

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

MIXED ARITHMETIC AND GEOMETRIC MEANS

BILLIE CHANDLER CARLSON,
ROBERT K. MEANY AND STUART ALAN NELSON

MIXED ARITHMETIC AND GEOMETRIC MEANS

B. C. CARLSON, R. K. MEANY, AND S. A. NELSON

Consider all ordinary arithmetic and geometric means of n real nonnegative numbers taken k at a time. Let α_k be the geometric mean of all the arithmetic means and γ_k the arithmetic mean of all the geometric means. It is proved that α_k increases with k , γ_k decreases, and $\gamma_h \leq \alpha_k$ if $h + k > n$. These results are generalized to mixed means of any real orders. Comparison of α_k and γ_k with elementary symmetric functions suggests a conjecture.

1. Introduction and summary. If x, y, z are real nonnegative numbers, then [2], [3]

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{1}{3} [(xy)^{1/2} + (xz)^{1/2} + (yz)^{1/2}] \leq \left[\frac{x+y}{2} \frac{x+z}{2} \frac{y+z}{2} \right]^{1/3}.$$

The inequality was proved originally to demonstrate that the right-hand side is the better approximation to the capacity of an ellipsoid with semiaxes x, y, z . To generalize (1.1) from three to n variables, $n \geq 3$, consider the real nonnegative numbers x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n and form all ordinary (as opposed to weighted) arithmetic and geometric means of these numbers taken $n-1$ at a time. Then the arithmetic mean of the geometric means does not exceed the geometric mean of the arithmetic means [3], [4].

In the present note we consider arithmetic and geometric means of x_1, \dots, x_n taken k at a time, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let α_k denote the geometric mean of the arithmetic means, and γ_k the arithmetic mean of the geometric means. For example, if $n = 4$, we have

$$(1.2) \quad \alpha_2 = \left[\frac{x_1+x_2}{2} \frac{x_1+x_3}{2} \frac{x_1+x_4}{2} \frac{x_2+x_3}{2} \frac{x_2+x_4}{2} \frac{x_3+x_4}{2} \right]^{1/6},$$

$$\gamma_3 = \frac{1}{4} [(x_1x_2x_3)^{1/3} + (x_1x_2x_4)^{1/3} + (x_1x_3x_4)^{1/3} + (x_2x_3x_4)^{1/3}].$$

Note that $\alpha_n = \gamma_1$ is the arithmetic mean of x_1, \dots, x_n , and $\alpha_1 = \gamma_n$ is the geometric mean. We shall show first (Theorem 1) that α_k increases with k while γ_k decreases. The second result (Theorem 2) states that $\gamma_h \leq \alpha_k$ if $h + k > n$. If $n = 3$, for instance, we have $\gamma_2 \leq \alpha_2$, which is (1.1), and if $n = 4$, as in (1.2), we have $\gamma_3 \leq \alpha_2$. The case $h = k = n$ is the ordinary inequality of the arithmetic and geometric means, and the case $h = k = n - 1, n > 2$, is the inequality stated in [3].

More generally we shall define a mixed mean $M_{s,t}(k)$ of the real

nonnegative numbers x_1, \dots, x_n taken k at a time. As k increases from 1 to n , $M_{st}(k)$ increases or decreases monotonically, according as $s < t$ or $s > t$, from the mean of order s of x_1, \dots, x_n to the mean of order t . If $s \leq t$ and $h + k > n$, then $M_{ts}(h) \leq M_{st}(k)$.

Maclaurin's theorem for the elementary symmetric functions p_1, \dots, p_n suggests allowing s or t to depend on k , as in $M_{k0}(k) = p_k^{1/k}$. The inequality $\gamma_k \leq p_k^{1/k}$ is very easy to prove, and it is conjectured that $p_k^{1/h} \leq \alpha_k$ if $h + k > n$.

2. Monotony. We first define α_k and γ_k and then show that each is monotonic in k .

DEFINITION 1. If $V = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k\}$, where $k \geq 1$ and y_1, \dots, y_k are real nonnegative numbers, we define $A(V)$ and $G(V)$ to be the arithmetic and geometric means of the elements of V :

$$(2.1) \quad A(V) = \frac{1}{k} (y_1 + \dots + y_k), \quad G(V) = (y_1 \dots y_k)^{1/k}.$$

DEFINITION 2. Let x_1, \dots, x_n be real nonnegative numbers and let k be a positive integer not exceeding n . The subsets of $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ with cardinal number k will be denoted by V_1, V_2, \dots, V_v , where $v = \binom{n}{k}$. We define

$$(2.2) \quad \alpha_k = \left[\prod_{i=1}^v A(V_i) \right]^{1/v}, \quad \gamma_k = \frac{1}{v} \sum_{i=1}^v G(V_i).$$

THEOREM 1. Let x_1, \dots, x_n be real nonnegative numbers and let the means $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ and $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ be defined by Definition 2. Then $\alpha_{k-1} \leq \alpha_k$, $k = 2, 3, \dots, n$, with strict inequality unless either (1) $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n$ or (2) k of the x_i are zero. Also, $\gamma_{k-1} \geq \gamma_k$, $k = 2, 3, \dots, n$, with strict inequality unless either (1) $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n$ or (2) $n - k + 2$ of the x_i are zero.

Proof. Let W_1, W_2, \dots, W_w , $w = \binom{n}{k-1}$, be the subsets of $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ with cardinal number $k - 1$. Also, let $V_i, i = 1, \dots, v$, be defined by Definition 2 and let the subsets of V_i with cardinal number $k - 1$ be denoted by V_{i1}, \dots, V_{ik} . Then each set V_{ij} equals one of the sets W_1, \dots, W_w , and each of the W_i occurs exactly $n - k + 1$ times among the sets V_{11}, \dots, V_{vk} . Note that $w(n - k + 1) = vk$.

Using the inequality of the arithmetic and geometric means, we have

$$(2.3) \quad A(V_i) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k A(V_{ij}) \geq \left[\prod_{j=1}^k A(V_{ij}) \right]^{1/k}, \quad i = 1, \dots, v,$$

with strict inequality unless all the elements of V_i are equal. Hence there is strict inequality for some value of i unless $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n$. It follows that

$$(2.4) \quad \alpha_k = \left[\prod_{i=1}^v A(V_i) \right]^{1/v} \geq \left[\prod_{i=1}^v \prod_{j=1}^k A(V_{ij}) \right]^{1/vk},$$

with strict inequality unless either (1) $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n$ or (2) k of the x_i are zero (with the result that $A(V_i) = 0$ for some value of i). The third member of (2.4) equals

$$(2.5) \quad \left[\prod_{j=1}^w A(W_i) \right]^{(n-k+1)/vk} = \left[\prod_{i=1}^w A(W_i) \right]^{1/w} = \alpha_{k-1}.$$

Similarly we have

$$(2.6) \quad G(V_i) = \left[\prod_{j=1}^k G(V_{ij}) \right]^{1/k} \leq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k G(V_{ij}), \quad i = 1, \dots, v,$$

with strict inequality unless either (i) all the elements of V_i are equal or (ii) two elements of V_i are zero. Hence there is strict inequality for some value of i unless either (1) $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n$ or (2) $n - k + 2$ of the x_i are zero (with the result that two elements of V_i are zero for each value of i). It now follows that

$$(2.7) \quad \gamma_k = \frac{1}{v} \sum_{i=1}^v G(V_i) \leq \frac{1}{vk} \sum_{i=1}^v \sum_{j=1}^k G(V_{ij}),$$

with strict inequality unless (1) or (2) holds. The third member of (2.7) equals

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{n - k + 1}{vk} \sum_{i=1}^w G(W_i) = \frac{1}{w} \sum_{i=1}^w G(W_i) = \gamma_{k-1}.$$

3. Inequality of mixed means. Let $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_v, v = \binom{n}{k}$, be defined by Definition 2, and similarly denote the subsets of $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ with cardinal number h by $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_u, u = \binom{n}{h}$.

LEMMA 1. *If $h + k > n$, then*

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{1}{u} \sum_{i=1}^u A(U_i \cap V_j) = A(V_j), \quad j = 1, \dots, v,$$

$$(3.2) \quad \left[\prod_{j=1}^v G(U_i \cap V_j) \right]^{1/v} = G(U_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, u.$$

Proof. The condition $h + k > n$ implies that $U_i \cap V_j$ is nonvoid for all i and j . Each term on the left side of (3.1) is a linear combination of the elements of V_j , and so is the sum. Since the summation extends over all subsets U_i with cardinal number h , the sum is unchanged by permuting the elements of V_j and must therefore be a constant multiple of $A(V_j)$. The multiplier is determined to be unity by choosing all the x_i to be equal.

Each factor on the left side of (3.2) is a product of powers of the elements of U_i , and so is the product of the factors. Since there is one factor for every subset V_j with cardinal number k , the product is unchanged by permuting the elements of U_i and must therefore be a power of $G(U_i)$. The power is determined to be unity by choosing all the x_i to be equal.

THEOREM 2. *Let x_1, \dots, x_n be real nonnegative numbers and let the means $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ and $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ be defined by Definition 2. Then $\gamma_h \leq \alpha_k$ if $h + k > n$. The inequality is strict unless either (1) $(h - 1)(k - 1) = 0$ or (2) $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n$ or (3) k of the x_i are zero.*

Proof. One form of Hölder’s inequality states that if $(a), (b), \dots, (s)$ are u -tuples of real nonnegative numbers and $\alpha, \beta, \dots, \sigma$ are positive weights with $\alpha + \beta + \dots + \sigma = 1$, then [5, p. 22]

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^u a_i^\alpha b_i^\beta \dots s_i^\sigma \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^u a_i\right)^\alpha \left(\sum_{i=1}^u b_i\right)^\beta \dots \left(\sum_{i=1}^u s_i\right)^\sigma.$$

(The proof of this inequality consists in dividing each term on the left side by the right side and using the inequality of the arithmetic and geometric means.) Let U_1, \dots, U_u and V_1, \dots, V_v have the same meaning as in Lemma 1, assume $h + k > n$, and introduce the abbreviations

$$(3.4) \quad G_i = G(U_i), A_j = A(V_j), G_{ij} = G(U_i \cap V_j), A_{ij} = A(U_i \cap V_j).$$

We now choose

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & \dots & s_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_u & \dots & s_u \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} G_{11} & \dots & G_{1v} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ G_{u1} & \dots & G_{uv} \end{bmatrix}$$

and put $\alpha = \beta = \dots = \sigma = 1/v$. Hölder’s inequality becomes

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{i=1}^u G_i = \sum_{i=1}^u \prod_{j=1}^v G_{ij}^{1/v} \leq \prod_{j=1}^v \left(\sum_{i=1}^u G_{ij}\right)^{1/v},$$

where the equality of the first two members follows from Lemma 1. The inequality of the arithmetic and geometric means implies

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{i=1}^u G_{ij} \leq \sum_{i=1}^u A_{ij} = uA_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, v,$$

with strict inequality for some value of j unless either (1) $(h - 1)(k - 1) = 0$ or (2) $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n$. The equality of the second and third members follows from Lemma 1. Hence

$$(3.8) \quad \prod_{j=1}^v \left(\sum_{i=1}^u G_{ij} \right)^{1/v} \leq u \prod_{j=1}^v A_j^{1/v},$$

with strict inequality unless either (1) $(h - 1)(k - 1) = 0$ or (2) $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n$ or (3) k of the x_i are zero (with the result that $A_j = 0$ for some value of j). We have finally

$$(3.9) \quad \gamma_h = \frac{1}{u} \sum_{i=1}^u G_i \leq \prod_{j=1}^v A_j^{1/v} = \alpha_k, \quad h + k > n,$$

with obvious equality if (1) or (2) or (3) holds and strict inequality otherwise.

4. Mixed means of general orders. No extension of these results to weighted means is known. However, it is not difficult to generalize the inequalities for mixed arithmetic and geometric means to mixed means of any real orders, and we shall sketch briefly what modifications are necessary. Definition 1 is augmented by defining the mean of any real order t ,

$$(4.1) \quad M_t(V) = \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k y_i^t \right)^{1/t}, \quad t \neq 0,$$

$$M_0(V) = G(V).$$

Note that $A(V) = M_1(V)$. Similarly we augment Definition 2 by defining the mixed mean of orders s and t of the real nonnegative numbers x_1, \dots, x_n taken k at a time:

$$(4.2) \quad M_{st}(k) = \left\{ \frac{1}{v} \sum_{i=1}^v \left[M_t(V_i) \right]^s \right\}^{1/s}, \quad s \neq 0,$$

$$M_{0t}(k) = \left[\prod_{i=1}^v M_t(V_i) \right]^{1/v}.$$

Note that $\alpha_k = M_{01}(k)$ and $\gamma_k = M_{10}(k)$. Also, $M_{st}(1)$ is the mean of order s of x_1, \dots, x_n and $M_{st}(n)$ is the mean of order t . For fixed k , $M_{st}(k)$ increases with s and with t because of a basic property of mean values [5, p. 26]. Finally, $M_{st}(k)$ is nonzero unless either (1) $s \leq 0, t \leq 0$, and one of the x_i is zero, or (2) $s \leq 0, t > 0$, and k of the x_i are zero, or (3) $s > 0, t \leq 0$, and $n - k + 1$ of the x_i are zero, or (4) $s > 0, t > 0$, and all the x_i are zero.

The generalization of Theorem 1 states that $M_{st}(k)$ increases or decreases with increasing k according as $s < t$ or $s > t$. In both cases $M_{st}(k)$ and $M_{st}(k-1)$ are unequal unless either (1) both are zero or (2) $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n$. If $s = t$ the mixed mean is independent of k :

$$(4.3) \quad M_{tt}(k) = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^t \right)^{1/t}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Here and subsequently, the cases in which s or t is zero are not written explicitly but may be included by agreeing that an appropriate geometric mean is intended or by taking the limit as $s \rightarrow 0$ or $t \rightarrow 0$. The proof of monotony in the general case is quite similar to the proof of Theorem 1, the extension of (2.3) being

$$(4.4) \quad M_t(V_i) = \left\{ \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k [M_t(V_{ij})]^t \right\}^{1/t} \geq \left\{ \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k [M_t(V_{ij})]^s \right\}^{1/s}, \quad t > s.$$

The inequality, which is reversed if $t < s$, holds because a mean of order s increases with s [5, p. 26].

The generalization of Lemma 1 states that

$$(4.5) \quad \left\{ \frac{1}{u} \sum_{i=1}^u [M_t(U_i \cap V_j)]^t \right\}^{1/t} = M_t(V_j), \quad h + k > n.$$

The same sequence of steps as in the proof of Theorem 2 can now be followed in the general case, with Hölder's inequality replaced by Jessen's form of Minkowski's inequality [5, p. 31] and with the inequality $G \leq A$ in (3.7) replaced by $M_s \leq M_t$ if $s \leq t$. The result is

$$(4.6) \quad M_{ts}(h) \leq M_{st}(k), \quad s \leq t, h + k > n.$$

The inequality is strict unless either (1) $s = t$ or (2) $(h-1)(k-1) = 0$ or (3) $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n$ or (4) $s \leq t \leq 0$ and one of the x_i is zero or (5) $s \leq 0, t > 0$, and k of the x_i are zero.

5. Comparison with elementary symmetric functions. Let p_k denote the elementary symmetric function of degree k of the real nonnegative numbers x_1, \dots, x_n , multiplied by a normalizing constant to make $p_k = 1$ if $x_1 = \dots = x_n = 1$. For example, if $n = 3$ and $(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x, y, z)$, we have

$$(5.1) \quad p_1 = \frac{1}{3}(x + y + z), \quad p_2 = \frac{1}{3}(xy + yz + zx), \quad p_3 = xyz,$$

and for any n it follows from (4.2) that

$$(5.2) \quad p_k^{1/k} = M_{k0}(k).$$

The counterpart of Theorem 1 is Maclaurin's theorem [5, p. 52], which states that $p_k^{1/k}$ is a decreasing function of k . Let us try to compare three increasing sequences of mean values, each beginning with the geometric mean and ending with the arithmetic mean:

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &\leq \alpha_2 \leq \cdots \leq \alpha_{n+1-k} \leq \cdots \leq \alpha_{n-1} \leq \alpha_n, \\ p_n^{1/n} &\leq p_{n-1}^{1/(n-1)} \leq \cdots \leq p_k^{1/k} \leq \cdots \leq p_2^{1/2} \leq p_1, \\ \gamma_n &\leq \gamma_{n-1} \leq \cdots \leq \gamma_k \leq \cdots \leq \gamma_2 \leq \gamma_1. \end{aligned}$$

If $n = 3$ we have $\gamma_2 \leq p_2^{1/2} \leq \alpha_2$. The inequality of the first and third members is (1.1), the inequality of the first and second members follows at once from the inequality of the arithmetic mean and the root-mean-square, and the inequality of the second and third members is proved in several steps in [2].

For all n and k , Theorem 2 implies $\gamma_k \leq \alpha_{n+1-k}$. Also, since a mean value increases with its order [5, p. 26] we have $M_{10}(k) \leq M_{k0}(k)$ and hence

$$(5.4) \quad \gamma_k \leq p_k^{1/k}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

The known results for $n = 3$ suggest the conjecture that $p_k^{1/k} \leq \alpha_{n+1-k}$. In view of (5.3) it is equivalent to conjecture that $p_h^{1/h} \leq \alpha_k$ if $h + k > n$. Were this proved true, Theorem 2 would follow as a corollary because of (5.4).

REFERENCES

1. E. F. Beckenbach and R. Bellman, *Inequalities*, Springer, Berlin, 1961.
2. B. C. Carlson, *Inequalities for a symmetric elliptic integral*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **25** (1970), 698-703.
3. ———, *An inequality of mixed arithmetic and geometric means* (Problem 70-10), SIAM Rev., **12** (1970), 287-288.
4. B. C. Carlson, R. K. Meany, and S. A. Nelson, *An inequality of mixed arithmetic and geometric means* (Solution of Problem 70-10 [3]), SIAM Rev., **13** (1971), 253-255.
5. G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1952. MR 13, 727.

Received July 22, 1970. The research of the first author was supported by the Ames Laboratory of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

C. R. HOBBY
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 38, No. 2

April, 1971

Richard Davis Anderson and Thomas Ashland Chapman, <i>Extending homeomorphisms to Hilbert cube manifolds</i>	281
Nguyen Huu Anh, <i>Restriction of the principal series of $SL(n, \mathbf{C})$ to some reductive subgroups</i>	295
David W. Boyd, <i>Indices for the Orlicz spaces</i>	315
William Garfield Bridges, <i>The polynomial of a non-regular digraph</i>	325
Billie Chandler Carlson, Robert K. Meany and Stuart Alan Nelson, <i>Mixed arithmetic and geometric means</i>	343
H. A. Çelik, <i>Commutative associative rings and anti-flexible rings</i>	351
Hsin Chu, <i>On the structure of almost periodic transformation groups</i>	359
David Allyn Drake, <i>The translation groups of n-uniform translation Hjelmslev planes</i>	365
Michael Benton Freeman, <i>The polynomial hull of a thin two-manifold</i>	377
Anthony Alfred Gioia and Donald Goldsmith, <i>Convolutions of arithmetic functions over cohesive basic sequences</i>	391
Leslie C. Glaser, <i>A proof of the most general polyhedral Schoenflies conjecture possible</i>	401
Thomas Lee Hayden and Ted Joe Suffridge, <i>Biholomorphic maps in Hilbert space have a fixed point</i>	419
Roger Alan Horn, <i>Schlicht mappings and infinitely divisible kernels</i>	423
Norman Ray Howes, <i>On completeness</i>	431
Hideo Imai, <i>Sario potentials on Riemannian spaces</i>	441
A. A. Iskander, <i>Subalgebra systems of powers of partial universal algebras</i>	457
Barry E. Johnson, <i>Norms of derivations of $\mathcal{L}(X)$</i>	465
David Clifford Kay and Eugene W. Womble, <i>Axiomatic convexity theory and relationships between the Carathéodory, Helly, and Radon numbers</i>	471
Constantine G. Lascarides, <i>A study of certain sequence spaces of Maddox and a generalization of a theorem of Iyer</i>	487
C. N. Linden, <i>On Blaschke products of restricted growth</i>	501
John S. Lowndes, <i>Some triple integral equations</i>	515
Declan McCartan, <i>Bicontinuous preordered topological spaces</i>	523
S. Moedomo and J. Jerry Uhl, Jr., <i>Radon-Nikodým theorems for the Bochner and Pettis integrals</i>	531
Calvin Cooper Moore and Joseph Albert Wolf, <i>Totally real representations and real function spaces</i>	537
Reese Trego Prosser, <i>A form of the moment problem for Lie groups</i>	543
Larry Smith, <i>A note on annihilator ideals of complex bordism classes</i>	551
Joseph Warren, <i>Meromorphic annular functions</i>	559