rho(E_s f) \leq k(s/2) \quad \text{for } \rho(f) \leq 1 ,$$

which proves that

$$h(s) \leq k(s/2) \quad \text{for } s \geq 2 .$$

$i = 2$. According to Lemma 4 of [2], if F_m is the operator on sequences $\{f(n)\}$ given by

$$F_m f(n) = f([(n - 1)/m] + 1) ,$$

and if

$$K(m) = \sup \{ \rho(F_m f) : f \in L^\phi(Z^+) , \rho(f) \leq 1 \} ,$$

then

$$\alpha_2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \log K(m) / \log m = -\beta(K) .$$

Note that the action of F_m on $\{f(1), f(2), \dots\}$ is to repeat each term m -times, e.g., $F_2\{f(1), f(2), \dots\} = \{f(1), f(1), f(2), f(2), \dots\}$. Let us define

$$p(m) = \sup_{0 < u \leq 1} \Phi^{-1}(u) / \Phi^{-1}(u/m) \quad \text{for } m \in Z^+ .$$

Then, as in (5) above we obtain

$$(7) \quad \Phi(t/p(m)) \leq m^{-1}\Phi(t) \quad \text{provided } t \leq \Phi^{-1}(1) .$$

Observe that, if $0 \leq f \in L^\phi$, and k is fixed, then $f(k)\chi_{\{k\}}(n) \leq f(n)$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$ so that

$$\rho_\Phi(f(k)\chi_{\{k\}}) \leq \rho_\Phi(f) .$$

That is

$$f(k) \leq \rho_\Phi(f) / \rho_\Phi(\chi_{\{k\}}) = \rho_\Phi(f)\Phi^{-1}(1) , \quad \text{for all } k .$$

Hence, if $\rho_\Phi(f) \leq 1$, we have from (7) that

$$\Phi(f(k)/p(m)) \leq m^{-1}\Phi(f(k)) \quad \text{for all } k \text{ and } m .$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} M_\Phi(F_m f/p(m)) &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \Phi(F_m f(n)/p(m)) \\ &= m \sum_{n=1}^\infty \Phi(f(n)/p(m)) , \quad \text{by an earlier remark,} \\ &\leq m \sum_{n=1}^\infty m^{-1}\Phi(f(n)) = M_\Phi(f) . \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$K(m) \leq p(m)$$

But, if $f = \chi_{\{1,2,\dots,k\}}$, then

$$\rho(F_m f) / \rho_\Phi(f) = \Phi^{-1}(k^{-1}) / \Phi^{-1}(k^{-1}m^{-1}) .$$

Thus

$$K(m) \geq \sup_{k \in Z^+} \Phi^{-1}(k^{-1}) / \Phi^{-1}(k^{-1}m^{-1}) = p_1(m) , \quad \text{say .}$$

By Lemma 2, with $f(t) = 1/\Phi^{-1}(1/t)$, if

$$\hat{p}(m) = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi^{-1}(1/t) / \Phi^{-1}(1/tm)$$

and

$$\hat{p}_1(m) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi^{-1}(1/n) / \Phi^{-1}(1/nm) ,$$

then $\beta(p) = \beta(\hat{p})$ and $\beta(p_i) = \beta(\hat{p}_i)$. And, by Lemma 3, $\beta(\hat{p}) = \beta(\hat{p}_1)$. Hence $\beta(K) = \beta(p) = \beta(p_i)$ and we thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_2(\Phi) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} -\log g_2(m^{-1}) / \log(m^{-1}) \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0+} -\log g_2(s) / \log s . \end{aligned}$$

As in the case $i = 1$, we can obtain the result for $\beta_2(\Phi)$ from this and the inequality (3).

REMARK. One can relate the functions $g_i(s)$ to the functions $K_i(s)$ which are given by

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(s) &= \sup \{ \Phi(st) / \Phi(t) : 0 < t < \infty \} \\ K_1(s) &= \limsup \{ \Phi(st) / \Phi(t) : t \rightarrow \infty \} \\ K_2(s) &= \limsup \{ \Phi(st) / \Phi(t) : t \rightarrow 0+ \} \end{aligned}$$

whenever these make sense (e.g., if Φ is strictly increasing).

In fact K_i is left-continuous and increasing and its right continuous inverse is $1/g_i$. Formally this is clear, since if we let

$$\theta_i(s) = \Phi(st) / \Phi(t)$$

for any $t > 0$, then θ_i is convex and increasing and

$$\theta_i^{-1}(u) = \Phi^{-1}(u\Phi(t)) / t$$

so that

$$\theta_{\Phi^{-1}(t)}^{-1}(s) = \Phi^{-1}(st) / \Phi^{-1}(t) .$$

Thus we would expect

$$\inf_{t > 0} \theta_{\Phi^{-1}(t)}^{-1}(s) = 1/g_0(s) = \left(\sup_{t > 0} \theta_i \right)^{-1}(s) = K_0^{-1}(s) ,$$

and similarly for \liminf as $t \rightarrow 0+$ or $t \rightarrow \infty$. This intuitive argument can be justified as is done in [1] for the special case $i = 0$. This shows that if σ_Φ, s_Φ are the exponents defined in [6] and [7] for the case that $\Omega = [0, 1]$, then $\alpha_1 = 1/s_\Phi, \beta_1 = 1/\sigma_\Phi$.

EXAMPLE. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(t) &= e^t - t - 1 , & t \geq 0 \\ \Psi(t) &= (1 + t) \log(1 + t) , & t \geq 0 . \end{aligned}$$

Then, for K_0 as above

$$K_0(s) = \begin{cases} s^2, & 0 \leq s \leq 1 \\ \infty, & s > 1. \end{cases}$$

For, $\Phi(st) - s^2\Phi(t) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} ((s^n - s^2)/n!)t^n \leq 0$, for $0 \leq s \leq 1$, showing that $K_0(s) \leq s^2$ for $0 \leq s \leq 1$ and $\Phi(st)/\Phi(t) \rightarrow s^2$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Also

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(st)/\Phi(t) = \infty \text{ for } s > 1, \text{ showing } K_0(s) = \infty, \quad s > 1.$$

Thus,

$$g_0(s) = \max(s^{-1/2}, 1).$$

For large t , $\Phi(t) \sim e^t$ so $\Phi^{-1}(t) \sim \log t$, and hence

$$g_1(s) = 1.$$

For small t , $\Phi(t) \sim \frac{1}{2}t^2$ so $\Phi^{-1}(t) \sim \sqrt{2t}$, and thus

$$g_2(s) = s^{-1/2}.$$

Hence

$$(\alpha_0, \beta_0) = (\frac{1}{2}, 0), (\alpha_1, \beta_1) = (0, 0), (\alpha_2, \beta_2) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}).$$

REMARK. The result given in Lemma 2 seems to be new. There is a corresponding result for subadditive function on $[0, \infty[$, which may be obtained by setting $x = \log t$, $y = \log s$ and

$$F(x) = \exp(f(\log x))$$

in that lemma.

REFERENCES

1. D. W. Boyd, *The Hilbert transform on rearrangement invariant spaces*, Canad. J. Math., **19** (1967), 599-616.
2. ———, *Indices of function spaces and their relationship to interpolation*, Canad. J. Math., **21** (1969), 1245-1254.
3. ———, *Monotone semigroups of operators on cones*, Canad. Math. Bull., **12** (1969), 299-310.
4. M. A. Krasnosel'skii, and Ya. B. Rutickii, *Convex functions and Orlicz spaces*, (Groningen, 1961).
5. W. A. J. Luxemburg, *Banach Function Spaces*, Thesis, Delft Technical Univ. (1955).
6. W. Matuszewska and W. Orlicz, *On certain properties of φ -functions*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci, Ser. sci. math. astr. et phys., **8** (1960), 439-443.
7. T. Shimogaki, *Exponents of norms in semi-ordered linear spaces*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci, Ser. math. astr. et phys., **13** (1965), 135-140.

Received June 17, 1970. Supported in part by NSF Grant GP-14133.

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

C. R. HOBBY
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

Richard Davis Anderson and Thomas Ashland Chapman, <i>Extending homeomorphisms to Hilbert cube manifolds</i>	281
Nguyen Huu Anh, <i>Restriction of the principal series of $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ to some reductive subgroups</i>	295
David W. Boyd, <i>Indices for the Orlicz spaces</i>	315
William Garfield Bridges, <i>The polynomial of a non-regular digraph</i>	325
Billie Chandler Carlson, Robert K. Meany and Stuart Alan Nelson, <i>Mixed arithmetic and geometric means</i>	343
H. A. Çelik, <i>Commutative associative rings and anti-flexible rings</i>	351
Hsin Chu, <i>On the structure of almost periodic transformation groups</i>	359
David Allyn Drake, <i>The translation groups of n-uniform translation Hjelmslev planes</i>	365
Michael Benton Freeman, <i>The polynomial hull of a thin two-manifold</i>	377
Anthony Alfred Gioia and Donald Goldsmith, <i>Convolutions of arithmetic functions over cohesive basic sequences</i>	391
Leslie C. Glaser, <i>A proof of the most general polyhedral Schoenflies conjecture possible</i>	401
Thomas Lee Hayden and Ted Joe Suffridge, <i>Biholomorphic maps in Hilbert space have a fixed point</i>	419
Roger Alan Horn, <i>Schlicht mappings and infinitely divisible kernels</i>	423
Norman Ray Howes, <i>On completeness</i>	431
Hideo Imai, <i>Sario potentials on Riemannian spaces</i>	441
A. A. Iskander, <i>Subalgebra systems of powers of partial universal algebras</i>	457
Barry E. Johnson, <i>Norms of derivations of $\mathcal{L}(X)$</i>	465
David Clifford Kay and Eugene W. Womble, <i>Axiomatic convexity theory and relationships between the Carathéodory, Helly, and Radon numbers</i>	471
Constantine G. Lascarides, <i>A study of certain sequence spaces of Maddox and a generalization of a theorem of Iyer</i>	487
C. N. Linden, <i>On Blaschke products of restricted growth</i>	501
John S. Lowndes, <i>Some triple integral equations</i>	515
Declan McCartan, <i>Bicontinuous preordered topological spaces</i>	523
S. Moedomo and J. Jerry Uhl, Jr., <i>Radon-Nikodým theorems for the Bochner and Pettis integrals</i>	531
Calvin Cooper Moore and Joseph Albert Wolf, <i>Totally real representations and real function spaces</i>	537
Reese Trego Prosser, <i>A form of the moment problem for Lie groups</i>	543
Larry Smith, <i>A note on annihilator ideals of complex bordism classes</i>	551
Joseph Warren, <i>Meromorphic annular functions</i>	559