

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**ON THE SPECTRAL RADIUS FORMULA IN BANACH
ALGEBRAS**

JAN-ERIK BJÖRK

ON THE SPECTRAL RADIUS FORMULA IN BANACH ALGEBRAS

JAN-ERIK BJÖRK

B will always denote a commutative semi-simple Banach algebra with a unit element. If $f \in B$ then $r(f)$ denotes its spectral radius. A sequence $F = (f_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is called a spectral null sequence if $\|f_j\| \leq 1$ for each j , while $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} r(f_j) = 0$. If $F = (f_j)$ is a spectral null sequence we put $r_N(F) = \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|f_j^N\|^{1/N}$ for each $N \geq 1$. Finally we define the complex number $r_N(B) = \sup\{r_N(F): F \text{ is a spectral null sequence in } B\}$. In general $r_N(B) = 1$ for all $N \geq 1$ and the aim of this paper is to study the case when $r_N(B) < 1$ for some N .

We say that B satisfies a bounded inverse formula if there exists some $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and a constant K_0 such that for all f in B satisfying $\|f\| \leq 1$ and $r(f) \leq \varepsilon$, it follows that $\|(e - f)^{-1}\| \leq K_0$. In Theorem 3.1. we prove that B satisfies a bounded inverse formula if and only if $r_N(B) < 1$ for some N .

In §1 we give a criterion which implies that B is a sup-norm algebra. In §2 we introduce the so called infinite product of B which will enable us to study spectral null sequences in §3.

1. Sup-norm algebras. Recall that B is a sup-norm algebra if there exists a constant K such that $\|f\| \leq Kr(f)$ for all f in B . Clearly this happens if and only if $r_1(B) = 0$. Next we give an example where $r_1(B) = 1$ while $r_2(B) = 0$.

Let $B = C[0, 1]$ be the algebra of all continuously differentiable functions on the closed unit interval. If $f \in B$ we put $\|f\| = \sup\{|f(x)| + |f'(y)| : 0 \leq x, y \leq 1\}$. The maximal ideal space M_B can be identified with $[0, 1]$, so the spectral radius formula shows that $r(f) = \sup\{|f(x)| : 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$. From this we easily deduce that $r_2(B) = 0$. In fact we also notice that $\|f^n\| \leq n\|f\|(r(f))^{n-1}$ holds for all $n \geq 2$. We will now prove that this estimate is sharp.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let the norm in B satisfy $\|f^n\| \leq qn\|f\|r(f)^{n-1}$ for some $q < 1$ and some $n \geq 2$. Then B is a sup-norm algebra and there is a constant $K(n, q)$ such that $\|f\| \leq K(n, q)r(f)$ for all $f \in B$.*

LEMMA 1.2. *Let $n \geq 3$ and suppose that $\|f^n\| \leq K\|f\|r(f)^{n-1}$ for all f in B and some constant K . Then there is a constant $K(n)$ such that $\|f^2\| \leq K(n)K\|f\|r(f)$.*

Proof. Notice that all the inequalities above are homogeneous. Hence it is sufficient to consider the case when $\|f\| = 1$. If now $r(f) = \varepsilon$, then we must prove that $\|f^2\| < K(n)K\varepsilon$ for some $K(n)$.

Under the hypothesis, we note that

$$\|(k\varepsilon + f)^n\| \leq K \|k\varepsilon + f\|(\varepsilon + k\varepsilon)^{n-1} \leq K\varepsilon^{n-1}(1 + n)^n$$

for all $0 \leq k \leq n$.

Now consider the inhomogeneous system of equations

$$\sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (k\varepsilon)^{n-j} f^j = (k\varepsilon + f)^n, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n,$$

which we wish to solve for the f^j . The determinant of the system is $\varepsilon\varepsilon^2 \cdots \varepsilon^n K_0(n)$, and the determinants of the minors can be expressed similarly. Using Cramer's rule to solve this system for f^2 , we obtain the estimate $\|f^2\| \leq K(n)K\varepsilon$, as required.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Firstly we choose $\varepsilon > 0$ so small that $1 - \varepsilon^n > 2n\varepsilon^n + q$. Next we introduce the power series $\phi(z) = \varepsilon + a_1z + a_2z^2 + \cdots$, which satisfies $(\phi(z))^n = \varepsilon^n + z$ for all $|z| < \varepsilon^n$. Notice that $na_1\varepsilon^{n-1} = 1$ holds. If $0 < x < \varepsilon^n$ we put

$$A_v(x) = x^v(|a_{vn}| + \cdots + |a_{v(n-1)}|).$$

Then it is clear that the sum $U(x) = A_1(x) + A_2(x) + \cdots$ is finite, while $\lim U(x) = 0$ as $x \rightarrow 0$.

Note that from Lemma 1.2. there is a constant $K(n)$ such that $\|f^k\| \leq K(n)r(f)$ for all $2 \leq k \leq n - 1$ and all f in B satisfying $\|f\| \leq 1$. It follows that there is a constant $K(n, \varepsilon)$ such that $\|a_2f^2 + \cdots + a_{n-1}f^{n-1}\| \leq K(n, \varepsilon)r(f)$ for all f satisfying $\|f\| \leq 1$.

Now we choose $\delta > 0$ so small that $n\delta^{n-1} < \varepsilon^n$ and $U(n\delta^{n-1}) + K(n, \varepsilon)\delta < \varepsilon$ holds.

Suppose now that B is not a sup-norm algebra. Then we can choose f in B such that $\|f\| = 1$ while $r(f) < \delta$. The assumption shows that $\|f^n\| \leq qn\delta^{n-1} \leq n\delta^{n-1} \leq \varepsilon^n$. Hence $\|f^{vn+k}\| \leq \|f^n\|^v \|f^k\| \leq (n\delta^{n-1})^v \rightarrow 0$ for all $v \geq 1$ and all $k = 0 \cdots (n - 1)$. It follows that we can define the element $g = \phi(f) = \varepsilon + a_1f + a_2f^2 + \cdots$ in B .

We get $\|g\| \leq \varepsilon + |a_1| + \|a_2f^2 + \cdots + a_{n-1}f^{n-1}\| + U(n\delta^{n-1}) \leq 2\varepsilon + |a_1|$. We also have $r(g) \leq (r(\varepsilon^n + f))^{1/n} \leq (\varepsilon^n + \delta)^{1/n}$.

It follows that $1 - \varepsilon^n \leq \|\varepsilon^n + f\| = \|g^n\| \leq qn \|g\| r(g)^{n-1} \leq qn(2\varepsilon + (n\varepsilon^{n-1})^{-1})(\varepsilon^n + \delta)^{1-1/n} = Z(\delta)$.

Clearly $Z(\delta)$ tends to $2qn\varepsilon^n + q$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. The original choice of ε shows that $1 - \varepsilon^n \leq Z(\delta)$ cannot hold for sufficiently small values of δ . This proves that B must be a sup-norm algebra and the proof gives a lower bound for δ , once we have fixed ε .

2. The infinite product of a Banach algebra. Firstly we introduce the infinite product.

DEFINITION 2.1. Put $B_\infty = \{(f_j)_j^\infty : (f_j) \text{ is a sequence in } B \text{ such that } \sup_j \|f_j\| < \infty \text{ while } \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} r(we - f_j) = 0 \text{ for some } w \in C^1\}$.

Clearly B_∞ is a Banach algebra if to each $F = (f_j)$ we define $\|F\| = \sup_j \|f_j\|$. If $F = (f_j)$ and if $N \geq 1$, then we put $\pi_N(F) = (g_j)$, where $g_j = 0$ for $j \leq N$ and $g_j = f_j$ for $j > N$.

A complex-valued homomorphism H on B_∞ is free if $H(F) = H(\pi_N(F))$ for all $N \geq 1$ and each $F \in B_\infty$. The part at infinity in M_{B_∞} consists of the points determined by free homomorphisms. We denote this set by M_∞ .

To each $N \geq 1$ we have an idempotent e_N in B_∞ , whose N th component is e while all the other components are zero. The set $\Delta_N = \{x \in M_{B_\infty} : \hat{e}_N(x) = 1\}$ is a clopen (closed and open) subset of M_{B_∞} . We can identify Δ_N with M_B . For if $x \in M_B$ we get a point $T_N(x)$ in Δ_N satisfying $\hat{F}(T_N(x)) = \hat{f}_N(x)$ for all $F = (f_j)$. It is easily seen that T_N is a homeomorphism from M_B onto Δ_N .

If we put $\Delta = \bigcup \Delta_N : N \geq 1$, then it is easily seen that $\Delta = M_{B_\infty} \setminus M_\infty$. Here Δ is open and hence M_∞ is closed. The set M_∞ contains a distinguished point m_∞ , determined by the complex-valued homomorphism which sends $F = (f_j)$ into the complex number w satisfying $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} r(we - f_j) = 0$.

With the notations above the following result is evident.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let V be an open neighborhood of m_∞ in M_{B_∞} . Then there is an integer N such that $\Delta_j \subset V$ for all $j > N$.*

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $b\Delta$ be the topological boundary of Δ in M_{B_∞} . Then $b\Delta = \{m_\infty\}$.*

Proof. Lemma 2.2. means that the clopen sets Δ_N converge to $\{m_\infty\}$. Then it is a trivial topological fact that m_∞ is the only boundary point of Δ .

The result below was motivated by Theorem 2 in [2].

THEOREM 2.4. *The set M_∞ is a closed and connected subset of M_{B_∞} .*

Proof. We already know that M_∞ is closed. Suppose next that S and T are disjoint closed subsets whose union is M_∞ , such that $m_\infty \in S$. Then Lemma 2.3. implies that $S \cup \Delta$ is clopen in M_{B_∞} . By Shilov's idempotent Theorem there is $E \in B_\infty$ such that $\hat{E} = 0$ on $S \cup \Delta$ while $\hat{E} = 1$ on T . In particular $\hat{E} = 0$ on each Δ_j , which

implies that the j th component is zero. Since this holds for all j we conclude that $E = 0$, and T is empty. Hence M_∞ is connected.

The next result gives a useful characterization of M_∞ . This result is due to the referee.

THEOREM 2.5. *Let I be the closed ideal of all F in B_∞ for which $\lim \|\pi_N(F)\| = 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Then M_∞ is the maximal ideal space of B_∞/I .*

Proof. A point m in M_{B_∞} induces a complex-valued homomorphism on B_∞/I if and only if $\hat{F}(m) = 0$ for all $F \in I$. Clearly each idempotent e_N belongs to I . This proves that if m annihilates I , then m must belong to M_∞ . Conversely, if $m \in M_\infty$ then $\hat{F}(m) = \pi_N(F)^\wedge(m)$ for all $N \geq 1$. Hence $|\hat{F}(m)| \leq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|\pi_N(F)\| = 0$ follows if $F \in I$. This proves that every point in M_∞ annihilates I .

If $F = (f_j)$ is in B_∞ we put $r_N(F) = \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|f_j^N\|^{1/N}$ for each $N \geq 1$. Let us also put $|F|_\infty = \sup \{|\hat{F}(m)| : m \in M_\infty\}$. With these notations the following result is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.5.

PROPOSITION 2.6. *If $F \in B_\infty$, then $|F|_\infty = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} r_N(F)$.*

3. Spectral null sequences.

THEOREM 3.1. *The following conditions on B are equivalent:*

- (a) $r_N(B) < 1$ for some $N \geq 1$.
- (b) B satisfies a bounded inverse formula.
- (c) There is a constant K_q such that if $f \in B$ satisfies $\|f\| \leq 1$ and $r(f) = q < 1$, then $\|(e - f)^{-1}\| \leq K_q(1 - q)^{-1}$.

Proof. Since (c) \rightarrow (b) we only prove that (a) \rightarrow (b) and (b) \rightarrow (a). Firstly we assume that $r_N(B) < 1$ for some $N \geq 1$. Then we get some $\varepsilon > 0$ and $a < 1$ such that $\|f^N\| \leq a^N$ for all f satisfying $\|f\| \leq 1$ and $r(f) \leq \varepsilon$.

Let then $\|f\| \leq 1$ while $r(f) \leq q < 1$. Let s be the positive integer satisfying $q^s < \varepsilon \leq q^{s-1}$. It follows that $\|f^{Ns}\| \leq a^N$ and hence $\|f^{kNs}\| \leq a^{kN}$ for all $k \geq 1$. Using this fact we see that if $R = \sum f^j : j \geq sN$, then $\|R\| \leq sNa^N(1 - a^N)^{-1}$.

We have $(e - f)^{-1} = e + f + \dots + f^{Ns-1} + R$. Since $\|f\| \leq 1$ we get $\|(e - f)^{-1}\| \leq sN + \|R\| \leq K_0s$. Finally $\varepsilon \leq q^{s-1}$ which implies that $s \leq K_1(1 - q)^{-1}$. Hence (c) follows with $K_q = K_0K_1$.

Now we assume that (b) holds in B . Suppose that $r_N(B) = 1$ for all N . To each $j \geq 1$ we can choose f_j such that $\|f_j\| = 1$ and $r(f_j) < (j + 1)^{-1}$, while $\|f_j^j\|^{1/j} > 1 - 1/j$.

Let us consider $F = (f_j)$ in B_∞ . Since $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|F^j\|^{1/j} = 1$, it

follows that there is some $w \in C^1$ satisfying $|w| = 1$ while $we - F$ is not invertible in B_∞ .

Consider the elements $g_j = (e - f_j/w)^{-1}$ which exist for all $j \geq 1$. Clearly (b) implies that $\|g_j\| \leq K$ for some fixed constant K . Since $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} r(f_j) = 0$ it follows that the element $G = (g_j)$ exists in B_∞ . Now $(we - F)Gw^{-1} = e$ in B_∞ which shows that $we - F$ is invertible, a contradiction. Hence $r_N(B) < 1$ must hold for some N .

Let us observe that a spectral null sequence $F = (f_j)$ simply is an element of B_∞ for which $\|F\| \leq 1$ and $\hat{F}(m_\infty) = 0$. The following result is a direct consequence of Proposition 2.6.

THEOREM 3.2. *The following two conditions on B are equivalent:*

- (a) $\lim r_N(B) = 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.
- (b) $M_\infty = \{m_\infty\}$.

Finally we study spectral null sequences satisfying polynomial conditions.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let p be a polynomial of the form $z^s (1 + a_1z + \dots + a_tz^t)$, with $s > 1$. Then there exist constants K and c with the following property: If $f \in B$ satisfies $\|f\| \leq 1$, $\|p(f)\| \leq \varepsilon$ and $r(f) \leq \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon \leq c$, then $\|f^s\| \leq K\varepsilon$.*

Proof. For each $\varepsilon > 0$ we put $S(\varepsilon) = \{f \in B: \|f\| \leq 1, \|p(f)\| \leq \varepsilon \text{ and } r(f) \leq \varepsilon\}$. Suppose the constants c and K do not exist. Then there is a decreasing sequence (ε_j) , with $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_j = 0$, while $S(\varepsilon_j)$ contains an element f_j for which $\|f_j^s\| > j\varepsilon_j$.

We may assume that $1 > |a_1|\varepsilon_1 + \dots + |a_t|\varepsilon_1^t$ holds. This implies that the elements $u_j = e + a_1f_j + \dots + a_t f_j^t$ are invertible in B .

Now $p(f_j) = f_j^s u_j$ and hence $j\varepsilon_j < \|f_j^s\| \leq \|p(f_j)\| \|u_j^{-1}\| \leq \varepsilon_j \|u_j^{-1}\|$. This means that $\|u_j^{-1}\| > j$ for all j , so the element $G = (u_j)$ is not invertible in B_∞ .

Now we obtain a contradiction by proving that G must be invertible in B_∞ . Since $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|p(f_j)\| = 0$ it follows that $\lim \|p(\pi_N(G))\| = 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Then Proposition 2.6. shows that $p(G)$ must vanish on M_∞ .

Hence the set $\hat{G}(M_\infty)$ is contained in the finite set of zeros of p . Using Theorem 2.4. we see that $\hat{G}(M_\infty)$ is connected. It follows that $\hat{G}(M_\infty) = \{\hat{G}(m_\infty)\}$. Clearly $\hat{G}(m_\infty) = 1$ holds and hence \hat{G} does not vanish on M_∞ . The choice of ε_1 shows that $\hat{G} \neq 0$ on Δ too. This proves that G is invertible in B_∞ which gives the desired contradiction.

Finally we raise some problems. We do not know if the condition that $r_N(B) < 1$ for some $N > 2$ implies that $r_2(B) < 1$. We

also ask if the condition that $r_N(B) < 1$ for some $N \geq 2$ implies that $\lim r_j(B) = 0$ as $J \rightarrow \infty$.

REFERENCES

1. A. Bernard, *Une caractérisation de $C(X)$ parmi les algèbres de Banach*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. A 267, (1968), 63-63.
2. C. Graham, *On a Banach algebra of Varapoulos*, Functional Analysis, **3** (1969), 317-327.

Received April 1, 1970 and in revised form August 11, 1970.

INSTITUT MITTAG LEFFLER

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

C. R. HOBBY
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 40, No. 2

October, 1972

Louis I. Alpert and L. V. Toralballa, <i>An elementary definition of surface area in E^{n+1} for smooth surfaces</i>	261
Eamon Boyd Barrett, <i>A three point condition for surfaces of constant mean curvature</i>	269
Jan-Erik Björk, <i>On the spectral radius formula in Banach algebras</i>	279
Peter Botta, <i>Matrix inequalities and kernels of linear transformations</i>	285
Bennett Eisenberg, <i>Baxter's theorem and Varberg's conjecture</i>	291
Heinrich W. Guggenheimer, <i>Approximation of curves</i>	301
A. Hedayat, <i>An algebraic property of the totally symmetric loops associated with Kirkman-Steiner triple systems</i>	305
Richard Howard Herman and Michael Charles Reed, <i>Covariant representations of infinite tensor product algebras</i>	311
Domingo Antonio Herrero, <i>Analytic continuation of inner function-operators</i>	327
Franklin Lowenthal, <i>Uniform finite generation of the affine group</i>	341
Stephen H. McCleary, <i>0-primitive ordered permutation groups</i>	349
Malcolm Jay Sherman, <i>Disjoint maximal invariant subspaces</i>	373
Mitsuru Nakai, <i>Radon-Nikodým densities and Jacobians</i>	375
Mitsuru Nakai, <i>Royden algebras and quasi-isometries of Riemannian manifolds</i>	397
Russell Daniel Rupp, Jr., <i>A new type of variational theory sufficiency theorem</i>	415
Helga Schirmer, <i>Fixed point and coincidence sets of biconnected multifunctions on trees</i>	445
Murray Silver, <i>On extremal figures admissible relative to rectangular lattices</i>	451
James DeWitt Stein, <i>The open mapping theorem for spaces with unique segments</i>	459
Arne Stray, <i>Approximation and interpolation</i>	463
Donald Curtis Taylor, <i>A general Phillips theorem for C^*-algebras and some applications</i>	477
Florian Vasilescu, <i>On the operator $M(Y) = TYS^{-1}$ in locally convex algebras</i>	489
Philip William Walker, <i>Asymptotics for a class of weighted eigenvalue problems</i>	501
Kenneth S. Williams, <i>Exponential sums over $GF(2^n)$</i>	511