

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**UNKNOTTING CONES IN THE TOPOLOGICAL CATEGORY**

RALPH RICHARD SUMMERHILL

## UNKNOTTING CONES IN THE TOPOLOGICAL CATEGORY

R. RICHARD SUMMERHILL

Let  $Q$  be a topological  $q$ -manifold, let  $X$  be a compact metric space, and let  $bQ$  and  $aX$  denote the cones over  $Q$  and  $X$ , respectively. A proper embedding  $f: aX \rightarrow bQ$  (i.e.,  $f(a) = b$  and  $f^{-1}[Q] = X$ ) is unknotted if there is homeomorphism  $h: bQ \rightarrow bQ$  such that  $hf = \tilde{f}$ , where  $\tilde{f}$  is the conical extension of  $f$ . In this paper it is proved that a proper embedding is unknotted if and only if  $bQ - f[aX]$  and  $bQ - \tilde{f}[ax]$  are of the same homotopy type and the embedding  $f$  satisfies a local flatness condition.

In this paper we present a topological analog to Lickorish's theorem concerning the  $PL$  unknotting of cones [7]. The  $PL$  result states that if one embeds the cone over a complex into a ball (with a codimension restriction) such that the base and only the base of the cone sits in the boundary of the ball, then one can deform the ball (without moving the boundary) so as to straighten out the cone. The codimension requirement is that the dimension of the cone be at least three less than the dimension of the ball.

We consider here a similar problem in the topological category where the complex is replaced by a compact metric space and the ball is replaced by the cone over a topological manifold. Homotopy conditions are used instead of codimension, and, of course, some local flatness condition is needed. This condition generalizes that property for manifolds and is defined by using the inherent fibre structure of the cone.

Our main theorem is then: An embedding of the cone over a compact metric space into the cone over a compact topological manifold is unknotted if and only if (1) certain homotopy properties are satisfied and (2) the embedding is "locally flat."

The proof of this theorem follows precisely the same outline as the proof of the unknotting theorem by Price and Glaser (Theorem 1 of [4]), but uses topological engulfing in place of  $PL$  engulfing.

1. Definitions. Throughout this paper the term manifold will be used in the topological sense. That is, a  $q$ -manifold  $Q$  is a separable metric space in which each point has a closed neighborhood homeomorphic to a  $q$ -cell. Let  $BdQ$  denote the boundary of  $Q$  and  $\text{Int}Q$  the set  $Q - BdQ$ . The manifold  $Q$  is *closed* if it is compact and without boundary. We let  $I$  denote the closed unit interval  $[0, 1]$

and  $I$  the half-open unit interval  $[0, 1)$ . The symbol  $1$  will represent the identity map.

Let  $Q$  be a compact  $q$ -manifold and let  $X$  be a compact metric space. The *cone* over  $X$ , denoted  $aX$ , is the quotient space of  $X \times I$  obtained by pinching  $X \times 1$  to a point. We denote the point  $X \times 1$  by  $a$  and identify  $X$  with  $X \times 0$ .  $X$  is called the *base* of the cone  $aX$ .

An embedding  $f: aX \rightarrow bQ$  of the cone over  $X$  into the cone over  $Q$  is *proper* if  $f(a) = b$  and  $f^{-1}[Q] = X$ . If  $f$  is a proper embedding, let  $\bar{f}: aX \rightarrow bQ$  be the map defined by sending  $a$  to  $b$ ,  $x$  to  $f(x)$  if  $x \in X$ , and by extending linearly over the line segments  $ax$  in  $aX$ . Then  $\bar{f}$  is a homeomorphism of  $aX$  onto  $bf[X] \subset bQ$  and is called the *conical extension* of  $f$ .

A proper embedding  $f: aX \rightarrow bQ$  is *unknotted* or *flat* if there is a homeomorphism  $h: bQ \rightarrow bQ$  which is fixed on  $Q$  and such that  $hf = \bar{f}$ . We say that  $f$  is *locally flat at the point*  $p \in aX$  if there is an open set  $U$  in  $bQ$  containing  $\bar{f}(p)$  and an embedding  $h: U \rightarrow bQ$  such that

- (1)  $h[U]$  is a neighborhood of  $f(p)$ ,
- (2)  $h^{-1}[f[ax] \cap h[U]] = U \cap \bar{f}[ax]$  for each  $x \in X$ ,
- (3) if  $U \cap Q \neq \emptyset$ , then  $h|_{U \cap Q} = 1$ , and
- (4) if  $U$  contains  $b$ , then  $h(b) = b$ .

The embedding  $f$  is *locally flat* if it is locally flat at each point of  $aX - a$  (the reason for not requiring local flatness at the point  $a$  will be apparent in the proof of the main theorem).

REMARK. An embedding  $f$  is locally flat at a point  $p \neq a$  if and only if there exists an embedding  $h: I^q \times I \rightarrow bQ$  such that

- (1)  $h[I^q \times I]$  is a neighborhood of  $f(p)$  in  $bQ$  and if  $p \in X$ , then  $h[I^q \times 0]$  is a neighborhood of  $f(p)$  in  $Q$ , and
- (2) for each  $b \in X$ ,  $h^{-1}[f[ax] \cap h[I^q \times I]] = z \times I$  for some  $z \in I^q$ .

Let  $X_0$  be a compact subset of  $X$  and let  $f: aX \rightarrow bQ$  be a proper embedding. We say that  $f$  is an *allowable* embedding and write  $f: a(X, X_0) \rightarrow b(Q, BdQ)$  if  $f^{-1}[bBdQ] = aX_0$ . That is, the cone over  $X_0$  (and nothing else) maps into the cone over  $BdQ$ . Note that  $f|_{aX_0}: aX_0 \rightarrow bBdQ$  is a proper embedding.

Now let  $N$  be a compact  $n$ -manifold,  $Y$  a compact metric space, and  $g: aY \rightarrow bN$  a proper embedding. Then  $(N, Y, g)$  satisfies (\*) or (\*\*), respectively, if

(\*) the pair  $(bN - g[aY], N - g[Y])$  is  $(n - 2)$ -connected, or

(\*\*)  $b$  has arbitrarily small neighborhoods  $U$  in  $bN$  such that the pair  $(bN - g[aY], U - g[aY])$  is  $(n - 2)$ -connected.

An allowable locally flat embedding  $f: a(X, X_0) \rightarrow b(Q, BdQ)$  is said to be *simple* if

- (1)  $(Q, X, f)$  satisfies (\*) and (\*\*), and
- (2)  $(BdQ, X_0, f|X_0)$  satisfies (\*) and (\*\*).

Note that if  $Q$  is a closed manifold, then only (1) is meaningful.

REMARK. The theorems to be proved here do not require the full strength of (\*) and (\*\*). Either (\*) or (\*\*) may be weakened by replacing  $(n - 2)$  with 2.

2. Embeddings into cones over closed manifolds. In this section let  $X$  be a compact metric space,  $Q$  a closed  $q$ -manifold, and  $f: aX \rightarrow bQ$  a proper embedding. To show that  $f$  is unknotted we shall prove that the pairs  $(bQ - b, f[aX] - b)$  and  $(bQ - b, \bar{f}[aX] - b)$  are homeomorphic. This is accomplished by obtaining a collar of  $Q$  in  $bQ$  in which the fibers of the collar and the fibers of the cone are aligned and then by pushing the collar toward the cone point.

The existence of the desired type of collar follows by carefully examining the proof of Brown's local collaring theorem (Theorem 1 of [2]). One need only note that the procedure of piecing local collars together can be accomplished without destroying the fiber preserving property.

LEMMA 1. *If  $f: aX \rightarrow bQ$  is a locally flat embedding, then there is an embedding  $h: Q \times I' \rightarrow bQ$  such that*

- (1)  $h(x, 0) = x$  for each  $x \in Q$  and
- (2)  $h[f(x) \times I'] = h[Q \times I'] \cap f[ax]$  for each  $x \in X$ .

The open subset  $U = h[Q \times I']$  of  $bQ$ , where  $h$  is an embedding as in Lemma 1, is called a *strong collar* of  $(Q, f[X])$ . If  $t$  is a real number,  $0 < t < 1$ , the subset  $h[Q \times [0, t]]$  is called a *subcollar* of  $U$  and  $h[Q \times [0, t]]$  is called a *closed subcollar* of  $U$ .

LEMMA 2. *Let  $f: aX \rightarrow bQ$  be a locally flat proper embedding, let  $U$  be a strong collar of  $(Q, f[X])$ , and let  $V$  be a subcollar of  $U$ . If  $C$  is a compact subset of  $bQ$  not containing  $b$ , then there is a homeomorphism  $h: bQ \rightarrow bQ$  such that*

- (1)  $h|V \cup b = 1$ ,
- (2)  $h[f[ax]] = f[ax]$  for each  $x \in X$ , and
- (3)  $h[U] \supset C \cap f[aX]$ .

*Proof.* Cover the set  $f[X] - V$  with finitely many open sets granted by the definition of locally flat and then push  $U$  up toward the cone point by sliding it through these open sets.

LEMMA 3. *If  $f: aX \rightarrow bQ$ ,  $g \geq 4$ , is a simple embedding, then  $bQ - b$  is a strong collar of the pair  $(Q, f[X])$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be a strong collar of  $(Q, f[X])$ , let  $g: Q \times I \rightarrow bQ$  be the defining embedding for  $U$ , and for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , let  $U_i = g[M \times [0, i/(i+1)]]$ . Let  $V_1, V_2, \dots$  be a monotone decreasing sequence of open subsets of  $bQ$  which squeeze down on the point  $b$  and such that the pair  $(bQ - f[aX], V_i - f[aX])$  is  $(g-2)$ -connected for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $D_i = bQ - V_i$ .

We construct a sequence of homeomorphisms  $h_1, h_2, \dots$  such that

- (a)  $h_i|_Q = 1$
- (b)  $h_i[U_i] \supset D_i$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ,
- (c)  $h_i|_{U_{i-1}} = h_{i-1}|_{U_{i-1}}$  for each  $i = 2, 3, \dots$ , and
- (d)  $h_i[f[ax]] = f[ax]$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ .

By induction assume that  $h_i, \dots, h_{i-1}$  have been chosen and apply Lemma 2, with  $C$  replaced by  $D_i$  and  $V$  replaced by  $h_{i-1}[U_{i-1}]$ , to obtain a homeomorphism  $h'$  satisfying the conclusions (1) and (2) of Lemma 2 and such that  $h'h_{i-1}[U_i] \supset D_i \cap f[aX]$ . Then employ the topological engulfing methods of Connell [3] and Newman [8]. The homotopy conditions on  $(bQ - f[aX], Q - f[X])$  and  $(bQ - f[aX], V_i - f[aX])$  are sufficient to apply the proof of Theorem 1 of [3] to obtain a homeomorphism  $h'': bQ - f[aX] \rightarrow bQ - f[aX]$  such that  $h''h'h_{i-1}[U_i] \supset D_i$ . It is easily seen that  $h''$  can be defined so as not to move points outside a compact set and therefore can be extended by the identity on  $f[aX]$ . Then the homeomorphism  $h_i = h''h'h_{i-1}$  completes the induction argument.

Finally, set  $h = \lim h_i|_U$ . Then  $h$  is a homeomorphism from  $U$  onto  $bQ - b$  which preserves the alignment between the fibers of  $U$  and  $f[aX]$  and hence  $bQ - b$  is a strong collar of  $(Q, f[X])$ .

The following proposition is essentially a corollary of the previous lemma.

PROPOSITION 1. *If  $f: aX \rightarrow bQ$ ,  $g \geq 4$ , is a simple embedding, then there is a homeomorphism  $h: bQ \rightarrow bQ$  which is fixed on  $Q$  and such that  $h[f[ax]] = \bar{f}[ax]$  for each  $x \in X$ .*

LEMMA 4. *Let  $Y$  be a compact metric space and let  $X$  be a compact subset of  $Y$ . Suppose  $f: X \times I \rightarrow Y \times I$  is an embedding such that  $f|_{X \times \{0, 1\}} = 1$  and  $f[x \times I] = x \times I$  for each  $x \in X$ . Then there is a homeomorphism  $h: Y \times I \rightarrow Y \times I$  such that*

- (1)  $h|_{Y \times \{0, 1\}} = 1$ ,
- (2)  $h[y \times I] = y \times I$  for each  $y \in Y$ , and
- (3)  $hf = 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p_2: Y \times I \rightarrow I$  denote the projection on the second factor and let  $t_0$  be some fixed real number,  $0 < t_0 < 1$ . Then  $p_2 f: X \times t_0 \rightarrow I$  is a continuous map and  $p_2 f[X \times t_0] \subset (0, 1)$ . By the Tietze extension theorem there is a map  $p: Y \times t_0 \rightarrow (0, 1)$  which extends  $p_2 f|X \times t_0$ . Define a map  $g: Y \times t_0 \rightarrow Y \times I$  by  $g(y, t_0) = (y, p(Y, t_0))$ . Then  $g$  embeds  $Y \times t_0$  into  $Y \times I$ , extends  $f|X \times t_0$ , and has the property that  $g(y, t_0) \in y \times (0, 1)$  for each  $y \in Y$ .

Now define a map  $\bar{h}: Y \times I \rightarrow Y \times I$  by

$$\bar{h}(y, t) = \begin{cases} \left( y, \frac{1 - p(y, t_0)}{1 - t_0} (t - t_0) + p(y, t_0) \right) & \text{if } t_0 \leq t \leq 1 \\ \left( y, \frac{p(y, t_0)}{t_0} t \right) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq t_0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\bar{h}$  is a homeomorphism and satisfies (1) and (2). If we set  $h' = \bar{h}^{-1}$ , then  $h'$  satisfies (1) and (2) and  $h'g(y, t_0) = (y, t_0)$  for each  $y \in Y$ . In particular,  $h'f(x, t_0) = h'g(x, t_0) = (x, t_0)$  for each  $x \in X$ .

The desired homeomorphism is now constructed as the limit of a sequence of homeomorphisms obtained by applying the above construction as  $t_0$  varies over the dyadic rationals in  $I$ . Let  $g: Y \times I \rightarrow Y \times I$  be the homeomorphism  $h'$  constructed above for  $t_0 = 1/2$ . Let  $g'_2: Y \times [0, 1/2] \rightarrow Y \times [0, 1/2]$  and  $g'_2': Y \times [1/2, 1] \rightarrow Y \times [1/2, 1]$  be homeomorphisms constructed as above for  $t_0 = 1/4, t_0 = 3/4$  and the embedding  $g_1 f$ , respectively. Combining  $g'_2$  and  $g'_2'$  at  $Y \times 1/2$ , we obtain a homeomorphism  $g_2: Y \times I \rightarrow Y \times I$  such that

- (a)  $g_2|Y \times k/2 = 1$  for each  $k = 0, 1$ , and  $2$ ,
  - (b)  $g_2 g_1 f(x, k/4) = (x, k/4)$  for each  $x \in X$  and  $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$ , and  $4$ ,
- and
- (c) if  $(y, t) \in Y \times [(k - 1)/2, k/2]$ , then

$$g_2(y, t) \in y \times [(k - 1)/2, k/2] \text{ for each } k = 1 \text{ and } 2.$$

Continuing this procedure for all the diadic rationals in  $I$ , we obtain a sequence  $g_1, g_2, g_3, \dots$  such that

- (d)  $g_n|Y \times k/2^{n-1} = 1$  for each  $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{n-1}$ ,
- (e)  $g_n \dots g_1 f(x, k/2^n) = (x, k/2^n)$  for each  $x \in X$  and  $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n$ , and
- (f) if  $(y, t) \in Y \times [(k - 1)/2^{n-1}, k/2^{n-1}]$ , then  $g_n(y, t) \in y \times [(k - 1)/2^{n-1}, k/2^{n-1}]$  for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{n-1}$ .

For each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , let  $h_n = g_n \dots g_1$ . Then  $h = \lim h_n$  is a homeomorphism and satisfies the desired conclusions.

In terms of cones, the previous lemma becomes

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Let  $Y$  be a compact metric space and let  $X$  be a*

compact subset of  $Y$ . Suppose  $f: aX \rightarrow aY$  is an embedding such that  $f|X \cup a = 1$  and  $f[ax] = ax$  for each  $x \in X$ . Then there is a homeomorphism  $h: aY \rightarrow aY$  such that

- (1)  $h|Y \cup a = 1$ ,
- (2)  $h[ay] = ay$  for each  $y \in Y$ , and
- (3)  $hf = 1$ .

We are now in a position to prove the unknotting theorem for closed manifolds. Proposition 1 indicates that the embedded cone can be pushed onto the straight cone in such a way that the corresponding fibers are aligned and then Proposition 2 shows that these fibers can be matched in a pointwise fashion.

**THEOREM 1.** *An embedding  $f: aX \rightarrow bQ$ ,  $q \geq 4$ , is unknotted if and only if it is simple.*

*Proof.* The “only if” part is trivial. Suppose then that  $f$  is simple and let  $h_1: bQ \rightarrow bQ$  be the homeomorphism granted by Proposition 1; that is  $h_1|Q \cup b = 1$  and  $h_1[f[ax]] = \bar{f}[ax]$  for each  $x \in X$ . Then  $h_1 f \bar{f}^{-1}: bf[X] \rightarrow bf[X] \subset bQ$  is an embedding satisfying the hypotheses of Proposition 2 (recall that  $\bar{f}$  is the conical extension of  $f$ ) and therefore there is a homeomorphism  $h_2: bQ \rightarrow bQ$  such that  $h_2|Q \cup b = 1$ ,  $h_2[by] = by$  for each  $y \in Q$ , and  $h_2 h_1 f \bar{f}^{-1} = 1$ . Then  $h = h_2 h_1$  is the homeomorphism which unknots  $f$ .

If  $Y$  is a topological space, an ambient isotopy of  $Y$  is a level preserving homeomorphism  $H: Y \times I \rightarrow Y \times I$  such that  $H|Y \times 0 = 1$ . The statement that  $H$  is fixed on a subset  $A$  of  $Y$  means that  $H|A \times I = 1$ .

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $f: aX \rightarrow bQ$ ,  $q \geq 4$ , is a simple embedding, then there is an ambient isotopy  $H$  of  $bQ$  which is fixed on  $Q \cup b$  and such that  $H_1 f = \bar{f}$ . Moreover, if  $X_0$  is a compact subset of  $X$  and  $f|aX_0 = \bar{f}|aX_0$ , then  $H$  may be chosen so as to be fixed on  $f[aX_0]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $h: bQ \rightarrow bQ$  be a homeomorphism which unknots  $f$ . Define  $H: bQ \times I \rightarrow bQ \times I$  by letting it equal the identity on  $(bQ \times 0) \cup [(Q \cup b) \times I]$  and  $h$  on  $bQ \times 1$ . Then extend to all of  $bQ \times I$  by coning over the point  $(b, 1/2)$ .

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $f: aM \rightarrow bQ$ ,  $q \geq 4$ , be a proper embedding where  $M$  is a compact manifold. Suppose the pair  $(bQ - f[aM], Q - f[M])$  is  $(q - 2)$ -connected and suppose  $b$  has arbitrarily small neighborhoods  $U$  in  $bQ$  such that the pair  $(bQ - f[aM], U - f[aM])$  is  $(q -$*

2)-connected. If  $f[aM - a]$  is a locally flat submanifold of  $bQ - b$ , then  $f$  is unknotted.

*Proof.* It need only be shown that  $f$  is locally flat in the sense defined above. But this follows trivially from the fact that any locally flat embedding (in the manifold sense) of the  $k$ -cell  $D^k$  into  $E^n$  extends to a homeomorphism of  $E^n$ .

3. Embeddings into cones over manifolds with boundary. In this section we extend the unknotting theorem to include manifolds with possibly nonempty boundary. The proof is essentially the same as that of Theorem 1 and we therefore only indicate in what order the various engulfing stages are to be done.

**THEOREM 2.** *An allowable embedding  $f: a(X, X_0) \rightarrow b(Q, BdQ)$ ,  $q \geq 5$ , is unknotted if and only if it is simple. Moreover, if  $f$  has the property that  $f|aX_0 = \bar{f}|aX_0$ , then the unknotting homeomorphism may be chosen so that it is the identity on  $bBdQ$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be a compact subset of  $bQ - b$ . As in Lemmas 1 and 2 there is a strong collar  $U = h[Q \times I]$  of  $(Q, f[X])$  containing  $D \cap f[ax]$ . In addition,  $U$  can be selected so that  $h^{-1}[bBdQ] = BdQ \times I$ .

Now consider  $U \cap bBdQ$ . Using properties (\*) and (\*\*) with respect to  $(BdQ, X_0, f|X_0)$ , and the engulfing theorem of Connell [3] and Newman [8],  $U \cap bBdQ$  can be pushed (in  $bBdQ$ ) toward  $b$  to cover  $D \cap bBdQ$ . Since this engulfing homeomorphism is actually realized by an ambient isotopy of  $bBdQ$ , it can be extended to  $bQ$  without moving  $f[aX]$ . Thus we may assume that  $U \cap bBdQ$  contains  $D \cap bBdQ$ .

Applying the engulfing theorem again, this time using (\*) and (\*\*) with respect to  $(Q, X, f)$ , the collar  $U$  can be pushed toward  $b$  until it contains all of  $D$ . This is the necessary condition needed to complete the proof of Lemma 3 in this case and hence the first part of the theorem is proved.

Now suppose  $f|aX_0 = \bar{f}|aX_0$  and let  $h$  be an unknotting homeomorphism. We need to adjust  $h$  so that  $h|bBdQ$  is the identity. By applying Corollary 1 to  $h|bBdQ$ , there is an ambient isotopy  $H$  which realizes  $h|bBdQ$  and is fixed on  $f[aX_0]$  and  $BdQ \cup b$ . Then  $H$  can be used in conjunction with the cone over a collar of  $BdQ - f[X_0]$  in  $Q - f[X]$  to obtain a homeomorphism  $h': bQ \rightarrow bQ$  which is fixed on  $\bar{f}[aX]$  and  $Q \cup b$  and such that  $h'h|bBdQ$  is the identity. Then  $h'h$  is the desired unknotting homeomorphism.



## REFERENCES

1. M. Brown, *A proof of the generalized Schoenflies theorem*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **66** (1960), 74-76.
2. ———, *Locally flat imbeddings of topological manifolds*, Ann. of Math., **75** (1962), 331-341.
3. E. H. Connell, *A topological  $h$ -cobordism theorem for  $n \geq 5$* , Illinois J. Math., **11** (1967), 300-309.
4. L. C. Glaser and T. M. Price, *Unknotting locally flat cell pairs*, Illinois J. Math., **10** (1966), 425-430.
5. J. F. P. Hudson, *Concordance and isotopy of PL embeddings*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **72** (1966), 534-535.
6. ———, *Piecewise linear topology*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1969.
7. W. B. R. Lickorish, *The piecewise linear unknotting of cones*, Topology, **4** (1965), 67-91.
8. M. H. A. Newman, *The engulfing theorem for topological manifolds*, Ann. of Math., **84** (1966), 555-571.
9. J. Stallings, *On topologically unknotted spheres*, Ann. of Math., **77** (1963), 490-503.

Received August 13, 1970 and in revised form November 24, 1970.

UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, COLUMBIA

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

H. SAMELSON  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

C. R. HOBBY  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS  
University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 40, No. 1

September, 1972

Alex Bacopoulos and Athanassios G. Kartsatos, <i>On polynomials approximating the solutions of nonlinear differential equations</i> . . . . .	1
Monte Boisen and Max Dean Larsen, <i>Prüfer and valuation rings with zero divisors</i> . . . . .	7
James J. Bowe, <i>Neat homomorphisms</i> . . . . .	13
David W. Boyd and Hershy Kisilevsky, <i>The Diophantine equation <math>u(u + 1)(u + 2)(u + 3) = v(v + 1)(v + 2)</math></i> . . . . .	23
George Ulrich Brauer, <i>Summability and Fourier analysis</i> . . . . .	33
Robin B. S. Brooks, <i>On removing coincidences of two maps when only one, rather than both, of them may be deformed by a homotopy</i> . . . . .	45
Frank Castagna and Geert Caleb Ernst Prins, <i>Every generalized Petersen graph has a Tait coloring</i> . . . . .	53
Micheal Neal Dyer, <i>Rational homology and Whitehead products</i> . . . . .	59
John Fuelberth and Mark Lawrence Teply, <i>The singular submodule of a finitely generated module splits off</i> . . . . .	73
Robert Gold, <i><math>\Gamma</math>-extensions of imaginary quadratic fields</i> . . . . .	83
Myron Goldberg and John W. Moon, <i>Cycles in <math>k</math>-strong tournaments</i> . . . . .	89
Darald Joe Hartfiel and J. W. Spellmann, <i>Diagonal similarity of irreducible matrices to row stochastic matrices</i> . . . . .	97
Wayland M. Hubbard, <i>Some results on blocks over local fields</i> . . . . .	101
Alan Loeb Kostinsky, <i>Projective lattices and bounded homomorphisms</i> . . . . .	111
Kenneth O. Leland, <i>Maximum modulus theorems for algebras of operator valued functions</i> . . . . .	121
Jerome Irving Malitz and William Nelson Reinhardt, <i>Maximal models in the language with quantifier "there exist uncountably many"</i> . . . . .	139
John Douglas Moore, <i>Isometric immersions of space forms in space forms</i> . . . . .	157
Ronald C. Mullin and Ralph Gordon Stanton, <i>A map-theoretic approach to Davenport-Schinzel sequences</i> . . . . .	167
Chull Park, <i>On Fredholm transformations in Yeh-Wiener space</i> . . . . .	173
Stanley Poreda, <i>Complex Chebyshev alterations</i> . . . . .	197
Ray C. Shiflett, <i>Extreme Markov operators and the orbits of Ryff</i> . . . . .	201
Robert L. Snider, <i>Lattices of radicals</i> . . . . .	207
Ralph Richard Summerhill, <i>Unknotting cones in the topological category</i> . . . . .	221
Charles Irvin Vinsonhaler, <i>A note on two generalizations of QF - 3</i> . . . . .	229
William Patterson Wardlaw, <i>Defining relations for certain integrally parameterized Chevalley groups</i> . . . . .	235
William Jennings Wickless, <i>Abelian groups which admit only nilpotent multiplications</i> . . . . .	251