Pacific Journal of Mathematics

INJECTIVE MODULES OVER DUO RINGS

THOMAS STEPHEN SHORES

Vol. 40, No. 3

November 1972

INJECTIVE MODULES OVER DUO RINGS

THOMAS S. SHORES

Let R be a ring with unit whose right and left ideals are two-sided ideals. It is shown that every Noetherian injective R-module has finite length (i.e., has a finite composition series). If I is a maximal ideal of R, then R has a universal localization, R_I at I. The condition that the injective hull of R/I is finite is characterized in terms of R_I .

Introduction. In this note all rings have unit and modules 1. are unital right modules. A. Rosenberg and D. Zelinsky have shown that if R is a commutative ring and I is a maximal ideal of R, then the injective hull of R/I is finite (i.e., has finite length) if and only if the localization of R at I is Artinian (see Theorem 5 of [6, p. 379]). In this note we shall prove an extended version (Theorem 4) of their result for a class of rings which is somewhat interesting in itself. Let us call a ring R a duo ring if xR = Rx for all $x \in R$ (equivalently all ideals are bilateral). Such rings were investigated by E. Feller [2] Trivial examples of duo rings are, of course, and G. Thierrin [7]. commutative rings and division rings. Nontrivial duo rings are not difficult to come by (e.g., any noncommutative special primary ring is duo, since the only right or left ideals are powers of the unique maximal ideal). In fact some interesting examples of duo rings have already occurred in the literature: M. Auslander and O. Goldman have shown in [1, p. 13] that there exist noncommutative maximal orders which are both duo rings and Noetherian domains. Further investigations of such rings have been carried out by G. Maury in [4].

One of the basic difficulties in extending Rosenberg and Zelinsky's result to duo rings is the existence of suitable localizations. This problem is considered in §2. Next we show in §3 that Noetherian duo rings are classical in the sense that the familiar primary decomposition theory of commutative Noetherian rings extends to duo rings. We use this fact to show that Noetherian injective modules over duo rings are finite. Finally we prove our main result in §4.

The injective hull of the module M will be denoted by E(M). If A and B are subsets of M or R, then $A \cdot B = \{x \in R | xB \subseteq A\}$ and $A \cdot B = \{x \in R | Ax \subseteq B\}$. Also $A \setminus B$ is the set of elements in A but not B.

2. Localizations. First of all we need a suitable definition of the term "localization." The ideal P of R is prime if $AB \subseteq P$ implies $A \subseteq P$ or $B \subseteq P$ for all ideals A and B of R.

DEFINITION 1. Let R be a ring and P a prime ideal of R. By a (right) localization of R at P we mean a nonzero ring Q together with a ring homomorphism $\phi: R \to Q$ such that images under ϕ of elements of $R \setminus P$ (elements in R but not P) are units in Q and $Q = \{\phi(a)\phi(b)^{-1} | a \in R \text{ and } b \in R \setminus P\}.$

REMARK 1. A localization Q of R at P is a local ring whose maximal ideal is $\phi(P)Q$. For if $\phi(a)\phi(b)^{-1}$ is a unit of Q, then so is $\phi(a)$, say $\phi(a)\phi(c)\phi(d)^{-1} = 1$. But then $ac - d \in \ker \phi \subseteq P$, so that $a \notin P$. Hence the units of Q are precisely the elements of the set $\phi(R \setminus P)\phi(R \setminus P)^{-1}$ and the non-units form a maximal right ideal $\phi(P)Q$. Therefore Q has a unique maximal right ideal and is by definition a local ring.

DEFINITION 2. The localization Q of R at P is universal if every localization $\phi^* \colon R \to Q^*$ of R at P can be factored through $\phi \colon R \to Q$, i.e., there is a ring homomorphism $\lambda \colon Q \to Q^*$ such that $\lambda \cdot \phi = \phi^*$.

Clearly universal localizations are unique up to a ring isomorphism, if they exist. If R has a universal localization at P, we shall denote this ring by R_P . In the case of a commutative ring R, R_P exists and is just the usual ring of quotients of R by elements of $R \setminus P$. With some slight modifications, we can make the same trick work for duo rings:

THEOREM 1. If P is a prime ideal of the duo ring R, then R has a universal localization at P.

Proof. First note that if $x, y \in R$ and $xy \in P$, then $xRyR = xyR \subseteq$ P, so $x \in P$ or $y \in P$. Hence $R \setminus P$ is multiplicatively closed. Let K be the set of $r \in R$ for which there are elements $a, b \in R \setminus P$ such that arb = 0. Then K is an ideal of R. For if arb = 0 and a'r'b' = 0, then aa'(r-r')bb'=0. To see this apply the fact that xR=Rxfor all $x \in R$ to obtain that $aa'rbb' = a^*arbb' = 0$ for some $a^* \in R$. Similarly aa'r'bb' = 0 and $as \in K$ if $s \in R$. If $r \in R$ and $a, b \in R \setminus P$ are such that $arb \in K$, then a'arbb' = 0 for suitable $a', b' \in R \setminus I$. Hence $r \in K$. Hence $r \in K$. In other words images of elements of $R \setminus I$ in the ring R/K are regular elements (i.e., nonzero divisors). Let S = $(R/K)\setminus(P/K)$. Since R/K is a duo ring, it follows that for any $u \in$ R/K and $v \in S$ there is an element $w \in R/K$ such that uv = vw. Thus elements of S satisfy a right Ore condition, so we may form the ring of right quotients Q of R/K by elements of S in the usual way (i.e., Q is the set of equivalence classes of ordered pairs (a, b) such that $b \notin P$ and (a, b) is equivalent to (c, d) if da = eb, where dc = ed). If we identify R/K with its image in Q, then it is clear that Q, along with the natural map $\phi: R \to R/K$, is a right localization of R at P.

To see that Q is universal, simply note that if $\phi^* \colon R \to Q^*$ is any other localization of R at P, then Q^* is determined up to a ring isomorphism by Ker ϕ^* . For Q^* is just the ring of right quotients of $R/\ker\phi^*$ by elements of $S^* = (R/\ker\phi^*) \setminus (P/\ker\phi^*)$. However, it is clear that $K \subseteq \ker\phi^*$ and this implies that the ring of right quotients of $R/\ker\phi^*$ by S^* is just $Q/((\ker\phi^*)Q)$, for

$$R/\ker \phi^* \cong (R/K)(\ker \phi^*/K)$$
.

REMARK 2. In the case of commutative R, the ring R_P is just the usual localization and is itself a commutative ring. It is not clear that R_P need be a duo ring if R is a duo ring. We leave this question open. The ideal K of the proof of Theorem 1 will be called the Pcomponent of 0. The set of $r \in R$ for which rb = 0 for some $b \in R \setminus P$ will be called the right P-component of 0. Of course if R is commutative, then the right P-component of 0 is just K.

3. Noetherian duo rings. Let A be an ideal of the ring R. Then by the radical of A we mean the inverse image in R of the prime radical of the ring R/A. As usual we call the ideal A of R a primary ideal if the radical of A is a prime ideal and whenever C and D are ideals of R such that CD or DC is contained in A and $C \subsetneq A$, then D is contained in the radical of A. Also A is called an *irreducible ideal* if A cannot be written as the intersection of ideals of R which properly contain A. We need to extend the well known fact that irreducible implies primary in Noetherian commutative rings.

LEMMA 1. Let P be the prime radical of the Noetherian duo ring R and suppose that 0 is an irreducible ideal of R. Then elements of $R \setminus P$ are regular.

Proof. The ideal P is nilpotent by Levitzki's Theorem, since R is Noetherian. Furthermore if $r^n = 0$ for some $r \in R$, then $(rR)^n = r^n R = 0$ since R is a duo ring. Therefore P consists precisely of the nilpotent elements of R. Suppose that $r \in R \setminus P$ and $0 \neq a \in R$ are such that ar =0. Then $r^n \notin P$ for all integers n. Apply the fact that R is Noetherian to the ascending chain $0 \cdot r \subseteq 0 \cdot r^2 \subseteq \cdots$ to obtain that $0 \cdot r^n = 0 \cdot r^{n+1}$ for some integer n. Let $d \in Rr^n \cap Ra$, say $d = sr^n = s'a$. Then $sr^{n+1} =$ s'ar = 0, so $s \in 0 \cdot r^{n+1} = 0 \cdot r^n$ and $sr^n = 0 = d$. Hence $0 = Rr^n \cap Ra$, which contradicts the fact that 0 is irreducible. Hence r is right regular. Repeat the preceding argument on the chain $r \cdot 0 \subseteq r^2 \cdot 0 \subseteq \cdots$ and obtain that r is left regular (and therefore regular).

COROLLARY. Every irreducible ideal of a Noetherian duo ring R is a primary ideal.

Proof. Let A be an irreducible ideal of R. Without loss of generality A = 0. If P is the prime radical of R, then P is a prime ideal; for if $x, y \in R \setminus P$ and $xy \in P$, then there is a least integer n such that $(xy)^n \neq 0$. Then $(xy)^{n+1} = 0$, which implies that x or y is not regular. This contradicts Lemma 1, so P is a prime ideal. Likewise apply Lemma 1 and obtain that if xy or yx equals 0 and $x \neq 0$, then $y \in P$. Hence 0 is a primary ideal.

A standard argument (see Exercises 9-13 of [3, p. 105]) shows that every ideal in a Noetherian ring can be expressed as a finite intersection of irreducible ideals. Let R be a duo ring and collect all the irreducibles in such an expression whose radical is the same prime ideal. Then we obtain the following result:

THEOREM 2. Let A be an ideal in the Noetherian ideal ring R. Then A is the intersection of a finite number of irreducible ideals, no two of which have the same radical.

The proof of the next result provides us with some information about injective modules over Noetherian duo rings which we shall use in Theorem 4. This proof uses Lemma 1 and some results and techniques of E. Matlis [5] for injective modules.

THEOREM 3. Every Noetherian injective module over a duo ring has finite length.

Proof. Let R be a duo ring and M an injective Noetherian R-module. Let K be the (right) annihilator of M in R. Then R/K is a Noetherian ring, since R/K is a subdirect sum of R-modules R/K_i , $i = 1, \dots, m$, where K_i is the annihilator of x_i in R and $M = x_1R + \dots + x_mR$. Also R/K is a duo ring. Furthermore M is certainly injective as an (R/K)-module, so we may as well replace R by R/K and assume that K = 0 and R is Noetherian. Thus M is a finite direct sum of indecomposible injective submodules by Theorem 2.5 of [5, p. 516]. We may replace M by one of these summands and assume that M is itself indecomposible.

Now apply Theorem 2.4 of [5, p. 516] and we obtain that M = E(R/J), where J is an irreducible ideal of R. Furthermore if $0 \neq x \in M$, then $M \cong E(R/(x \cdot 0))$ by the same theorem. Let P be the radical of J. Then there is a least integer n such that $P^{n+1} \subseteq J$, since R is Noetherian. Select an element $y \in P^n \setminus P^{n+1}$ and obtain from the above remarks that $M \cong E(R/(y \cdot J))$. Clearly $P \subseteq y \cdot J$. Also if $a \in y \cdot J$, then $ya \in J$. Since images of elements of $R \setminus P$ are regular in R/J by Lemma 1, $a \in P$. Therefore $y \cdot J = P$, which is a prime ideal by the Corollary to Lemma 1. Furthermore $M \cong E(R/P)$ by a

preceding remark. Now any prime duo ring is an integral domain, for xy = 0 implies xRyR = 0. Furthermore a prime duo ring obviously satisfies an Ore condition, so such a ring is contained in a right quotient division ring. Let Q be the right quotient ring of R/P. The injection of R/P into M extends to a R-homomorphism of Q into M. Such a homomorphism is clearly injective, so Q is a submodule of Mand therefore Noetherian. If $x \neq 0$, we obtain from xR = Rx that $x^{-1}R = Rx^{-1}$. Hence any element $a'b^{-1}$ of Q may be written as $b^{-1}a$ for suitable a. In particular there are elements $a_i, b_i \in R, i = 1, \dots, n$, such that

$$Q = b_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{\scriptscriptstyle -1} a_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(R/P) + \cdots + b_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{\scriptscriptstyle -1} a_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(R/P)$$
 .

But then $Q = b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n Q$ and repeated application of the identity $x^{-1}R = Rx^{-1}$ yields that $b_1 \cdots b_n b_i^{-1}a_i = b_1 \cdots b_{i-1}ca$ for some $c \in R$. Consequently Q = R/P and P is actually a maximal ideal of R.

We complete the proof by showing that $P^n = 0$ for some integer n. For it then follows that R is a local Noetherian ring with nilpotent maximal ideal P. Since $P^{i/P^{i+1}}$ must be a finite dimensional (R/P)vector space for all integers i, one obtains that R is (right) Artinian. Consequently M must be Artinian, which was to be shown. Now if P_1 is any other prime ideal of R and $E(R/P_1) \cong E(R/P)$, then we can think of R/P_1 and R/P as embedded in E(R/P) and obtain that $R/P_1 \cap$ $R/P \neq 0$. But nonzero elements of R/P_1 have right annihilator P_1 in R and likewise for nonzero elements of R/P. Hence $P = P_1$. It follows from the remarks in the previous paragraph that if $0 \neq x \in M$, then $P^m \subseteq x : 0$ for some integer m. Form the ascending chain of submodules of M given by $A_i = \{x \in M | xP^i = 0\}, i = 1, 2, \cdots$. Then there is an integer n such that $A_n = A_{n+1}$, since M is Noetherian. But we have shown that M is the union of the A_i , $i = 1, 2, \cdots$. Hence $M = A_n$ and $MP^n = 0$. But M is a faithful R-module, so $P^n =$ 0 and the proof is complete.

3. The main theorem. Note that if I is a maximal right ideal of the duo ring R, then I is an ideal of R and R/I is a division ring, so R_I exists. We now generalize Rosenberg and Zelinskys' result. First we need the following lemma:

LEMMA 2. Let R be a duo ring with a maximal ideal I and let M be a nonzero R-module with the property that right multiplication of N by an element of $R \setminus I$ is a one-to-one map of N onto N for all submodules N of M. Then M can be made into an R_I -module in such a way that R- and R_I -submodule lattices of M coincide.

Proof. Let K = M : 0. Then M is a faithful (R/K)-module. Also

 $(R/K)_I = R_I/KR_I$, since $K \subseteq I$. So it is sufficient to prove the lemma in the case that K = 0 and we may assume that M is a faithful Rmodule. Right multiplication by an element of $R \setminus I$ is a one-to-one map of N onto N, where N is any submodule of M. In particular if ar = 0 with $a \in R$ and $r \in R$ I, then (Ma)r = 0. But MaR = MRa = Ma, since R is a duo ring. Hence Ma is a submodule of M and therefore Ma = 0. Since M is a faithful R-module, a = 0. Similarly if ra = 0, then Mra = Ma = 0 and a = 0. Hence elements of $R \setminus I$ are regular elements of R. Therefore R is actually a subring of R_I . So if $r \in R \setminus I$, we obtain from rR = Rr that $r^{-1}R = Rr^{-1}$.

Since right multiplication by an element of $R \setminus I$ is a one-to-one map of M onto M, we can define, for all $m \in M$ and $r \in R$ I, $mr^{-1} = m'$, where m'r = m. For any $a \in R$ define $m(ab^{-1}) = (ma)b^{-1}$. If $ab^{-1} = cd^{-1}$, then we can select an element $e \in R$ such that $d^{-1}b = ed^{-1}$, since $d^{-1}R = Rd^{-1}$. Thus we obtain equations

$$(m(ab^{-1}))(bd) = mad$$
, and $m(cd^{-1})(de) = mce$.

But ad = ce, so we obtain from these equations that $m(ab^{-1}) = m(cd^{-1})(ded^{-1}b^{-1})$. Since $ded^{-1}b^{-1} = 1$, we conclude that $m(ab^{-1}) = m(cd^{-1})$ and multiplication by elements of R_I is well-defined. Similarly it follows readily that the above definition makes M into an R_I -module. If N is any R-submodule of M, then Nr = N for each $r \in R \setminus I$. Hence $Nr^{-1} = N$ and in general $NR_I = N$. So N is an R_I -submodule of M. Obviously R_I -submodules are R-submodules, and the lemma follows.

THEOREM 4. Let I be a maximal ideal of the duo ring R. The following are equivalent:

(1) R_I is Artinian and the I-component of 0 is the right I-component of 0.

(2) E(R/I) has finite length.

(3) E(R/I) is Noetherian.

Proof. Suppose that (1) is true and let J be the right component of 0. Then $J \subseteq I$ and I/J is contained in the maximal ideal of R_I . But R_I is Artinian, so $(I/J)^n = 0$ for some integer n. Hence $I^n \subseteq J$. Let $t \in I^n$ and select $r \in R \setminus I$ such that tr = 0. Then let E = E(R/I)and obtain that (Et)r = 0. Right multiplication of elements of E by r is a one-to-one map of E into E. For if $0 \neq m \in E$ and mr = 0, then select $s \in R$ such that $0 \neq ms \in R/I$ (possible since E is an essential extension of R/I) and obtain that 0 = mr = mrR = mRr and msr =0. This is a contradiction, since R/I is a division ring. It follows from (Et)r = 0 that Et = 0. Consequently $t \in E \cdot 0$. Therefore $I^n \subseteq$ $E \cdot 0$. Hence if N is a submodule of E, then $NI^n = 0$ for some integer n. Induct on n to show that Nr = N for all $r \in R \setminus I$. If n =

1, then N is an (R/I)-vector space and it is clear that Nr = N. If the assertion is true for integers less than n and $NI^n = 0$, then NI is a submodule of M such that $(NI)I^{n-1} = 0$, so NIr = NI by induc-Select $s \in R$ such that $1 - sr \in I$ (possible since R/I is a division tion. ring). Then if $n \in N$, we have $n - nsr \in NI = NIr$, say n - nsr = $n'r, n' \in N$. We obtain that $n = (n' + ns)r \in Nr$ and hence N = Nr. It follows that N = Nr for all submodules N of E and $r \in R \setminus I$. Apply Lemma 2 to E and obtain that E is an R_{I} -module with the same submodule lattice as the *R*-module *E*. Let $L = IR_I$ be the maximal ideal of R_I and we obtain that $EL^n = 0$. Form the chain $R_I \supseteq L \subseteq$ $\cdots \supseteq L^n = 0$ and set $E_i = \{x \in E \mid xL^i = 0\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then E = E_n and E_{i+1}/E_i is isomorphic to a submodule of $\operatorname{Hom}_{R_I}(L^i/L^{i+1}, E)$ by Lemma 1 of [6, p. 373]. Now any image of L^{i}/L^{i+1} is annihilated by L. Furthermore if $m \in E \setminus (R/I)$, then $mI \neq 0$ (else mR is irreducible and meets (R/I) trivially). Also $R/I \cong R_I/L$. Hence

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R_{I}}(L^{i}/L^{i+1}, E) = \operatorname{Hom}_{(R_{I}/L)}(L^{i}/L^{i+1}, R_{I}/L)$$
,

which is just the dual space of L^i/L^{i+1} . Since R_I is Artinian, L^i/L^{i+1} is finite dimensional and so E_{i+1}/E_i is Artinian. It follows that E is an finite R_I -module and therefore a finite R-module. So (1) implies (2).

The equivalence of conditions (2) and (3) follows from Theorem 3. Finally suppose that (2) is true. As above, let J be the right *I*-component of 0, E = E(R/I) and $K = E \cdot 0 \subseteq I$. Since E is finite, it is certainly Noetherian. We showed in the last part of the proof of Theorem 3 that if E(R/I) is Noetherian, then $I^n \subseteq K$ for some positive integer n. If x is any element of R such that $x \cdot 0 \subseteq I$, then $x \notin K$ by Lemma 6 of [6, p. 377] (simply lift the composition of maps $xR \rightarrow$ $R/(x \cdot 0) \to R/I$ to a map $R \to E$). Consequently if $x \in K$, then there is an element $r \in R \setminus I$ such that xr = 0. Hence x belongs to J, the right I-component of 0. Certainly J is contained in the I-component of 0, which we denote by T. Thus $I^n \subseteq K \subseteq J \subseteq T$. Then R/T is a local ring with nilpotent ideal I/T. Consequently elements of (R/T)(I/T) are already units in R/T and $R_I = R/T$. Also $R/T \cong (R/K)/(T/K)$, which is Artinian since R/K is Artinian. Hence R_I is Artinian. We now obtain, exactly as in the proof that (1) implies (2), that Nr = N for every submodule N of E and $r \in R \setminus I$. Consequently if $x \in T$, say axb = 0 for $a, b \in R \setminus I$, then (Eax)b = 0. Therefore 0 = (Ea)x = Exand $x \in K = E \cdot 0$. It follows that K = J = T, which completes the proof that (2) implies (1).

REMARK 3. We have not been able to decide whether or not condition (1) of Theorem 4 may be replaced by the apparently weaker condition that R_I is Artinian. In any case the condition that right *I*-component equal *I*-component is vacuous if *R* is commutative.

THOMAS S. SHORES

References

1. M. Auslander and O. Goldman, *Maximal orders*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **97** (1960), 1-24.

2. E. H. Feller, Properties of primary noncommutative rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 89 (1958), 79-91.

3. J. Lambek, *Lectures on Rings and Modules*, Blaisdell Publishing Company, Waltham, Mass. 1966.

4. G. Maury, Charactérisation des ordres maximaux, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, **269** (1969), Ser. A, 993-996.

5. E. Matlis, Injective modules over Noetherian rings, Pacific J. Math., 8 (1958), 511-528.

6. A. Rosenberg and D. Zelinsky, *Finiteness of the injective hull*, Math. Zeitschrift. **70** (1959), 372-380.

7. G. Thierrin, On duo rings, Canad. Math. Bull., 3 (1960), 167-172.

Received April 12, 1971.

THE UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University Stanford, California 94305 J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E.F. BECKENBACH

B.H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON * * * * AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index. to Vol. **39**. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

C. R. HOBBY University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 40, No. 3 November, 1972

Wazir Husan Abdi, A quasi-Kummer function	521
Vasily Cateforis, Minimal injective cogenerators for the class of modules of	
zero singular submodule	527
W. Wistar (William) Comfort and Anthony Wood Hager, Cardinality of	
k-complete Boolean algebras	541
Richard Brian Darst and Gene Allen DeBoth, Norm convergence of	
martingales of Radon-Nikodym derivatives given a σ -lattice	547
M. Edelstein and Anthony Charles Thompson, Some results on nearest	
points and support properties of convex sets in $c_0 \dots \dots \dots$	553
Richard Goodrick, Two bridge knots are alternating knots	561
Jean-Pierre Gossez and Enrique José Lami Dozo, Some geometric properties	
related to the fixed point theory for nonexpansive mappings	565
Dang Xuan Hong, Covering relations among lattice varieties	575
Carl Groos Jockusch, Jr. and Robert Irving Soare, Degrees of members of Π_1^0	
classes	605
Leroy Milton Kelly and R. Rottenberg, Simple points in pseudoline	
arrangements	617
Joe Eckley Kirk, Jr., The uniformizing function for a class of Riemann	
surfaces	623
Glenn Richard Luecke, <i>Operators satisfying condition</i> (G_1) <i>locally</i>	629
T. S. Motzkin, On L(S)-tuples and l-pairs of matrices	639
Charles Estep Murley, The classification of certain classes of torsion free	
Abelian groups	647
Louis D. Nel, Lattices of lower semi-continuous functions and associated	
topological spaces	667
David Emroy Penney, II, <i>Establishing isomorphism between tame prime</i>	
knots in E^3	675
Daniel Rider, <i>Functions which operate on</i> $\mathcal{F}L_p(T)$, 1	681
Thomas Stephen Shores, <i>Injective modules over duo rings</i>	695
Stephen Simons, A convergence theorem with boundary	703
Stephen Simons, Maximinimax, minimax, and antiminimax theorems and a	
result of R. C. James	709
Stephen Simons, On Ptak's combinatorial lemma	719
Stuart A. Steinberg, <i>Finitely-valued f-modules</i>	723
Pui-kei Wong, Integral inequalities of Wirtinger-type and fourth-order	
elliptic differential inequalities	739
Yen-Yi Wu, Completions of Boolean algebras with partially additive	
oparators	
	753