

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**NEW CONCEPTS IN THE THEORY OF TOPOLOGICAL
SPACE—SUPERCONDENSED SET, SUBCONDENSED SET, AND
CONDENSED SET**

YOSHINORI ISOMICHI

NEW CONCEPTS IN THE THEORY OF
TOPOLOGICAL SPACE—SUPERCONDENSED
SET, SUBCONDENSED SET, AND
CONDENSED SET.

YOSHINORI ISOMICHI

A set which satisfies $A^{ai} = A^i$ ($A^{ia} = A^a$) is named a supercondensed (subcondensed) set. A supercondensed and subcondensed set is named a condensed set. Theorems about these new concepts are discussed in this paper.

Sets of the topological space are classified into three classes by comparing A^{ai} and A^{ia} .

The theory of topological space is usually constructed upon the axioms of *neighborhood*. One defines “open set”, “closed set”, “open kernel”, and “closure” using the concept of neighborhood. Furthermore one introduces the concept of *accumulation point* and defines “perfect set”, “set which is dense in itself”, and “isolated set”.

Accumulation points are classified by their cardinal numbers of their neighborhoods. In particular, a point every neighborhood of which contains points of the set more than \aleph_1 is called a “condensation point”. (A set which coincides with its all condensation points is called a condensed set, hitherto. But in this paper the terminology “condensed set” is used for a different meaning.)

In this paper we define the following new concepts; *supercondensed set*, *subcondensed set*, and *condensed set*, not using the concepts of accumulation point and cardinal number, but using the concepts of open kernel and closure only. So it may be advocated that these new concepts are fairly basic ones. Further we introduce concepts of “border of a set” (which is different from “boundary of a set”) and discuss about the relations between these new concepts. Furthermore we classify sets of the topological space into three classes.

In the following discussion we assume that A, B, C , etc. are the subsets of the topological space X . The open kernel of a subset A is denoted by A^i , closure and complement of A are denoted by A^a, A^c , respectively.

LEMMA 1. (Kuratowski Theorem 6). *For any A of X , the following relations hold.*

$$\begin{aligned}A^{iai} &= A^{ai} \\A^{iaia} &= A^{ia}\end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2. (Halmos Lemma 4). *For any A and B of X , the following relations hold.*

$$\begin{aligned} A^{iai} \cap B^{iai} &= (A \cap B)^{iai}, \\ A^{aia} \cup B^{aia} &= (A \cup B)^{aia}. \end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION 1. A set S of X is said to be supercondensed if

$$S^{ai} = S^i.$$

A set I of X is said to be subcondensed if

$$I^{ia} = I^a.$$

DEFINITION 2. A set C of X is said to be condensed if

$$C^{ai} = C^i,$$

and

$$C^{ia} = C^a.$$

REMARK 1. Any closed set is supercondensed, and any open set is subcondensed.

REMARK 2. By Definition 1 and 2, a set is condensed if and only if the set is supercondensed and subcondensed.

THEOREM 1. *The complement of a supercondensed set is subcondensed. The complement of a subcondensed set is supercondensed.*

Proof. Let S be a supercondensed set. Then we have

$$(*) \quad S^{a^{ic}} = S^{ic}.$$

Considering the properties of complement we get

$$\begin{aligned} S^{a^{ic}} &= S^{aca} = S^{cia}, \\ S^{ic} &= S^{ca}. \end{aligned}$$

Using these equalities, we have the following equality.

$$(S^c)^{ia} = (S^c)^a.$$

This relation implies that the set S^c is subcondensed. The second part of the theorem is proved in the similar manner.

COROLLARY TO THEOREM 1. *The complement of a condensed set*

is also condensed.

Proof. If C is a condensed set, then C° is a subcondensed set by the first half of Theorem 1. And if C is a condensed set, then C° is a supercondensed set by the second half of Theorem 1. Connecting the both propositions, we have proved that C° is a condensed set.

THEOREM 2. A set A of X is supercondensed if and only if

$$A^{ai} \subset A.$$

A set B of X is subcondensed if and only if

$$B^{ia} \supset B.$$

Proof. Let A be a supercondensed set. Then we have

$$A^{ai} = A^i \subset A.$$

This is a relation that we need. Conversely, we assume the relation

$$A^{ai} \subset A.$$

Taking the open kernel of both sides, we get

$$A^{ai} \subset A^i.$$

Considering the self-evident relation

$$(A^a)^i \supset A^i$$

we obtain

$$A^{ai} = A^i.$$

This relation implies that A is a supercondensed set. The second half of this theorem can be proved in the similar manner.

COROLLARY TO THEOREM 2. A set A of X is condensed if and only if

$$A^{ai} \subset A \subset A^{ia}.$$

This corollary is evident from the definition of the condensed set.

DEFINITION 3 (Kuratowski). A set K of X is called a regular open set, if

$$K^{ai} = K.$$

A set H of X is called a regular closed set, if

$$H^{ia} = H.$$

REMARK 1. The whole space X and the empty set \emptyset are regular open and also regular closed.

REMARK 2. The complement of a regular open set is regular closed. The complement of a regular closed set is regular open. ($K^c = K^{aic} = K^{eia}$)

REMARK 3. (Stone Theorem 25). The intersection of two regular open sets is regular open. The union of two regular closed sets is regular closed.

REMARK 4. For any set A , A^{ai} is a regular open set, and A^{ia} is a regular closed set. (by Lemma 1)

THEOREM 3. *A set is regular open if and only if the set is supercondensed and open. A set is regular closed if and only if the set is subcondensed and closed.*

Proof. Let K be a regular open set. Then, K satisfies the following relation.

$$(\dagger) \quad K^{ai} = K.$$

Using this relation we get

$$K^i = K^{aai} = K^{ai} = K.$$

This implies that K is a open set. From eq. (\dagger) , we get

$$K^{ai} = K^i.$$

This relation implies that K is a supercondensed set.

Let a set A be supercondensed and open. Then we have

$$A^{ai} = A^i = A.$$

This implies that A is a regular open set. The second part of the theorem can be proved in the similar manner.

COROLLARY TO THEOREM 3. *A set is regular open if and only if the set is condensed and open. A set is regular closed if and only if the set is condensed and closed.*

Proof. This is evident from Remark 1 of Definition 2.

THEOREM 4. *A set A is condensed if and only if there is a regular open set U such that*

$$U \subset A \subset U^a .$$

A set B is condensed if and only if there is a regular closed set V such that

$$V^i \subset B \subset V .$$

Proof. Let us assume A is condensed. We take

$$U = A^{ai} .$$

Then

$$U \subset A .$$

And

$$U^a = A^{aia} \supset A^{ia} \supset A .$$

So U satisfies

$$U \subset A \subset U^a .$$

If we assume that there is a regular open set U which satisfies

$$U \subset A \subset U^a ,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} A^{ai} \subset U^{aai} &= U^{ai} = U , \\ A^{ai} \supset U^{ai} &= U . \end{aligned}$$

So

$$U = A^{ai} .$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} A^{ia} \subset U^{aia} &= U^a , \\ A^{ia} \supset U^{ia} &= U^a . \end{aligned}$$

So

$$U^a = A^{ia} .$$

Then we have

$$A^{ai} \subset A \subset A^{ia}.$$

The remaining part of the theorem is dual to the proved part.

THEOREM 5. *A set A is condensed if and only if the boundary of A coincides with*

$$A^{ia} - A^{ai} = A^{ia} \cap (A^c)^{ia}.$$

REMARK. The boundary of A , which is denoted by A^f , is defined by

$$A^f = A^a - A^i = A^a \cap (A^c)^a.$$

Proof. We assume that A is a condensed set. By the corollary to Theorem 1, A^c is a condensed set. So we have the following equalities by the Definition 1.

$$\begin{aligned} A^{ia} &= A^a \\ (A^c)^{ia} &= (A^c)^a. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$A^{ia} \cap (A^c)^{ia} = A^a \cap (A^c)^a = A^f.$$

Next we prove the sufficiency. We assume

$$A^f = A^{ia} \cap (A^c)^{ia}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} A^a &= A^i \cup A^f && \text{(Definition)} \\ &= A^i \cup \{A^{ia} \cap (A^c)^{ia}\} && \text{(Condition)} \\ &\subset A^i \cup A^{ia} \\ &= A^{ia}. \end{aligned}$$

Considering the evident relation

$$A^a \supset (A^i)^a$$

we have

$$(*) \quad A^a = A^{ia}.$$

The same relation holds for A^c , because the boundary of A^c coincides with that of A . So we have

$$(A^c)^a = (A^c)^{ia}.$$

Considering

$$\begin{aligned} A^{ca} &= A^{ic} \\ A^{cia} &= A^{aca} = A^{aic} \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$A^{ic} = A^{aic}.$$

Taking the complement of both sides we obtain

$$A^i = A^{ai}.$$

This relation and relation (*) imply that the set A is condensed.

DEFINITION 4. The inner kernel of the boundary of a set is called the border of the set.

REMARK. The border of a set A , which is denoted by A^b , is expressed by

$$A^b = (A^f)^i.$$

THEOREM 6. *The border of any set A is regular open and is expressed by*

$$A^b = A^{ai} - A^{ia} = A^{ai} \cap (A^c)^{ai}.$$

REMARK. This theorem corresponds formally to the following proposition:

“The boundary of any set A is closed and is expressed by

$$A^f = A^a - A^i = A^a \cap (A^c)^a.”$$

Proof. Considering the fact that the boundary of A is closed, we have

$$A^b = A^{fi} = (A^f)^{ai}.$$

Applying Remark 4 of Definition 3 we conclude that A^b is a regular open set.

Next we prove the remaining part of the theorem. We have the following relation.

$$\begin{aligned} A^{ai} - A^{ia} &= A^{ai} \cap (A^c)^{ai} \\ &= (A^a)^i \cap (A^{ca})^i \\ &= (A^a \cap A^{ca})^i && \text{(Property of open kernel)} \\ &= (A^f)^i && \text{(Definition of boundary)} \\ &= A^b && \text{(Definition of border)} \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 7. *A set is supercondensed if and only if*

(1) *the open kernel of the set is regular open, and*

(2) *the border of the set is empty.*

A set is subcondensed if and only if

(1') *the closure of the set is regular closed, and*

(2') *the border of the set is empty.*

REMARK. For any set A of X , $A^{bb} = \phi$ because A^b is regular open so condensed.

Proof. Let A be a supercondensed set. Then

$$(*) \quad A^{ai} = A^i.$$

So we get

$$A^{aiai} = A^{iai}.$$

The left side of above equality becomes

$$\begin{aligned} A^{aiai} &= A^{ai} && \text{(Lemma 1)} \\ &= A^i. && \text{(by eq. (*))} \end{aligned}$$

Then we get, the relation

$$A^i = (A^i)^{ai}.$$

This implies that A^i is a regular open set. Using eq. (*) we get

$$A^{ai} = A^i \subset A^{ia}.$$

Then

$$A^b = A^{ai} - A^{ia} = \phi.$$

Next we prove the sufficiency. Suppose that A satisfies the following two conditions;

$$(\dagger) \quad \begin{aligned} A^{iai} &= A^i \\ A^{ia} &\supset A^{ai}. \end{aligned} \quad \text{(This is equivalent to } A^b = \emptyset)$$

Taking the open kernel of the second relation, we get

$$A^{iai} \supset A^{ai}.$$

Combining this relation and relation (\dagger) we have

$$A^i \supset A^{ai}.$$

Considering the self-evident relation

$$A^i \subset A^{ai}$$

we get

$$A^i = A^{ai}.$$

This relation implies that A is a supercondensed set. The remaining part of the theorem is dual to the proved proposition.

COROLLARY TO THEOREM 7. *A set is condensed if and only if*

- (1) *the open kernel of the set is regular open,*
- (2) *the closure of the set is regular closed, and*
- (3) *the border of the set is empty.*

This proposition is evident from definition of the condensed set.

DEFINITION 5. Any set of X can be classified into one of the following three classes.

Class I $\{A: A^{ai} \subset A^{ia}, A \subset X\}$

Class II $\{B: B^{ai} \supseteq B^{ia}, B \subset X\}$

Class III $\{C: C^{ai}, C^{ia} \text{ are non-comparable}, C \subset X\}$

REMARK 1. A set belongs to class I if and only if the border of the set is empty. So a supercondensed set and a subcondensed set belong to Class I.

REMARK 2. The Boolean subring A_R which was defined by Stone in the T_0 space coincides with class I, because A_R is composed of all the sets whose boundaries are nowhere dense.

THEOREM 8. *A set and its complement belong to the same class.*

Proof. We assume that A belongs to class I. Then the following relation holds.

$$A^{ai} \subset A^{ia}.$$

Taking the complement of both sides we obtain

$$A^{aic} \supset A^{iac}.$$

Using the property of the complement we get the relation

$$(A^c)^{ia} \supset (A^c)^{ai}.$$

This implies that A^c also belongs to class I. We can prove similarly

the fact that the complement of a set of class II belongs to class II.

Next we prove about class III. In this case we must say that if C^{ai} and C^{ia} are noncomparable then $(C^c)^{ai}$ and $(C^c)^{ia}$ are also noncomparable. We use reduction absurdum for proving this proposition. Let $(C^c)^{ai}$ and $(C^c)^{ia}$ be comparable. Then C^c belongs to class I or II. So $C^{cc} = C$ must belong to class I or II by the proved part of this theorem. This is a contradiction.

THEOREM 9. *If a set A belongs to class I then*

$$A^{ai} = A^{iaa}$$

and

$$A^{ia} = A^{aia}.$$

On the other hand, if one of these two equalities holds then the set A belongs to class I.

Proof. Suppose that A belongs to class I. Then the following relation holds.

$$A^{ai} \subset A^{ia}.$$

Taking the open kernel of both sides we have

$$A^{ai} \subset A^{iaa}.$$

Considering the self-evident relation

$$A^{ai} \supset (A^i)^{ai}$$

we get

$$A^{ai} = A^{iaa}.$$

In the similar way we have

$$A^{ia} = A^{aia}.$$

Next, we prove the fact that if

$$A^{ai} = A^{iaa}$$

then the set A belongs to class I. Clearly,

$$A^{ia} \supset (A^{ia})^i = A^{ai}.$$

This implies that A belongs to class I. We can prove the proposition for

$$A^{ia} = A^{aia}$$

in the similar manner.

THEOREM 10. *Any sets A, B of class I satisfy the following relations,*

$$\begin{aligned} A^{ai} \cap B^{ai} &= (A \cap B)^{ai} \\ A^{ia} \cup B^{ia} &= (A \cup B)^{ia} \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$(A \cap B)^{ai} \subset (A^a \cap B^a)^i = A^{ai} \cap B^{ai}.$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} A^{ai} \cap B^{ai} &= A^{ia i} \cap B^{ia i} && \text{(Class I)} \\ &= (A \cap B)^{ia i} && \text{(Lemma 2)} \\ &\subset (A \cap B)^{ai}, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$A^{ai} \cap B^{ai} = (A \cap B)^{ai}.$$

The second relation of the theorem is dual to the first one.

THEOREM 11. *The intersection and the union of any two sets of class I belong to class I.*

Proof. Let A, B belong to class I. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (A \cap B)^{ai} &= A^{ai} \cap B^{ai} && \text{(Theorem 10)} \\ &= A^{ia i} \cap B^{ia i} && \text{(Class I)} \\ &= (A \cap B)^{ia i}. && \text{(Lemma 2)} \end{aligned}$$

So, $A \cap B$ belongs to class I by Theorem 9. The second part of the theorem is dual to the first one.

Acknowledgement. The author wishes to express his thanks to the referee for many valuable comments, especially, for his suggestion to Theorem 4. He is indebted to Dr. Akira Igarashi and Mr. Hidemitsu Ogawa for criticizing on this paper.

REFERENCES

1. N. Bourbaki, *Elements of Mathematics, General Topology*, Part I (117-138), (Addison Wesley 1966).

2. P. R. Halmos, *Lectures of Boolean Algebras* (12-17) (Van Nostrand, 1963).
3. C. Kuratowski, *Sur l'operation \bar{A} de l'Analyse Situs*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, **3** (1922), 182-199.
4. M. H. Stone, *Application of the theory of Boolean Rings to General Topology*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **41** (1937), 375-481.

Received November 23, 1970.

ELECTROTECHNICAL LAB. TOKYO.

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

C. R. HOBBY
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 38, No. 3

May, 1971

| | |
|---|-----|
| J. T. Borrego, Haskell Cohen and Esmond Ernest Devun, <i>Uniquely representable semigroups on the two-cell</i> | 565 |
| Glen Eugene Bredon, <i>Some examples for the fixed point property</i> | 571 |
| William Lee Bynum, <i>Characterizations of uniform convexity</i> | 577 |
| Douglas Derry, <i>The convex hulls of the vertices of a polygon of order n</i> | 583 |
| Edwin Duda and Jack Warren Smith, <i>Reflexive open mappings</i> | 597 |
| Y. K. Feng and M. V. Subba Rao, <i>On the density of (k, r) integers</i> | 613 |
| Irving Leonard Glicksberg and Ingemar Wik, <i>Multipliers of quotients of L_1</i> | 619 |
| John William Green, <i>Separating certain plane-like spaces by Peano continua</i> | 625 |
| Lawrence Albert Harris, <i>A continuous form of Schwarz's lemma in normed linear spaces</i> | 635 |
| Richard Earl Hodel, <i>Moore spaces and w Δ-spaces</i> | 641 |
| Lawrence Stanislaus Husch, Jr., <i>Homotopy groups of PL-embedding spaces. II</i> | 653 |
| Yoshinori Isomichi, <i>New concepts in the theory of topological space—supercondensed set, subcondensed set, and condensed set</i> | 657 |
| J. E. Kerlin, <i>On algebra actions on a group algebra</i> | 669 |
| Keizō Kikuchi, <i>Canonical domains and their geometry in C^n</i> | 681 |
| Ralph David McWilliams, <i>On iterated w^*-sequential closure of cones</i> | 697 |
| C. Robert Miers, <i>Lie homomorphisms of operator algebras</i> | 717 |
| Louise Elizabeth Moser, <i>Elementary surgery along a torus knot</i> | 737 |
| Hiroshi Onose, <i>Oscillatory properties of solutions of even order differential equations</i> | 747 |
| Wellington Ham Ow, <i>Wiener's compactification and Φ-bounded harmonic functions in the classification of harmonic spaces</i> | 759 |
| Zalman Rubinstein, <i>On the multivalence of a class of meromorphic functions</i> | 771 |
| Hans H. Storrer, <i>Rational extensions of modules</i> | 785 |
| Albert Robert Stralka, <i>The congruence extension property for compact topological lattices</i> | 795 |
| Robert Evert Stong, <i>On the cobordism of pairs</i> | 803 |
| Albert Leon Whiteman, <i>An infinite family of skew Hadamard matrices</i> | 817 |
| Lynn Roy Williams, <i>Generalized Hausdorff-Young inequalities and mixed norm spaces</i> | 823 |