

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

UNIMBEDDABLE NETS OF SMALL DEFICIENCY

AIDEN A. BRUEN

UNIMBEDDABLE NETS OF SMALL DEFICIENCY

A. BRUEN

We construct some new geometrical examples of unimbeddable nets N of order p^2 with p an odd prime. The deficiency of N is $p - j$ where either $j = 0$ or $j = 1$. In particular, the examples show that a bound of Bruck is best possible for nets of order 9,25. Our proof also shows that deriving a translation plane of order p^2 is equivalent to reversing a regulus in the corresponding spread.

2. Background, summary. Let N be a net of order n , degree k so that N has deficiency $d = n + 1 - k$. Let the polynomial $f(x)$ be given by $f(x) = x/2[x^3 + 3 + 2x(x + 1)]$. The following result is shown in [1].

THEOREM 1 (Bruck). *Suppose N is a finite net of order n , deficiency d . Then N is embeddable in an affine plane of order n provided $n > f(d - 1)$.*

Thus a net of small deficiency is embeddable. However, as is pointed out in [1], little is known concerning the bound above. It is our purpose here to remedy this. In Theorem 2 we describe a construction used in [2] to obtain maximal partial spreads W of $PG(3, q)$. W yields a net N of order q^2 and deficiency $q - j$ where either $j = 0$ or $j = 1$. Our main result is that N is not embeddable if $q = p$ is an odd prime. This will show that Bruck's bound is best possible for nets of order 9,25 and is fairly good, if not best possible, for other nets of order p^2 .

3. The construction. For definitions and proofs of Theorems 2, 3 we refer to [2].

THEOREM 2. *Let S be a spread of $\Sigma = PG(3, q)$ with $q \geq 3$, such that S is not regular. Let u be a line of Σ with u not in S , such that the $q + 1$ lines A of S passing through the $q + 1$ points of u do not form a regulus. Let W_1 be the partial spread of Σ which is got by removing A from S and adjoining u : in symbols $W_1 = H \cup \{u\}$ where $H = S - A$. Then there exists a maximal partial spread W of Σ which contains W_1 . Furthermore, either*

- (i) $W = W_1$ so that $|W| = q^2 - q + 1$ or
- (ii) $W = W_1 \cup \{v\}$ where v is a line of Σ which is skew to each line of W_1 . In this case $|W| = q^2 - q + 2$.

THEOREM 3. *For any (prime power) $q \geq 3$ there exist examples of*

case (i). For any odd q with $q \geq 5$ there exist examples of case (ii).

We can think of Σ in terms of a 4-dimensional vector space $V = V_4(q)$ over $GF(q)$. The points and lines of Σ are precisely the 1-dimensional and the 2-dimensional subspaces of V respectively. The lines or *components* of W in Σ correspond to the components of a maximal partial spread W of V , that is, a maximal collection W of 2-dimensional subspaces of V such that any 2 distinct members (components) of W have only the origin of V in common. For a proof of the next result see [7, p. 8], [4, p. 219].

THEOREM 4. *Let U be a partial spread of $V = V_4(q)$ having exactly k components. Then there is defined a net $N = N(U)$ of order q^2 and degree k . The points of N are the q^4 vectors in V . The lines of N are the components of U and their translates (cosets) in V . Furthermore, if U is a spread of V , then $N(U)$ is a translation plane.*

Our main result is that if W is the maximal partial spread of Theorem 2 and q is an odd prime, then $N(W)$ is not embeddable.

4. The main result. In what follows, if J is a set of vectors, then $\{J\}$ will denote the subspace spanned by the vectors in J .

LEMMA 5. *Let $\Sigma = PG(3, q)$ and let $(V, +) = V_4(q)$ be the corresponding vector space. Let a, b, c be 3 distinct and pairwise skew lines of Σ . Then we may choose a basis e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 of V in such a manner that a corresponds to $\{e_1, e_2\}$, b corresponds to $\{e_3, e_4\}$ and c corresponds to $\{e_1 + e_3, e_2 + e_4\}$.*

The following is crucial in our argument.

THEOREM 6. *Let n be a square and let N be a net of order n and deficiency $\sqrt{n} + 1$, which is embedded in an affine translation plane π . Suppose further that N is embedded in another affine plane π_1 . Then π_1 is also an affine translation plane.*

Proof. π_1 is related to π by Ostrom's technique of derivation (see [2, p. 383] and [6, p. 1382]). From this the result will follow, for it is easy to show that a plane π_1 obtained by deriving a translation plane π is itself a translation plane [4, p. 224].

We revert to the notation of Theorem 2. Recall that W is a maximal partial spread of $\Sigma = PG(3, q)$ with $q \geq 3$. $W = H \cup \{u, v\}$ where (sometimes) $u = v$. H is a partial spread contained in the nonregular spread S of Σ . H contains exactly $q^2 - q$ lines. Since

$q \geq 3$ we have $|H| = q^2 - q > 3$. Thus H contains 3 pairwise skew lines a, b, c which we will refer to as the *fundamental components*. Corresponding to Σ we have $V = V_4(q)$. As in Lemma 5 we have a basis e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 of V with $a = \{e_1, e_2\}$, $b = \{e_3, e_4\}$, $c = \{e_1 + e_3, e_2 + e_4\}$. Let $L = \{e_1, e_2\}$ and $M = \{e_3, e_4\}$. We can write $V = L \oplus M$ the direct sum of L and M . Each vector in V is uniquely expressible as an ordered pair (x, y) with x in L, y in M . The fundamental components are then sets $y = 0, x = 0, y = x$ respectively. In the sequel it will be convenient to identify M with L and write $V = L \oplus L$. We also let 0 denote the null vector in L , so that $(0, 0)$ is the null vector of V .

THEOREM 7 (Main Result). *Let W be the maximal partial spread of $PG(3, q)$ constructed in Theorem 2. Assume that $q = p \geq 3$ is a prime. Then the net $N = N(W)$ obtained from W as in Theorem 4 has order p^2 and deficiency $p - j$ where either $j = 0$ or $j = 1$. Moreover, N is not embeddable in a plane.*

Proof. By way of contradiction assume that N is embeddable in an affine plane π_1 . Choose the origin of π_1 to be the origin of V . In the construction of W recall that $H \subset S$. Denote the translation plane obtained from S by π . Thus $N(H) \subset \pi$. Also $N(H) \subset N(W) \subset \pi_1$. Therefore, by Theorem 6, π_1 is a translation plane. We may use the fundamental components a, b, c to set up Hall coordinates for π_1 using the set L (see [5]). Actually it is easy to see that a vector λ has in π_1 Hall coordinates (s, t) if and only if λ has vector space coordinates (s, t) in $V = L \oplus L$. Also the Hall addition is precisely the vector space addition $+$ on L (see [7, p. 4]). Thus the translation plane π_1 is then coordinatized by a quasifield $Q = (L, +, \cdot)$. Those lines of π_1 through the origin which are also lines of $N = N(W)$ correspond to the components of W . Let l be a line of π_1 through the origin of π_1 such that l is not a line of N . Then l consists of all points with coordinates of the form $(x, x.m)$ for some m in L . Since Q is a quasifield we have $(x + y).m = x.m + y.m$. Therefore l is a set of p^2 vectors in V which is closed under addition. Since p is a prime, l is a 2-dimensional subspace of V . And l has only the origin of V in common with any component of W . Thus l yields a line of $PG(3, q)$ which is skew to each line of W . But this is a contradiction, since W is maximal.

Comments. 1. Our argument in Theorem 7 above can be modified to show the following. Let π_1 be obtained from the translation plane π of order p^2 by deriving with respect to a derivation set D of $p + 1$ points on the line at infinity. Then the $p + 1$ lines of π joining the

origin to D yield a regulus in the spread corresponding to π . Thus, in this case, derivation implies reversing a regulus. It can be shown (see [2]) that reversing a regulus implies derivation for translation planes of order q^2 , whether or not q is a prime. Thus the procedures of derivation and reversing a regulus are equivalent for the case of translation planes of order p^2 . However, as is proved in [3], they are not in general equivalent if q is not a prime. The reason is that l above is not always a subspace in this general case. So it is not clear whether or not N is embeddable if q is not a prime.

2. For $q = p$ we have shown that $N = N(W)$ is unimbeddable. However except for $p = 3, 5$ we do not know whether $N(W)$ is contained in a larger net or even whether there exists a transversal T of N (that is, a set of p^2 points of N no two of which are joined by a line of N). However, it follows from the work in [2], [6] that T would have to be an affine subplane of π having order p .

3. For $p = 3$, $N(W)$ has deficiency 3 or 2. By Theorem 3.3 in [2], $N(W)$ must have deficiency 3. We have shown that $N(W)$ is not embeddable. It follows that $N(W)$ is not contained in any larger net, and that the bound in Theorem 1 is best possible for nets of order 9.

4. For $p = 5$ we can obtain an unimbeddable net $N = N(W)$ of deficiency 4 using Theorem 3. By Theorem 1, N is not contained in a larger net and so Bruck's bound is also the best possible for nets of order 25. Another way of putting it is to say that *we have produced a maximal set of 20 mutually orthogonal latin squares of order 25.*

REFERENCES

1. R. H. Bruck, *Finite nets II uniqueness and embedding*, Pacific J. Math., **13**, (1963), 421-457.
2. A. Bruen, *Partial spreads and replaceable nets*, Canad. J. Math., **23**, (1971), 381-392.
3. ———, *Spreads and a conjecture of Bruck and Bose* (to appear in J. of Algebra).
4. P. Dembowski, *Finite Geometries*, Springer Verlag, 1968.
5. M. Hall, *The Theory of Groups*, New York, 1959.
6. T. G. Ostrom, *Nets with critical deficiency*, Pacific J. Math., **14**, (1964), 1381-1387.
7. ———, *Vector spaces and construction of finite projective planes*, Arch. Math., **19**, (1968), 1-25.

Received July 26, 1971 and in revised form November 17, 1971.

UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

C. R. HOBBY
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Alexander (Smbat) Abian, <i>The use of mitotic ordinals in cardinal arithmetic</i>	1
Helen Elizabeth Adams, <i>Filtrations and valuations on rings</i>	7
Benno Artmann, <i>Geometric aspects of primary lattices</i>	15
Marilyn Breen, <i>Determining a polytope by Radon partitions</i>	27
David S. Browder, <i>Derived algebras in L_1 of a compact group</i>	39
Aiden A. Bruen, <i>Unimbeddable nets of small deficiency</i>	51
Michael Howard Clapp and Raymond Frank Dickman, <i>Unicoherent compactifications</i>	55
Heron S. Collins and Robert A. Fontenot, <i>Approximate identities and the strict topology</i>	63
R. J. Gazik, <i>Convergence in spaces of subsets</i>	81
Joan Geramita, <i>Automorphisms on cylindrical semigroups</i>	93
Kenneth R. Goodearl, <i>Distributing tensor product over direct product</i>	107
Julien O. Hennefeld, <i>The non-conjugacy of certain algebras of operators</i>	111
C. Ward Henson, <i>The nonstandard hulls of a uniform space</i>	115
M. Jeanette Huebener, <i>Complementation in the lattice of regular topologies</i>	139
Dennis Lee Johnson, <i>The diophantine problem $Y^2 - X^3 = A$ in a polynomial ring</i>	151
Albert Joseph Karam, <i>Strong Lie ideals</i>	157
Soon-Kyu Kim, <i>On low dimensional minimal sets</i>	171
Thomas Latimer Kriete, III and Marvin Rosenblum, <i>A Phragmén-Lindelöf theorem with applications to $\mathcal{M}(u, v)$ functions</i>	175
William A. Lampe, <i>Notes on related structures of a universal algebra</i>	189
Theodore Windle Palmer, <i>The reducing ideal is a radical</i>	207
Kulumani M. Rangaswamy and N. Vanaja, <i>Quasi projectives in abelian and module categories</i>	221
Ghulam M. Shah, <i>On the univalence of some analytic functions</i>	239
Joseph Earl Valentine and Stanley G. Wayment, <i>Criteria for Banach spaces</i>	251
Jerry Eugene Vaughan, <i>Linearly stratifiable spaces</i>	253
Zbigniew Zielezny, <i>On spaces of distributions strongly regular with respect to partial differential operators</i>	267