Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON ITERATED w^*-SEQUENTIAL CLOSURE OF CONES

RALPH DAVID MCWILLIAMS

Vol. 38, No. 3 May 1971

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 38, No. 3, 1971

ON ITERATED w^*-SEQUENTIAL CLOSURE OF CONES

R. D. McWILLIAMS

In this paper it is proved that for each countable ordinal number $\alpha \geqq 2$ there exists a separable Banach space X containing a cone P such that, if J_X is the canonical map of X into its bidual X^{**}, then the αth iterated w^*-sequential closure $K_\alpha(J_X P)$ of $J_X P$ fails to be norm-closed in X^{**}. From such spaces there is constructed a separable space W containing a cone P such that if $2 \leqq \beta \leqq \alpha$, then $K_\beta(J_W P)$ fails to be norm-closed in W^{**}. Further, there is constructed a (non-separable) space Z containing a cone P such that if $2 \leqq \beta < \Omega$, then $K_\beta(J_Z P)$ fails to be norm-closed in Z^{**}.

1. If X is a real Banach space and Y a subset of X^{**}, let $K(Y)$ be the set of elements of X^{**} which are w^*-limits of sequences in Y. Let $K_0(Y) = Y$ and inductively let $K_\alpha(Y) = K(\cup_{\beta<\alpha} K_\beta(Y))$ for $0 < \alpha \leqq \Omega$, where Ω is the first uncountable ordinal. A *cone* in X is a subset of X which is closed under addition and under multiplication by nonnegative scalars. Our main theorem extends the result of [6] that if P is a cone in X, then $K_1(J_X P)$ must be norm-closed but $K_2(J_X P)$ can fail to be norm-closed in X^{**}. By contrast it is noted that if S is a compact Hausdroff space and $X = C(S)$ and $\alpha < \Omega$, then $K_\alpha(J_X X)$ is norm-closed, even though for example if S is compact, metric, and uncountable, then $K_\alpha(J_X X)$ is not w^*-sequentially closed. It is obvious that for each Banach space X and each subset Y of X^{**}, $K_\Omega(Y)$ is w^*-sequentially closed and hence norm-closed.

In [7] a Banach space X was exhibited such that $K_2(J_X X)$ is not norm-closed. Whether $K_\alpha(J_X X)$ can fail to be norm-closed for $2 < \alpha < \Omega$ is not known to the author. However, in the present paper it will be convenient to use constructions involving spaces studied in [7].

Section 2 is devoted to a useful relationship between w^*-sequential convergence and pointwise convergence of bounded sequences of functions, § 3 to further study of a space constructed in [7], and §§ 4 and 5 to preparation for and proof of the main theorems.

2. Let S be a compact Hausdorff space, $B(S)$ the Banach space of bounded real functions on S with the supremum norm, and $C(S)$ the closed subspace of $B(S)$ consisting of the continuous real functions on S. If A is a subset of $B(S)$, let $L(A)$ be the set of all pointwise limits of bounded sequences in A, and let $L_\alpha(A)$ be defined inductively by $L_0(A) = A$ and $L_\alpha(A) = L(\cup_{\beta<\alpha} L_\beta(A))$ for each ordinal α such that $0 < \alpha \leqq \Omega$.

If X is a norm-closed subspace of $C(S)$ and $z \in L_\Omega(X)$, then z is bounded and Borel measurable and hence is integrable with respect to each finite regular Borel signed measure μ on S. For each $f \in X^*$ there exists a finite regular Borel signed measure μ_f on S such that $f(x) = \int_S x \, d\mu_f$ for each $x \in X$ [3, p. 265], and by the Hahn-Banach theorem μ_f can be chosen so that $\|\mu_f\| = \|f\|$. If ν_f is another finite regular Borel signed measure on S such that $f(x) = \int_S x \, d\nu_f$ for each $x \in X$ then also $\int_S z d\mu_f = \int_S z d\nu_f$ for each $z \in L_\Omega(X)$, by virtue of the bounded convergence theorem and transfinite induction. Hence a mapping T is unambiguously defined from $L_\Omega(X)$ into the space of real functions on X^* by

$$(Tz)(f) = \int_S z d\mu_f \quad (z \in L_\Omega(X), \ f \in X^*).$$

TEOREM 2.1. *If S is a compact Hausdorff space and X a norm-closed subspace of $C(S)$, then T is an isometric isomorphism from $L_\Omega(X)$ onto $K_\Omega(J_X X)$, and T maps $L_\alpha(A)$ onto $K_\alpha(J_X A)$ for each subset A of X and each $\alpha \leqq \Omega$.*

Proof. For each $z \in L_\Omega(X)$ it is trivial that Tz is linear on X^* and that $|(Tz)(f)| \leqq \|z\| \|f\|$ for every $f \in X^*$, so that $Tz \in X^{**}$ and $\|Tz\| \leqq \|z\|$. For each $t \in S$ let $f_t(x) = x(t)$ for all $x \in X$; then clearly $f_t \in X^*$ with $\|f_t\| \leqq 1$, and it is easily seen that $(Tz)(f_t) = \int_S z d\mu_{f_t} = z(t)$, so that $|z(t)| \leqq \|Tz\| \|f_t\| \leqq \|Tz\|$ and hence $\|z\| \leqq \|Tz\|$. Since T is obviously linear, it follows that T is an isometric isomorphism from $L_\Omega(X)$ into X^{**}.

Now let A be a subset of X. Since the restriction of T to X is J_X, it follows that $T[L_0(A)] = TA = J_X A = K_0(J_X A)$. If $0 < \alpha \leqq \Omega$ and it is assumed that $T[L_\beta(A)] = K_\beta(J_X A)$ for each $\beta < \alpha$, then for each $z \in L_\alpha(A)$ there exists a bounded sequence $\{z_n\}$ in $\bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} L_\beta(A)$ which converges pointwise to z. By the bounded convergence theorem $(Tz)(f) = \lim_n (Tz_n)(f)$ for each $f \in X^*$. Since by assumption $\{Tz_n\} \subset \bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} K_\beta(J_X A)$, it follows that $Tz \in K_\alpha(J_X A)$. Conversely, if $F \in K_\alpha(J_X A)$ there exists a sequence $\{F_n\} \subset \bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} K_\beta(J_X A)$ such that $F_n \xrightarrow{w^*} F$; the sequence $\{F_n\}$ must be bounded [3, p. 60], and by assumption there exists a sequence $\{z_n\} \subset \bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} L_\beta(A)$ such that $Tz_n = F_n$ for each n. Now $\{z_n\}$ is bounded, and if $z(t)$ is defined to be $F(f_t)$ for each $t \in S$ it follows that $\{z_n\}$ converges pointwise to z so that $z \in L_\alpha(A)$. For every $f \in X^*$, $(Tz)(f) = \lim_n (Tz_n)(f)$ by the bounded convergence theorem. Thus $F = Tz \in T[L_\alpha(A)]$, completing the proof that $T[L_\alpha(A)] = K_\alpha(J_X A)$. By transfinite induction the theorem follows.

REMARK. If S is a compact Hausdorff space and X is the Banach space $C(S)$, then for each $\alpha \leqq \Omega$, $L_\alpha(X)$ is the space of bounded Baire functions on S of order $\leqq \alpha$ and, just as in the special case of a metric space S [8, p. 132], $L_\alpha(X)$ is norm-closed in $B(S)$ and hence also $K_\alpha(J_X X)$ is norm-closed in X^{**}. If S is a compact metric space with uncountably many elements then S has a nonempty dense-in-itself kernel [1, Ch. 9, p. 34]. Hence for each countable α there is a subset T of S of Borel order exactly α [4, p. 207], but then it follows that $L_\alpha(X) \neq L_{\alpha+1}(X)$ [5, p. 299] and hence that $K_\alpha(J_X X) \neq K_{\alpha+1}(J_X X)$ for each countable α.

3. The reader is now referred to the proof of Theorem 1 of [7] for the construction, for each real $c \geqq 1$, of a Banach space $X \subset C([0;3])$ having the property that there exists an $x^0 \in L_2(X)$ such that $||x^0|| = 1$ but if $\{y^h\}$ is a bounded sequence in $L_1(X)$ which converges pointwise to x^0, then $\liminf_h ||y^h|| \geqq c$. The remainder of the present paper depends heavily on properties of the space X, and the reader will occasionally need to refer to [7]. In particular, note that X is generated by a set $\{x_{pq}: p, q \in \omega\}$ of piecewise linear nonnegative functions of norm c on $[0;3]$ and that x^0 is the pointwise limit of the sequence $\{x^p\} \subset L_1(X)$, where x^p is the pointwise limit of $\{x_{pq}\}_{q\in\omega}$ and $||x^p|| = c$ for each p. Each x_{pq} has truncated peaks centered at certain of the points s_{ui}, t_{vj}, $2 + s_{ui}$ where $s_{ui} = 2^{-u}i$ and $t_{vj} = 2 - 2^{-v}(1 + 2^{-j})$ for $u, i, v, j \in \omega$ and $i < 2^u$. Specifically, $x_{pq}(s_{ui}) = x_{pq}(2 + s_{ui}) = 1$ if $p \geqq u$, and $x_{pq}(s_{u1}) = 1$ if and only if $p \geqq u$. Further, $x_{pq}(t_{vj}) = c$ if $v \leqq p \leqq j < p + q$ and 0 otherwise. If $\chi(S)$ denotes the characteristic function of the subset S of $[0;3]$, it turns out that

$$x^p = \chi(\{s_{pi}: i < 2^p\} \cup \{2 + s_{pi}: i < 2^p\}) + c\chi(\{t_{vj}: v \leqq p \leqq j\})$$

and that

$$x^0 = \chi(\{s_{pi}: p \in \omega, i < 2^p\} \cup \{2 + s_{pi}: p \in \omega, i < 2^p\}).$$

LEMMA 3.1. *Let Q be the norm-closed cone in X generated by $\{x_{pq}: p, q \in \omega\}$. Then Q coincides with*

$$Q_0 = \{\Sigma_p \Sigma_q a_{pq} x_{pq}: a_{pq} \geqq 0, \Sigma_p \Sigma_q a_{pq} < \infty\},$$

where the indicated summations are over the set ω of all positive integers.

Proof. It is clear that Q_0 is a cone containing $\{x_{pq}: p, q \in \omega\}$ and contained in Q. If $\{z_n\}$ is a sequence in Q_0 which converges in norm to some $x \in X$, then each z_n has the form $z_n = \Sigma_p \Sigma_q a_{npq} x_{pq}$ with $a_{npq} \geqq 0$ and $\Sigma_p \Sigma_q a_{npq} < \infty$. As noted in [7] the limit $\lim_n a_{npq} \equiv a_{pq}$ exists for all p, q; indeed, in the notation of [7],

$$a_{pq} = c^{-1}(x(t_{pp} - 2^{-2p-q-2}) - x(t_{pp} - 2^{-2p-q-1})).$$

Clearly each $a_{pq} \geqq 0$, and if $r, s \in \omega$ then

$$\Sigma_{p \leqq r}\Sigma_{q \leqq s} a_{pq} = \lim_n \Sigma_{p \leqq r}\Sigma_{q \leqq s} a_{npq} \leqq \lim_n z_n(s_{11}) = x(s_{11});$$

hence $\Sigma_p\Sigma_q a_{pq} \leqq x(s_{11})$ and $z \equiv \Sigma_p\Sigma_q a_{pq}x_{pq} \in Q_0$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. It follows from [7, p. 1196] that each x_{pq} is continuous and vanishes at 0 and at $2 - 2^{-1}$ and hence that each element of X shares these properties. Since $s_{p1} \to 0$, there exists $p_1 \in \omega$ such that $z(s') < \varepsilon$ and $x(s') < \varepsilon$ for $s' = s_{p_1+1,1}$. Since $||z_n - x|| \to 0$, there exists n' such that $z_n(s') < \varepsilon$ for all $n > n'$. Thus, by [7], $\Sigma_{p>p_1}\Sigma_q a_{pq} = z(s') < \varepsilon$ and $\Sigma_{p>p_1}\Sigma_q a_{npq} = z_n(s') < \varepsilon$ for $n > n'$. Further, since $t_{1j} \to 2 - 2^{-1}$, there exists by continuity $q_1 \geqq p_1$ such that $z(t_{1,q_1}) < c\varepsilon$ and $x(t_{1,q_1}) < c\varepsilon$; hence there exists $n'' \geqq n'$ such that $z_n(t_{1\,q_1}) < c\varepsilon$ for all $n > n''$. It follows from [7] that

$$\Sigma_{p \leqq p_1}\Sigma_{q > q_1} a_{pq} \leqq \Sigma_{p \leqq q_1}\Sigma_{q > q_1 - p} a_{pq} = c^{-1}z(t_{1,q_1}) < \varepsilon$$

and similarly $\Sigma_{p \leqq p_1}\Sigma_{q > q_1} a_{npq} \leqq c^{-1}z_n(t_{1,q_1}) < \varepsilon$ for all $n > n''$. Moreover, since $a_{npq} \to a_{pq}$, there exists $n_1 \geqq n''$ such that $\Sigma_{p \leqq p_1}\Sigma_{q \leqq q_1} |a_{pq} - a_{npq}| < \varepsilon$ for all $n > n_1$. Hence for $n > n_1$ the triangle inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} ||z - z_n|| &\leqq ||\Sigma_{p>p_1}\Sigma_q a_{pq}x_{pq}|| + ||\Sigma_{p>p_1}\Sigma_q a_{npq}x_{pq}|| \\ &+ ||\Sigma_{p \leqq p_1}\Sigma_{q>q_1} a_{pq}x_{pq}|| + ||\Sigma_{p \leqq p_1}\Sigma_{q>q_1} a_{npq}x_{pq}|| \\ &+ ||\Sigma_{p \leqq p_1}\Sigma_{q \leqq q_1}(a_{pq} - a_{npq})x_{pq}|| \\ &< 5c\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

since $||x_{pq}|| = c$ for all p, q. Thus $||z - z_n|| \to 0$ and therefore $x = z \in Q_0$, proving that Q_0 is norm-closed.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let* $Q_1 = \{\Sigma_p b_p x^p\colon b_p \geqq 0, \Sigma_p b_p < \infty\}$. *Then* $L_1(Q) = Q + Q_1$.

Proof. Since $L_1(Q)$ is a norm-closed cone in $B([0;3])$ by [6, Theorem 1, p. 192] and Theorem 2.1, and since $\{x^p\}_p \subset L_1(Q)$, it is clear that $Q + Q_1 \subset L_1(Q)$. If $\{z_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in Q which is pointwise convergent to some $z \in L_1(Q)$, each z_n has the form $z_n = \Sigma_p\Sigma_q a_{npq}x_{pq}$ with $a_{npq} \geqq 0$ and $\Sigma_p\Sigma_q a_{npq} < \infty$. As in the proof of Lemma 3.1, for all $p, q \in \omega$ the limit $a_{pq} = \lim_n a_{npq}$ exists. For all $p, q_1 \in \omega$,

$$\Sigma_{q \leqq q_1} a_{pq} = \lim_n \Sigma_{q \leqq q_1} a_{npq} \leqq \lim_n c^{-1}z_n(t_{pp}) = c^{-1}z(t_{pp});$$

hence $\Sigma_q a_{pq} \leqq c^{-1}z(t_{pp})$ for each $p \in \omega$. Let $b_p = c^{-1}z(t_{pp}) - \Sigma_q a_{pq}$ for each p, and note that all the numbers a_{pq} and b_p are nonnegative.

For $n, p \in \omega$ let $u_{np} = \Sigma_q a_{npq}x_{pq}$ and $u_p = \Sigma_q a_{pq}x_{pq} + b_p x^p$. For each p, if $t \in [0;3]$ and t is not of the form s_{pi}, $2 + s_{pi}$, or t_{vj} with $v \leqq p$

$\leqq j$, in the notation of [7, p. 1196], $x_{pq}(t) = 0$ for all sufficiently large q and hence $x^p(t) = 0$, so that $u_{np}(t) \xrightarrow[n]{} u_p(t)$, If $t = s_{pi}$ or $t = 2 + s_{pi}$, then

$$u_{np}(t) = \Sigma_q a_{npq} = c^{-1}z_n(t_{pp}) \longrightarrow c^{-1}z(t_{pp}) = u_p(t) .$$

Finally, if $v \leqq p \leqq j$, then

$$\begin{aligned} u_{np}(t_{vj}) = c\Sigma_{q>j-p}a_{npq} &\longrightarrow z(t_{pp}) - c\Sigma_{q\leqq j-p}a_{pq} \\ &= c[b_p + \Sigma_{q>j-p}a_{pq}] = u_p(t_{vj}), \end{aligned}$$

proving that $\{u_{np}\}$ converges pointwise to u_p on $[0; 3]$,

For each $r \in \omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{p\leqq r}(\Sigma_q a_{pq} + b_p) &= c^{-1}\Sigma_{p\leqq r}z(t_{pp}) \\ &= c^{-1}\lim_n \Sigma_{p\leqq r}z_n(t_{pp}) = \lim_n \Sigma_{p\leqq r}\Sigma_q a_{npq} \\ &\leqq \lim_n z_n(s_{11}) = z(s_{11}), \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\Sigma_p u_p \in Q + Q_1$. Let $w = z - \Sigma_p u_p$; then w is easily seen to be a Baire function of the first class on $[0; 3]$ and hence by [8, p. 143] w must have a point t_1 of continuity in $[2; 3]$.

At each point of the form $t = 2 + s_{ri}$ with i odd, $u_p(t) = u_p(s_{11})$ for each $p \geqq r$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} w(t) &= \lim_n(\Sigma_{p<r}u_{np}(t) + \Sigma_{p\geqq r}\Sigma_q a_{npq}) - \Sigma_p u_p(t) \\ &= \lim_n(z_n(s_{11}) - \Sigma_{p<r}u_{np}(s_{11})) - \Sigma_{p\geqq r}u_p(t) \\ &= z(s_{11}) - \Sigma_p u_p(s_{11}) = w(s_{11}). \end{aligned}$$

Since the set of such points t is dense in $[2; 3]$, $w(t_1) = w(s_{11})$. On the other hand, it follows from [7] that for each point of the form $s = 2 + s_{ri} \pm 2c_{ri_1}$ with i odd, $x_{pq}(s) = 0$ whenever $p \geqq r$, and hence

$$w(s) = \lim_n \Sigma_{p<r}u_{np}(s) - \Sigma_{p<r}u_p(s) = 0.$$

Since the set of such points s is also dense in $[2; 3]$, it follows that $w(t_1) = 0$ and hence that $w(s_{11}) = 0$.

For each $r \in \omega$ let $w_r = z - \Sigma_{p<r}u_p$. Then $w_r \rightarrow w$ in the norm topology, and w_r is the pointwise limit of $\{\Sigma_{p\geqq r}u_{np}\}$. Hence

$$||w_r|| \leqq \lim\sup_n ||\Sigma_{p\geqq r}u_{np}|| \leqq c\lim_n \Sigma_{p\geqq r}u_{np}(s_{11}) = cw_r(s_{11})$$

and consequently

$$||w|| = \lim_r ||w_r|| \leqq c\lim_r w_r(s_{11}) = cw(s_{11}) = 0.$$

Therefore $w = 0$ and $z = \Sigma_p u_p \in Q + Q_1$, completing the proof of the lemma.

Note. The last paragraph of the previous proof shows that if

$\{z_n\}$ is a bounded pointwise convergent sequence in Q, then in the notation of that proof for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $p_1, n_1 \in \omega$ such that $\Sigma_{p \geqq p_1} \Sigma_q a_{npq} < \varepsilon$ for all $n \geqq n_1$. Indeed, given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists p_1 such that $cw_{p_1}(s_{11}) < \varepsilon$. Since $\limsup_n \| \Sigma_{p \geqq p_1} u_{np} \| \leqq cw_{p_1}(s_{11})$, there exists n_1 such that for each $n \geqq n_1$

$$\Sigma_{p \geqq p1} \Sigma_q a_{npq} = (\Sigma_{p \geqq p_1} u_{np})(s_{11}) \leqq \| \Sigma_{p \geqq p_1} u_{np} \| < \varepsilon .$$

LEMMA 3.3. *Let* $Q_2 = \{c_0 x^0 \colon c_0 \geqq 0\}$. *Then* $L_2(Q) = L_\Omega(Q) = Q + Q_1 + Q_2$.

Proof. Clearly $Q + Q_1 + Q_2$ is a cone containing $L_1(Q)$ and contained in $L_2(Q)$. To prove the lemma it suffices to show that $L(Q + Q_1 + Q_2) \subseteqq Q + Q_1 + Q_2$. If $\{z_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in $Q + Q_1 + Q_2$ which is pointwise convergent to a function z, then each z_n has the form

$$z_n = y_n + \Sigma_p b_{np} x^p + c_n x^0$$

where $y_n \in Q$, $b_{np} \geqq 0$, $c_n \geqq 0$, and $\Sigma_p b_{np} < \infty$. Since $\{z_n\}$ is bounded, the diagonal process yields a subsequence $\{z_{n_i}\}$ of z_n such that $c_0 \equiv \lim_i c_{n_i}$ and $b \equiv \lim_i \Sigma_p b_{n_i p}$ exist and $b_p \equiv \lim_i b_{n_i p}$ exists for each $p \in \omega$. It is easily seen from [7, p. 1196] that these limits are finite and nonnegative, that $\Sigma_p b_p \leqq b$, and that the sequence $\{\Sigma_p b_{n_i p} x^p + c_{n_i} x^0\}$ is pointwise convergent to $\Sigma_p b_p x^p + (c_0 + b - \Sigma_p b_p) x^0$. Hence also $\{y_{n_i}\}$ is pointwise convergent, and by Lemma 3.2 its pointwise limit is in $Q + Q_1$. Since z is the pointwise limit of $\{z_{n_i}\}$, it follows that $z \in Q + Q_1 + Q_2$.

REMARK. It is clear from [7] that the representation of each $z \in L_\Omega(Q)$ in the form $\Sigma_p \Sigma_q a_{pq} x_{pq} + \Sigma_p b_p x^p + c_0 x^0$ is unique.

4. Given an arbitrary countable ordinal $\alpha \geqq 2$ and a number $c \geqq 1$, we now construct a separable Banach space X_α containing a cone P_α for which there exists $z_\alpha \in L_\alpha(P_\alpha)$ such that $\| z_\alpha \| = 1$ but such that if $\{w_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} L_\beta(P_\alpha)$ converging pointwise to z_α, then $\underline{\lim}_n \| w_n \| \geqq c$.

Let B_α be the countable set $\{(2, 1)\} \cup \{(\beta, \gamma) \colon \alpha \geqq \beta > \gamma \geqq 2\}$. Then there exists a one-to-one mapping ν_α from D_α onto B_α, where $D_\alpha = \{1, \cdots, 2^{-1}(\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 4)\}$ if $\alpha < \omega$ and $D_\alpha = \omega$ if $\alpha \geqq \omega$, such that $\nu_\alpha(1) = (2, 1)$. Let $U = \{0\} \cup \{n^{-1} \colon n \in D_\alpha\}$ and let S_α be the compact subset $[0; 6] \times U$ of E^2. For each real function z defined on S_α and each $u \in U$, let

$$z^{1,u}(t) = z(t, u), \qquad z^{2,u}(t) = z(t + 3, u)$$

for $t \in [0; 3]$. Further, let $\mathscr{S}_\alpha$ be the set of all type $-\alpha$ generalized sequences $s = (s_\beta: 1 \leqq \beta \leqq \alpha)$ of positive integers.

Letting x_{pq} be as in § 3 and noting by [7] that $x_{pq}(0) = x_{pq}(3) = 0$ for $p, q \in \omega$, we easily verify that for each $s \in \mathscr{S}_\alpha$ the function x_s defined by

$$x_s^{1,u} = \begin{cases} x_{s_\beta s_\gamma} & \text{if } u > 0,\ u^{-1} \leqq s_1,\ \nu_\alpha(u^{-1}) = (\beta, \gamma) \\ 0 & \text{if } u > 0,\ u^{-1} > s_1 \\ 0 & \text{if } u = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$x_s^{2,u} = \begin{cases} u x_{s_\beta s_\gamma} & \text{if } u > 0,\ \nu_\alpha(u^{-1}) = (\beta, \gamma) \\ 0 & \text{if } u = 0 \end{cases}$$

is an element of $C(S_\alpha)$. Let X_α be the norm-closed subspace and P_α the norm-closed cone in $C(S_\alpha)$ generated by $\{x_s: s \in \mathscr{S}_\alpha\}$. Since S_α is compact metric, $C(S_\alpha)$ is separable [3, p. 340] and hence also X_α is separable. Note that $||x_s|| = c$ for each $s \in \mathscr{S}_\alpha$.

For $1 \leqq \delta \leqq \alpha$ and $s \in \mathscr{S}_\alpha$ let $z_{s\,\delta}$ be defined on S_α by

$$z_{s,\delta}^{1,u} = u^{-1} z_{s,\delta}^{2,u} = \begin{cases} x_{s_\beta s_\gamma} & \text{if } u > 0,\ \nu_\alpha(u^{-1}) = (\beta, \gamma),\ \beta > \gamma > \delta \\ x^{s_\beta} & \text{if } u > 0,\ \nu_\alpha(u^{-1}) = (\beta, \gamma),\ \beta > \delta \geqq \gamma \\ x^0 & \text{if } u > 0,\ \nu_\alpha(u^{-1}) = (\beta, \gamma),\ \delta \geqq \beta > \gamma \end{cases}$$

$$z_{s,\delta}^{1,0} = z_{s,\delta}^{2,0} = 0.$$

Thus $||z_{s,\delta}|| = c$ if $1 \leqq \delta < \alpha$, but $||z_{s,\alpha}|| = 1$ for each $s \in \mathscr{S}_\alpha$. In fact, $z_{s\,\alpha}$ is independent of $s \in \mathscr{S}_\alpha$ and we simply write z_α instead of $z_{s\,\alpha}$.

LEMMA 4.1. *For each $s \in \mathscr{S}_\alpha$ and $1 \leqq \delta \leqq \alpha$, $z_{s,\delta} \in L_\delta(P_\alpha)$.*

Proof. If $\delta = 1$ and $s \in \mathscr{S}_\alpha$, then for each $q \in \omega$ let $s^q \in \mathscr{S}_\alpha$ be defined by

$$s_\beta^q = \begin{cases} q & \text{if } \beta = 1 \\ s_\beta & \text{if } 1 < \beta \leqq \alpha. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to verify that $\{x_{s^q}\}_{q=1}^\infty$ is a bounded sequence in P_α converging pointwise to $z_{s,1}$, so that $z_{s\,1} \in L_1(P_\alpha)$.

Proceeding by transfinite induction, assume that $1 < \delta \leqq \alpha$ and that $z_{s,\varepsilon} \in L_\varepsilon(P_\alpha)$ for each $s \in \mathscr{S}_\alpha$ and $1 \leqq \varepsilon < \delta$. Let $s \in \mathscr{S}_\alpha$ be given, and let $t^q \in \mathscr{S}_\alpha$ be defined for each $q \in \omega$ by

$$t_\beta^q = \begin{cases} s_\beta & \text{if } \delta \neq \beta \leqq \alpha \\ q & \text{if } \beta = \delta. \end{cases}$$

If δ is not a limiting ordinal, then δ has an immediate predecessor $\delta - 1$, and it is straightforward to show that the bounded sequence

$\{z_{t^q,\delta-1}\}_{q=1}^{\infty}$ in $L_{\delta-1}(P_\alpha)$ converges pointwise to $z_{s,\delta}$ on S_α. On the other hand, if the countable ordinal δ is limiting, there exists an increasing sequence $\{\varepsilon_q\}_{q=1}^{\infty}$ of ordinals whose limit is δ, and it can be verified that the bounded sequence $\{z_{t^q,\varepsilon_q}\}_{q=1}^{\infty}$ in $\bigcup_{\varepsilon<\delta} L_\varepsilon(P_\alpha)$ is pointwise convergent to $z_{s,\delta}$. Thus the lemma is proved inductively. In particular, our proof has shown that z_α, whose norm is 1, is the pointwise limit of a sequence of elements of norm c in $\bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} L_\beta(P_\alpha)$.

Note that if $1 \leqq \delta \leqq \Omega$, $z \in L_\delta(P_\alpha)$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$, and $u \in U$, then $z^{i,u} \in L_\delta(Q) \subseteqq L_\Omega(Q) = Q + Q_1 + Q_2$ by Lemma 3.3, and trivially $z^{i,0} = 0$.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let $1 \leqq \delta \leqq \Omega$ and $z \in L_\delta(P_\alpha)$ with*

$$z^{1,1} = \Sigma_p \Sigma_q a_{pq} x_{pq} + \Sigma_p b_p x^p + c_0 x^0.$$

Then also $y \in L_\delta(P_\alpha)$, where

$$y^{1,1} = y^{2,1} = \Sigma_p (b_p + \Sigma_q a_{pq}) x^p + c_0 x^0,$$

$y^{2,0} = y^{1,0} = 0$, and $uy^{1,u} = y^{2,u} = z^{2,u}$ for each $u \in U \backslash \{0, 1\}$.

Proof. The proof will be by induction on δ. If $\delta = 1$, then $z^{1,1} \in L_1(Q) = Q + Q_1$ and hence $c_0 = 0$. There exists a bounded sequence $\{w_n\}$ in P_α which converges pointwise to z on S_α. Since the finite linear combinations with nonnegative coefficients of elements in $\{x_s: s \in \mathscr{S}_\alpha\}$ are norm-dense in P_α, each w_n can be assumed to have the form $w_n = \Sigma_{i \in \omega} r_{ni} x_{(s^{ni})}$, where each $s^{ni} \in \mathscr{S}_\alpha$, each $r_{ni} \geqq 0$, and for each n there exist only finitely many i such that $r_{ni} > 0$. If $t^{ni} \in \mathscr{S}_\alpha$ is defined for all $n, i \in \omega$ by $(t^{ni})_\beta = (s^{ni})_\beta$ for $2 \leqq \beta \leqq \alpha$ and $(t^{ni})_1 = n$, then the sequence $\{w'_n\}$, where $w'_n = \Sigma_{i \in \omega} r_{ni} x_{(t^{ni})}$, is clearly a bounded sequence in P_α. It will now be shown that $\{w'_n\}$ converges pointwise to y.

For each $u \in U \backslash \{0, 1\}$, $\nu_\alpha(u^{-1}) = (\beta, \gamma)$ for some β, γ such that $\beta > \gamma \geqq 2$, and hence for each $n \geqq u^{-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} w_n'^{1,u} = u^{-1} w_n'^{2,u} &= \Sigma_{i \in \omega} r_{ni} x_{(t^{ni})_\beta (t^{ni})_\gamma} \\ &= \Sigma_{i \in \omega} r_{ni} x_{(s^{ni})_\beta (s^{ni})_\gamma} = u^{-1} w_n^{2,u}; \end{aligned}$$

therefore, $w_n'^{1,u}(t) \xrightarrow[n]{} u^{-1} z^{2,u}(t) = y^{1,u}(t)$ and $w_n'^{2,u}(t) \to z^{2,u}(t) = y^{2,u}(t)$ for all $t \in [0; 3]$.

Since the situation for $u = 0$ is trivial, it remains only to consider the case in which $u = 1$. Given $n, p, q \in \omega$ let

$$a_{npq} = \Sigma\{r_{ni}: (s^{ni})_2 = p, (s^{ni})_1 = q\}.$$

Thus each $a_{npq} \geqq 0$, and for each n there are only finitely many pairs (p, q) for which $a_{npq} > 0$. Since $w_n^{1,1} = \Sigma_p \Sigma_q a_{npq} x_{pq}$ for each n, it follows from the proof of Lemma 3.2 and the note following that proof that

$\lim_n a_{npq} = a_{pq}$ for each p, q; that

$$\lim_n \Sigma_q a_{npq} = c^{-1} z^{1,1}(t_{pp}) = \Sigma_q a_{pq} + b_p$$

for each p; and that $\limsup_n \Sigma_{p \geqq r} \Sigma_q a_{npq} \to 0$ as $r \to \infty$. Thus given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist r and n_1 such that $\Sigma_{p \geqq r}(\Sigma_q a_{pq} + b_p) < \varepsilon/3c$ and $\Sigma_{p \geqq r} \Sigma_q a_{npq} < \varepsilon/3c$ for all $n > n_1$. Now $w_n'^{1,1} = \Sigma_p(\Sigma_q a_{npq}) x_{pn}$, and for each $t \in [0; 3]$ there exists $n_2(t) > n_1$ such that

$$|(\Sigma_q a_{npq}) x_{pn}(t) - (\Sigma_q a_{pq} + b_p) x^p(t)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3r}$$

for each $n > n_2(t)$ and $p < r$. It follows easily by the triangle inequality that

$$|w_n'^{1,1}(t) - \Sigma_p(b_p + \Sigma_q a_{pq}) x^p(t)| < \varepsilon$$

for each $n > n_2(t)$. Thus

$$w_n'^{1,1}(t) = w_n'^{2,1}(t) \longrightarrow y^{1,1}(t) = y^{2,1}(t)$$

for all t, completing the proof for $\delta = 1$.

Now let $\delta > 1$ and assume that the statement of the lemma is true for each ordinal ε such that $1 \leqq \varepsilon < \delta$. If $z \in L_\delta(P_\alpha)$, there exists a bounded sequence $\{w_n\} \subset \bigcup_{\varepsilon < \delta} L_\varepsilon(P_\alpha)$ which converges pointwise to z. By the induction hypothesis the sequence $\{y_n\}$ is contained in $\bigcup_{\varepsilon < \delta} L_\varepsilon(P_\alpha)$, where, if

$$w_n^{1,1} = \Sigma_{p,q} a_{npq} x_{pq} + \Sigma_p b_{np} x^p + c_n x^0,$$

then

$$y_n^{1,1} = y_n^{2,1} = \Sigma_p(b_{np} + \Sigma_q a_{npq}) x^p + c_n x^0,$$

and $y_n^{1,0} = y_n^{2,0} = 0$ and $u y_n^{1,u} = y_n^{2,u} = w_n^{2,u}$ for $u \neq 0, 1$. An easy induction argument shows that $\|f^{2,u}\| \leqq ucf^{1,1}(s_{11})$ for each $u \in U$ and $f \in L_\Omega(P_\alpha)$, and from this result it follows that the sequence $\{y_n\}$ is bounded. To see that $\{y_n\}$ converges pointwise to y, note first that $y_n^{1,0} = y_n^{2,0} = 0 = y^{1,0} = y^{2,0}$ for each n. Next, if $u \neq 0, 1$ and $t \in [0; 3]$, then

$$u y_n^{1,u}(t) = y_n^{2,u}(t) = w_n^{2,u}(t) \longrightarrow z^{2,u}(t) = u y^{1,u}(t) = y^{2,u}(t).$$

For $u = 1$, since $y_n^{1,1} = y_n^{2,1}$ and $y^{1,1} = y^{2,1}$, it remains only to show that $y_n^{1,1}(t) \to y^{1,1}(t)$ for each $t \in [0; 3]$. If t is not of the form s_{pi}, $2 + s_{pi}$, or t_{vj} with $v \leqq j$, then $y_n^{1,1}(t) = 0 = y^{1,1}(t)$. If $t = s_{p_1 i_1}$ or $2 + s_{p_1 i_1}$ with i_1 odd, then

$$y_n^{1,1}(t) = w_n^{1,1}(t) - \Sigma_{p < p_1} \Sigma_q a_{npq} x_{pq}(t)$$

and

$$y^{1,1}(t) = z^{1,1}(t) - \Sigma_{p<p_1}\Sigma_q a_{pq}x_{pq}(t);$$

since $w_n^{1,1}(t) \longrightarrow z^{1,1}(t)$ and $a_{npq} \longrightarrow a_{pq}$ (as noted in the proof of Lemma 3.1), and since there exists q_1 such that $x_{pq}(t) = 0$ whenever $p < p_1$ $q > q_1$, it follows that $y_n^{1,1}(t) \longrightarrow y^{1,1}(t)$. Finally, if $t = t_{vj}$ with $1 \leqq v \leqq j$, then

$$\begin{aligned} y_n^{1,1}(t) &= w_n^{1,1}(t) + c\Sigma_{p=v}^{j}\Sigma_{q=1}^{j-p}a_{npq} \\ &\longrightarrow z^{1,1}(t) + c\Sigma_{p=v}^{j}\Sigma_{q=1}^{j-p}a_{pq} = y^{1,1}(t). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the induction step and hence the proof of the lemma.

LEMMA 4.3. *Let* $0 \leqq \delta \leqq \Omega$ *and* $z \in L_\delta(P_\alpha)$. *Then* $z^{1,u} \leqq u^{-1}z^{2,u}$ *for each* $u \in U\backslash\{0\}$. If

$$z^{1,1} = \Sigma_p\Sigma_q a_{pq}x_{pq} + \Sigma_p b_p x^p + c_0 x^0$$

and if $q_1 \in \omega$, *then*

$$z^{1,u} \leqq u^{-1}z^{2,u} - c\Sigma_p\Sigma_{q<q_1}a_{pq}$$

for each $u \geqq q_1^{-1}$.

proof. The first assertion is immediate by induction on δ. For the second assertion suppose first that z has the form $z = \Sigma_{s\in\sigma}d_s x_s$ where σ is a finite subset of $\mathscr{S}_\alpha$ and $d_s \geqq 0$ for each s. Then $z^{1,1} = \Sigma_p\Sigma_q a_{pq}x_{pq}$, where

$$a_{pq} = \Sigma\{d_s\colon s \in \sigma,\ s_2 = p,\ s_1 = q\}.$$

Thus $\Sigma_p\Sigma_{q<q_1}a_{pq} = \Sigma\{d_s\colon s \in \sigma,\ s_1 < q_1\}$ and hence if $u \geqq q_1^{-1}$ and $\nu_\alpha(u^{-1}) = (\beta, \gamma)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} z^{2,u} &= u\Sigma_{s\in\sigma}d_s x_{s_\beta s_\gamma} = uz^{1,u} + u\Sigma_{s_1<u^{-1}}d_s x_{s_\beta s_\gamma} \\ &\leqq u(z^{1,u} + \Sigma_{s_1<q_1}d_s x_{s_\beta s_\gamma}) \leqq u(z^{1,u} + c\Sigma_p\Sigma_{p<q_1}a_{pq}) \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Next, suppose z is the pointwise limit of a bounded sequence $\{w_n\}_{n\in\omega}$ in $L_\Omega(P_\alpha)$ such that each w_n has the desired property; i.e., for each $u \geqq q_1^{-1}$,

$$w_n^{1,u} \geqq u^{-1}w_n^{2,u} - c\Sigma_p\Sigma_{q<q_1}a_{npq}$$

where

$$w_n^{1,1} = \Sigma_p\Sigma_q a_{npq}x_{pq} + \Sigma_p b_{np}x^p + c_n x^0.$$

By the proof of Lemma 3.3 there is a subsequence $\{w_{n_i}\}$ of $\{w_n\}$ such that $\{\Sigma_p\Sigma_q a_{n_i pq}x_{pq}\}$ is pointwise convergent, and by the note following

Lemma 3.2 for each $\zeta > 0$ there exist p_1 and i_1 such that for each $i > i_1$,

$$\Sigma_{p \geqq p_1} \Sigma_q a_{n_i pq} < c\zeta.$$

Since $a_{n_i pq} \rightarrow a_{pq}$ for each p and q, there exists $i_2 > i_1$ such that for each $i > i_2$,

$$\Sigma_{p<p_1} \Sigma_{q<q_1} a_{n_i pq} < \Sigma_{p<p_1} \Sigma_{q<q_1} a_{pq} + \zeta.$$

Hence, for each $i > i_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_p \Sigma_{q<q_1} a_{n_i pq} &< \Sigma_{p<p_1} \Sigma_{q<q_1} a_{pq} + (1 + c)\zeta \\ &\leqq \Sigma_p \Sigma_{q<q_1} a_{pq} + (1 + c)\zeta.\end{aligned}$$

For each $t \in [0; 3]$ and $u \geqq q_1^{-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}z^{1,u}(t) = \lim_i w_{n_i}^{1,u}(t) &\geqq \overline{\lim}_i (u^{-1} w_{n_i}^{2,u}(t) - c\Sigma_p \Sigma_{q<q_1} a_{n_i pq}) \\ &\geqq u^{-1} z^{2,u}(t) - c[\Sigma_p \Sigma_{q<q_1} a_{pq} + (1 + c)\zeta].\end{aligned}$$

Since ζ can be arbitrarily small,

$$z^{1,u} \geqq u^{-1} z^{2,u} - c\Sigma_p \Sigma_{q<q_1} a_{pq}$$

for each $u \geqq q_1^{-1}$, as desired.

The preceding paragraphs provide both the base step and the inductive step for the proof of the second assertion of the lemma.

LEMMA 4.4. *Let G be the set of all $z \in L_\Omega(P_\alpha)$ such that $z^{1,1} \in Q_1 + Q_2$. If $z \in G$, then $z^{1,u} = u^{-1} z^{2,u}$ for each $u \in U \backslash \{0\}$.*

Proof. In the notation of Lemma 4.3, $a_{pq} = 0$ for all p, q and hence $\Sigma_p \Sigma_{q<u^{-1}} a_{pq} = 0$. The present result now follows immediately from Lemma 4.3.

LEMMA 4.5. $L_\delta(P_\alpha) \cap G = \begin{cases} L_{\delta-1}(L_1(P_\alpha) \cap G) & \text{if } 1 \leqq \delta < \omega \\ L_\delta(L_1(P_\alpha) \cap G) & \text{if } \omega \leqq \delta \leqq \Omega. \end{cases}$

Proof. The result is trivial for $\delta = 1$. Let $1 < \delta < \omega$ and assume the result is true for all $\varepsilon < \delta$. Then for each $z \in L_\delta(P_\alpha) \cap G$ it follows from Lemma 4.4 that $z^{1,u} = u^{-1} z^{2,u}$ for each $u \neq 0$. Since $z \in G$, it follows that z is identical with the y occurring in the statement of Lemma 4.2 and hence is the pointwise limit of the bounded sequence $\{y_n\} \subset G \cap \bigcup_{1 \leqq \varepsilon < \delta} L_\varepsilon(P_\alpha)$ which appears in the inductive step of the proof of Lemma 4.2. By the inductive hypothesis

$$\{y_n\} \subset \bigcup_{1 \leqq \varepsilon < \delta} \mathrm{L}_{\varepsilon-1}(L_1(P_\alpha) \cap G) = L_{\delta-2}(L_1(P_2) \cap G)$$

and hence $z \in L_{\delta-1}(L_1(P_\alpha) \cap G)$. Conversely, if $z \in L_{\delta-1}(L_1(P_\alpha) \cap G)$, then z is the pointwise limit of a bounded sequence $\{w_n\} \subset L_{\delta-2}(L_1(P_\alpha) \cap G)$. By the inductive hypothesis $L_{\delta-2}(L_1(P_\alpha) \cap G) = L_{\delta-1}(P_\alpha) \cap G$. Hence clearly $z \in L_\delta(P_\alpha)$, and also $z \in G$ by the proof of Lemma 3.3. Thus the proof is complete for $\delta < \omega$.

Now let $\omega \leqq \delta \leqq \Omega$ and assume the result is true for all $\varepsilon < \delta$. As in the previous case each $z \in L_\delta(P_\alpha) \cap G$ is the pointwise limit of a bounded sequence $\{y_n\} \subset G \cap \bigcup_{\varepsilon<\delta} L_\varepsilon(P_\alpha)$. By the inductive hypothesis $\{y_n\} \subset \bigcup_{\varepsilon<\delta} L_\varepsilon(L_1(P_\alpha) \cap G)$, and hence $z \in L_\delta(L_1(P_\alpha) \cap G)$. Conversely, if $z \in L_\delta(L_1(P_\alpha) \cap G)$, then z is the pointwise limit of a bounded sequence $\{w_n\} \subset \bigcup_{\varepsilon<\delta} L_\varepsilon(L_1(P_\alpha) \cap G)$. By the inductive hypothesis $\{w_n\} \subset G \cap \bigcup_{\varepsilon<\delta} L_\varepsilon(P_\alpha)$ and hence $z \in G \cap L_\delta(P_\alpha)$, completing the proof of the lemma.

LEMMA 4.6. *Let $\{w_n\}$ be a bounded sequence in $\bigcup_{\varepsilon<\alpha} L_\varepsilon(P_\alpha)$ which converges pointwise on S_α to the function z_α defined earlier in the present section. If*

$$w_n^{1,1} = \Sigma_p \Sigma_q a_{npq} x_{pq} + \Sigma_p b_{np} x^p + c_n x^0$$

for each $n \in \omega$, then $\lim_n \Sigma_p \Sigma_q a_{npq} = 0$.

Proof. If the conclusion is not true, then as in the proof of Lemma 3.3 a subsequence $\{w_{n_i}\}$ of $\{w_n\}$ exists such that $\inf_i \Sigma_p \Sigma_q a_{n_i pq} > 0$ and such that the limits $c_0 = \lim_i c_{n_i}$, $b = \lim_i \Sigma_p b_{n_i p}$, $b_p = \lim_i b_{n_i p}$, and $a_p = \lim_i \Sigma_q a_{n_i pq}$ all exist $(p \in \omega)$. Since $z_\alpha^{1,1} = x^0$ by definition of z_α, the coefficient of each x_{pq} in the unique expansion of $z_\alpha^{1,1}$ must vanish and it is easily verified that $\{\Sigma_p b_{n_i p} x^p + c_{n_i} x^0\}$ and $\{\Sigma_p \Sigma_q a_{n_i pq} x_{pq}\}$ converge pointwise to $\Sigma_p b_p x^p + (c_0 + b - \Sigma_p b_p) x^0$ and $\Sigma_p a_p x^p$ respectively, as in the proofs of Lemmas 3.3 and 3.2 (note that the symbol b_p is used differently in those two proofs). Hence

$$z_\alpha^{1,1} = \Sigma_p (a_p + b_p) x^p + (c_0 + b - \Sigma_p b_p) x^0.$$

Now the uniqueness of the expansion of $z_\alpha^{1,1}$ shows that $a_p + b_p = 0$ for each p and $c_0 + b - \Sigma_p b_p = 1$. Since a_p and b_p are nonnegative, they must both vanish for each p and hence $c_0 + b = 1$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = z_\alpha^{1,1}(s_{11}) &= \lim_i (\Sigma_p \Sigma_q a_{n_i pq} + \Sigma_p b_{n_i p} + c_{n_i}) \\ &= \lim_i \Sigma_p \Sigma_q a_{n_i pq} + b + c_0 \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\lim_i \Sigma_p \Sigma_q a_{n_i pq} = 0$, contradicting our assumption and thus proving the lemma.

THEOREM 4.1. *If $\{w_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in $\bigcup_{\varepsilon<\alpha} L_\varepsilon(P_\alpha)$ which converges pointwise to z_α, then there exists a sequence*

$$\{y_n\} \subset G \cap \bigcup_{\varepsilon<\alpha} L_\varepsilon(P_\alpha) \text{ such that } \|y_n - w_n\| \to 0.$$

Proof. Each $w_n^{1,1}$ has the form

$$w_n^{1,1} = \Sigma_p \Sigma_q a_{npq} x_{pq} + \Sigma_p b_{np} x^p + c_n x^0.$$

By Lemma 4.2 these exists a sequence $\{y_n\} \subset \bigcup_{\varepsilon<\alpha} L_\varepsilon(P_\alpha)$ such that

$$y_n^{1,1} = y_n^{2,1} = \Sigma_p(b_{np} + \Sigma_q a_{npq})x^p + c_n x^0,$$

and $y_n^{2,0} = y_n^{1,0} = 0$ and $uy_n^{1,u} = y_n^{2,u} = w_n^{2,u}$ for each $u \neq 0, 1$. Since obviously $\{y_n\} \subset G$, if remains only to show that $\lim_n \|y_n - w_n\| = 0$.

First note that $(y_n - w_n)^{1,0} = 0$ and $(y_n - w_n)^{2,u} = 0$ for all $u \neq 1$.

For each real $r > 0$ there exists by Lemma 4.6 an $n_r \in \omega$ such that $\Sigma_p \Sigma_q a_{npq} < r$ for all $n > n_r$. For each $u \neq 0$ there exists $q_u \in \omega$ such that $u \geqq q_u^{-1}$ and hence by Lemma 4.3,

$$\begin{aligned} u^{-1}w_n^{2,u} - cr < u^{-1}w_n^{2,u} - c\Sigma_p \Sigma_{q<q_u} a_{npq} \\ \leqq w_n^{1,u} \leqq u^{-1}w_n^{2,u} \end{aligned}$$

for each $n > n_r$. Since $y_n^{2,u} = w_n^{2,u}$ for each $u \neq 1$,

$$\|(y_n - w_n)^{1,u}\| = \|u^{-1}y_n^{2,u} - w_n^{1,u}\| = \|u^{-1}w_n^{2,u} - w_n^{1,u}\| \leqq cr$$

for each $n > n_r$ and $u \neq 0, 1$.

Finally, since $z^{1,1} = z^{2,1}$ for each $z \in L_\Omega(P_\alpha)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(y_n - w_n)^{2,1}\| = \|(y_n - w_n)^{1,1}\| = \|\Sigma_p(\Sigma_q a_{npq} x^p - \Sigma_q a_{npq} x_{pq})\| \\ < 2cr \end{aligned}$$

for each $n > n_r$.

We have now shown that $\|y_n - w_n\| < 2cr$ for each $n > n_r$, completing the proof of the theorem.

LEMMA 4.7. *Let ζ be a countable ordinal, and let $y \in L_\zeta(L_1(P_\alpha) \cap G)$. Let $\zeta' = \zeta + 1$ if $\zeta < \omega$ and $\zeta' = \zeta$ if $\zeta \geqq \omega$. If $u \in U\backslash\{0\}$ and $\nu_\alpha(u^{-1}) = (\beta, \gamma)$ with $\beta > \gamma > \zeta'$, then $y^{1,u}$ is continuous and hence has the form $y^{1,u} = \Sigma_p \Sigma_q a_{pq}^u x_{pq}$. If also $v \in U\backslash\{0\}$ and $\nu_\alpha(v^{-1}) = (\gamma, \delta)$ with $\beta > \gamma > \delta > \zeta'$, then for each $r \in \omega$, $\Sigma_p a_{pr}^u = \Sigma_q a_{rq}^v$.*

Proof. The proof will be by induction on ζ. If $y \in L_0(L_1(P_\alpha) \cap G) = L_1(P_\alpha) \cap G$, there is a bounded sequence $\{w_n\} \subset P_\alpha$ which converges pointwise to y. The sequence $\{w_n\}$ can be chosen so that each w_n is a finite linear combination of elements of $\{x_s : s \in \mathscr{S}_\alpha\}$, and hence there exists a countable subset σ of $\mathscr{S}_\alpha$ such that each w_n has the form $w_n = \Sigma_{s\in\sigma} b_{ns} x_s$, where each b_{ns} is nonnegative and for each n only a finite number of the b_{ns} are nonzero. If $u \neq 0$ and $\nu_\alpha(u^{-1}) = (\beta, \gamma)$, then

$$w_n^{2,u} = u\Sigma_{s\in\sigma}b_{ns}x_{s_\beta s_\gamma} = u\Sigma_p\Sigma_q a_{npq}^u x_{pq},$$

where

$$a_{npq}^u = \Sigma\{b_{ns}: s_\beta = p,\ s_\gamma = q\}.$$

Now $y^{1,u} = u^{-1}y^{2,u}$ by Lemma 4.4 since $y \in G$; hence $y^{1,u}$ is the pointwise limit of the bounded sequence $\{\Sigma_p\Sigma_q a_{npq}^u x_{pq}\}$. The function $y^{1,u}$ is in $L_1(Q)$ and hence has the form

$$y^{1,u} = \Sigma_p\Sigma_q a_{pq}^u x_{pq} + \Sigma_p b_p^u x^p;$$

by the proof of Lemma 3.2, $a_{pq}^u = \lim_n a_{npq}^u$ for all p, q and

$$b_p^u = c^{-1}y^{1,u}(t_{pp}) - \Sigma_q a_{pq}^u = \lim_n\Sigma_q a_{npq}^u - \Sigma_q a_{pq}^u$$

for all p.

Now assume further that $\nu_\alpha(u^{-1}) = (\beta, \gamma)$ with $\gamma > 1$, and let $\lambda = 2$ if $\gamma > 2$ and $\lambda = 1$ if $\gamma = 2$. Then $(\gamma, \lambda) \in B_\alpha$ so there exists $v_1 \in U\backslash\{0\}$ such that $\nu_\alpha(\nu_1^{-1}) = (\gamma, \lambda)$. Since $\{\Sigma_p\Sigma_q a_{npq}^u x_{pq}\}$ and $\{\Sigma_p\Sigma_q a_{npq}^{v1} x_{pq}\}$ are bounded pointwise convergent sequences in Q, it follows from the note following Lemma 3.2 that for each real $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist integers p_1 and n_1 such that $\Sigma_{p>p_1}\Sigma_q a_{npq}^u < \varepsilon$ and $\Sigma_{p>p_1}\Sigma_q a_{npq}^{v1} < \varepsilon$ for all $n \geqq n_1$. Since

$$\Sigma_p\Sigma_{q>p1} a_{npq}^u = \Sigma\{b_{ns}: s_\gamma > p_1\} = \Sigma_{p>p_1}\Sigma_q a_{npq}^{v1} < \varepsilon$$

for each $n \geqq n_1$, it follows that if $f_n = \Sigma_{p\leqq p_1}\Sigma_{q\leqq p_1} a_{npq}^u x_{pq}$,

$$||u^{-1}w_n^{2,w} - f_n|| \leqq c\Sigma\{a_{npq}^u: p > \mathrm{p}_1 \text{ or } q < p_1\} > 2c\varepsilon$$

for each $n \geqq n_1$. Since $||f_n|| \leqq ||u^{-1}w_n^{2,u}|| \leqq u^{-1}\sup_n||w_n||$ for each n, it follows that for each $n \geqq n_1$, f_n belongs to the compact subset

$$\mathscr{C}_{u,p_1} = \{\Sigma_{p\leqq p_1}\Sigma_{q\leqq p_1} k_{pq}x_{pq}: k_{pq} \geqq 0,\ \Sigma_{p\leqq p_1}\Sigma_{q\leqq p_1} k_{pq} \leqq u^{-1}\sup_n||w_n||\}$$

of $C[0; 3]$. By compactness some subsequence $\{f_{n_i}\}$ of $\{f_n\}$ must converge to an element f of $\mathscr{C}_{u,p_1}$, and since $\{u^{-1}w_{n_i}^{2,u}\}$ converges pointwise to $y^{1,u}$, it follows that $||y^{1,u} - f|| \leqq 2c\varepsilon$. Thus, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an $f \in C[0; 3]$, depending on ε, such that $||y^{1,u} - f|| \leqq 2c\varepsilon$. Since $C[0; 3]$ is complete in norm, $y^{1,u} \in C[0; 3]$ and must therefore be equal to $\Sigma_p\Sigma_q a_{pq}^u x_{pq}$.

Now if $0 \neq v \in U$ and $\nu_\alpha(v^{-1}) = (\gamma, \delta)$ with $\gamma > \delta > 1$, then for all n and r,

$$\Sigma_p a_{npr}^u = \Sigma\{b_{ns}: s_\gamma = r\} = \Sigma_q a_{nrq}^v.$$

Since $y^{1,v} = \Sigma_p\Sigma_q a_{pq}^v x_{pq}$, it follows that

$$\Sigma_q a^v_{rq} = c^{-1}y^{1,v}(t_{rr}) = \lim_n c^{-1}v^{-1}w^{2,v}_n(t_{rr})$$
$$= \lim_n \Sigma_q a^v_{nrq} = \lim_n \Sigma_p a^v_{npr}.$$

On the other hand the bounded sequence $\{\Sigma_p\Sigma_q a^u_{npq}x_{pq}\}$ converges pointwise to $y^{1,u} = \Sigma_p\Sigma_q a^u_{pq}x_{pq}$. By the note following Lemma 3.2, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist p_1 and n_1 such that $\Sigma_{p>p_1}\Sigma_q a^u_{npq} < \varepsilon$ for all $n \geqq n_1$ and also $\Sigma_{p>p_1}\Sigma_q a^u_{pq} < \varepsilon$. Hence

$$|\Sigma_p a^u_{pr} - \lim_n \Sigma_p a^u_{npr}| < 2\varepsilon + |\Sigma_{p\leqq p_1} a^u_{pr} - \lim_n \Sigma_{p\leqq p_1} a^u_{npr}|$$
$$= 2\varepsilon.$$

Since ε is an arbitrary positive number,

$$\Sigma_p a^u_{pr} = \lim_n \Sigma_p a^u_{npr} = \Sigma_q a^v_{rq}.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma for $\zeta = 0$.

For the induction step let $0 < \zeta < \Omega$, assume the desired result holds for each $\eta < \zeta$, and let y, ζ', u, β, and γ be as in the statement of the lemma. Then there exists a bounded sequence $\{y_n\}$ in $\bigcup_{\eta<\zeta} L_\eta(L_1(P_\alpha) \cap G)$ which converges pointwise to y. Since $1 < \zeta' < \gamma \leqq \alpha$, there exists $v_1 \in U\backslash\{0\}$ such that $\nu_\alpha(v_1^{-1}) = (\gamma, \zeta')$. For each n there exists $\eta_n < \zeta$ such that $y_n \in L_{\eta_n}(L_1(P_\alpha) \cap G)$, and it follows that $\beta > \gamma > \zeta' > \eta'_n$ for each n, where η'_n is de fined in terms of η_n as ζ' was defined in terms of ζ. By the induction assumption $y^{1,u}_n$ and y^{1,v_1}_n are continuous and have the form $y^{1,u}_n = \Sigma_p\Sigma_q a^u_{npq}x_{pq}$ and $y^{1,v_1}_n = \Sigma_p\Sigma_q a^{v_1}_{npq}x_{pq}$, and $\Sigma_p a^u_{npr} = \Sigma_q a^{v_1}_{nrq}$ for all n and r.

As in the proof for $\zeta = 0$, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist n_1 and p_1 such that $\Sigma_{p>p_1} a^u_{npq} < \varepsilon$ and $\Sigma_{p>p_1}\Sigma_q a^{v_1}_{npq} < \varepsilon$ for all $n \geqq n_1$. Hence, since $\Sigma_p a^u_{npr} = \Sigma_q a^{v_1}_{nrq}$ for all n and r, it follows that for $n \geqq n_1$, the distance between $y^{1,u}_n$ and the compact subset

$$\mathscr{D}_{p_1} = \{\Sigma_{p\leqq p_1}\Sigma_{q\leqq p_1} k_{pq}x_{pq}\colon k_{pq} \geqq 0,\ \Sigma_{p\leqq p_1}\Sigma_{q\leqq p_1} k_{pq} \leqq \sup_n \|y^{1,u}_n\|\}$$

of $C[0; 3]$ is less than $2\varepsilon c$. Since $\{y^{1,u}_n\}$ converges pointwise to $y^{1,u}$, the compactness of $\mathscr{D}_{p_1}$ implies that $\|y^{1,u} - w\| \leqq 2\varepsilon c$ for some continuous w depending on ε. Then the completeness of $C[0; 3]$ implies that $y^{1,u} \in C[0; 3]$ and therefore, since also $y^{1,u} \in L_1(Q)$, that $y^{1,u}$ has the form $\Sigma_p\Sigma_q a^u_{pq}x_{pq}$.

If also $0 \neq v \in U$ and $\nu_\alpha(v^{-1}) = (\gamma, \delta)$ with $\beta > \gamma > \delta > \zeta'$, then $y^{1,v}$ and each $y^{1,v}_n$ are continuous and have form corresponding to $y^{1,u}$ and $y^{1,u}_n$ respectively. Further, by the induction assumption, $\Sigma_p a^u_{npr} = \Sigma_q a^v_{nrq}$ for all n and r. Hence

$$\Sigma_q a^v_{rq} = c^{-1}y^{1,v}(t_{rr}) = \lim_n c^{-1}y^{1,v}_n(t_{rr}) = \lim_n \Sigma_q r^v_{nrq}$$
$$= \lim_n \Sigma_p a^u_{npr}.$$

Exactly as in the last part of the proof for $\zeta = 0$ it is seen that

$\Sigma_p a^u_{pr} = \lim_n \Sigma_p a^u_{npr}$. This completes the proof of the induction step and hence of the lemma.

LEMMA 4.8. *If* $y \in L_\zeta(L_1(P_\alpha) \cap G)$ *for some countable* ζ *and if* $u, v \in U \backslash \{0\}$ *with* $\nu_\alpha(u^{-1}) = (\beta, \gamma)$ *and* $\nu_\alpha(v^{-1}) = (\beta, \delta)$ *for certain ordinals* β, γ, δ *then in the expression*

$$y^{1,u} = \Sigma_p \Sigma_q a^u_{pq} x_{pq} + \Sigma_p b^u_p x^p + c^u x^0$$

and the corresponding expression for $y^{1,v}$ *it must be true that* $y^{1,u}(2^{-1}) = y^{1,v}(2^{-1})$, $c^u = c^v$, *and* $b^u_p + \Sigma_q a^u_{pq} = b^v_p + \Sigma_q a^v_{pq}$ *for each* p.

Proof. By Lemma 4.5, $y \in G$. Hence, by Lemma 4.4, $y^{1,u} = u^{-1} y^{2,u}$ and $y^{1,v} = v^{-1} y^{2,v}$.

If $\zeta = 0$, then y is the pointwise limit of a bounded sequence $\{y_n\}$ of functions of the form $y_n = \Sigma_{s \in \sigma_n} b_{ns} x_s$, where σ_n is a finite subset of $\mathscr{S}_\alpha$ and each b_{ns} is nonnegative. For each p and n,

$$u^{-1} y^{2,u}_n(t_{pp}) = c\Sigma\{b_{ns}: s_\beta = p\} = v^{-1} y^{2,v}_n(t_{pp}).$$

Since $\{y^{2,u}_n\}$ converges pointwise to $y^{2,u}$,

$$y^{1,u}(t_{pp}) = u^{-1} y^{2,u}(t_{pp}) = v^{-1} y^{2,v}(t_{pp}) = y^{1,v}(t_{pp})$$

for each p, and hence it follows immediately that

$$\begin{aligned} b^u_p + \Sigma_q a^u_{pq} &= c^{-1} y^{1,u}(t_{pp}) = c^{-1} y^{1,v}(t_{pp}) \\ &= b^v_p + \Sigma_q a^v_{pq} \end{aligned}$$

for each p. Since $y^{1,u}$ and $y^{1,v}$ are Baire functions of the first class, $c^u = 0 = c^v$. Hence

$$y^{1,u}(2^{-1}) = \Sigma_p(b^u_p + \Sigma_q a^u_{pq}) = y^{1,v}(2^{-1}).$$

For the induction step let $\zeta > 0$ and assume the statement of the lemma holds for each $\eta < \zeta$. By hypothesis there exists a bounded sequence $\{y_n\}$ in $\bigcup_{\eta<\zeta} L_\eta(L_1(P_\alpha) \cap G)$ which converges pointwise to y. Under the usual notation the relations

$$b^u_{np} + \Sigma_q a^u_{npq} = b^v_{np} + \Sigma_q a^v_{npq},$$

$c^u_n = c^v_n$, and $y^{1,u}_n(2^{-1}) = y^{1,v}_n(2^{-1})$ must hold for all n and p. It is seen immediately that $y^{1,u}(2^{-1}) = y^{1,v}(2^{-1})$ and $y^{1,u}(t_{pp}) = y^{1,v}(t_{pp})$ for all p, from which the remaing desired relations for $y^{1,u}$ and $y^{1,v}$ follow. The proof is thus complete.

THEOREM 4.2. *Let* ζ *be a countable ordinal, and let* ζ' *be defined as in Lemma* 4.7. *If* $y \in L_\zeta(L_1(P_\alpha) \cap G)$ *and* $0 \neq u \in U$ *with* $\nu_\alpha(u^{-1}) = (\beta, \gamma)$

and $\beta > \zeta'$, then $y^{1,u} \in Q + Q_1$.

Proof. If $\zeta = 0$, then $y \in L_1(P_\alpha)$ and hence trivially $y^{1,u} \in L_1(Q)$, which is equal to $Q + Q_1$ by Lemma 3.2.

If $\zeta > 0$ and the desired result is true for each $\eta < \zeta$, then $2 \leqq \zeta' < \beta \leqq \alpha$ and hence there exists $v \in U\backslash\{0\}$ such that $\nu_\alpha(v^{-1}) = (\beta, \zeta')$. There exists a bounded sequence $\{y_n\}$ in $\bigcup_{\eta<\zeta} L_\eta(L_1(P_\alpha) \cap G)$ which converges pointwise to y. Since $\beta > \zeta' > \eta'$ for each $\eta < \zeta$ it follows from Lemma 4.7 that each $y_n^{1,v}$ is continuous and hence belongs to Q. Hence $y^{1,v} \in L_1(Q) = Q + Q_1$. Thus in the usual notation for $y^{1,u}$ and $y^{1,v}$ it follows that $c^v = 0$, but then also $c^u = 0$ by Lemma 4.8, hence $y^{1,u} \in Q + Q_1$, and the proof is complete.

The following theorem justifies the claim made at the beginning of the present section.

THEOREM 4.3. *The element* $z_\alpha \in L_\alpha(P_\alpha)$ *has the property that* $||z_\alpha|| = 1$ *but that if* $\{w_n\}$ *is a bounded sequence in* $\bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} L_\beta(P_\alpha)$ *converging pointwise to* z_α, *then* $\underline{\lim}_n ||w_n|| \geqq c$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.1 and the remarks preceding it we know that $z_\alpha \in L_\alpha(P_\alpha)$ and $||z_\alpha|| = 1$. If $\{w_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in $\bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} L_\beta(P_\alpha)$ converging pointwise to z_α, then by Theorem 4.1 there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in $G \cap \bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} L_\beta(P_\alpha)$ such that $||y_n - w_n|| \to 0$. Clearly $\underline{\lim}_n ||w_n|| = \underline{\lim}_n ||y_n||$. Now by Lemma 4.5,

$$\{y_n\} \subset \begin{cases} L_{\alpha-2}(L_1(P_\alpha) \cap G) & \text{if } 2 \leqq \alpha < \omega \\ \bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} L_\beta(L_1(P_\alpha) \cap G) & \text{if } \omega \leqq \alpha < \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Defining ζ' as in Lemma 4.7, one sees easily that each $y_n \in L_{\zeta_n}(L_1(P_\alpha) \cap G)$ for some ζ_n such that $\alpha > \zeta_n'$. Now there exists $u_1 \in U\backslash\{0\}$ such that $\nu_\alpha(u_1^{-1}) = (\alpha, \gamma)$ for some $\gamma < \alpha$; for example, take $\gamma = 1$ if $\alpha = 2$ and $\gamma = 2$ if $\alpha > 2$. Then by Theorem 4.2, $y_n^{1,u_1} \in Q + Q_1 = L_1(Q)$ for each n. Now $z_\alpha^{1,u_1} = x^0$ by definition, and hence $\underline{\lim}_n ||y_n{}^{1,u_1}|| \geqq c$ by Theorem 1 of [7]. It follows that

$$\underline{\lim}_n ||w_n|| = \underline{\lim}_n ||y_n|| \geqq \underline{\lim}_n ||y_n^{1,u_1}|| \geqq c.$$

COROLLARY 4.1. *Let T be the mapping of Theorem* 2.1 *for the space* X_α, *and let* $G_\alpha = Tz_\alpha$. *Then* $G_\alpha \in K_\alpha(J_{X_\alpha} P_\alpha)$ *and* $||G_\alpha|| = 1$, *but if* $\{F_n\}$ *is a sequence in* $\bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} K_\beta(J_{X_\alpha} P_\alpha)$ *such that* $F_n \xrightarrow{w^*} G_\alpha$, *then* $\underline{\lim}_n ||F_n|| \geqq c$.

Proof. It is immediate from Theorem 2.1 that $G_\alpha \in K_\alpha(J_{X_\alpha} P_\alpha)$ and $||G_\alpha|| = 1$. If $\{F_n\} \subset \bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} K_\beta(J_{X_\alpha} P_\alpha)$ and $F_n \xrightarrow{w^*} G_\alpha$, then by Theorem 2.1 the sequence $\{T^{-1}F_n\}$ is in $\bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} L_\beta(P_\alpha)$ and $||T^{-1}F_n|| = ||F_n||$ for each

n. Now $\sup_n \|T^{-1}F_n\| = \sup_n \|F_n\| < \infty$ since $\{F_n\}$ is w^*-convergent. For each $t \in S_\alpha$ let $f_t \in X_\alpha^*$ be defined as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Then

$$(T^{-1}F_n)(t) = F_n(f_t) \longrightarrow G_\alpha(f_t) = z_\alpha(t)$$

for each t, and hence

$$\underline{\lim}_n \|F_n\| = \underline{\lim}_n \|T^{-1}F_n\| \geqq c.$$

5. Our main theorems will now be proved through consideration of product spaces, as defined in [2, p. 31], of spaces of the type X_α. Since X_α, P_α, and G_α depend on the given number $c \geqq 1$ as well as on α, the objects mentioned will henceforth be indicated with double subscripts as $X_{c,\alpha}$, $P_{c\,\alpha}$, and $G_{c,\alpha}$ respectively. Recall that if I is a set and X_s is a Banach space for each $s \in I$, then the product spaces $\Pi_{l_1(I)} X_s^*$ and $\Pi_{m(I)} X_s^{**}$ are respectively the dual and bidual of the Banach space $\Pi_{c_0((I)} X_s$ under the natural identifications.

THEOREM 5.1. *For each countable ordinal $\alpha \geqq 2$ let Y_α be the Banach space $\Pi_{c_0(\omega)} X_{n^2,\alpha}$ and let*

$$Q_\alpha = \bigcap\nolimits_{n \in \omega} \{y \in Y_\alpha : y(n) \in P_{n^2,\alpha}\}.$$

Then Y_α is separable, and Q_α is a norm-closed cone in Y_α such that $K_\alpha(J_{Y_\alpha} Q_\alpha)$ is not norm-closed in Y_α^{**}.

Proof. It is evident that Y_α is separable and Q_α is a closed cone in Y_α. An easy transfinite induction argument shows that for each n the functional F_n belongs to $K_\alpha(J_{Y_\alpha} Q_\alpha)$, where $F_n(n) = G_{n^2,\alpha}$ and $F_n(i) = 0$ for all $i \neq n$. Hence $\Sigma_{n=1}^m n^{-1} F_n \in K_\alpha(J_{Y_\alpha} Q_\alpha)$ for each positive integer m, and therefore $\Sigma_{n \in \omega} n^{-1} F_n \in \overline{K_\alpha(J_{Y_\alpha} Q_\alpha)}$. If $\{H_k\}$ were a sequence in $\bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} K_\beta(J_{Y_\alpha} Q_\alpha)$ such that $H_k \xrightarrow{w^*} \Sigma_n n^{-1} F_n$, then for each $i \in \omega$ it would follow that

$$\{H_k(i)\}_k \subset \bigcup\nolimits_{\beta<\alpha} K_\beta(J_{X_{i^2,\alpha}} P_{i^2,\alpha})$$

and

$$H_k(i) \xrightarrow{w^*} \Sigma_n n^{-1} F_n(i) = i^{-1} G_{i^2,\alpha}.$$

It would then result by Corollary 4.1 that

$$\underline{\lim}_k \|H_k\| \geqq \underline{\lim}_k \|H_k(i)\| \geqq i,$$

but then since i is arbitrary the sequence $\{H_k\}$ would be unbounded in norm, contradicting the fact that a w^*-convergent sequence in Y_α^{**} must be bounded [3, p. 60]. Hence $\Sigma_n n^{-1} F_n \notin K_\alpha(J_{Y_\alpha} Q_\alpha)$, and the proof is complete.

THEOREM 5.2. *For each countable ordinal $\alpha \geqq 2$ there exists a separable Banach space W_α containing a norm-closed cone R_α such that if $2 \leqq \beta \leqq \alpha$, then $K_\beta(J_{W_\alpha} R_\alpha)$ is not norm-closed in W_α^{**}.*

Proof. Let $A_\alpha = \{\beta: 2 \leqq \beta \leqq \alpha\}$ and for each $\beta \in A_\alpha$ let Y_β and Q_β be as defined in Theorem 5.1. Let $W_\alpha = \Pi_{c_0(A_\alpha)} Y_\beta$ and $R_\alpha = \bigcap_{\beta \in A_\alpha} \{w \in W_\alpha: w(\beta) \in Q_\beta\}$. Then the Banach space W_α is separable since A_α is countable, and R_α is clearly a norm-closed cone in W_α. For each $\beta \in A_\alpha$ there exists by Theorem 5.1 a sequence $\{\phi_{\beta,n}\}$ in $K_\beta(J_{Y_\beta} Q_\beta)$ which coverges in norm to an element $\phi_{\beta,0} \in Y_\beta^{**}$ not in $K_\beta(J_{Y_\beta} Q_\beta)$. If $\psi_{\beta,n}$ is defined for each integer $n \geqq 0$ by $\psi_{\beta,n}(\gamma) = 0$ for $\gamma \neq \beta$ and $\psi_{\beta,n}(\beta) = \phi_{\beta,n}$, it is easily shown that $\{\psi_{\beta,n}\}_{n \in \omega} \subset K_\beta(J_{W_\alpha} R_\alpha)$ and $\{\psi_{\beta,n}\}$ converges in norm to $\psi_{\beta\,0}$, but that $\psi_{\beta,0} \notin K_\beta(J_{W_\alpha} R_\alpha)$. Hence for each $\beta \in A_\alpha$, $K_\beta(J_{W_\alpha} R_\alpha)$ fails to be norm-closed in W_α^{**}.

THEOREM 5.3. *There exists a Banach space Z contaning a norm-closed cone P such that if β is a countable ordinal $\geqq 2$, then $K_\beta(J_Z P)$ fails to be norm-closed in Z^{**}.*

Proof. The proof is almost identical with that of Theorem 5.2. Let $A = \{\beta: 2 \leqq \beta < \Omega\}$, $Z = \Pi_{c_0(A)} Y_\beta$, and $P = \bigcap_{\beta \in A}\{z \in Z: z(\beta) \in Q_\beta\}$. Since A is uncountable, the Banach space Z is nonseparable. It is clear that P is a closed cone in Z. The pooof that $K_\beta(J_Z P)$ fails to be norm-closed in Z^{**} for each $\beta \in A$ is identical with the corresponding part of the proof of Theorem 5.2, in which it was shown that $K_\beta(J_{W_\alpha} R_\alpha)$ fails to be norm-closed in W_α^{**} for each $\beta \in A_\alpha$.

REFERENCES

1. N. Bourbaki, *Topologie générale*, Hermann, Paris, 1948.
2. M. M. Day, *Normed linear spaces*, Springer, Berlin, 1958.
3. N. Dunsford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators*, Vol. I, Interscience, New York, 1958.
4. F. Hausdorff, *Set theory* (translated by J. R. Aumann, *et al.*), Chelsea, New York, 1962.
5. C. Kuratowski, *Topologie*, Vol. I, Warszawa, 1958
6. R. D. McWilliams, *On the w^*-sequential closure of a cone*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 14 (1963), 191-196.
7. ———, *Iterated w^*-sequential closure of a Banach space in its second conjugate*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **16** (1965), 1195-1199.
8. I. P. Natanson, *Theory of functions of a real variable*, Vol. II (translated by L. F. Boron), Ungar, New York, 1960.

Recieved June 22, 1970. Supported in part by National Science Foundation Grants GP-7243 and GP-9632.

FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is $8.00; single issues, $3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: $4.00 per volume; single issues $1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 38, No. 3 May, 1971