Pacific Journal of Mathematics

NORM CONVERGENCE OF MARTINGALES OF RADON-NIKODYM DERIVATIVES GIVEN A σ-LATTICE

RICHARD BRIAN DARST AND GENE ALLEN DEBOTH

Vol. 40, No. 3 November 1972

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 40, No. 3, 1972

NORM CONVERGENCE OF MARTINGALES OF RADON-NIKODYM DERIVATIVES GIVEN A σ-LATTICE

R. B. DARST AND G. A. DEBOTH

Suppose that $\{\mathscr{M}_k\}$ is an increasing sequence of sub σ-lattices of a σ-algebra $\mathscr{A}$ of subsets of a non-empty set Ω. Let $\mathscr{M}$ be the sub σ-lattice generated by $\bigcup_k \mathscr{M}_k$. Suppose that L^Φ is an associated Orlicz space of $\mathscr{A}$-measurable functions, where Φ satisfies the Δ_2-condition, and let $h \in L^\Phi$. It is verified that the Radon-Nikodym derivative, f_k, of h given $\mathscr{M}_k$ is in L^Φ and shown that the sequence $\{f_k\}$ converges to f in L^Φ, where f is the Radon-Nikodym derivative of h given $\mathscr{M}$.

1. Introduction. H. D. Brunk defined conditional expectation given a σ-lattice and established several of its properties in [1]. Subsequently S. Johansen [5] described a Radon-Nikodym derivative given a σ-lattice and showed that the Radon-Nikodym derivative was the conditional expectation in the appropriate case. Then H. D. Brunk and S. Johansen [2] proved an almost everywhere martingale convergence theorem for the Radon-Nikodym derivatives given an increasing sequence of σ-lattices. We shall establish norm convergence of these derivatives in L_1 and in the Orlicz spaces L^Φ, where Φ satisfies the Δ_2-condition. The theory of these Orlicz spaces can be found in [6], so we shall assume and build upon the results therein. Thereby, we can place fewer restrictions on Φ and obtain L_1-convergence as a byproduct.

2. Notation. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a σ-algebra of subsets of a (non-empty) set Ω, and let μ be a non-negative (bounded) σ-additive function defined on $\mathscr{A}$.

For our purposes the following information about Φ will suffice: Φ is an even, convex function defined on the real numbers, R, with $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $\Phi(x) \neq 0$ for some x. Moreover, there exists $K > 0$ with $\Phi(2x) \leqq K\Phi(x)$ for all $x \in R$. This latter property is called the Δ_2-condition; it implies

$$(1)\quad \Phi(x+y) = \Phi\Big(2\Big(\frac{x+y}{2}\Big)\Big) \leqq K\Phi\Big(\frac{x+y}{2}\Big) \leqq \Big(\frac{K}{2}\Big)[\Phi(x) + \Phi(y)]\,.$$

Then L^Φ denotes the collection of (real valued) $\mathscr{A}$-measurable functions h defined on Ω with $\int_\Omega \Phi(h)d\mu < \infty$. Since Φ is convex and not identically zero, $L^{\Phi} \subset L_1$; L^{Φ} is usually a proper subset of L_1 if $\lim_{x\to\infty} \Phi(x)/x = \infty$. This latter property and $\lim_{x\to 0} \Phi(x)/x = 0$ are required of an Orlicz space; but, these two properties are not necessary for our estimates to be valid. Examples are $\Phi(x) = |x|^p$, $1 \leqq p < \infty$.

Let $h \in L^{\Phi}$ and $\lambda(E) = \int_E h d\mu$, where $E \in \mathscr{A}$. Let $\mathscr{M}$ be a sub σ-lattice of $\mathscr{A}$ and let f be the Radon-Nikodym derivative of λ with respect to μ. Thus, f is the $\mathscr{M}$-measurable function defined on Ω (ϕ: the empty set, Ω, and $[f > a]$ belong to $\mathscr{M}$, for all $a \in R$) satisfying

$$\lambda(A \cap [f \leqq b]) \leqq b\mu(A \cap [f \leqq b]) , \quad \text{where } A \in M \text{ and } b \in R , \tag{2}$$

and

$$\lambda([f > a] \cap B^C) \geqq a\mu([f > a] \cap B^C) , \tag{3}$$

$$\text{where } B^C = \Omega - B, B \in \mathscr{M}, \text{ and } a \in R .$$

Our first result is a preliminary step to an L^{Φ} martingale convergence theorem.

3. The derivative of an L^{Φ}-function is an L^{Φ}-function. We shall verify this assertion by establishing a sequence of estimates, the first of which is

$$\int_{[f>a]} \Phi(f)d\mu \leqq \int_{[f>a]} \Phi(h)d\mu , \qquad \text{for all } a \geqq 0 . \tag{4}$$

To verify (4), choose $\delta > 0$ and $a = a_0 < a_1 < a_2 < \cdots$ with $\Phi(a_k) = \Phi(a_{k-1}) + \delta$. Let $A_k = [a_k \geqq f > a_{k-1}]$ and notice that (3) implies

$$|\lambda|(\Omega) \geqq \lambda([f > a_k]) \geqq a_k\mu([f > a_k]) .$$

Thus, $\mu([f > a_k]) \to 0$ and

$$\int_{[f>a]} \Phi(\cdot)\, d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{A_k} \Phi(\cdot)\, d\mu + \int_{[f>a_n]} \Phi(\cdot)\, d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_k} \Phi(\cdot)\, d\mu .$$

Applying (3) again, $\int_{A_k} h d\mu = \lambda(A_k) \geqq a_{k-1}\mu(A_k)$, so

$$a_{k-1} \leqq \frac{1}{\alpha_k} \int_{A_k} h d\mu , \quad \text{where} \quad \alpha_k = \mu(A_k) > 0 .$$

Then, applying Jensen's inequality,

$$\Phi(a_{k-1}) \leqq \Phi\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_k} \int_{A_k} h d\mu\right) \leqq \frac{1}{\alpha_k} \int_{A_k} \Phi(h) d\mu .$$

Next, notice that

$$\int_{A_k}\Phi(f)d\mu \leqq \Phi(a_k)\mu(A_k) = (\Phi(a_{k-1}) + \delta)\,\mu(A_k) \leqq \int_{A_k}\Phi(h)d\mu + \delta\mu(A_k)\,.$$

Thus $\int_{[f>a]}\Phi(f)d\mu \leqq \int_{[f>a]}\Phi(h)d\mu + \delta\mu(\Omega)$, for all $\delta > 0$, which implies (4).

By a similar argument, one obtains

$$(5)\qquad \int_{[f\leqq a]}\Phi(f)d\mu \leqq \int_{[f\leqq a]}\Phi(h)d\mu\,, \qquad \text{for all } a \leqq 0\,.$$

Hence, splitting Ω into two pieces, $[f>0]$ and $[f \leqq 0]$, and applying (4) and (5), yields

$$(6)\qquad \int_{\Omega}\Phi(f)d\mu \leqq \int_{\Omega}\Phi(h)d\mu;$$

thus verifying Theorem 1.

THEOREM 1. *The Radon-Nikodym derivative of an L^{Φ}-function is an L^{Φ}-function.*

4. A Martingale convergence theorem. Suppose that $\{\mathscr{M}_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is an increasing sequence of σ-lattices of subsets of Ω, and $\mathscr{M}$ is the σ-lattice generated by the lattice $\mathscr{M}_\infty = \bigcup_k \mathscr{M}_k$. Denote by $\mathscr{A}_k$ the σ-algebra that is generated by $\mathscr{M}_k$ and by λ_k and μ_k the restrictions of λ and μ to $\mathscr{A}_k$. Let h_k be an $\mathscr{A}_k$-measurable function satisfying $\lambda(E) = \int_E h_k d\mu$, where $E \in \mathscr{A}_k$, and denote by f_k the Radon-Nikodym derivative of λ_k with respect to μ_k on $\mathscr{M}_k$.

THEOREM 2. *The sequence $\{f_k\}$ converges to f in L^{Φ}-norm:*

$$(7)\qquad \lim_{k\to\infty}\int_{\Omega}\Phi(f-f_k)d\mu = 0\,.$$

Proof. To begin, notice that applying (4) and (5) to f_k yields

$$(8)\qquad \int_{[f_k>a]}\Phi(h_k)d\mu \geqq \int_{[f_k>a]}\Phi(f_k)d\mu\,, \qquad \text{for all } a \geqq 0\,,$$

and

$$(9)\qquad \int_{[f_k\leqq a]}\Phi(h_k)d\mu \geqq \int_{[f_k\leqq a]}\Phi(f_k)d\mu\,, \qquad \text{for all } a \leqq 0\,.$$

Since λ_k is the restriction of λ to $\mathscr{A}_k$, a variation on the theme which established (4) verifies

$$(10)\qquad \int_E \Phi(h)d\mu \geqq \int_E \Phi(h_k)d\mu\,, \qquad \text{for all } E \in \mathscr{A}_k:$$

To substantiate this latter assertion, suppose $a \geqq 0$, $\delta > 0$, $b > a$, $\Phi(b) = \Phi(a) + \delta$, $E \in \mathscr{A}_k$, $F = E \cap [b \geqq h_k > a]$, and $\mu(F) > 0$. Then $\int_F h_k d\mu = \int_F h d\mu$, since $F \in \mathscr{A}_k$. Moreover,

$$\int_F \Phi(h_k) d\mu \leqq \Phi(b)\mu(F) = [\Phi(a) + \delta]\,\mu(F)\ ,$$

and

$$\Phi(a) \leqq \Phi\left(\frac{1}{\mu(F)} \int_F h_k d\mu\right) = \Phi\left(\frac{1}{\mu(F)} \int_F h d\mu\right)$$
$$\leqq \frac{1}{\mu(F)} \int_F \Phi(h) d\mu\ .$$

Thus,

$$\int_F \Phi(h_k) d\mu \leqq \int_F \Phi(h) d\mu + \delta\mu(F)\ .$$

Hence, appealing to the proof of (4) and to the sentence containing (5), we claim (10). Consequently,

$$\int_{[f_k > a]} \Phi(h) d\mu \geqq \int_{[f_k > a]} \Phi(f_k) d\mu\ , \tag{11}$$

where $a \geqq 0$ and $k = 1, 2, \cdots$,

and

$$\int_{[f_k \leqq a]} \Phi(h) d\mu \geqq \int_{[f_k \leqq a]} \Phi(f_k) d\mu\ , \tag{12}$$

where $a \leqq 0$ and $k = 1, 2, \cdots$.

Moreover, $a\mu([|f_k| > a]) \leqq |\lambda|([|f_k| > a]) \leqq |\lambda|(\Omega)$, where $a \geqq 0$; thus,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_k \int_{[|f_k| > n]} \Phi(f_k) d\mu = 0\ . \tag{13}$$

So we can truncate the functions and still approximate them uniformly as follows. Whenever n is a positive integer and u is a (real valued) function defined on Ω, let $u^n(x) = u(x)$, where $|u(x)| \leqq n$, and $u^n(x) = nu(x)/|u(x)|$ otherwise. Then, using (1) and setting $M = \max\{(K/2), (K^2/4)\}$,

$$\int_\Omega \Phi(f - f_k) d\mu = \int_\Omega \Phi(\{f - f^n\} + \{f^n - (f_k)^n\} + \{(f_k)^n - f_k\})\, d\mu$$
$$\leqq M(A_n + B_n + C_n)\ ,$$

where

$$A_n = \int_{[|f|>n]} \Phi(f) d\mu ,$$

$$B_n = \int_{\Omega} \Phi(f_n - (f_k)^n) \, d\mu ,$$

and

$$C_n = \int_{[|f_k|>n]} \Phi(f_k) d\mu .$$

From (4), (5) and (13), we obtain $A_n \to 0$ and $C_n \to 0$. Moreover, for each $\delta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} B_n &\leqq \Phi(2n)\mu([|f^n - (f_k)^n| > \delta]) + \Phi(\delta)\mu(\Omega) \\ &\leqq \Phi(2n)\mu([|f - f_k| > \delta]) + \Phi(\delta)\mu(\Omega) . \end{aligned}$$

But, Brunk and Johansen have shown that $\lim_k \mu([|f - f_k| > \delta]) = 0$, where $\delta > 0$, so Theorem 2 is established.

Because of the approximation properties which are verified in [4], the results of this paper extend immediately to analogous results for the derivatives of additive set functions defined on algebras of subsets of Ω given a sub lattice (cf. [3]). Results for vector valued functions with respect to lattices which are related to the results: [7], [8], [9], of J. J. Uhl, Jr. for vector valued functions with respect to algebras should appear subsequently.

References

1. H. D. Brunk, *Conditional expectation given a σ-lattice and applications*, Annals. Math. Statist., **36** (1965), 1339-1350.
2. H. D. Brunk and S. Johansen, *A generalized Radon-Nikodym derivative*, Pacific J. Math., **34** (1970), 585-617.
3. R. B. Darst, *The Lebesgue decomposition, Radon-Nikodym derivative, conditional expectation and martingale convergence for lattices of sets*, Pacific J. Math., **35** (1970), 581-600.
4. R. B. Darst and G. A. DeBoth, *Two approximation properties and a Radon-Nikodym derivative for lattices of sets*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **21** (1971), 355-362.
5. S. Johansen, *The descriptive approach to the derivative of a set function with res pect to a σ-lattice*, Pacific J. Math., **21** (1967), 49-58.
6. M. A. Krasnosel'skii and Ya. B. Rutickii, *Convex functions and Orlicz spaces* (Translation), Groningen, 1961.
7. J. J. Uhl, Jr., *Orlicz spaces of finitely additive set functions*, Studia Math., T. **XXIX** (1967), 19-58.
8. ———, *Applications of Radon-Nikodym theorems to martingale convergence*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **145** (1969), 271-285.

9. J. J. Uhl. Jr., *Martingales of vector valued set functions*, Pacific J. Math., **30** (1969), 533-548.

Received April 7, 1971, R. B. Darst was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grant no. GP 9470 and G. A. DeBoth was supported by a National Science Foundation Science Faculty Fellowship.

PURDUE UNIVERSITY
COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY
AND
ST. NORBERT COLLEGE

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

C. R. HOBBY
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index. to Vol. **39**. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is $8.00; single issues, $3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: $4.00 per volume; single issues $1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 40, No. 3 November, 1972