

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**RADON-NIKODÝM DENSITIES AND JACOBIANS**

MITSURU NAKAI

## RADON-NIKODYM DENSITIES AND JACOBIANS

MITSURU NAKAI

**A Dirichlet mapping between regions in Euclidean space is a homeomorphism preserving the finiteness of Dirichlet integrals of admissible functions and plays an important role in the potential theory. Two dimensional Dirichlet mappings are known to be characterized geometrically as being quasi-conformal mappings. In this paper, higher dimensional Dirichlet mappings will be characterized geometrically as being quasi-isometries. In order to carry out the reasoning it is necessary to study the relation between the Radon-Nikodym density  $R$  and the Jacobian  $J$  of an arbitrary homeomorphism for which only existences of  $R$  and  $J$  almost everywhere are assured. It will be proven that  $R \leq |J|$ , almost everywhere, which is the main result of this paper.**

The change of variables is one of the important subjects in the theory of integrals. Suppose  $y = y(x)$  maps an open set  $D_1$  homeomorphically onto an open set  $D_2$  in the  $m$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $E^m (m \geq 1)$ . Define the outer measure  $\nu(X)$  of a subset  $X$  of  $D_1$  by

$$(1) \quad \nu(X) = \inf \int \cdots \int_{y(U)} dy^1 \cdots dy^m$$

where the infimum is taken with respect to open sets  $U$  in  $D_1$  containing  $X$ , and denote by  $\mathcal{B}_\nu(D_1)$  the field of  $\nu$ -measurable sets in  $L(D_1)$ , the field of Lebesgue measurable subsets of  $D_1$ . The problem of the change of variables is to study the structure of the measure space  $(\nu, \mathcal{B}_\nu(D_1), D_1)$ . Among contributions in this direction the following theorem of Rademacher [6] is frequently made use of (see also a comprehensive alternative proof of Tsuji [10]):

Suppose  $y = y(z)$  is *almost Lipschitzian* in the sense that

$$(2) \quad \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} |h|^{-1} |y(x+h) - y(x)| < \infty$$

for almost every  $x$  in  $D_1$ . Then

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{B}_\nu(D_1) = L(D_1) = \{X \mid X \in L(D_1), y(X) \in L(D_2)\}$$

and the *Jacobian*

$$(4) \quad J_y(x) = \det \left( \frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^j}(x) \right)$$

of  $y = y(x)$  exists for almost every  $x$  in  $D_1$  and

$$(5) \quad \nu(x) = \int \cdots \int_X |J_y(x)| dx^1 \cdots dx^m$$

for every  $X$  in  $B_v(D_1) = L(D_1)$ .

The mapping  $y = y(x)$  with the property (3) is called *inverse-measurable*. This property is equivalent to  $y(X)$  having Lebesgue measure zero along with  $X$ . For such a mapping  $y = y(x)$  there exists a *Radon-Nikodym density*  $R_y(x)$  associated with  $y = y(x)$  characterized as follows:  $R_y(x) \geq 0$ ,  $R_y(x)$  is Lebesgue measurable on  $D_1$ , and

$$(6) \quad \nu(X) = \int \cdots \int_X R_y(x) dx^1 \cdots dx^m$$

for every  $X$  in  $B_v(D_1) = L(D_1)$ . The Rademacher theorem can be restated as follows: if a homeomorphism  $y = y(x)$  is almost Lipschitzian, then its Radon-Nikodym density  $R_y(x)$  and Jacobian  $J_y(x)$  exist almost everywhere and are identical in absolute value. However it frequently occurs that only the existence of  $R_y(x)$  and  $J_y(x)$  almost everywhere is assured. In such a case what can be said about the relation between  $R_y(x)$  and  $J_y(x)$ ? The purpose of our paper is to study this question.

Our main result is that if  $R_y(x)$  and  $J_y(x)$  exist almost everywhere, then inequality  $R_y(x) \leq |J_y(x)|$  is valid almost everywhere (Theorem 5). As a consequence, if, in addition to the existence of  $R_y(x)$  and  $J_y(x)$  almost everywhere, the inverse mapping  $x = x(y)$  of  $y = y(x)$  also has  $R_x(y)$  and  $J_x(y)$  almost everywhere, and if  $J_y(x) \cdot J_x(y(x)) = 1$  almost everywhere, then  $R_y(x) = |J_y(x)|$  (Theorem 10).

As an application we shall show that a homeomorphism between open sets in  $E^m$  ( $m \geq 3$ ) is a Dirichlet mapping, a mapping preserving the finiteness of Dirichlet integrals of admissible functions, if and only if it is a quasi-isometry (Theorem 14).

#### ASYMPTOTICAL DIFFERENTIABILITY

1. We denote by  $E^m$  the  $m$ -dimensional real Euclidean space whose points  $x$  are  $n$ -tuples  $x = (x^1, \dots, x^m)$  of real numbers ( $m \geq 1$ ). It is an additive vector space over the real number field  $\mathbf{R}$ . The distance between two points  $x = (x^1, \dots, x^m)$  and  $y = (y^1, \dots, y^m)$  is denoted by  $|x - y| = (\sum_{i=1}^m |x^i - y^i|^2)^{1/2}$ .

For an open set  $D$  in  $E^m$  we denote by  $L(D)$  the family of all Lebesgue measurable sets in  $D$  and by  $\mu$  the Lebesgue measure on  $L(E^m)$ . Let  $X$  be a bounded set in  $L(E^m)$ . The number

$$(7) \quad r(X) = \sup \frac{\mu(X)}{\mu(I)}$$

is called the *parameter of regularity* for  $X$  where the supremum is taken with respect to  $m$ -dimensional cubes  $I \supset X$ . A sequence  $\{X_n\}$  of bounded *closed* sets in  $E^m$  is said to be a *regular sequence* converging to a point  $x_0 \in E^m$  if  $x_0 \in X_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and there exists a positive number  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$(8) \quad r(X_n) \geq \alpha \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

and the diameters of  $X_n$  tend to zero as  $n$  tends to infinity.

Fix a set  $X \in \mathcal{L}(E^m)$  and consider a regular sequence  $\{X_n\}$  converging to  $x \in E^m$  such that

$$a\{X_n\} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(X \cap X_n)}{\mu(X_n)}$$

exists. Denote by  $\bar{\delta}_x(x)$  (resp.  $\underline{\delta}_x(x)$ ) the supremum (resp. infimum) of the set of all possible  $a\{X_n\}$ . If  $\bar{\delta}_x(x) = \underline{\delta}_x(x)$ , then the common value is denoted by  $\delta_x(x)$  and called the *density* (or more precisely the *Lebesgue density*) of  $X$  at  $x$ . If  $\delta_x(x)$  exists, then

$$(9) \quad \delta_x(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(X \cap X_n)}{\mu(X_n)}$$

for every regular sequence  $\{X_n\}$  converging to  $x$ .

The well-known Lebesgue density theorem claims that  $\delta_x(x) = 1$  almost everywhere on  $X$  and  $\delta_x(x) = 0$  almost everywhere on  $E^m - X$ . This is the special case of the Lebesgue differentiability theorem: if  $f(x)$  is integrable on  $E^m$ , then, for almost every  $x_0 \in E^m$ ,

$$(10) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(X_n)^{-1} \int_{X_n} f(x) d\mu(x) = f(x_0)$$

for every regular sequence  $\{X_n\}$  converging to  $x_0$ .

2. Let  $f(x)$  be a real-valued function on a measurable set  $X$ . The function  $f$  is said to be *asymptotically differentiable* at  $x_0 \in X$  if there exist real numbers  $a_i(x_0)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ ) and a measurable subset  $S(x_0)$  of  $X$  containing  $x_0$  such that

$$(11) \quad f(x) = f(x_0) + \sum_{i=1}^m a_i(x_0)(x^i - x_0^i) + \lambda(x; x_0),$$

with

$$(12) \quad \lim_{x \in S(x_0), x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{\lambda(x; x_0)}{|x - x_0|} = 0,$$

and

$$(13) \quad \delta_{S(x_0)}(x_0) = 1 .$$

The set  $S(x_0)$  is referred to as an *asymptotic set* of  $f$  at  $x_0$  for its asymptotical differentiability.

The following theorem of Stepanoff [9] plays an important role in our reasoning:

*Suppose  $f(x)$  is measurable on an open set  $D \subset E^m$  and  $(\partial f / \partial x^i)(x)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ ) exist for almost every  $x \in D$ . Then  $f(x)$  is asymptotically differentiable at almost every point  $x_0 \in D$  and  $a_i(x_0)$  in (11) is given by*

$$(14) \quad a_i(x_0) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i}(x_0) \quad (i = 1 \dots m) .$$

For a proof we refer Stepanoff [9; 523-524] or the monograph of Saks [7; 300-303]. In the latter reference, however, the asymptotical differentiability is replaced by the approximate differentiability which is defined by (11)–(13), with the Lebesgue density replaced by the Saks strong density which is simply called the density in the book. The proof there can be easily modified to fit the present situation. It should also be remarked that proofs given in both references are for the case  $m = 2$ , but their generalizations to higher dimensions are, as they claim, straightforward.

3. Let  $y = y(x)$  be a mapping of a measurable set  $X \subset E^m$  into  $E^m$ . We call  $y(x)$  *asymptotically differentiable* at  $x_0 \in X$  if there exists a matrix  $A(x_0)$  of  $(m, m)$ -type and a measurable set  $S(x_0) \subset X$  containing  $x_0$  such that

$$(15) \quad y(x) = y(x_0) + (x - x_0)A(x_0) + A(x; x_0)$$

where  $A(x; x_0) = (\lambda^1(x; x_0), \dots, \lambda^m(x; x_0)) \in E^m$ ,

$$(16) \quad \lim_{x \in S(x_0), x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{|A(x; x_0)|}{|x - x_0|} = 0 ,$$

and

$$(17) \quad \delta_{S(x_0)}(x_0) = 1 .$$

The set  $S(x_0)$  is again referred to as an *asymptotic set* of  $y(x)$  at  $x_0$  for its asymptotical differentiability.

The Stepanoff theorem cited in 2 may be restated as follows:

*Suppose  $y(x)$  is a mapping of an open set  $D \subset E^m$  into  $E^m$ , the components  $y^i(x)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ ) of  $y(x)$  are measurable in  $D$ , and the  $(\partial y^i / \partial x^j)(x)$  ( $i, j = 1, \dots, m$ ) exist for almost every  $x$  in  $D$ . Then  $y(x)$*

is asymptotically differentiable at almost every point  $x_0$  in  $D$ , and  $A(x_0)$  in (15) is given by

$$(18) \quad A(x_0) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial y^1}{\partial x^1}(x_0) \cdots \frac{\partial y^m}{\partial x^1}(x_0) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial y^1}{\partial x^m}(x_0) \cdots \frac{\partial y^m}{\partial x^m}(x_0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

To see this take  $E_i \subset D$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, m$  such that  $y^i(x)$  is asymptotically differentiable at each point  $x \in E_i$  and  $\mu(D - E_i) = 0$ . Set  $E = \bigcap_{i=1}^m E_i$ . Then  $\mu(D - E) = 0$  and every  $y^i(x)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ ) is asymptotically differentiable at each point  $x_0$  in  $E$ . Let  $S_i(x_0)$  be an asymptotic set of  $y^i(x)$  at  $x_0$  for its asymptotical differentiability ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ ) and put  $S(x_0) = \bigcap_{i=1}^m S_i(x_0)$ . Then (15) and (16) with (18) are valid. We have only to prove (17).

Let  $\{X_n\}$  be an arbitrary regular sequence converging to  $x_0$ . Since  $\delta_{S_i(x_0)}(x_0) = 1$ , we infer that

$$(19) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu((E^m - S_i(x_0)) \cap X_n)}{\mu(X_n)} = 0$$

for every  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Observe that

$$\frac{\mu((E^m - S(x_0)) \cap X_n)}{\mu(X_n)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\mu((E^m - S_i(x_0)) \cap X_n)}{\mu(X_n)},$$

and therefore (19) implies

$$(20) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu((E^m - S(x_0)) \cap X_n)}{\mu(X_n)} = 0.$$

In view of  $\mu(S(x_0) \cap X_n) + \mu((E^m - S(x_0)) \cap X_n) = \mu(X_n)$ , we conclude that

$$(21) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(S(x_0) \cap X_n)}{\mu(X_n)} = 1.$$

Since (21) is true for every regular sequence  $\{X_n\}$  converging to  $x_0$ , we obtain (17).

#### THE MAIN INEQUALITY

4. Hereafter we assume that  $y = y(x)$  is a *homeomorphism* of an open set  $D_1$  onto an open set  $D_2$  in  $E^m$  ( $m \geq 1$ ). In terms of components,  $y = y(x)$  is expressed by the system of functions on  $D_1$ :

$$(22) \quad \begin{cases} y^1 = y^1(x) = y^1(x^1, \dots, x^m) \\ \vdots \\ y^m = y^m(x) = y^m(x^1, \dots, x^m) \end{cases}.$$

The mapping  $y = y(x)$  is said to be *inverse-measurable* if  $X \in L(D_1)$  implies  $y(X) \in L(D_2)$ , or what amounts to the same, if  $\mu(X) = 0$  ( $X \subset D_1$ ) implies  $\mu(y(X)) = 0$ . In this case the nonnegative set function  $\nu(X)$  on  $L(D_1)$  defined by

$$(23) \quad \nu(X) = \mu(y(X))$$

is  $\mu$ -absolutely continuous and we have the existence of the *Radon-Nikodym density*  $R_y(x)$  of  $y = y(x)$ , characterized by the properties  $R_y(x) \geq 0$  on  $D_1$ ,  $R_y(x)$  is Lebesgue measurable on  $D_1$ , and

$$(24) \quad \nu(X) = \int_X R_y(x) d\mu(x) \quad (X \in L(D_1)).$$

We call the mapping  $y = y(x)$  *partially differentiable* at  $x \in D_1$  if the partial derivatives  $(\partial y^i / \partial x^j)(x)$  ( $i, j = 1, \dots, m$ ) exist at  $x$ . In this case the *Jacobian*  $J_y(x)$  of  $y = y(x)$  at  $x$  exists:

$$(25) \quad J_y(x) = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial y^1}{\partial x^1}(x) & \cdots & \frac{\partial y^1}{\partial x^m}(x) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial y^m}{\partial x^1}(x) & \cdots & \frac{\partial y^m}{\partial x^m}(x) \end{vmatrix}.$$

Here we append a remark: if  $\partial y^i / \partial x^j$  exists almost everywhere on  $D_1$ , then it is Lebesgue measurable (cf. Saks [7; p. 299]). Thus if  $J_y(x)$  exists almost everywhere on  $D_1$ , then  $J_x(x)$  is Lebesgue measurable on  $D_1$ .

5. The general conclusion we can make on the relation between  $R_y(x)$  and  $J_y(x)$  is the following which is the main result of the paper:

**THEOREM.** *Let  $y = y(x)$  be a homeomorphism of an open set  $D_1$  onto an open set  $D_2$  in  $E^m$  ( $m \geq 1$ ) which is inverse-measurable on  $D_1$  and partially differentiable at almost every point in  $D_1$ . Then the Radon-Nikodym density  $R_y(x)$  and the Jacobian  $J_y(x)$  of  $y = y(x)$  satisfy the following inequality almost everywhere on  $D_1$ :*

$$(26) \quad R_y(x) \leq |J_y(x)|.$$

The proof will be given in 6-9.

6. By the Lebesgue differentiability theorem we can find a set  $E \subset D_1$  such that  $\mu(D_1 - E) = 0$  and

$$(27) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(X_n)^{-1} \int_{X_n} R_y(x) d\mu(x) = R_y(x_0)$$

for every regular sequence  $\{X_n\}$  converging to any point  $x_0 \in E$ .

By the Stepanoff theorem in 3 we can also find a set  $F \subset D_1$  with  $\mu(D_1 - F) = 0$  such that  $y = y(x)$  is asymptotically differentiable at every point  $x_0 \in F$ . Set  $G = E \cap F$ . We have  $\mu(D_1 - G) = 0$ .

Fix an arbitrary point  $x_0 \in G$  and represent  $y = y(x)$  by

$$(28) \quad y^j = y_0^j + \sum_{k=1}^m a_{jk}(x^k - x_0^k) + \lambda^j(x; x_0) \quad (j = 1, \dots, m)$$

with  $y_0 = y(x_0)$  and  $a_{jk} = (\partial y^j / \partial x^k)(x_0)$  ( $j, k = 1, \dots, m$ ). We also set

$$(29) \quad \tau(x) = |x - x_0|^{-1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^m (\lambda^j(x; x_0))^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Let  $S = S(x_0)$  be an asymptotic set of  $y = y(x)$  at  $x_0$  for its asymptotical differentiability. Recall that

$$(30) \quad \delta_S(x_0) = 1.$$

From this and (16) we obtain

$$(31) \quad \lim_{x \in S, x \rightarrow x_0} \tau(x) = 0.$$

As an approximation to (28) we consider a linear transformation  $z = z(x)$  given by

$$(32) \quad z^j = y_0^j + \sum_{k=1}^m a_{jk}(x^k - x_0^k) \quad (j = 1, \dots, m).$$

Let  $K(r) = \{x \mid |x - x_0| \leq r\}$  and set  $\varepsilon(x) = \sup_{x \in S \cap K(r)} \tau(x)$ . Then (31) implies that

$$(33) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon(r) = 0.$$

Observe that if  $x \in S \cap K(a)$ , then, by (28), (29), and (32),

$$\begin{aligned} |y(x) - z(x)|^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^m |y^j(x) - z^j(x)|^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m (\lambda^j(x; x_0))^2 = (|x - x_0| \tau(x))^2, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$(34) \quad |y(x) - z(x)| \leq \varepsilon(r) \cdot r \quad (x \in S \cap K(r)).$$

7. For short we will set  $S(r) = S \cap K(r)$ . By (30) and (9) we obtain

$$(35) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu(S(r))}{\mu(K(r))} = 1.$$



Take a *closed* subset  $\tilde{S}(r)$  of  $S(r)$  such that  $x_0 \in \tilde{S}(r)$  and

$$(36) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu(\tilde{S}(r)) &\geq (1 - r)\mu(S(r)) , \\ \int_{\tilde{S}(r)} R_y(x)d\mu(x) &\geq (1 - r)\int_{S(r)} R_y(x)d\mu(x) . \end{aligned}$$

Then by (35),  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \mu(\tilde{S}(r))/\mu(K(r)) = 1$ , which shows that for any strictly decreasing sequence  $\{r_n\}$  converging to zero,  $\{\tilde{S}(r_n)\}$  is a regular sequence converging to  $x_0$ . Therefore by (27)

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \mu(\tilde{S}(r))^{-1} \int_{\tilde{S}(r)} R_y(x)d\mu(x) = R_y(x_0) .$$

This with (35) and (36) gives

$$(37) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu(y(S(r)))}{\mu(K(r))} = R_y(x_0) .$$

In view of this, it will suffice to prove that

$$(38) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu(y(S(r)))}{\mu(K(r))} \leq |J_y(x_0)| .$$

8. To establish (38) we will distinguish the cases  $J_y(x_0) = 0$  and  $J_y(x_0) \neq 0$ . First suppose  $J_y(x_0) = 0$ . Then the mapping  $z = z(x)$  in (32) is degenerate and therefore  $z(S(r))$  lies on a hyperplane  $P$  which may be identified with  $E^{m-1}$ , the  $(m - 1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space. By (32)

$$\sum_{j=1}^m |z^j - y_0^j|^2 \leq a^2 \sum_{j=1}^m |x^j - x_0^j|^2, \quad a = \left( \sum_{j,k=1}^m a_{jk}^2 \right)^{1/2} ,$$

and a fortiori

$$\mu_{m-1}(z(S(r))) \leq \Gamma \left( 1 + \frac{m-1}{2} \right)^{-1} \pi^{(m-1)/2} (ar)^{m-1}$$

where  $\mu_{m-1}$  is the  $(m - 1)$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure on  $P = E^{m-1}$ . By virtue of (34),  $y(S(r))$  is contained in a cylindrical region with the base congruent to  $z(S(r(1 + \varepsilon(r))))$ , and of heigh  $2 \cdot \varepsilon(r) \cdot r$ . Hence

$$\mu(y(S(r))) \leq 2 \left( \Gamma \left( 1 + \frac{m-1}{2} \right)^{-1} \pi^{(m-1)/2} \right) \cdot a^{m-1} r^m \varepsilon(r) (1 + \varepsilon(r))^{m-1} .$$

Since  $\mu(K(r)) = \Gamma(1 + m/2)^{-1} \pi^{m/2} r^m$ , (33) implies that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu(y(S(r)))}{\mu(K(r))} = 0 ,$$

and (38) is trivially true with the equality.

9. Next we treat the case  $|J_y(x_0)| > 0$ . Let  $(b_{ij})$  be the inverse matrix of  $(a_{ij})$ , the existence of which is assured by  $\det(a_{ij}) = J_y(x_0) \neq 0$ . The inverse transformation of (32) is then given by

$$(39) \quad x^j = x_0^j + \sum_{k=1}^m b_{jk}(z^k - y_0^k) \quad (j = 1, \dots, m).$$

Denote by  ${}^t(b_{ij})$  the transposed matrix of  $(b_{ij})$ ; let  $(B_{ij}) = {}^t(b_{ij}) \cdot (b_{ij})$ ; then consider the strictly positive definite bilinear form

$$B[\xi, \eta] = \sum_{j,k=1}^m B_{jk} \xi^j \eta^k$$

for  $\xi = (\xi^1, \dots, \xi^m)$  and  $\eta = (\eta^1, \dots, \eta^m)$  in  $E^m$ ; set

$$\begin{aligned} B[\xi] &= B[\xi, \xi] = \sum_{j,k=1}^m B_{jk} \xi^j \xi^k \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m \left( \sum_{k=1}^m b_{jk} \xi^k \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$H(r) = \{ \xi \in E^m \mid B[\xi - y_0] \leq r^2 \}.$$

This set is a closed ellipsoid with center  $y_0$ . Since (39) implies that

$$B[z - y_0] = \sum_{j=1}^m \left( \sum_{k=1}^m b_{jk}(z^k - y_0^k) \right)^2 = \sum_{j=1}^m (x^j - x_0^j)^2,$$

the image of  $H(r)$  under (39) is  $K(r)$ , and therefore  $z(K(r)) = H(r)$ . Hence

$$\mu(H(r)) = \mu(z(K(r))) = \int_{K(r)} |J_z(x)| d\mu(x).$$

The Jacobian  $J_z(x)$  of the mapping  $z = z(x)$  in (32) is given by

$$J_z(x) \equiv \det(a_{ij}) = J_y(x_0)$$

and we obtain

$$(40) \quad \mu(H(r)) = |J_y(x_0)| \mu(K(r)).$$

Let  $x \in S(r) = S \cap K(r)$ . Since  $B[\cdot]^{1/2}$  is a norm on  $E^m$ ,

$$(41) \quad B[y(x) - y_0]^{1/2} \leq B[y(x) - z(x)]^{1/2} + B[z(x) - y_0]^{1/2}.$$

Observe that  $x \in S(r) \subset K(r)$  implies  $z(x) \in z(K(r)) = H(r)$ , which in turn gives

$$(42) \quad B[z(x) - y_0] \leq r^2.$$

In view of (34) we also conclude that

$$(43) \quad B[y(x) - z(x)] \leq b^2 |y(x) - z(x)|^2 \leq b^2(\varepsilon(r) \cdot r)^2$$

with  $b = (\sum_{j,k=1}^m b_{jk}^2)^{1/2}$ . From (41)—(43), it follows that

$$B[y(x) - y_0] \leq (r(1 + b\varepsilon(r)))^2$$

for  $x$  in  $S(r)$  and therefore

$$y(S(r)) \subset H(r(1 + b\varepsilon(r))) .$$

Thus (40) can be used to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(y(S(r))) &\leq \mu(H(r(1 + b\varepsilon(r)))) \\ &= |J_y(x_0)| \mu(K(r))(1 + b\varepsilon(r))^m . \end{aligned}$$

Again by (33), we now have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu(y(S(r)))}{\mu(K(r))} \leq |J_y(x_0)| ,$$

i.e., (38) is proved.

The proof of Theorem 5 is herewith complete.

#### INTEGRATION BY CHANGE OF VARIABLES

10. As a direct consequence of the main inequality (26) we obtain the following result on integration by change of variables:

**THEOREM.** *Let  $y = y(x)$  be a homeomorphism of an open set  $D_1$  onto an open set  $D_2$  in  $E^m$  and let  $x = x(y)$  be the inverse mapping of  $y = y(x)$ . Suppose both  $y = y(x)$  and  $x = x(y)$  are inverse-measurable and also partially differentiable almost everywhere. Moreover suppose the Jacobians  $J_y(x)$  and  $J_x(y)$  of  $y = y(x)$  and  $x = x(y)$  satisfy*

$$(44) \quad J_y(x) \cdot J_x(y(x)) = 1$$

*almost everywhere on  $D_1$ . Then  $f(y)$  is Lebesgue measurable on  $D_2$  if and only if  $f(y(x))$  is Lebesgue measurable on  $D_1$ , and if  $f(y)$  is Lebesgue integrable on  $D_2$ , then  $f(y(x))J_y(x)$  is Lebesgue integrable on  $D_1$  and*

$$(45) \quad \int \cdots \int_{D_2} f(y) dy^1 \cdots dy^m = \int \cdots \int_{D_1} f(y(x)) |J_y(x)| dx^1 \cdots dx^m .$$

11. For the proof take the Radon-Nikodym densities  $R_y(x)$  and  $R_x(y)$  of  $y = y(x)$  and  $x = x(y)$ , and observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X d\mu(x) &= \int_{x(y(X))} d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{y(X)} R_x(y) d\mu(y) = \int_X R_x(y(x)) R_y(x) d\mu(x) \end{aligned}$$

for every  $X \in L(D_1)$ . Then

$$(46) \quad R_y(x) \cdot R_x(y(x)) = 1$$

almost everywhere on  $D_1$ .

Then main inequality (26) applied to  $x = x(y)$  yields  $R_x(y) \leq |J_x(y)|$  almost everywhere on  $D_2$ , and since  $x(y)$  is inverse-measurable, we obtain

$$(47) \quad R_x(y(x)) \leq |J_x(y(x))|$$

almost everywhere on  $D_1$ . By (44), (46), and (47), we infer that

$$(48) \quad R_y(x) \geq |J_y(x)|$$

almost everywhere on  $D_1$ . Again by the main inequality (26),  $R_y(x) \leq |J_y(x)|$  almost everywhere on  $D_1$ , and this with (48) implies that  $R_y(x) = |J_y(x)|$  almost everywhere on  $D_1$ , i.e.,

$$(49) \quad \int_Y d\mu(y) = \int_{x(Y)} |J_y(x)| d\mu(x)$$

for every  $Y \in L(D_2)$ .

Since  $X \in L(D_1)$  if and only if  $y(X) \in L(D_2)$ ,  $f(y)$  is Lebesgue measurable on  $D_2$  if and only if  $f(y(x))$  is on  $D_1$ , and in this case  $f(y(x))J_y(x)$  is Lebesgue measurable on  $D_1$ . Thus by the definition of integrals and by (49), (45) is shown to be valid first for bounded nonnegative measurable  $f$ , and then, by the Lebesgue convergence theorem, for nonnegative integrable  $f$ , and finally, by decomposing  $f$  into positive and negative parts, for general integrable  $f$ .

### DIRICHLET MAPPINGS

12. In the remainder of this paper we deduce an application of the theorems given thus far. Especially the main inequality (26) in Theorem 5 will play a crucial role.

Let  $D$  be an open set in  $E^m (m \geq 2)$ . We denote simply by  $W(D)$  the Sobolev space  $W^{1,2}(D)$ , the space of real-valued functions  $\varphi$  on  $D$  such that  $\varphi$  and their distribution derivatives  $[\partial\varphi/\partial x^i]_{\text{dis}}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ ) are square integrable functions on  $D$ . Since the  $(\partial\varphi/\partial x^i)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ ) exist almost everywhere on  $D$  and are square integrable, we can define the Dirichlet integral  $D(\varphi)$  of  $\varphi$  by

$$(50) \quad D(\varphi) = \int \cdots \int_D \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^i}(x) \right)^2 dx^1 \cdots dx^m .$$

We also write  $D_D(\varphi)$  if it is necessary to indicate the dependence on the integrating domain  $D$ . For Sobolev spaces, see e.g., Yosida [11].

13. Let  $y = y(x)$  be a homeomorphism of an open set  $D_1$  onto an open set  $D_2$  in  $E^m$ , of dimension  $m \geq 2$ .

DEFINITION. The homeomorphism  $y = y(x)$  is said to be a Dirichlet mapping of  $D_1$  onto  $D_2$  if  $\varphi(y) \in W(D_2)$  is equivalent to  $\varphi(y(x)) \in W(D_1)$ .

The notion of Dirichlet mapping was introduced in Nakai-Sario [5], where the following additional requirement was imposed; there exists a finite constant  $K \geq 1$  such that

$$(51) \quad K^{-1}D_{D_2}(\varphi) \leq D_{D_1}(\varphi \circ y) \leq KD_{D_2}(\varphi)$$

for every  $\varphi$  in  $W(D_2)$ . However it is known that (51) is a consequence of the very definition of Dirichlet mapping (see Nakai [4]).

As is well-known the solution of the variational problem  $\min D_D(\varphi)$  among functions  $\varphi$  with a fixed boundary condition is harmonic. Therefore  $W(D)$  is, in a sense, characteristic of the potential-theoretic structure of  $D$ , and thus Dirichlet mappings may be viewed as mappings which preserve, again in a sense, potential-theoretic structures of open sets. In view of this it is important to characterize Dirichlet mappings geometrically. For  $m = 2$  it is known that a mapping is a Dirichlet mapping if and only if it is quasiconformal (see Nakai [3] and Sario-Nakai [8]). For quasiconformal mappings, see e.g., the monograph of Künzi [2] and also Gehring [1], among others.

Our object is, in contrast, to characterize Dirichlet mappings geometrically for  $m \geq 3$ . Hereafter we always assume that  $m \geq 3$ .

14. Let  $D$  be a region, i.e., connected open set in  $E^m$ . For two points  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , we denote by  $[x_1, x_2]$  the line segment connecting  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ :

$$[x_1, x_2] = \{x \mid x = \alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)x_2, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1\} .$$

For two points  $x_0$  and  $x$  in  $D$ , the Riemannian flat metric  $\rho_D(x_0, x)$  is defined by

$$(52) \quad \rho_D(x_0, x) = \inf \sum_{j=1}^m |x_j - x_{j-1}| ,$$

where the infimum is taken with respect to every finite sequence

$\{x_j\}_{j=0}^n$  such that  $x_n = x$  and  $[x_{j-1}, x_j] \subset D$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ). Clearly  $\rho_D$  is a metric on  $D$ , and, provided  $[x_0, x] \subset D$ , we have

$$(53) \quad \rho_D(x_0, x) = |x_0 - x| .$$

DEFINITION. A homeomorphism  $y = y(x)$  of a region  $D_1$  onto a region  $D_2$  in  $E^m$  is a quasi-isometry if there exists a finite constant  $K \geq 1$  such that for any two points  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  in  $D_1$

$$(54) \quad K^{-1}\rho_{D_1}(x_1, x_2) \leq \rho_{D_2}(y(x_1), y(x_2)) \leq K\rho_{D_1}(x_1, x_2) .$$

In view of (53) and (52), the condition (54) is equivalent to the following:

$$(55) \quad K^{-1}|x_1 - x_2| \leq |y(x_1) - y(x_2)| \leq K|x_1 - x_2|$$

for any two points  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  such that

$$(56) \quad \begin{aligned} \{x \mid |x - x_1| \leq |x_2 - x_1|\} &\subset D_1 , \\ \{y \mid |y - y(x_1)| \leq |y(x_2) - y(x_1)|\} &\subset D_2 . \end{aligned}$$

15. A complete geometric characterization of Dirichlet mappings for  $m \geq 3$  is given as follows:

THEOREM. A homeomorphism  $y = y(x)$  of a region  $D_1$  onto a region  $D_2$  in  $E^m$  ( $m \geq 3$ ) is a Dirichlet mapping if and only if it is a quasi-isometry of  $D_1$  onto  $D_2$ .

It is not difficult to generalize the concepts of Dirichlet mapping and quasi-isometry and also the above theorem to the case where  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are Riemannian manifolds.

To prove the theorem we have a rather long way to go: 16-23. An application of Theorem 5 will appear in 21, which is one of the crucial steps in our proof.

16. First we suppose  $y = y(x)$  is a quasi-isometry of  $D_1$  onto  $D_2$ , and shall prove it is a Dirichlet mapping. A somewhat indirect proof for this was already given in Nakai-Sario [5], but we furnish here a more direct proof for the sake of completeness. Observe that

$$(57) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|y(x+h) - y(x)|}{|h|} \leq K ,$$

and by the Rademacher theorem mentioned in the introduction, (3) and (5) are valid for the present  $y(x)$ . In particular, (57) assures the uniform boundedness of partial derivatives of the components of  $y(x)$  in the essential supremum norm, and the same is true of the

inverse  $x(y)$  of  $y(x)$ .

Therefore there exists a finite constant  $K_1 \geq 1$  such that

$$(58) \quad K_1^{-1}D_{D_2}(\varphi) \leq D_{D_1}(\varphi \circ y) \leq K_1 D_{D_2}(\varphi)$$

for every  $\varphi \in W(D_2) \cap C^\infty(D_2)$ . Here  $\varphi \circ y \in W(D_1)$  is obviously true.

17. We pause here to insert the following remark. Consider the norms

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{2,D}^2 &= \int \cdots \int_D |f(x)|^2 dx^1 \cdots dx^m, \\ \|f\|_{\infty,D} &= \text{ess. sup}_{x \in D} |f(x)| \end{aligned}$$

for  $f \in W(D)$ . By the standard mollifier method (or the regularization method (cf. e.g., Yosida [11; p. 29, 58]), see also 23 below), we can see easily that  $W(D) \cap C^\infty(D)$  is dense in  $W(D)$  with respect to the combined norm

$$\| \|f\| \| \|_D = \|f\|_{2,D} + (D_D(f))^{1/2},$$

and also that  $W(D) \cap C^\infty(D)$  is dense in  $W(D) \cap C(D)$  with respect to the combined norm

$$\|f\|_D = \|f\|_{\infty,D} + (D_D(f))^{1/2}.$$

Here, as usual,  $C^\infty$  stands for infinitely continuously differentiable, and  $C$  for continuous.

18. Fix an arbitrary  $\varphi \in W(D_2)$  and choose  $\{\varphi_n\} \subset W(D_2) \cap C^\infty(D_2)$  such that  $\| \varphi - \varphi_n \|_D$  tends to zero as  $n$  tends to infinity. Since  $J_y(x)$  is essentially bounded by  $K_2^2$ , say, we see that

$$\| \varphi_n \circ y - \varphi_{n+p} \circ y \|_{2,D_1} \leq K_2 \| \varphi_n - \varphi_{n+p} \|_{2,D_2}$$

and by (58) we can find a constant  $K_3$  such that

$$\| \varphi_n \circ y - \varphi_{n+p} \circ y \| \| \|_{D_1} \leq K_3 \| \varphi_n - \varphi_{n+p} \| \| \|$$

for every  $n, p = 1, 2, \dots$ . Since  $W(D_1)$  is complete with respect to  $\| \cdot \| \|$  (cf. Yosida [11; p. 55]) and a suitable subsequence of  $\{\varphi_n\}$  converges to  $\varphi$  almost everywhere on  $D_2$  and  $y(x)$  is inverse-measurable, we conclude that  $\varphi \circ y \in W(D_1)$ . We remark in passing that (58) is valid for the present  $\varphi$ . Similarly  $\psi \in W(D_1)$  implies that  $\psi \circ x \in X(D_2)$ . Thus we have seen that  $y(x)$  is a Dirichlet mapping.

19. The main part of the proof is to conclude that  $y = y(x)$  is a quasi-isometry of  $D_1$  onto  $D_2$  under the assumption that  $y = y(x)$  is

a Dirichlet mapping of  $D_1$  onto  $D_2$ . Needless to say the inverse mapping  $x = x(y)$  of  $D_2$  onto  $D_1$  of  $y = y(x)$  is also a Dirichlet mapping.

Take an arbitrary relatively compact region  $D'_1$  in  $D_1$ . Let  $\varphi \in C^\infty(D_2)$  such that  $\varphi$  has a compact support in  $D_2$  and  $\varphi|_{y(D'_1)} = 1$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $\varphi(y) \cdot y^i \in W(D_2)$  and hence  $\varphi(y(x))y^i(x) \in W(D_1)$ . Since  $\varphi(y(x))y^i(x) = y^i(x)$  for  $x \in D'_1$ , we conclude that  $y^i(x) \in W(D'_1)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ ) for every relatively compact region  $D'_1$  in  $D_1$ . The same is true of  $x^i(y)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ ).

Since the partial derivatives of the  $y^i(x)$  exist almost everywhere and are measurable on  $D_1$ ,

$$(59) \quad \lambda(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^m \left( \frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^j}(x) \right)^2$$

is defined almost everywhere on  $D_1$  and measurable. We shall first prove that

$$(60) \quad \lambda(x) > 0$$

almost everywhere on  $D_1$ .

For this purpose we consider the set

$$E = \{x \in D_1 \mid \lambda(x) = 0\},$$

which is clearly measurable. Take arbitrary relatively compact open sets  $U_1$  and  $V_1$  such that  $\bar{U}_1 \subset V_1 \subset \bar{V}_1 \subset D_1$ , and set  $U_2 = y(U_1)$  and  $V_2 = y(V_1)$ . Also choose an open set  $V'_2$  with  $\bar{V}_2 \subset V'_2 \subset \bar{V}'_2 \subset D_2$ . Since  $x^i(y) \in W(V'_2) \cap C(V'_2)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ ), we can find sequences  $\{x_n^i(y)\} \subset W(V'_2) \cap C^\infty(V'_2)$  such that

$$(61) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n^i(\cdot) - x^i(\cdot)\|_{V'_2} = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, m)$$

(cf. 17). Let  $h \in C^\infty(D_2)$  such that  $h|_{U_2} = 1$  and  $h|(D_2 - V_2) = 0$ . Set

$$\varphi(y) = h(y) \sum_{i=1}^m x^i(y), \quad \varphi_n(y) = h(y) \sum_{i=1}^m x_n^i(y).$$

These functions can be considered to be in  $W(D_2) \cap C^\infty(D_2)$ . In view of (61) we have

$$(62) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_{D_2}(\varphi - \varphi_n) = 0.$$

We also write  $u(x) = \varphi(y(x))$  and  $u_n(x) = \varphi_n(y(x))$ . They are in  $W(D_1)$ . Observe that if  $x \in U_1$ , then  $u(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m x^i$  and  $u_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m x_n^i(y(x))$ . Using (51) we infer



$$\begin{aligned} D_{U_1-E}(u - u_n) &\leq D_{U_1}(u - u_n) \leq D_{D_1}(\varphi \circ y - \varphi_n \circ y) \\ &\leq KD_{D_2}(\varphi - \varphi_n) \end{aligned}$$

and by (62) we conclude that

$$(63) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_{U_1-E}(u - u_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_{U_1}(u - u_n) = 0 .$$

In particular

$$(63') \quad D_{U_1-E}(u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_{U_1-E}(u_n), \quad D_{U_1}(u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_{U_1}(u_n) .$$

Since  $x_n^i(y) \in C^\infty(U_1)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ ), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i}(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} x_n^i(y(x)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\partial x_n^i}{\partial y^k}(y(x)) \cdot \frac{\partial y^k}{\partial x^j}(x) = 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, m) \end{aligned}$$

for  $x \in U_1 \cap E$ , i.e.,

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \left( \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x^j}(x) \right)^2 = 0$$

for  $x \in U_1 \cap E$ . Therefore

$$D_{U_1-E}(u_n) = D_{U_1}(u_n)$$

and by (63) we conclude that

$$(64) \quad D_{U_1-E}(u) = D_{U_1}(u) .$$

However for  $x$  in  $U_1$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^j}(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \sum_{i=1}^m x^i = 1$$

and (61) takes on the form

$$\int_{U_1-E} m \, d\mu(x) = \int_U m \, d\mu(x) .$$

Since this is true for every relatively compact open set  $U_1$  in  $D_1$ , we must have  $\mu(E) = 0$  and (60) is herewith established.

20. For each  $\xi = (\xi^1, \dots, \xi^m) \in E^m$  with  $|\xi| = 1$  we consider

$$(65) \quad \lambda_\xi(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^k}(x) \cdot \frac{\partial y^j}{\partial x^k}(x) \cdot \xi^i \xi^j ,$$

which is defined almost everywhere on  $D_1$ . Fix an arbitrary point

$x_0 \in D_1$  and an arbitrary positive number  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let

$$V_\varepsilon = V_\varepsilon(y_0) = \{y \mid |y - y_0| < \varepsilon\}, \quad y_0 = y(x_0).$$

Choose the function  $h(y) \in C(D_2)$  characterized by  $h|_{\bar{V}_\varepsilon} = 1$ ,  $h|(D_2 - V_{2\varepsilon}) = 0$ , and

$$h(y) = 1 - ((2\varepsilon)^{2-m} - \varepsilon^{2-m})^{-1}(|y - y_0|^{2-m} - \varepsilon^{2-m})$$

for  $y \in V_{2\varepsilon} - \bar{V}_\varepsilon$ , i.e.,  $h$  is the harmonic function on  $V_{2\varepsilon} - \bar{V}_\varepsilon$  with boundary values 1 at the interior boundary and 0 at the exterior boundary. Consider

$$\varphi(y) = h(y) \sum_{i=1}^m \xi^i y^i,$$

which is clearly in  $W(D_2)$ . By (51), we see that

$$D_{x(V_\varepsilon)}(\varphi \circ y) \leq D_{D_1}(\varphi \circ y) \leq KD_{D_2}(\varphi) = KD_{V_{2\varepsilon}}(\varphi)$$

and therefore

$$(66) \quad \int_{x(V_\varepsilon)} \lambda_\xi(x) d\mu(x) \leq K \left( \int_{\bar{V}_\varepsilon} d\mu(y) + D_{V_{2\varepsilon} - \bar{V}_\varepsilon}(\varphi) \right).$$

At each  $y \in V_{2\varepsilon} - \bar{V}_\varepsilon$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \left( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y^i}(y) \right)^2 \leq 8\varepsilon^2 \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \frac{\partial h}{\partial y^i}(y) \right)^2 + 2$$

and an integration of both sides gives

$$D_{V_{2\varepsilon} - \bar{V}_\varepsilon}(h) \leq 8\varepsilon^2 \cdot \Gamma(1 + m/2)^{-1} \pi^{m/2} (m - 2)(2^{m-2} - 1) \varepsilon^{m-2} + 2 \cdot \mu(V_{2\varepsilon} - \bar{V}_\varepsilon).$$

Therefore, putting  $K_1 = K + 8(m - 2)(2^{m-2} - 1) + 2(2^m - 1)$ , we conclude that

$$(67) \quad \int_{x(V_\varepsilon)} \lambda_\xi(x) d\mu(x) \leq K_1 \mu(V_\varepsilon).$$

If we choose  $\xi = (\delta^{i_1}, \dots, \delta^{i_m})$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ ) and add (67) with these choices of  $\xi$ , we obtain, on setting  $mK_1 = K_2$ ,

$$(68) \quad \int_{x(V_\varepsilon)} \lambda(x) d\mu(x) \leq K_2 \mu(V_\varepsilon).$$

This is true for every  $V_\varepsilon = V_\varepsilon(x_0) \subset D_2$ .

Let  $Y$  be an arbitrary set in  $D_2$  with  $\mu(Y) = 0$ . For each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  we can find suitable balls  $V_{ni} = V_{\varepsilon_{ni}}(y_{ni}) \subset D_2$  such that  $Y \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty V_{ni}$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu(V_{ni}) < 1/n$ . Let  $B_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty V_{ni}$  and  $B = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty B_n$ . Since  $x(B) = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty x(B_n) = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty (\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty x(V_{ni}))$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set,  $x(B)$  is Lebesgue

measurable and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x(B)} \lambda(x) d\mu(x) &\leq \int_{x(B_n)} \lambda(x) d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{x(V_{ni})} \lambda(x) d\mu(x) \end{aligned}$$

for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . In view of (68)

$$\int_{x(B)} \lambda(x) d\mu(x) \leq K_2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(V_{ni}) \leq K_2/n$$

for every  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and we conclude that  $\int_{x(B)} \lambda(x) d\mu(x) = 0$ . By (60), we must have  $\mu(x(B)) = 0$ . Since  $x(B) \supset x(Y)$ , we see that  $\mu(x(Y)) = 0$ , i.e.,  $x = x(y)$  is inverse-measurable. The same should be true of  $y = y(x)$  and we can consider the Radon-Nikodym density  $R_y(x)$  of  $y = y(x)$ .

21. Take an arbitrary set  $X \in L(D_1)$ . Since  $y(X) \in L(D_2)$ , we can find balls  $V_{ni} = V_{\epsilon_{ni}}(y_{ni}) \subset D_2$  such that  $y(X) \subset B_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} V_{ni}$  and

$$\mu(B_n - y(X)) \leq \frac{1}{n}, \quad \sum \mu(V_{ni}) \leq \mu(B_n) + \frac{1}{n}.$$

Set  $B = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$ . Then  $\mu(B - y(X)) = 0$  and thus  $\nu(x(B) - X) = 0$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X \lambda_{\xi}(x) d\mu(x) &= \int_{x(B)} \lambda_{\xi}(x) d\mu(x) \leq \int_{x(B_n)} \lambda_{\xi}(x) d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{x(V_{ni})} \lambda_{\xi}(x) d\mu(x) \end{aligned}$$

for every  $n$ . An application of (67) to the right-hand terms gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X \lambda_{\xi}(x) d\mu(x) &\leq K_1 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(V_{ni}) \leq K_1 \left( \mu(B_n) + \frac{1}{n} \right) \\ &\leq K_1 \left( \mu(y(X)) + \frac{2}{n} \right). \end{aligned}$$

On letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain

$$(69) \quad \int_X \lambda_{\xi}(x) d\mu(x) \leq K_1 \int_X R_y(x) d\mu(x)$$

for every  $X \in L(D_1)$  and every  $\xi \in E^m$  with  $|\xi| = 1$ .

Now we are in a position to use (26) in Theorem 5:  $R_y(x) \leq J_y(x)$ . From (69) it follows that

$$(70) \quad \int_X \lambda_\xi(x) d\mu(x) \leq K_1 \int_X |J_y(x)| d\mu(x)$$

for every  $X \in L(D_1)$  and every  $\xi \in E^m$  with  $|\xi| = 1$ . Let  $\{\xi_i\}$  be a countable dense subset of  $\{\xi \in E^m \mid |\xi| = 1\}$ . Since (70) is true for  $\xi = \xi_i$  and every  $X \in L(D_1)$ , there exists a set  $F_i \subset D_1$  such that  $\mu(F_i) = 0$  and

$$(71) \quad \lambda_{\xi_i}(x) \leq K_1 |J_y(x)| \quad (x \in D_1 - F_i) .$$

Put  $F = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty F_i \subset D_1$ . Then  $\mu(F) = 0$  and (71) is true for each  $x \in D_1 - F$  and every  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Since  $\{\xi_i\}$  is dense in  $|\xi| = 1$ , we finally conclude that

$$(72) \quad \lambda_\xi(x) \leq K_1 |J_y(x)| \quad (x \in D_1 - F, \mu(F) = 0)$$

for every  $\xi \in E^m$  with  $|\xi| = 1$ .

If we write

$$M_y(x) = \left( \frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^j} \right) \text{ and } E = (\delta^{ij}) ,$$

$i$  indicating the row and  $j$  the column, then (72) takes on the following form in the matrix inequality:

$$\xi \cdot M_y(x) \cdot {}^t M_y(x) \cdot {}^t \xi \leq \xi \cdot K_1 |J_y(x)| E \cdot {}^t \xi .$$

Since this is true for every  $\xi \in E^m$ , or rather, for every  $(1, m)$ -matrix, we conclude that

$$M_y(x) \cdot {}^t M_y(x) \leq K_1 |J_y(x)| E .$$

The relation is preserved if we take determinants of both sides, and we obtain

$$|J_y(x)|^2 \leq K_1^m |J_y(x)|^m$$

and a fortiori

$$(73) \quad |J_y(x)| \geq K_3$$

almost everywhere on  $D_1$ , where  $K_3 = K_1^{-m/(m-2)}$ . At this point the assumption  $m \geq 3$  is made essential use of. The same argument can be applied to  $J_x(y)$  to yield

$$(74) \quad |J_x(y)| \geq K_3$$

almost everywhere on  $D_2$ .

22. Let  $D'_2$  and  $D'_2$  be arbitrary relatively compact regions such

that  $\bar{D}'_2 \subset D'_2 \subset \bar{D}''_2 \subset D_2$ . Set  $D'_1 = x(D'_2)$  and  $D''_1 = x(D''_2)$ . Let  $h \in C^\infty(D_2)$  such that the support of  $h$  is contained in  $D''_2$  and  $h|_{D'_2} = 1$ . Choose  $\{x_n^i(y)\} \subset W(D''_2) \cap C^\infty(D''_2)$  with

$$(75) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n^i(\cdot) - x^i(\cdot)\|_{D'_2} = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, m)$$

(cf. 17). Set  $u_n^i(y) = x_n^i(y) \cdot h(y)$  and  $u^i(y) = x^i(y) \cdot h(y)$ ; they can be viewed as members of  $W(D_2)$ . From (75) and (51), we conclude that

$$(76) \quad \begin{aligned} \|x_n^i \circ y - x^i \circ y\|_{D'_1} &\leq \|u_n^i \circ y - u^i \circ y\|_{D_1} \\ &\leq \|u_n^i - u^i\|_{D_2} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

for every  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . By choosing a suitable subsequence, we may assume that

$$(77) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} x^i(y(x)) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} x_n^i(y(x)) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\partial x_n^i}{\partial y^k}(y(x)) \cdot \frac{\partial y^k}{\partial x^j}(x) \end{aligned}$$

almost everywhere on  $D'_1$ . We might also assume that  $\partial x_n^i / \partial y^k$  converges to  $\partial x^i / \partial y^k$  almost everywhere on  $D'_2$ , and since  $y(x)$  is measurable (i.e.,  $x(y)$  is inverse-measurable), we conclude by (77) that

$$(78) \quad \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^k}(y(x)) \frac{\partial y^k}{\partial x^j}(x) = \delta^{ij}$$

almost everywhere on  $D'_1$  and hence on  $D_1$ . This means that

$$(79) \quad J_y(x) \cdot J_x(y(x)) = 1$$

almost everywhere on  $D_1$ . From (74), (79), and the fact that  $x(y)$  is inverse-measurable, it follows that, by setting  $K_4 = K_3^{-1}$ ,

$$(80) \quad |J_y(x)| \leq K_4 < \infty$$

almost everywhere on  $D_1$ . On combining this with (72) we see that

$$(81) \quad \left| \frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^j}(x) \right| \leq K_5 \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, m)$$

almost everywhere on  $D_1$ , with  $K_5 = K_1 \cdot K_4$ .

23. We are ready to prove (54), or equivalently (55). To this end we fix two arbitrary points  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  satisfying (56). Set

$$\bar{B} = \{x \in E^m \mid |x - x_1| \leq |x_2 - x_1|\} \subset D_1,$$

and let  $\eta > 0$  be the distance, which may be  $\infty$ , between the boundary

of  $D_1$  and  $\bar{B}$ .

Let  $\theta_1(x) = \exp(|x|^2 - 1)^{-1}$  for  $|x| < 1$  and  $\theta_1(x) = 0$  for  $|x| \geq 1$ ; it is in  $C^\infty(E^m)$ . Choose  $c_n > 0$  so that the function  $\theta_n(x) = c_n \theta_1(nx) (\geq 0)$  which is also in  $C^\infty(E^m)$  with its support in  $|x| \leq 1/n$ , satisfies

$$(82) \quad \int_{E^m} \theta_n(x) d\mu(x) = 1$$

for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . We will consider only those  $n$  which meet the condition  $1/n < \eta$ . Consider *regularizations* of  $y^i(x)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} y_n^i(x) &= \int_{|\xi| \leq 1/n} y^i(x - \xi) \theta_n(\xi) d\mu(\xi) \\ &= \int_{|x-\xi| \leq 1/n} y^i(\xi) \theta_n(x - \xi) d\mu(\xi) \end{aligned}$$

where  $x$  is in the interior  $B$  of  $\bar{B}$ . Then  $y_n^i(x) \in C^\infty(B)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ ;  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and

$$(83) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n^i(\cdot) - y^i(\cdot)\|_B = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, m)$$

(cf. Yosida [11; p. 29, 58]). Since the  $\partial y^i / \partial x^j$  ( $i, j = 1, \dots, m$ ) are square integrable, integration by parts implies

$$\frac{\partial y_n^i}{\partial x^j}(x) = \int_{|x-\xi| \leq 1/n} \frac{\partial y^i}{\partial \xi^j}(\xi) \theta_n(x - \xi) d\mu(\xi).$$

By (81) and (83), we conclude that

$$(84) \quad \left| \frac{\partial y_n^i}{\partial x^j}(x) \right| \leq K_5 \quad (x \in B; i, j = 1, \dots, m).$$

The mean value theorem yields

$$y_n^i(x_1) - y_n^i(x_2) = \sum_{k=1}^m (x_1^k - x_2^k) \frac{\partial y_n^i}{\partial x^k}(x_2 + t_i(x_1 - x_2))$$

for some  $t_i \in (0, 1)$  and every  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . In view of (84), we have

$$|y_n^i(x_1) - y_n^i(x_2)|^2 \leq mK_5^2 |x_1 - x_2|^2.$$

On letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we conclude in view of (83), that

$$(85) \quad |y^i(x_1) - y^i(x_2)|^2 \leq mK_5^2 |x_1 - x_2|^2 \quad (i = 1, \dots, m).$$

Adding (85) with respect to  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , we finally obtain

$$|y(x_1) - y(x_2)| \leq K_6 |x_1 - x_2|,$$

with  $K_6 = mK_5$ , for every  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  in  $D_1$  with (56). If we inter-

change the roles of  $y = y(x)$  and  $x = x(y)$ , we also have

$$K_6^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| \leq |y(x_1) - y(x_2)| \leq K_6 |x_1 - x_2|,$$

i.e., (55) and thus (54) is valid.

The proof of Theorem 15 is herewith complete.

#### REFERENCES

1. F. Gehring, *Quasiconformal mappings in space*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **69** (1963), 146-164.
2. H. Künzi, *Quasikonforme Abbildungen*, Springer-Verlag, 1960.
3. M. Nakai, *Algebraic criterion on quasiconformal equivalence of Riemann surfaces*, Nagoya Math. J., **16** (1960), 157-184.
4. ———, *Continuity in mixed norms*, Proc. Japan Acad., **45** (1969), 385-387.
5. M. Nakai and L. Sario, *Classification and deformation of Riemannian spaces*, Math. Scand., **20** (1967), 193-208.
6. H. Rademacher, *Über partielle und totale Differenzierbarkeit von Funktionen mehrerer Variablen und über die Transformation der Doppelintegrale*, Math. Ann., **79** (1919), 340-359.
7. S. Saks, *Theory of the Integral*, Warszawa, 1937.
8. L. Sario and M. Nakai, *Classification Theory of Riemann Surfaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1965.
9. M. Stepanoff, *Sur les conditions de l'existence de la différentielle totale*, Rec. Math. Soc. Math. Moscou, **32** (1925), 511-526.
10. M. Tsuji, *Change of variables in the multiple Lebesgue integrals*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **2** (1950), 48-56.
11. K. Yoshida, *Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, 1965.

Received September 1, 1970. The work was sponsored by the U.S. Army Research Office-Durham, Grant No. DA-AROD-31-124-G855, while the author was a Visiting Professor at the University of California, Los Angeles, in 1969.

NAGOYA UNIVERSITY

AND

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

H. SAMELSON  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

C. R. HOBBY  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS  
University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

---

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.



# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 40, No. 2

October, 1972

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Louis I. Alpert and L. V. Toralballa, <i>An elementary definition of surface area in <math>E^{n+1}</math> for smooth surfaces</i> . . . . . | 261 |
| Eamon Boyd Barrett, <i>A three point condition for surfaces of constant mean curvature</i> . . . . .  | 269 |
| Jan-Erik Björk, <i>On the spectral radius formula in Banach algebras</i> . . . . .  | 279 |
| Peter Botta, <i>Matrix inequalities and kernels of linear transformations</i> . . . . .   | 285 |
| Bennett Eisenberg, <i>Baxter's theorem and Varberg's conjecture</i> . . . . .   | 291 |
| Heinrich W. Guggenheimer, <i>Approximation of curves</i> . . . . .  | 301 |
| A. Hedayat, <i>An algebraic property of the totally symmetric loops associated with Kirkman-Steiner triple systems</i> . . . . .            | 305 |
| Richard Howard Herman and Michael Charles Reed, <i>Covariant representations of infinite tensor product algebras</i> . . . . .              | 311 |
| Domingo Antonio Herrero, <i>Analytic continuation of inner function-operators</i> . . . . .   | 327 |
| Franklin Lowenthal, <i>Uniform finite generation of the affine group</i> . . . . .  | 341 |
| Stephen H. McCleary, <i>0-primitive ordered permutation groups</i> . . . . .  | 349 |
| Malcolm Jay Sherman, <i>Disjoint maximal invariant subspaces</i> . . . . .  | 373 |
| Mitsuru Nakai, <i>Radon-Nikodým densities and Jacobians</i> . . . . .   | 375 |
| Mitsuru Nakai, <i>Royden algebras and quasi-isometries of Riemannian manifolds</i> . . . . .  | 397 |
| Russell Daniel Rupp, Jr., <i>A new type of variational theory sufficiency theorem</i> . . . . .   | 415 |
| Helga Schirmer, <i>Fixed point and coincidence sets of biconnected multifunctions on trees</i> . . . . .                                    | 445 |
| Murray Silver, <i>On extremal figures admissible relative to rectangular lattices</i> . . . . .   | 451 |
| James DeWitt Stein, <i>The open mapping theorem for spaces with unique segments</i> . . . . .   | 459 |
| Arne Stray, <i>Approximation and interpolation</i> . . . . .  | 463 |
| Donald Curtis Taylor, <i>A general Phillips theorem for <math>C^*</math>-algebras and some applications</i> . . . . .                       | 477 |
| Florian Vasilescu, <i>On the operator <math>M(Y) = TYS^{-1}</math> in locally convex algebras</i> . . . . .                                 | 489 |
| Philip William Walker, <i>Asymptotics for a class of weighted eigenvalue problems</i> . . . . .   | 501 |
| Kenneth S. Williams, <i>Exponential sums over <math>GF(2^n)</math></i> . . . . .  | 511 |