Pacific Journal of Mathematics

SARIO POTENTIALS ON RIEMANNIAN SPACES

HIDEO IMAI

Vol. 38, No. 2

April 1971

SARIO POTENTIALS ON RIEMANNIAN SPACES

HIDEO IMAI

In potential theory on Riemann surfaces three kernels are considered; the Green's kernel on hyperbolic Riemann surfaces; the Evans kernel on parabolic Riemann surfaces; and the Sario kernel on arbitrary Riemann surfaces. Since the Sario kernel has no restriction on the domain surface, in contrast with the two other kernels, its potential theory enjoys the advantage of full generality. From the point of view of Riemannian spaces potential theory on Riemann surfaces is included in that on Riemannian spaces.

The object of this note is to construct the Sario kernel and to develop the corresponding theory of Sario kernel on Riemannian spaces of dimension $n \ge 3$. The Sario kernel, which is positive, symmetric and jointly continuous, posseses the property of Riez type decomposition (Theorem 1). The continuity principle, unicity principle, Frostman's maximum principle, energy principle and capacity principle are valid for potentials with respect to the Sario kernel. It is also shown that a set of capacity zero with respect to the Sario kernel is, considered locally, of Newtonian capacity zero (Theorem 7), and so the relation of capacity function and the equilibrium Newtonian potential in Euclidean *n*-space is obtained.

Historically the Sario kernel on Riemann surface is constructed by Sario ([8], [9], [10]) as a generalization of the elliptic kernel on the Riemann sphere, and the potential theory corresponding to the Sario kernel has been systematically investigated by Nakai ([3], [4], [5], [6]). Our main tools are similar to those of Nakai.

First we shall construct a Sario kernel which is positive and symmetric and demonstrate its joint continuity (Theorem 1). These properties will enable us to prove the continuity principle, unicity principle, Frostman's maximum principle, energy principle and capacity principle for the Sario kernel, i.e., for Potentials with respect to the Sario kernel. It will also be shown that a set of capacity zero with respect to the Sario kernel is, considered locally, of Newtonian capacity zero (Theorem 7). In view of this result we obtain a solution of problem (10) in the monograph of Rodin-Sario [8, p. 257] and Sario [12], i.e., the relation between the capacity function and the equilibrium Newtonian potential in Euclidean space.

Let \mathbb{R}^n be a Riemannian space of dimension n, that is, a connected countable oriented \mathbb{C}^{∞} -manifold of dimension $n \geq 3^2$ with \mathbb{C}^{∞} -metric

tensor $g_{ij}(1 \leq i, j \leq n)$. Throughout our presentation we denote by V_a a parametric ball with center at a in \mathbb{R}^n , by ∂V_a the boundary sphere of V_a and by $g_{V_a}(\zeta, a)$ the Green's function of V_a with pole at a. For the Green's function $g_{v_a}(\zeta, a)$, we always take the normalization $\int_{\partial V_a} {}^* dg V_a(\zeta, a) = 1$ and take ${}^* d$ to be the exterior normal. The distance between two points $\zeta = (\zeta^1, \dots, \zeta^n)$ and $a = (a^1, \dots, a^n)$ in a parametric ball V will be denoted $|\zeta - a| = (\sum_{i=1}^n (\zeta^i - a^i)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

1. Construction of the Sario kernel. We shall construct a Sario kernel on an arbitrary Riemannian space \mathbb{R}^n . On \mathbb{R}^n take arbitrary but then fixed points $\zeta_j (j = 0, 1)$ and parametric balls $V_j(j = 0, 1)$ about the ζ_j with disjoint closures in \mathbb{R}^n . Let $t_0(\zeta) = t(\zeta, \zeta_0, \zeta_1)$ be a harmonic function on $\mathbb{R}^n - {\zeta_0, \zeta_1}$ with the following properties $(1^\circ) \sim (5^\circ)$:

(1°)
$$t_0(\zeta) - 2g_{V_0}(\zeta, \zeta_0) \in H(\bar{V}_0)$$
,

$$(2^{\circ}) \hspace{1.5cm} t_{_{0}}(\zeta) \,+\, 2g_{_{m{V}_{1}}}(\zeta,\,\zeta_{_{1}}) \in H(ar{V}_{_{1}})$$
 ,

 $(3^{\circ}) t_0 = (I)L_1t_0$

in a neighborhood A of the ideal boundary β of \mathbb{R}^n , where $(I)L_i$ is the normal operator with respect to the identity boundary partition. By $\overline{V}_j(j=0,1)$ we mean the closure of V_j .

(4°)
$$t_0 | A = 0(1), t_0 - \sigma = O(1),$$

with singularity function σ for the operator L_1 defined by

$$\sigma(\zeta) = egin{cases} 2g_{{}_{V_0}}(\zeta,\,\zeta_0) & ext{ in } ar{V}_0 \ -2g_{{}_{V_1}}(\zeta,\,\zeta_1) & ext{ in } ar{V}_1 \ 0 & ext{ in } A \ . \end{cases}$$

Since the function $t_0(\zeta)$ satisfying $(1^\circ) \sim (4^\circ)$ is uniquely determined up to an additive constant, we impose the normalization condition:

(5°)
$$t_0(\zeta) - 2g_{V_0}(\zeta, \zeta_0) \to 0$$
, as $\zeta \to \zeta_0$ in V_0 .

For the construction of $t_0(\zeta)$ we refer to Rodin-Sario [8] or Sario-Schiffer-Glasner [13].

Next we define the function $s_0(\zeta)$ by

$$s_0(\zeta) = \log(1 + e^{t_0(\zeta)})$$
 .

Since $t_0 | V_0 = 2g_{V_0}(\zeta, \zeta_0) + O(1), s_0 | V_0 = 2g_{V_0}(\zeta, \zeta_0) + O(1)$. Also by (4°) $t_0 | R^n - V_0 - V_1 = O(1)$. Thus we obtain:

LEMMA 1. The function $s_0(\zeta)$ is nonnegative on \mathbb{R}^n , finitely

continuous on $\mathbb{R}^n - \{\zeta_0\}$, and

$$s_0 | V_0 = 2g V_0(\zeta, \zeta_0) + O(1)$$
.

For an arbitrary point a in $\mathbb{R}^n - \{\zeta_0\}$, we construct $t(\zeta, a) = t(\zeta, a, \zeta_0)$ in the same manner as $t(\zeta, \zeta_0, \zeta_1)$. In this case we denote by V'_0 and V'_2 the closure disjoint parametric balls with centers at ζ_0 and a respectively, and choose the normalization condition:

$$t(\zeta, a) + 2g_{V_{\lambda}}(\zeta, \zeta_0) \rightarrow s_0(a), \text{ as } \zeta \rightarrow \zeta_0 \text{ in } \overline{V}'_0.$$

Let $s_1(\zeta, a) = s_0(\zeta) + t(\zeta, a)$ and $s_1(\zeta, \zeta_0) = s_0(\zeta_0)$, i.e., $t(\zeta, \zeta_0) = 0$. The functions $s_1(\zeta, a)$ and $s_1(\zeta, a) + 2g_{V_0}(\zeta, \zeta_0)$ are finitely continuous on $\mathbb{R}^n - \{a\}$ and \overline{V}'_0 , respectively. Hence by Lemma 1 $s_1 | V''_0 = O(1)$ for the smaller parametric ball V''_0 of V_0 and V'_0 . Also by the property of $t(\zeta, a)$, $t | \mathbb{R}^n - V''_0 > O(1)$. Thus $s_1(\zeta, a) > O(1)$ and we obtain:

LEMMA 2.
$$s_1(\zeta, a)$$
 is bounded from below.

For later use we list three properties of $t(\zeta, a)$ which are easily seen from the definition:

- (a) $\zeta \to t(\zeta, a)$ is harmonic on U for fixed $a \in V$,
- (b) $a \to t(\zeta, a)$ is finitely continuous on V for fixed $\zeta \in U$,
- (c) $(\zeta, a) \rightarrow t(\zeta, a)$ is bounded from below on $U \times V$,

where U and V are closure disjoint parametric balls about ζ and a respectively, and $\zeta_0 \notin U$.

We finally define $s(\zeta, a) = s_1(\zeta, a) + C$, where the constant C is so chosen that

$$(1) s(\zeta, a) > 0$$

for all $(\zeta, a) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $s(\zeta, a)$ is symmetric:

LEMMA 3. For any $(\zeta, a) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$

$$(2) s(\zeta, a) = s(a, \zeta) .$$

Proof. It suffices to prove that $s_1(a, b) = s_1(b, a)$ for any $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\{\Omega\}$ be a regular exhaustion of \mathbb{R}^n such that every Ω properly contains the disjoint parametric balls V_0 , V_1 and V_2 about the points ζ_0 , a and b, $\overline{V}_j(j = 0, 1, 2)$ properly. Let $t_{\Omega}(\zeta, a)$, $t_0^{\Omega}(\zeta)$, and $s_0^{\Omega}(\zeta)$ be the functions constructed in Ω corresponding to $t(\zeta, a)$, $t_0(\zeta)$ and $s_0(\zeta)$ respectively. Take level spheres α_0 , α_1 and α_2 of $g_{V_0}(\zeta, \zeta_0)$,

 $g_{V_1}(\zeta, a)$ and $g_{V_2}(\zeta, b)$ in V_0 , V_1 and V_2 respectively, and orient α_0, α_1 , and α_2 so that $\partial \Omega - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2$, with $\partial \Omega$ the boundary surface of Ω , is positively oriented. Then by Green's formula we obtain

$$(3) \qquad \int_{\partial \Omega - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2} t_{\Omega}(\zeta, a) * dt_{\Omega}(\zeta, b) - t_{\Omega}(\zeta, b) * dt(\zeta, a) = 0.$$

By the L_1 -behavior of $t_{\mathcal{Q}}(\zeta, a)$ and $t_{\mathcal{Q}}(\zeta, b)$ on $\partial \mathcal{Q}$, the integral of (3) on $\partial \mathcal{Q}$ vanishes. The integral of (3) on $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ yields $-2t_{\mathcal{Q}}(a, b) + 2t_{\mathcal{Q}}(b, a)$. Also the calculation on α_0 yields $2s_0^2(b) - 2s_0^2(a)$ and we obtain from (3)

$$s_{\circ}^{\scriptscriptstyle Q}(a) \,+\, t_{\scriptscriptstyle {\cal Q}}(a,\,b) \,=\, s_{\circ}^{\scriptscriptstyle Q}(b) \,+\, t_{\scriptscriptstyle {\cal Q}}(b,\,a)$$
 ,

on letting $r \to 0$ with r the radius of the parametric balls. Since the convergences $t_{\mathcal{D}}(\zeta, a) \to t(\zeta, a)$ and $t_0^{\mathcal{D}}(\zeta) \to t_0(\zeta)$ as $\mathcal{Q} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ are uniform on compacta (Rodin-Sario [8]; p. 246) the same is true of $s_0^{\mathcal{D}}(\zeta)$. Hence on letting $\mathcal{Q} \to \mathbb{R}^n$, we obtain the equation $s_1(b, a) = s_1(a, b)$.

From (1) and Lemma 3, (ζ, a) is a positive symmetric function. We shall refer to it as the Sario kernel on \mathbb{R}^n .

To obtain the subharmonicity of $s_0(\zeta)$, we show:

LEMMA 4. On
$$R^n - \{\zeta_0, \zeta_1\}$$

 $arDelta_\zeta s_0(\zeta) = e^{t_0(\zeta)} (1 + e^{t_0(\zeta)})^{-2} |\operatorname{grad} t_0(\zeta)|^2$,

holds, and hence $\Delta_{\zeta} s_0(\zeta)$ is nonnegative there.

In terms of a local parameter $\zeta = (\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^n)$, the Laplacian and gradient are

$$\mathcal{A}_{\zeta} \, ullet \, = \, \sum\limits_{i,j=1}^n g^{ij} \, rac{\partial^2}{\partial \zeta^i \partial \zeta^i} \, ullet \, + \, \sum\limits_{i,j=1}^n \Bigl(rac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^i} \, g^{ij} + \, g^{ij} \, rac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^i} \log \sqrt{\,G} \Bigr) rac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^i} \, ullet \, ,$$

and

$$|\operatorname{grad} \cdot|^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} = \sum\limits_{i,j=1}^n g^{ij} rac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^i} \cdot rac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^i} \cdot \, ,$$

where the $g^{ij}s$ are elements of the inverse of the matrix (g_{ij}) and $G = \det(g_{ij})$. For any point $\zeta = (\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^n)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n - \{\zeta_0, \zeta_1\}$,

$$egin{aligned} &rac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^j} s_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(\zeta) = e^{t_0(\zeta)} (1 + e^{t_0(\zeta)})^{-1} rac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^j} t_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(\zeta), \ \sum_{i,j=1}^n \Big(rac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^i} g^{i_j} + g^{i_j} rac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^i} \log \sqrt{G} \Big) rac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^j} s_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(\zeta) \ &= e^{t_0(\zeta)} (1 + e^{t_0(\zeta)})^{-1} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \Big(rac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^i} g^{i_j} + g^{i_j} rac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^i} \log \sqrt{G} \Big) rac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^j} t_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(\zeta) \;, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n g^{ij} \, rac{\partial^2}{\partial \zeta^i \partial \zeta^j} \, s_0(\zeta) \, = \, e^{t_0(\zeta)} (1 \, + \, e^{t_0(\zeta)})^{-2} \, |\, {
m grad} \, t_0(\zeta) \, |^2 \ + \, e^{t_0(\zeta)} (1 \, + \, e^{t_0(\zeta)})^{-1} \, \sum_{i,j=1}^n g^{ij} \, rac{\partial^2}{\partial \zeta^i \partial \zeta^j} \, t_0(\zeta) \, \, .$$

Since $\Delta_{\zeta} t_0(\zeta) = 0$, we obtain, on $\mathbb{R}^n - \{\zeta_0, \zeta_1\}$,

$$arDelta_{\zeta} s_{_0}(\zeta) \,=\, e^{t_0(\zeta)} (1 \,+\, e^{t_0(\zeta)})^{-2} \,|\, {
m grad} \, |\, t_0(\zeta) \,|^2 \;,$$

Now consider an *n*-form $\lambda(\zeta)dV_{\zeta}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n - \{\zeta_0, \zeta_1\}$ defined by

$$\lambda^2(\zeta) \,=\, arDelta_\zeta s_0(\zeta) \,=\, e^{t_0(\zeta)} (1 \,+\, e^{t_0(\zeta)})^{-2} \,|\, {
m grad} \, \, t_0(\zeta) \,|^2$$
 ,

with $\lambda(\zeta) \geq 0$ and dV_{ζ} the local Euclidean volume element on \mathbb{R}^n , i.e., locally, $dV_{\zeta} = n\omega_n r^{n-1}dr$ with $\omega_n r^n$ the volume of a ball of radius r. Hence $\omega_n = \pi^{n/2}/\Gamma(n/2+1)$. Since $\lambda^2(\zeta)dV_{\zeta} = O(1)$ at ζ_0 and ζ_1 , $\lambda^2(\zeta)dV_{\zeta}$ can be continued to a nonnegative finitely continuous *n*-form on \mathbb{R}^n . Also since $s(\zeta, a) = s_0(\zeta) + t(\zeta, a)$, on $\mathbb{R}^n - \{a, \zeta_0, \zeta_1\}$ we have

(4)
$$\varDelta_{\zeta} s(\zeta, a) = \varDelta s_0(3) = \lambda^2(\zeta) .$$

The two points ζ_0 and ζ_1 satisfy the removable condition of subharmonicity so that $s(\zeta, a)$ is a finitely continuous subharmonic function of ζ on $\mathbb{R}^n - \{a\}$.

We shall prove the joint continuity and Riesz type decomposition of $s(\zeta, a)$.

THEOREM 1. The Sario kernel $s(\zeta, a)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and finitely continuous on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ outside the diagonal set. Moreover, for every regular region Ω of \mathbb{R}^n the decomposition

$$(5) s(\zeta, a) = 2g_{g}(\zeta, a) + v_{g}(\zeta, a)$$

is valid, where g_{α} is the Green's kernel on Ω and v_{α} is a finitely continuous function on $\Omega \times \Omega$.

The proof of the first part is deduced from (a), (b), (c) and Harnack's inequality. If we prove the following two lemmas, the second part is clear. To this end we define the following functions on Ω and $\Omega \times \Omega$:

$$egin{aligned} G_{arrho}(\zeta) &= \int_{arrho} \lambda^2(b) g_{arrho}(b,\,\zeta) d\,V_b \,\,, \ H_{arrho}(\zeta,\,a) &= \int_{arrho arrho} v_{arrho}(b,\,a) * dg_{arrho}(b,\,\zeta) \,\,, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$v_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathcal{Q}}(b, a) = s(\zeta, a) - 2g_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathcal{Q}}(\zeta, a)$$
 .

LEMMA 5. The function $G_{\Omega}(\zeta)$ is continuous on Ω and $H_{\Omega}(\zeta, a)$ is finitely continuous on $\Omega \times \Omega$.

Proof. Let $\zeta' \in \Omega$ and U be a parametric ball with center at ζ' and radius 1 such that $\overline{U} \subset \Omega$. Denote by U_r the parametric ball $|b - \zeta'| < r$ in U with 0 < r < 1, and by $g_U(\cdot, \zeta')$ the Green's kernel on U with pole at ζ' . Then

$$g_{_U}(b,\,\zeta) = O(|\,b-\zeta\,|^{_{2-n}}) \leqq c\,|\,b-\zeta\,|^{_{2-n}}$$

for a suitable constant c. Since $g_{\varrho}(b, \zeta) - g_{U}(b, \zeta) > 0$ is finitely continuous on $U \times U$, sup $\{g_{\varrho}(b, \zeta) - g_{U}(b, \zeta)\}$ in $U_{\frac{1}{2}} \times U_{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a finite number M. Also for $0 < \varepsilon < 1/6$ and $\zeta \in U_{\varepsilon}$, $g_{\varrho}(\zeta, b) \leq g_{U}(\zeta, b) + M \leq c |b - \zeta|^{2-n} + M$ in $\{|b - \zeta| < 2\varepsilon\}$. On setting $m = \sup\{\lambda^{2}(b) | b \in U\} < \infty$ we obtain

$$\int_{\{|b-\zeta|<\varepsilon\}}\lambda^{2}(b)g_{\varrho}(b,\,\zeta)d\,V_{b}\leqq m\cdot n\cdot \omega_{n}\left\{c\int_{0}^{2\varepsilon}rdr\,+\,M\,\int_{0}^{2\varepsilon}\,r^{n-1}\,dr\right\}=\,O(\varepsilon)\,\,.$$

Also for any $\zeta'' \in U_{\varepsilon}$.

$$egin{aligned} &|\,G_{arrho}(\zeta')\,-\,G_{arrho}(\zeta'')\,|&\leq\int_{arrho-U_arepsilon}\lambda^2(b)\,|g_{arrho}(b,\,\zeta')\,-\,g_{arrho}(b,\,\zeta'')\,|\,d\,V_b\ &+\,\sum_{\zeta=\zeta',\zeta''}\int_{\{|b-\zeta|<2arepsilon\}}\lambda^2(b)g_{arrho}(b,\,\zeta'')d\,V_b\;. \end{aligned}$$

Since $g_{\varrho}(b, \zeta'') \to g_{\varrho}(b, \zeta')$ uniformly on $\Omega - U_{\varepsilon}$ as $\zeta'' \to \zeta'$, we obtain

$$\lim_{\zeta^{\prime\prime}
ightarrow \zeta^{\prime\prime}} \sup_{\zeta^{\prime\prime}} |\,G_{\scriptscriptstyle D}(\zeta^{\prime\prime})\,-\,G_{\scriptscriptstyle D}(\zeta^{\prime})\,|\,<\,O(arepsilon)$$
 .

Thus

$$\lim_{\zeta''\to\zeta'}\ G_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathcal{Q}}(\zeta'')\,=\,G_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathcal{Q}}(\zeta')\,\,.$$

LEMMA 6. The function $v_{\mathcal{Q}}(\zeta, a)$ has the representation

$$v_{\varrho}(\zeta, a) = -G_{\varrho}(\zeta) - H_{\varrho}(\zeta, a)$$

on $\Omega \times \Omega$. Consequently $v_{\alpha}(\zeta)$ is finitely continuous there.

Since this lemma may be proved in a manner similar to the case n = 2 (Nakai [3] or Rodin-Sario [8; p. 309]), we omit the proof.

2. Sario potential. Having obtained a positive symmetric kernel $s(\zeta, a)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$, we shall now construct the potential with kernel

function $s(\zeta, a)$ and investigate its potential-theoretic properties.

By a regular Borel measure μ on \mathbb{R}^n with compact support S_{μ} in \mathbb{R}^n , we mean a measure μ such that for every parametric ball V with $V \cup S_{\mu} \neq \emptyset$ and every local parameter $x = \varphi(\zeta)$ of V, $\mu(\varphi(\zeta)) | V \cap S$ is a regular Borel measure in *n*-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^n , where $\mu(\varphi(\zeta)) | V \cap S_{\mu}$ is the restriction of $\mu(\varphi(\zeta))$ to $V \cap S_{\mu}$. Unless specified otherwise we consider only nonnegative regular Borel measures μ with compact support S_{μ} in \mathbb{R}^n . We define

$$s_{\mu}(\zeta) = \int s(\zeta, a) d\mu(a)$$

and, as in the case n = 2, call $s_{\mu}(\zeta)$ the (*n*-dimensional) Sario potential with respect to the measure μ . By (1) it is nonnegative, and positive unless $\mu \equiv 0$. As a consequence of Theorem 1, s_{μ} is lower semicontinuous on \mathbb{R}^n and finitely continuous on $\mathbb{R}^n - S_{\mu}$. By (4) it is subharmonic on $\mathbb{R}^n - S_{\mu}$.

For convenience we list below several lemmas without proof. In these lemmas we always suppose that R^n is hyperbolic, i.e., a Green's kernel $g(\zeta, a)$ exists on R^n . Thus one can consider potentials $g_{\mu}(\zeta) = \int g(\zeta, a) d\mu(a)$.

LEMMA 7. (Local Maximum Principle). Let F be a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n containing S_{μ} . For any $\zeta' \in F$

$$\lim_{\zeta \in R^n-F} \sup_{\zeta o \zeta'} \, g_\mu(\zeta) \, \leq \, \lim_{\zeta \in F} \, \, \sup_{\zeta o \zeta'} \, g_\mu(\zeta) \, \, .$$

LEMMA 8. (Frostman's Maximum Principle). $g_{\mu}|S_{\mu} \leq M$ implies $g_{\mu} \leq M$ on \mathbb{R}^n .

LEMMA 9. (Equilibrium Principle). For any compact subset K of \mathbb{R}^n , there exists a unique measure ν on K, called the equilibrium measure of K, such that $g_{\nu} \leq 1$, $g_{\nu} = 1$ a.e., on K and $C(K) = \nu(K) = ||\nu||^2$, where C(K) is the capacity of K and $||\nu||^2$ is the energy of g_{ν} .

LEMMA 10. (Ninomiya [7]). Let Ω be a locally compact Hausdorff space and k(x, y) a continuous positive function on $\Omega \times \Omega$ with $k(x, x) = \infty$ and k(x, y) = k(y, x). If the potential

$$k_{\mu}(x) = \int k(x, y) d\mu(y)$$

satisfies Frostman's maximum principle and the unicity principle, then

$$\int k(x, y) d\sigma(x) \ d\sigma(y) > 0$$

for any nonzero signed measure σ .

With these preparations we shall now establish various principles of potential theory with respect to Sario potentials. Since s_{μ} is subharmonic in $\mathbb{R}^n - S_{\mu}$, its magnitude is determined by its behavior at the ideal boundary of \mathbb{R}^n and at S_{μ} , in view of the maximum principle for subharmonic functions (Ito [2]).

THEOREM 2. (Local Maximum Principle). For a compact subset F of \mathbb{R}^n containing S_μ and any point $\zeta' \in F$,

(6)
$$\lim_{\zeta_{\varepsilon R} n - F} \sup_{\zeta \to \zeta'} s_{\mu}(\zeta) \leq \lim_{\zeta_{\varepsilon} F} \sup_{\zeta \to \zeta'} s_{\mu}(\zeta) .$$

Proof. Take a parametric ball V with center at ζ' . Set $\mu' = \mu | V$, i.e., $\mu'(\cdot) = \mu(\cdot \cap V)$, and $\mu'' = \mu - \mu'$. Then $s_{\mu} = s_{\mu'} + s_{\mu''}$ and $s_{\mu''}$ is continuous in V. Without loss of generality we may assume that $F \subset V$. Hence it suffices to prove (6) for $s_{\mu'}$ and $F \subset V$. Let $v(\zeta, a) = s(\zeta, a) - 2g_v(\zeta, a)$ on $V \times V$. By Theorem 1 $\int v(\zeta, a)d\mu(a)$ is finitely continuous on $V \times V$. Thus the proof of (6) is reduced to

$$\lim_{\zeta_{\varepsilon} R^m - F} \sup_{\zeta - \zeta'} \int g_{\scriptscriptstyle V}(\zeta, \, a) d\mu(a) \leq \lim_{\zeta_{\varepsilon} F} \; \sup_{\zeta \to \zeta'} \; \int g_{\scriptscriptstyle V}(\zeta, \, a) d\mu(a) \, \, ,$$

which is valid by Lemma 7.

As a consequence of the local maximum principle we have:

THEOREM 3. (Continuity Principle). If $s_{\mu}|S_{\mu}$ is continuous (resp. finitely continuous) on S_{μ} , the same is true of s_{μ} on \mathbb{R}^{n} .

The linear operator $\mu \rightarrow s_{\mu}$ from the measure space into the function space determined by the potential s_{μ} is injective:

THEOREM 4. (Unicity Principle). $s_{\mu} = s_{\nu}$ implies $\mu = \nu$. More generally, if $s_{\mu} = s_{\nu} + u$ with $u \in H(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $\mu = \nu$.

Sketch of the Proof. For any point $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let V_{ε} be its parametric ball of radius ε and ∂V_{ε} be the clockwise oriented boundary sphere of V_{ε} . Applying Green's formula to the functions s(a, b) and $f \in C_0^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the region $\mathbb{R} - V_{\varepsilon}$, and letting $\varepsilon \to 0$, we obtain

$$f(\mathbf{b}) = \int f(a) \lambda^2(a) dV_a - \int s(a, b) \varDelta_a f(a) dV_a$$
 ,

where $C_0^n(R^n)$ is the space of *n*th order continuously differentiable functions with compact supports in R^n . For a signed measure $\sigma = \mu - \nu$ and $f \in C_0^{\infty}(R^n)$, we obtain

(7)
$$\int f(b)d\sigma(b) = \sigma(S) \int f(a)\lambda^2(a)dV_a - \int s_o(a)\Delta_a f(a)dV_a$$

from the above equation. Since $s_{\sigma} = u$, i.e., $\Delta_a s_{\sigma}(a) = 0$, and since $\int s_{\sigma}(a) \Delta_a f(a) dV_a = \int s_{\sigma}(a) * d_a f = 0$, (7) implies that

$$\int f(b)(d_{\sigma}(b) - \sigma(R^n)\lambda^2(b)dV_b) = 0$$

for any $f \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus we obtain

$$d\sigma(b) = \sigma(R)\lambda^2(b)dV_b$$
 .

The fact that σ is a signed measure implies $\sigma = \mu - \nu = 0$.

Next we shall show that s_{μ} satisfies Frostman's maximum principle:

THEOREM 5. (Frostman's Maximum Principle). $s_{\mu}|S_{\mu} \leq M$ implies $s_{\mu} \leq M$ on \mathbb{R}^{n} .

We prove this theorem by dividing it into three lemmas. Let K be any compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n and β the ideal boundary of \mathbb{R}^n . Define

$$M(K) = \sup_{a_{\varepsilon}K} \lim_{\zeta \to \beta} \sup s(\zeta, a)$$

if $\beta \neq \emptyset$; and otherwise M(K) = 0. Set $B(M, \mu) = \max\{M, \mu(S_{\mu})\}$.

LEMMA 11. M(K) is finite and the following maximum principle is valid: $s_{\mu}|S_{\mu} = M$ implies $s_{\mu} \leq B(M, \mu)$ on \mathbb{R}^{n} .

Since the proof parallels that of the case n = 2, we omit it here (cf. Nakai [3; p. 232], Rodin-Sario [8; p. 314]).

If \mathbb{R}^n is compact, Theorem 5 is true by Lemma 8. If \mathbb{R}^n is parabolic, the theorem follows from Lemma 8, Theorem 2 and the subharmonicity of s_{μ} on $\mathbb{R}^n - S_{\mu}$. Thus we have only to prove Theorem 5 for the case in which \mathbb{R}^n is hyperbolic, i.e., Green's kernel $g(\zeta, a)$ exists on \mathbb{R}^n .

By the unicity of $t_0(\zeta)$ and $t(\zeta, a)$, (8)-(10) hold with a suitable constant k.

$$(8) t_0(\zeta) = 2g(\zeta, \zeta_0) - 2g(\zeta, \zeta_1) + k \; .$$

(9)
$$s_0(\zeta) = \log(e^{-2g(\zeta,\zeta_0)} + e^{-2g(\zeta,\zeta_1)+k}) + 2g(\zeta,\zeta_0)$$
.

(10)
$$t(\zeta, a) = 2g(\zeta, a) - 2g(\zeta, \zeta_0) + s_0(a) - k .$$

Setting

(11)
$$u(\zeta) = \log(e^{-2g(\zeta,\zeta_0)} + e^{-2g(\zeta,\zeta_1)+k}) - \log(1+e^k) ,$$

the Sario kernel $s(\zeta, \alpha)$ has the expression (12) with a suitable constant m;

(12)
$$s(\zeta, a) = 2g(\zeta, a) + u(\zeta) + u(a) + m$$
.

Let μ be a unit measure and set $M' = M - m - \int u(a)d\mu(a)$. Then it is easily seen that

(13)
$$2g_{\mu}(\zeta) + u(\zeta) \leq M' \text{ on } S_{\mu}$$
.

With these preparations we show

LEMMA 12. $M' \ge 0$.

Proof. By Lemma 9, there exists a unique equilibrium distribution ν_0 on S_{μ} . Set $\nu = V_g(S_{\mu}) | \nu_0$. Since $\int g_{\mu} d\mu d\mu < \infty$ by (13), the property of ν implies that the μ -measure of the set { $\zeta \in S_{\mu} | g_{\nu}(\zeta) \neq V_g(S_{\mu})$ } is zero. Here $V_g(S_{\mu}) = \inf \int g_{\mu} d\theta d\theta$ with the unit measures θ such that $S_{\theta} \subset S_{\mu}$. On integrating (13) with respect to ν , and using $\int g_{\mu} d\nu = V_g(S_{\mu})$, we obtain

(14)
$$2V_g(S_\mu) + \int u(\zeta) d\nu(\zeta) \leq M'$$

By (11) we see that

(15)
$$\int u(\zeta)d\nu(\zeta) = -2g_{\nu}(\zeta_0) + \int \varphi(\zeta)d\nu(\zeta)$$

with

$$egin{aligned} arphi(\zeta) &= \psi(2g(\zeta,\,\zeta_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})\,-\,2g(\zeta,\,\zeta_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}))\;, \ \psi(\hat{arphi}) &= \log(1\,+\,e^{\epsilon+k})\,-\log(1\,+\,e^k)\;. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\psi(\xi)$ is a convex function, applying Jensen's inequality to (15) with $\xi(\zeta) = 2g(\zeta, \zeta_0) - 2g(\zeta, \zeta_1)$, we obtain

$$-2 \, V_{g}(S_{\mu}) = \log\{(e^{-2g_{\,
u}(\zeta_{\,0})} \, + \, e^{-2g_{\,
u}(\zeta_{\,1}) \, + \, k})/(1 \, + \, e^{k})\} \leq \int u(\zeta) \, d
u(\zeta) \; .$$

450

This with (14) implies $M' \ge 0$.

LEMMA 13. Let \mathscr{F} be the family $\{\{\zeta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}^n | \zeta_n \to \beta \text{ as } n \to \infty\}$ and \mathscr{F}^+ be the subfamily $\{\{\zeta_n\} \subset \mathscr{F} | \liminf_n g(\zeta_n, a) > 0 \text{ for all } a \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$. Then there exists a nonnegative superharmonic function v on \mathbb{R}^n such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} v(\zeta_n) = \infty$ for $\{\zeta_n\} \in \mathscr{F}^+$.

By the monotone compactness of $H(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the solvability of the Dirichlet problem for regular regions and continuous boundary functions in \mathbb{R}^n , the method in Constantinescu-Cornea [1; p. 48] is valid in \mathbb{R}^n . We omit the proof.

We now prove Theorem 5 in the hyperbolic case. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\mu(S_{\mu}) = 1$. By (12) and (13) it suffices to prove that $2g_{\mu}(\zeta) + u(\zeta) \leq M'$ on S_{μ} implies $2g_{\mu}(\zeta) + u(\zeta) \leq M'$ on \mathbb{R}^n . For the function v in Lemma 13 and $m = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$, define superharmonic function W_m on $\mathbb{R}^n - S$ as

$$W_{{}_{m}}(\zeta)\,=\,M'\,-\,(2g_{\mu}(\zeta)\,+\,u(\zeta))\,+\,v(\zeta)/m$$
 .

Then by Lemma 7, we obtain

(16)
$$\lim_{\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{n} - S_{\mu}} \inf_{\zeta \to \zeta'} W_{m}(\zeta) \geq 0$$

for $\zeta' \in \partial S_{\mu}$. Also for $\{\zeta_n\} \in \mathscr{F}^+$ we have

(17)
$$\lim_{n} \inf W_{m}(\zeta_{n}) \geq 0$$

By (16), (17) and the minimum principle for superharmonic functions we see that $W_m(\zeta) \geq 0$ on $R^n - S_{\mu}$. On letting $m \to \infty$, we have $2g_{\mu}(\zeta) + u(\zeta) \leq M'$ on $R^n - S_{\mu}$ and hence on R^n .

From the above statement it follows that Sario potentials enjoy both Frostman's maximum principle and the unicity principle.

Applying Lemma 10 we obtain

THEOREM 6. (Energy Principle). For measures μ and ν with $\sigma = \mu - \nu \neq 0$,

$$\int s(\zeta,\,a)d\sigma(\zeta)d\sigma(a)>0$$
 .

3. Sario capacity and the fundamental theorem. We define a set function V(K) first for compact sets $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$V(K) = \inf_{\mu} \int s(\zeta, a) d\mu(\zeta) d\mu(a)$$

where μ runs over all unit measures with $S_{\mu} \subset K$. For general sets $X \subset R^n$ we set

$$V(X) = \sup_{\kappa} V(K)$$

where K runs over all compact sets $K \subset X$.

The quantity

$$c(X) = c_s(X) = 1/V(X)$$

will be referred to as the (inner) Sario capacity of X. For Borel sets X, c(X) = 0 is equivalent to

(18)
$$\mu(X) = 0$$
 for each μ with $\int s(\zeta, a) d\mu(\zeta) d\mu(a) = \infty$.

Using this we can prove:

THEOREM 7. A set X in \mathbb{R}^n is of Sario capacity zero if and only if X is locally of Newtonian capacity zero.

Proof. We may suppose that X is compact. By virtue of (5) and (18), c(X) = 0 is characterized by $\mu(X \cap V) = 0$ for every μ in each parametric ball V with

$$\int g_{\scriptscriptstyle V}(\zeta,\,a)d\mu(\zeta)d\mu(a)=\,\infty\,\,.$$

Since $g_V(\zeta, a) = O(|\zeta - a|^{2-n})$, c(X) = 0 means that $X \cap V$ has Newtonian capacity zero for each parametric ball V.

As a consequence of Theorem 7, we obtain a solution of problem (10) in Rodin-Sario [8] and Sario [12].

THEOREM 8. Let P be the equilibrium potential of a unit mass distribution $d\mu$ on a compact set K of R^n defined by

$$P(x) = \int rac{|x - y|^{2-n}}{(n-2)\omega_n} \, d\mu(y) \; .$$

Let Ω be a regular region of \mathbb{R}^n which contains the set K and let p_{a_β} be the capacity function for Ω with $p_{a_\beta}|\partial\Omega = k_{a_\beta}$ (c.f. Sario [12], Rodin-Sario [8]). Then we have locally

$$P(x) = k_{a_{\beta}} - \int p_{a_{\beta}}(x, y) d\mu(y) + M$$
,

with a suitable positive constant M.

452

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that K is contained in some local coordinate system (φ, V) of \mathbb{R}^n , that is, we may regard K as a compact set in the parametric ball V and the point y as the center a of V. Thus it suffices to show that

$$\int rac{|\zeta-a|^{2-n}}{(n-2)\omega_n} \, d\mu(a) = k_{arepsilon_eta} - \int p_{arepsilon_eta}(\zeta,\,a) d\mu(a) + \, M$$

in the parametric ball V, with μ a unit measure such that $S_{\mu} = K \subset V$. Since $g_{a}(\zeta, a) = k_{a_{\beta}} - p_{a_{\beta}}$ (Rodin-Sario; p. 253) and $g_{a}|\bar{V} = g_{v}(\zeta, a) + h(\zeta)$ with $h(\zeta) \in H(\bar{V}), g_{v}(\zeta, a) = k_{a_{\beta}} - p_{a_{\beta}}(\zeta, a) + h(\zeta)$ in V. Integrating both sides with respect to μ , we obtain the desired equation with $M = \int h(\zeta) d\mu(\zeta)$.

Let K be a compact set in \mathbb{R}^n with c(K) > 0. Since $s(\zeta, a)$ is jointly continuous, by the selection theorem for a sequence of measure in \mathbb{R}^n we obtain the capacity measure μ , that is, the unit measure with $S_{\mu} \subset K$ such that $\int sd\mu d\mu = V(K)$. Our final aim is to obtain the capacity principle for the Sario kernel,

THEOREM 9. (Fundamental Theorem of Potential Theory). Let K be a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n with c(K) > 0 and μ its capacity measure. Then $s_{\mu} \leq V(K)$ on \mathbb{R}^n and $s_{\mu} = V(K)$ except for an F_{σ} -set of Sario capacity zero. Furthermore, this capacity measure is unique.

Proof. First we shall show that $s_{\mu} \geq V(K)$ on K except for an F_{σ} -set of Sario capacity zero. Let A and A_{n} be the subsets of K on which $s_{\mu} < V(K)$ and $s_{\mu} \leq V(K) - 1/n$ $(n = 1, 2, \cdots)$ respectively. Then the A_{n} 's are compact sets with $A_{1} \subset A_{2} \subset \cdots \subset A_{n} \subset \cdots$, and $A = U_{1}^{\infty} A_{n}$. Hence A is an F_{σ} -set and we need only show that c(A) = 0. Suppose, to the contrary, that for a suitable $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $A_{n} = K_{1} \subset K$ with $s_{\mu} | K_{1} < V(K) - 2\varepsilon$ and $c(K_{1}) > 0$. The equality $\int s_{\mu} d\mu d\mu = V(K)$ implies the existence of a point $\zeta_{0} \in S_{\mu}$ with $s_{\mu}(\zeta_{0}) > V(K) - \varepsilon$. Thus $\zeta_{0} \in K_{1}$. Therefore we may take an open ball U concentrated at ζ_{0} with $\overline{U} \cap K = \emptyset$ and $s_{\mu} | U > V(K) - \varepsilon$. Moreover since $\zeta_{0} \in S_{\mu}$, $\mu(U) > 0$. The fact $c(K_{1}) > 0$ implies the existence of a measure ν with $S_{\nu} \subset K_{1}$,

$$u(K_{i}) = \mu(U), \text{ and with } \int s d
u d\mu < \infty$$
.

Construct a signed measure ν_1 by

$$m{
u}_{_1} | \, K_{_1} = m{
u} \, | \, K_{_1}, \, m{
u}_{_1} | \, U = \, - \, \mu \, | \, U, \, m{
u}_{_1} | \, R^n \, - \, K_{_1} \cup \, U = \, 0 \, \, .$$

Clearly $\mu_t = \mu + t \nu_1$ is a unit measure for every $t \in (0, 1)$ with $S_{\mu_t} \subset K$. Therefore

(19)
$$\int s d\mu_t d\mu_t \geq \int s d\mu d\mu = V(K) .$$

A simple calculation shows that

$$\int s d\mu_t d\mu_t < - t \Big\{ \mu(U) arepsilon - t \, \int s d
u_1 d
u_1 \Big\} < 0$$

for sufficiently small t. This violates (19) and we have c(A) = 0.

If we show that $s_{\mu}|S_{\mu} \leq V(K)$, by virtue of Theorem 5 the proof is complete except for the uniqueness of μ . Contrary to the assertion, assume that $s_{\mu}(\zeta_1) > V(K)$ for a $\zeta_1 \in S_{\mu}$. Choose an open ball U_1 about ζ_1 such that

$$|s_{\mu}| |U_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} > V(K) + arepsilon, arepsilon > 0$$
 .

Then $\mu(U_1) > 0$ and we see that

$$V(K) = \int_{U_1} s_{\mu} d\mu + \int_{R^n - U_1} s_{\mu} d\mu > V(K) + \varepsilon \mu(U_1) > V(K) ,$$

a contradiction.

The unicity of the capacity measure follows from Theorem 6 in the same manner as in Nakai [6], or Rodin-Sario [8; p. 332].

As an application of the fundamental theorem, we obtain the subadditivity of the Sario capacity. Since the method is similar to that of Nakai [4; no. 7], we state this without proof.

THEOREM 10. If X_n $(n = 1, 2, \dots)$ are sets in \mathbb{R}^n and $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$, then

$$c(X) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c(X_n)$$
.

References

1. C. Constantinescu and A. Cornea, Ideale Ränder Riemannscher Flächen, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, (1963), pp. 244.

2. S. Ito, On existence of Green function and positive superharmonic functions for linear elliptic operator of second order, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 16 (1964), 299-306.

3. M. Nakai, Potentials of Sario's kernel, J. Analyse Math., 17 (1966), 225-240.

4. ____, Sario's potentials and analytic mappings, Nagoya Math. J., 29 (1967), 93-101.

_____, On Evans' kernel, Pacific J. Math., 22 (1967), 125-137.
 _____, Remarks on Sario potentials, (to appear).

7. N. Ninomiya, Etude sur la theorie du potential pris par rapport au noyau symetrique, J. Inst. Polytech. Osaka City Univ., 8 (1957), 147-179.

8. B. Rodin and L. Sario, *Principal functions*, D. Van Nostrand Co. Inc., Princeton, New Jersey, 1967, 347 pp.

9. L. Sario, Value distribution under analytic mappings of arbitrary Riemann surfaces, Acta Math.. **109** (1963), 1-10.

10. ____, Complex analytic mappings, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 69 (1963), 439-445.

General value distribution theory, Nagoya Math. J., 23 (1963), 213-229.
 Classification of locally Euclidean spaces, Nagoya Math. J., 25 (1965),

78-111.

13. L. Sario, M. Schiffer and M. Glasner, The span and principal functions in Riemannian spaces, J. Analyse Math., 15 (1965), 115-134.

Received September 10, 1970.

DAIDO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

C. R. HOBBY

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF E. F. BECKENBACH

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California. Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 38, No. 2 April, 1971

Richard Davis Anderson and Thomas Ashland Chapman, <i>Extending</i> homeomorphisms to Hilbert cube manifolds	281
Nguyen Huu Anh, Restriction of the principal series of $SL(n, C)$ to some reductive subgroups	295
David W. Boyd, Indices for the Orlicz spaces	315
William Garfield Bridges, <i>The polynomial of a non-regular digraph</i> Billie Chandler Carlson, Robert K. Meany and Stuart Alan Nelson, <i>Mixed</i>	325
arithmetic and geometric means	343
H. A. Çelik, <i>Commutative associative rings and anti-flexible rings</i>	351
Hsin Chu, On the structure of almost periodic transformation groups	359
David Allyn Drake, The translation groups of n-uniform translation Hjelmslev planes	365
Michael Benton Freeman, <i>The polynomial hull of a thin two-manifold</i>	377
Anthony Alfred Gioia and Donald Goldsmith, Convolutions of arithmetic	391
functions over cohesive basic sequences	391
Leslie C. Glaser, A proof of the most general polyhedral Schoenflies conjecture possible	401
Thomas Lee Hayden and Ted Joe Suffridge, <i>Biholomorphic maps in Hilbert</i>	401
space have a fixed point	419
Roger Alan Horn, Schlicht mappings and infinitely divisible kernels	423
Norman Ray Howes, On completeness	431
Hideo Imai, Sario potentials on Riemannian spaces	441
A. A. Iskander, Subalgebra systems of powers of partial universal	
algebras	457
Barry E. Johnson, Norms of derivations of $\mathscr{L}(X)$	465
David Clifford Kay and Eugene W. Womble, Axiomatic convexity theory and relationships between the Carathéodory, Helly, and Radon	
numbers	471
Constantine G. Lascarides, A study of certain sequence spaces of Maddox and a generalization of a theorem of Iyer	487
C. N. Linden, On Blaschke products of restricted growth	501
John S. Lowndes, Some triple integral equations	515
Declan McCartan, <i>Bicontinuous preordered topological spaces</i>	523
S. Moedomo and J. Jerry Uhl, Jr., <i>Radon-Nikodým theorems for the Bochner</i> and Pettis integrals	531
Calvin Cooper Moore and Joseph Albert Wolf, <i>Totally real representations</i> and real function spaces	537
Reese Trego Prosser, A form of the moment problem for Lie groups	543
Larry Smith, A note on annihilator ideals of complex bordism classes	551
Joseph Warren, <i>Meromorphic annular functions</i>	559
soseph maren, meromorphic annual junctions	555