

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ABELIAN GROUPS WHICH ADMIT ONLY NILPOTENT MULTIPLICATIONS

WILLIAM JENNINGS WICKLESS

ABELIAN GROUPS WHICH ADMIT ONLY NILPOTENT MULTIPLICATIONS

WILLIAM J. WICKLESS

Let $\langle G + \rangle$ be an abelian group. A ring R with additive group $\langle R + \rangle$ isomorphic to $\langle G + \rangle$ is a ring on G . G is nil (radical) if and only if $R^2 = (0)$ (R is nilpotent) for all rings R on G . It is shown that G is a mixed radical group if and only if T is divisible and G/T is radical, where T is the maximal torsion subgroup of G . Thus, the study of radical groups is reduced to the torsion free case. A torsion free group G is of field type if and only if there exists a ring R on G such that $Q \otimes R$ is a field. It is shown that a torsion free group of finite rank is radical if and only if it has no strongly indecomposable component of field type. It follows that finite direct sums of finite rank radical groups are radical. If G is torsion free an element $x \in G$ is of nil type if and only if the height vector $h(x) = \langle m_i \rangle$ is such that $0 < m_i < \infty$ for infinitely many i . Multiplications on torsion free groups all of whose nonzero elements are of nil type are discussed under the assumption of three chain conditions on the partially ordered set of types. Two special classes of rank two torsion free radical groups are characterized. An example is given of a torsion free radical group homogeneous of non-nil type, and a simple condition is given for such a homogeneous group to be nonradical.

1. Radical groups—the torsion and mixed case. Several authors ([4], [7], [8], [9]) have studied abelian groups which admit only a trivial ring structure. These are called nil groups.

We wish to consider a larger class of abelian groups—those abelian groups which admit only a nilpotent ring structure. More precisely:

DEFINITION 1.1. Let $\langle G + \rangle$ be an abelian group. A ring R with additive group $\langle R + \rangle$ isomorphic to $\langle G + \rangle$ is called a ring on G .

DEFINITION 1.2. An abelian group G is a radical group if and only if whenever R is a ring on G we have $R^k = (0)$ for some positive integer k .

Hereafter we use the word group to mean abelian group. In [4] it is shown that a torsion group is nil if and only if it is divisible. The same theorem and proof hold with “nil” replaced by “radical”, so in the torsion case radical and nil groups coincide.

For mixed groups we have the following simple result.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let G be a mixed group with maximal torsion subgroup T . Then G is radical if and only if T is divisible and G/T is radical.*

Proof. Let G be a mixed radical group with maximal torsion subgroup T . T must be divisible, for otherwise G would have a cyclic direct summand and would not be a radical group. Since T is divisible we have $G = T \oplus F$, and clearly F must also be a radical group.

Conversely, let G be a mixed group such that T is divisible and G/T is radical. Assume G is (isomorphic to) the additive group of some ring. Since T is torsion divisible we have $T^2 = (0)$; and because T is an ideal in any ring on G , the given multiplication on G induces a multiplication on G/T . Since G/T is radical we have $(G/T)^k = (0)$ and thus $G^{2k} = (0)$. Hence, G is radical.

2. Torsion free radical groups of finite rank. It is clear from §1 that the study of radical groups can be reduced to the torsion free case.

In this section we use the results of [1] and [5] to obtain a necessary and sufficient condition that a torsion free group of finite rank be radical and to obtain some information about direct sums of radical groups.

First we recall some definitions and results from [1] and [5].

DEFINITION 2.1. Let A and B be groups. A is quasi-isomorphic to B ($A \sim B$) if and only if there exist subgroups $A' \subseteq A$, $B' \subseteq B$ such that $A' \cong B'$ and A/A' and B/B' are of bounded order.

In [5] it is shown that if A is a torsion free group of finite rank, we have $A \sim A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_l$ with each A_i strongly indecomposable in the sense that A_i is not quasi-isomorphic to a direct sum of two nonzero torsion free groups. This is called a quasi-decomposition of A and the A_i are called the strongly indecomposable components of the decomposition. Moreover, it is shown that if $A \sim A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_l$ and $A \sim B_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus B_n$ are two quasi-decompositions of A into strongly indecomposable components, then $l = n$ and with suitable rearrangement, $A_i \sim B_i$ for all i .

DEFINITION 2.2. Let G be a torsion free group. G is of field type if and only if there exists a ring R on G with $Q \otimes R$ a field. Here Q is the field of rational numbers, the tensor product is taken

over the integers, and the ring multiplication on R is extended to $Q \otimes R$ in the obvious way.

In [1] it is shown that if R is a torsion free ring of finite rank, then $R \sim M_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_k \oplus N$ where each M_i is a group of field type and N is the maximal nilpotent ideal of R . (If R is nilpotent, then $R = N$ and no M_i appears.)

LEMMA 2.1. *Let A and B be torsion free groups with $A \sim B$. A is a radical group (is of field type) if and only if B is a radical group (is of field type).*

Proof. This is a special case of Corollary 2.7 of [1] and is easy to verify directly.

The following theorem was suggested by R. S. Pierce.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let A be a torsion free group of finite rank. A is radical if and only if A has no strongly indecomposable component of field type. (By our earlier remarks this will not depend on the particular quasi-decomposition of A chosen.)*

Proof. Let A be a torsion free group of finite rank which is not radical. Then there exists a non-nilpotent multiplication on A . Thus, we have $A \sim M_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_k \oplus N$, where N is the maximal nilpotent ideal of A and each M_i is of field type. Since A is not nilpotent at least one M_i occurs.

Now consider $M_1 \neq (0)$ as a torsion free ring for which $Q \otimes M_1$ is a field. Applying Corollary 5 of [6], we have $M_1 \sim M_1^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_1^{l_1}$, where each M_1^i is a strongly indecomposable torsion free group of field type.

For $i \leq 2$ we write each $M_i \sim M_i^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_i^{l_i}$ and $N \sim N_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus N_t$ where the M_i^j and the N_i are strongly indecomposable components of the groups M_i and N . We note that $A \sim \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{l_i} M_i^j \oplus \sum_{i=1}^t N_i$ is a quasi-decomposition of A into strongly indecomposable components for which each of the groups $M_1^1, \dots, M_1^{l_1}$ is of field type.

The converse implication follows immediately from Lemma 2.1.

COROLLARY. *Let A_1, \dots, A_k be torsion free radical groups of finite rank. Then $A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_k$ is radical.*

We note that the corollary is false for countable direct sums of rank one torsion free nil groups. For a counterexample, choose a sequence of subgroups of the additive rationals $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \cdots \subset A_k \subset \cdots$ such that $H(A_k) = [\langle k, k, \dots, k, \dots \rangle]$. Each A_k is torsion free

nil of rank one. Let $R = \{a_1x + a_2x^2 + \cdots + a_nx^n \mid a_i \in A_i\}$. We make R into a ring via the usual polynomial operations. Then R is a ring on $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus A_i$ which is a Jacobson semisimple ring.

As in [4], if A is any torsion free group with $a \in A$ and p_1, \dots, p_i, \dots is the sequence of prime integers in their natural ordering, we let $h(a) = \langle m_k \rangle$ with $0 \leq m_k \leq \infty$ for all k each m_k being defined by the condition $p_k^{m_k}X = a$ is solvable in A , but $p_k^{m_k+1}X = a$ is not solvable in A . The sequence $\langle m_k \rangle$ is called the height vector of a in A .

We define an equivalence relation on the set $\{h(a) \mid a \in A\}$ by $h(a) = \langle m_k \rangle \sim \langle n_k \rangle = h(b)$ if and only if $m_k = n_k$ for almost all k and if $m_k \neq n_k$ both m_k and n_k are finite. We let $H(a)$ be the equivalence class $[h(a)]$. We say $H(a)$ is nil if and only if $H(a) = [\langle m_k \rangle]$ with $0 < m_k < \infty$ for infinitely many k .

We call a group G homogeneous if and only if $H(a) = H(b)$ for any two nonzero elements $a, b \in G$. We set $H(G) = H(a)$, where a is any nonzero element of G , and call $H(G)$ the type of G . It is easy to prove that if G is a homogeneous torsion free group and E is a torsion free group with $E \sim G$, then E is homogeneous and $H(E) = H(G)$.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let A be a torsion free group of finite rank with no strongly indecomposable component homogeneous of non nil type. Then A is radical.*

Proof. We combine Theorem 2.1 and the observation that if M is the additive group of a ring with $Q \otimes M$ a field, then M is homogeneous of non nil type.

COROLLARY. *For an arbitrary torsion free group G the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $H(x)$ is nil for all nonzero $x \in G$.
- (2) All finite rank pure subgroups of G are radical.

Proof. $1 \rightarrow 2$ is immediate from Theorem 2.2 and the definition of purity. $2 \rightarrow 1$ since $H(x)$ is just the type of the rank one pure subgroup of G generated by x , and it is well known that a rank one torsion free group is radical (nil) if and only if it is of nil type.

We close this section with an interesting observation due to Pierce.

THEOREM 2.3. *If A is a strongly indecomposable torsion free group of finite rank and A admits one nilpotent nonzero multiplication, then A is radical.*

Proof. Let A be a group satisfying the above conditions. Suppose A is not radical. Then A admits a multiplication of field type. Applying Corollary 4.6 of [2], we have $\mathbb{Q} \otimes \text{Hom}(A, A)$ is an algebraic number field. But if A admits a nilpotent nonzero multiplication, then $\text{Hom}(A, A)$ has nonzero nilpotent elements—a contradiction.

3. Torsion free groups all of whose elements are of nil type.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let G be a torsion free group. We say all of the elements of G are of nil type if and only if $x \in G$, $x \neq 0$ implies $H(x)$ is nil.

The example following Theorem 2.1 shows that a group G with all elements of nil type need not be radical. If such G is also of finite rank, then by the Corollary to Theorem 2.2, it is radical.

As in [4], if $a, b \in A$, A a torsion free group, we say $H(a) \leq H(b)$ if we can write $H(a) = [\langle m_k \rangle]$, $H(b) = [\langle n_k \rangle]$ with $m_k \leq n_k$ for all k . This defines a partial ordering on the set $H = \{H(a) | a \in A\}$. It is well known that if A is of finite rank, then H satisfies the ascending and descending chain conditions.

In this section we study multiplications on groups with all elements of nil type, under the assumptions of three chain conditions on H . We prove a lemma which will be useful throughout.

LEMMA 3.1 *Let A be a torsion free ring with elements a, b of nil type. Then $H(ab) > H(a)$ or $H(ab) > H(b)$.*

Proof. Clearly $H(ab) \geq H(a)$ and $H(ab) \geq H(b)$. Let $h(a) = \langle m_t \rangle$, $h(b) = \langle n_t \rangle$, $h(ab) = \langle l_t \rangle$. If $H(ab) = H(a)$, then $l_t = m_t$ for almost all t . Since $l_t \geq m_t + n_t$, then $n_t = 0$ for almost all t such that $l_t < \infty$. So for all t , we have $l_t \geq n_t$, and for the infinitely many t with $0 < l_t < \infty$, we have $0 = n_t < l_t$. Thus $H(ab) > H(b)$.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let G be a torsion free group with all elements of nil type and let H be the set of types of elements of G . Assume that the lengths of all strictly ascending chains in H are bounded by some fixed positive integer n . Then G is radical.*

Proof. Let G be as above and assume that G is the additive group of some ring. For all positive integers k , let $V_k = \{x \in G | \exists y_1, \dots, y_k \text{ such that } H(x) > H(y_1) > \dots > H(y_k)\}$, and let G_k be the subgroup of G generated by the elements of V_k .

We have $G = G_1 \supseteq G_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq G_k \supseteq \cdots$ is a descending sequence of subgroups of G , and by Lemma 3.1 we note that $G_k^2 \subseteq G_{k+1}$ for all k . Our hypothesis says that $G_{n+1} = (0)$. The result follows.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let G be as in Theorem 3.1 and H the set of types of elements of G . Assume that H satisfies the ascending chain condition. Then every ring on G is a Baer radical ring. (Divinsky, § 3.3.)*

Proof. Suppose we have defined a multiplication on G as above for which $\beta(G) \neq G$, where $\beta(G)$ denotes the Baer radical of G . Let T be the set theoretic complement of $\beta(G)$ in G and $V = \{y \in T \mid H(y) \text{ is maximal in } T\}$. Let $I = \{x + \beta(G) \mid x + \beta(G) = \sum_{i=1}^l y_i + \beta(G), y_i \in V\}$. It is easy to check that I is a nonzero ideal in the ring $G/\beta(G)$ and that $I^2 = (0)$. (Lemma 3.1) This is a contradiction, so we must have $\beta(G) = G$ for all multiplications on G .

THEOREM 3.3. *Let G be a torsion free group with all elements of nil type and H be its set of types. Assume that for all $x \in G$ there exist elements x_1, \dots, x_l of G and positive integers n_1, \dots, n_l such that $x = x_1 + \cdots + x_l$ and the length of any strictly ascending chain in H starting with $H(x_i)$ is bounded by $n_i, i = 1 \dots l$. Then any ring on G is a nil ring.*

Proof. Let G be as above. For all positive integers n , let $V_n = \{x \in G \mid \exists \text{ no } y_2, \dots, y_n \in G \text{ such that } H(x) < H(y_2) < \cdots < H(y_n)\}$, and let G_n be the subgroup of G generated by the elements of V_n . We have $(0) = G_1 \subseteq G_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq G_n \subseteq \cdots$ is an ascending chain of subgroups of G . Applying Lemma 3.1, we have for any ring on G $G_n^2 \subseteq G_{n-1}$ for all $n \geq 2$. The assumption of our theorem says that $U_{n-1}^\infty G_n = G$. The result follows.

4. Rank two torsion free radical groups. In this section we use the rank one groups defined in [3] to give a simple characterization of two classes of rank two torsion free radical groups.

We recall some definitions and results from [3]. Let G be a torsion free rank two group with independent elements x and y . Let $A = \{\alpha \in Q \mid \alpha x + \beta y \in G \text{ for some } \beta \in Q\}$, $A_0 = \{\alpha \in Q \mid \alpha x \in G\}$, $B = \{\beta \in Q \mid \alpha x + \beta y \in G \text{ for some } \alpha \in Q\}$, $B_0 = \{\beta \in Q \mid \beta y \in G\}$. The rank one groups $A, A_0; B, B_0$ are called the groups belonging to x and y respectively. Clearly $A_0 \subseteq A, B_0 \subseteq B$. It is shown in [2] that $A/A_0 \cong B/B_0$ and that any torsion free group of rank two is essentially determined by A, A_0, B, B_0 and the isomorphism between A/A_0 and B/B_0 . Also if

$A_0 \subseteq A, B_0 \subseteq B$ are rank one torsion free groups with an isomorphism $\theta: A/A_0 \rightarrow B/B_0$, then $G = \{(\alpha, \beta) \mid \alpha \in A, B_0 = \theta(\alpha + A_0)\}$ is a torsion free group of rank two with componentwise addition having independent elements x, y such that $A, A_0; B, B_0$ are the groups belonging to x and y .

THEOREM 4.1. *A torsion group G of rank two is radical and not nil if and only if there exist independent elements $x, y \in G$ such that if $A, A_0; B, B_0$ are the groups belonging to x and y , we have*

- (1) A, B, B_0 are nil
- (2) $A_0 \subseteq A \subseteq B_0 \subseteq B$
- (3) $H(B_0) \geq 2H(A)$.

Proof. Suppose that G is a radical but not nil torsion free group of rank two. Then there exists a multiplication of G such that $G^3 = (0)$ but $G^2 \neq (0)$. It is easy to prove directly (see [1]) that for such a ring on G we can find $x \in G$ such that x and x^2 are rationally independent. Let A, A_0 and B, B_0 be the rank one groups belonging to x and x^2 respectively. Let $\alpha \in A$. Then $\alpha x + \beta x^2 \in G$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}$, whence $\alpha x^2 \in G$ so $\alpha \in B_0$. Thus, we have $A_0 \subseteq A \subseteq B_0 \subseteq B$. Also if $\alpha x + \beta x^2 \in G$ then $(\alpha x + \beta x^2)^2 \in G$, so for all $\alpha \in A$ we have $\alpha^2 \in B_0$. This yields $H(B_0) \geq 2H(A)$.

Finally, we show A, B_0, B are nil groups. Let $\langle k_i \rangle = h_A(1), \langle k_i^0 \rangle = h_{A_0}(1), \langle l_i \rangle = h_B(1), \langle l_i^0 \rangle = h_{B_0}(1)$ be the height vectors of the integer 1 in the four groups as indicated by the subscripts. Because of the containment relation we have $k_i^0 \leq k_i \leq l_i^0 \leq l_i$. Since $A/A_0 \cong B/B_0$ it is easy to check that $k_i - k_i^0 = l_i - l_i^0$ for all i , with the convention $\infty - \infty = 0, \infty - n = \infty$ for n finite. We note that $H(A)$ must be nil, for otherwise $k_i = 0$ or $k_i = \infty$ for all but a finite number of i . This would imply that $k_i = k_i^0, l_i = l_i^0$ for all but a finite number of i , and when $k_i \neq k_i^0, l_i \neq l_i^0$ all are finite. But then $G \sim A \oplus B$ and A is not a nil (radical) group—a contradiction. Similar arguments show that B_0 and B are nil.

Conversely, let G be a torsion free group of rank two with independent elements x, y and groups $A, A_0; B, B_0$ belonging to x and y satisfying Conditions 1 – 3 of our theorem. For any ring on G , suppose $y^2 = ux + vy$. Then for all $\beta \in B_0, (\beta y)^2 = \beta^2 ux + \beta^2 vy$, so $\beta^2 u \in A$ —a contradiction unless $u = 0$ since $H(B_0) \geq 2H(A)$. Thus $y^2 = vy$. But B_0 is nil, so $y^2 = vy$ only if $v = 0$. Thus $y^2 = 0$.

Now let $xy = rx + ty$. For all $\beta \in B_0$ we have $x(\beta y) = r\beta x + t\beta y$, so $r\beta \in A$. This is impossible unless $r = 0$, because B_0 and A are of nil type and $H(B_0) \geq 2H(A)$. Thus $xy = ty$. Similarly, $yx = ly$.

Finally, if $x^2 = \gamma x + \delta y$, then for all $\alpha \in A \alpha x + \beta y \in G$ for some

β and $(\alpha x + \beta y)^2 = \alpha^2 \gamma x + s y$. Thus for all $\alpha \in A$ we have $\alpha^2 \gamma \in A$ a contradiction unless $\gamma = 0$ since A is nil. Hence $x^2 = \delta y$. We see that any multiplication on G must be nilpotent, so G is radical. Using $A_0 \subseteq A \subseteq B_0 \subseteq B$ and $H(B_0) \geq 2H(A)$ we can define a non-zero multiplication on G by specifying the products $y^2 = xy = yx = 0, x^2 = my$ for m chosen in the obvious way. This extends consistently to all of G . Thus G is not nil.

DEFINITION 4.1. Let G be a torsion free group. G is a strong radical group if and only if all torsion free homomorphic images of G are radical.

THEOREM 4.2. Let G be a torsion free group of rank two. G is a strong radical group if and only if for all rationally independent elements $x, y \in G$ and rank one groups $A, A_0; B, B_0$ belonging to x and y , we have A and B are of nil type.

Proof. Let G be rank two strong radical with x, y rationally independent. Let $A, A_0; B, B_0$ be the groups belonging to x and y . We note that A and B are homomorphic images of G via the homomorphisms θ and φ given by $\theta(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha, \varphi(\alpha x + \beta y) = \beta$. Thus, A and B are rank one radical. Thus $H(A), H(B)$ are nil.

Conversely, let G be torsion free of rank two and not strong radical. Then there exists an onto homomorphism $\theta: G \rightarrow T$, where T is torsion free and not radical. If $\text{rank } T = 1$, choose $0 \neq t \in T$ and $g \in G$ with $\theta(g) = t$. Choose $0 \neq n \in \ker \theta$. Then $\langle g, n \rangle$ is a maximal independent set in G with rank one groups $A, A_0; B, B_0$ such that $A \cong T$. We have $H(A) = H(T)$ is not nil.

If $\text{rank } T = 2, G \cong T$, and we can assume G is not radical. Since G is rank two and not radical, we have G is of field type or $G \sim U \oplus V$ where U is a rank one group of field type. In the first case, Theorem 5 of [3] says that we can find independent elements x, y such that A and B are not nil. In the second case, it is easy to construct a maximal independent set $\langle x, y \rangle$ in G such that the rank one group A belonging to x is not nil. This proves the theorem.

5. Torsion free groups homogeneous of non-nil type. In this section we show that, even for groups of rank two, the converse to Theorem 2.2 is false. We give a simple theorem giving one case in which a homogeneous non-nil type group is not radical.

The following is an example of a torsion free rank two radical group which is strongly indecomposable and homogeneous of type $\langle 0, \dots, 0, \dots \rangle$. Let $s = s_0 + s_1 p + s_2 p^2 + \dots$ be a p -adic unit which

satisfies no polynomial with rational coefficients. Let $S_k = s_0 + s_1 p + \cdots + s_{k-1} p^{k-1}$. ($S_0 = 0$.) Let $G = \{(r/p^k, r/p^k S_k + t) \mid r, t \in \mathbb{Z}, (r, p) = 1\}$. G is a rank two subgroup of $\mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}$. By using Corollary 5.27 and Theorem 8.6 of [1], it is not hard to show that G is strongly indecomposable and radical. It is easy to check directly that G is homogeneous of type $[\langle 0, \dots, 0, \dots \rangle]$.

If G is an arbitrary torsion free group with maximal rationally independent set $\{x, y_\alpha, \alpha \in B\}$, let $A(x) = \{q \in \mathbb{Q} \mid \exists q_1 \cdots q_n \in \mathbb{Q}, \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n \in B \text{ with } qx + q_1 y_{\alpha_1} + \cdots + q_n y_{\alpha_n} \in G\}$, $A_0(x) = \{q \in \mathbb{Q} \mid qx \in G\}$.

THEOREM 5.1. *Let G be a torsion free group, homogeneous of non nil type. Assume there exists a maximal rationally independent set $\{x, y_\alpha, \alpha \in B\}$ in G such that $H[A(x)] = H[A_0(x)]$. Then G is not radical.*

Proof. Let G, A, A_0 be as above. Since $A_0 \subseteq A$ and $H(A_0) = H(A)$, there exists a positive integer m with $mA \subseteq A_0$. We can define a non-nilpotent multiplication on G by

$$gg' = (qx + q_1 y_{\alpha_1} + \cdots + q_n y_{\alpha_n})(q'x + q'_1 y_{\alpha_1} + \cdots + q'_n y_{\alpha_n}) = m^2 qq'x.$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. We wish to thank Professor R. S. Pierce for his many helpful suggestions and improvements.

REFERENCES

1. R. A. Beaumont and R. S. Pierce, *Torsion free rings*, Illinois J. Math., **5** (1) 61-98.
2. ———, *Subrings of algebraic number fields*, Acta Sci. Math., **22**, 202-216.
3. R. A. Beaumont and R. J. Wisner, *Rings with additive group which is a torsion free group of rank two*, Acta Sci. Math. Szeged, **20**, 105-116.
4. L. Fuchs, *Abelian groups*, Pergamon Press, New York, 1960.
5. B. Jonsson, *On direct decompositions of torsion free abelian groups*, Math. Scand., **7**, 361-371.
6. R. S. Pierce, *Subrings of simple algebras*, Mich. Math. J., **7**, 241-243.
7. R. Ree and R. J. Wisner, *A note on torsion free nil groups*, Amer. Math. Soc. Proc., **7**, 6-8.
8. T. Szele, *Gruppentheoretische beziehungen bei gewissen ringkonstruktionen*, Math. Z., **54**, 168-180.
9. ———, *Zur theorie der zeroringe*, Math. Ann. **121**, 242-246.

Received December 21, 1970 and in revised form July 19, 1971. The preparation of this paper was supported in part by NSF Grant #GP-23107.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

C. R. HOBBY
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Alex Bacopoulos and Athanassios G. Kartsatos, <i>On polynomials approximating the solutions of nonlinear differential equations</i>	1
Monte Boisen and Max Dean Larsen, <i>Prüfer and valuation rings with zero divisors</i>	7
James J. Bowe, <i>Neat homomorphisms</i>	13
David W. Boyd and Hershy Kisilevsky, <i>The Diophantine equation $u(u + 1)(u + 2)(u + 3) = v(v + 1)(v + 2)$</i>	23
George Ulrich Brauer, <i>Summability and Fourier analysis</i>	33
Robin B. S. Brooks, <i>On removing coincidences of two maps when only one, rather than both, of them may be deformed by a homotopy</i>	45
Frank Castagna and Geert Caleb Ernst Prins, <i>Every generalized Petersen graph has a Tait coloring</i>	53
Micheal Neal Dyer, <i>Rational homology and Whitehead products</i>	59
John Fuelberth and Mark Lawrence Teply, <i>The singular submodule of a finitely generated module splits off</i>	73
Robert Gold, <i>Γ-extensions of imaginary quadratic fields</i>	83
Myron Goldberg and John W. Moon, <i>Cycles in k-strong tournaments</i>	89
Darald Joe Hartfiel and J. W. Spellmann, <i>Diagonal similarity of irreducible matrices to row stochastic matrices</i>	97
Wayland M. Hubbart, <i>Some results on blocks over local fields</i>	101
Alan Loeb Kostinsky, <i>Projective lattices and bounded homomorphisms</i>	111
Kenneth O. Leland, <i>Maximum modulus theorems for algebras of operator valued functions</i>	121
Jerome Irving Malitz and William Nelson Reinhardt, <i>Maximal models in the language with quantifier "there exist uncountably many"</i>	139
John Douglas Moore, <i>Isometric immersions of space forms in space forms</i>	157
Ronald C. Mullin and Ralph Gordon Stanton, <i>A map-theoretic approach to Davenport-Schinzel sequences</i>	167
Chull Park, <i>On Fredholm transformations in Yeh-Wiener space</i>	173
Stanley Poreda, <i>Complex Chebyshev alterations</i>	197
Ray C. Shiflett, <i>Extreme Markov operators and the orbits of Ryff</i>	201
Robert L. Snider, <i>Lattices of radicals</i>	207
Ralph Richard Summerhill, <i>Unknotting cones in the topological category</i>	221
Charles Irvin Vinsonhaler, <i>A note on two generalizations of QF-3</i>	229
William Patterson Wardlaw, <i>Defining relations for certain integrally parameterized Chevalley groups</i>	235
William Jennings Wickless, <i>Abelian groups which admit only nilpotent multiplications</i>	251