

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

THE DISAPPEARING CLOSED SET PROPERTY

V. M. KLASSEN

THE DISAPPEARING CLOSED SET PROPERTY

V. M. KLASSEN

A topological space X is said to have the disappearing closed set (DCS) property or to be a DCS space, if for every proper closed subset C there is a family of open sets $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that $U_{i+1} \subseteq U_i$ and $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i = \emptyset$, and there is also a sequence $\{h_i\}$ of homeomorphisms on X onto X such that $h_i(C) \subseteq U_i$, for all i . Properties of DCS spaces are studied as are connections between this and other related definitions.

I. Simple examples of sets with the DCS property are the n -sphere, $n > 0$, and the open n -cell, $n > 0$. This definition was formulated in an attempt to generalize the definition of invertible set which has been extensively studied by Doyle, Hocking and others [1, 2, 3, 4, 6]. A space X is said to be invertible if for every proper closed subset C of X there is a homeomorphism h on X onto X such that $h(C) \subseteq X - C$. Neither of these definitions implies the other. For example, an open n -cell is not invertible, and on the other hand, the 0-sphere is invertible but does not satisfy the DCS property. However, both definitions require that closed sets can be made "small" or "thin."

It is proved in [5] that compact n -manifolds have the DCS property. It is the purpose of this paper to investigate some other topological properties of DCS spaces.

II. THEOREM 1. *Any disconnected DCS space X must have an infinite number of components.*

Proof. Suppose X has a finite number of components, A_j , $j = 1, \dots, n$. Each A_j is both open and closed. Consider the DCS property applied to $\bigcup_{j=2}^n A_j = B$, a closed set. There are open sets $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and homeomorphisms $\{h_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that $h_i(B) \subseteq U_i$, $U_{i+1} \subseteq U_i$, and $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i = \emptyset$. Since there are at most a finite number of components A_i and since the U_i form a decreasing sequence of open sets whose intersection is empty, there must be an m such that for each $j = 1, \dots, n$, there are $x_j \in A_j$ such that $x_j \notin U_m$. But $X - U_m \subseteq h_m(A_1)$, since $h_m(B) \subseteq U_m$ and $X = A_1 \cup B$, $A_1 \cap B = \emptyset$. Thus $x_j \in h_m(A_1)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. But this is a contradiction unless $n = 1$, since $h_m(A_1)$ is connected, but intersects all components of X .

An example of a DCS space which is not connected is the product space obtained by crossing the real numbers with the rationals.

One method of constructing DCS spaces is given by the following:

THEOREM 2. *If X and Y are DCS spaces, so is $X \times Y$.*

Proof. Let C be a proper closed subset of $X \times Y$, and let $P \subseteq X$, $Q \subseteq Y$ be open sets in X and Y , respectively, such that $P \times Q \subseteq X \times Y - C$. Let $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, $\{h_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ and $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, $\{k_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be the open sets and homeomorphisms for $X - P$ and $Y - Q$ in X and Y , respectively. If $(x, y) \in X \times Y$, define $\phi_i(x, y) = \{h_i(x), k_i(y)\}$. Now $\{W_i\}_{i=1}^\infty = \{(U_i \times Y) \cup (X \times V_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a decreasing sequence of open sets in $X \times Y$, with empty intersection. Also, $\phi_i(C) \subseteq W_i$. Thus, $X \times Y$ has the DCS property.

The relation between invertible spaces and spaces with the DCS property can be seen more clearly in the following analysis.

If an invertible T_1 space X has the property that the intersection of all neighborhoods of any point is that point, and if any closed set C in an open set U may be "moved" so as to miss any given $x \in U$, without moving outside U , then X has the DCS property. (If U is open, $U - \{x\}$ is also.)

III. This suggests a relationship with another concept, also studied by Doyle and Hocking. A space X is near-homogeneous if for any $x \in X$ and any open set U such that $x \in U$, for every $y \in X$ there is a homeomorphism on X onto X such that $h(y) \in U$.

Once again, the 0-sphere is a space that does not satisfy the DCS property, but is near-homogeneous. However, the following converse is true:

THEOREM 3. *Every DCS space X is near-homogeneous.*

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and U an open set containing x . Let $y \in X$. Consider $C = X - U$, a proper closed subset of X . Since X has the DCS property, there is a sequence of homeomorphisms $\{h_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ on X onto X such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^\infty h_i(C) = \emptyset$, a somewhat weaker statement than the DCS property allows. There is some j such that $y \notin h_j(C)$. But then $y \in h_j(U)$, so $h_j^{-1}(y) \in U$. Thus, X is near-homogeneous.

In the preceding proof, it is seen that near-homogeneity does not require that closed sets get "thin," but that they move around enough. An equivalent form of the definition of near-homogeneity, related to the DCS property, is of interest here.

THEOREM 4. *Let $H(X)$ be the family of all homeomorphisms on X onto X . X is near-homogeneous iff, for every proper closed set $C \subseteq X$, $\bigcap_{h \in H(X)} h(C) = \emptyset$.*

Proof. If X is near-homogeneous, let C be a closed subset of X ,

and let $U = X - C$. Let $y \in C$. Then there is an $h \in H(X)$ such that $h(y) \in U$, by near-homogeneity and thus $\bigcap_{h \in H(X)} h(C) = \emptyset$.

Conversely, let $x, y \in X$, and let U be an open set such that $x \in U$. Let $C = X - U$. If $y \notin C$, there is nothing to show, so suppose $y \in C$. Then there is an $h \in H(X)$ such that $h(y) \notin C$. Otherwise $\bigcap_{h \in H(X)} h(C)$ would not be empty. But this is the desired homeomorphism.

IV. Another definition relating to invertibility that has been studied is that of local invertibility. A space X is said to be invertible at a point $x \in X$ if for every open set U containing x there is a homeomorphism h on X onto X such that $h(X - U) \subseteq U$. In [2] it was proved that for such a space certain local properties become global properties. For example, if X is invertible and locally compact at x , then X is compact. The corresponding definition here is the following. A space X has the DCS/x property for all closed sets which miss x . It is evident that a space X has the DCS property, iff it has the DCS/x property for each $x \in X$. Examples of spaces with the DCS/x property include the closed n -cell, the n -leafed rose and, in fact any space that is invertible at x in such a way that the inverting homeomorphism may be taken to fix x . A space that is not invertible at any point but which does have the DCS/x property is the "half-open" annuls $[0, 1) \times S_1$. It will have the DCS/x property for every point of $\{0\} \times S_1$.

Since the DCS/x definition cannot guarantee that any part of the closed set will be carried close to x under any of the homeomorphisms, theorems as sweeping as those of local invertibility cannot be obtained. However, the following is true:

THEOREM 5. *Let X be a space that has the DCS/x property at x and suppose X is locally T_i , $i = 0, 1, 2$, in a neighborhood P of x . Then X is T_i .*

Proof. Let $y, z \in X$, $y \neq z$ (perhaps one is x). Let $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{h_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be the open sets and homeomorphisms given by the DCS/x property for the closed set $X - P$. There is a j such that $y, z \in U_j$. Then $y, z \notin h_j(X - P)$, so $y, z \in h_j(P)$. But then $h_j(y)$ and $h_j(z)$ have the separation property required and thus y and z do also.

Note that this kind of argument is an improvement on near-homogeneity, since it makes it possible to bring two points (or any finite number of points) into a neighborhood of x at once.

REFERENCES

1. P. H. Doyle and J. G. Hocking, *A characterization of euclidean n -space*, Mich. Math. J. **7** (1970), 199-200.
2. ———, *Invertible space*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **68**, No. 10 (1961), 959-965.
3. ———, *Continuously invertible spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **12** (1962), 499-503.
4. V. M. Klassen, *Classification of finite polyhedra by their invert sets*, Thesis, VPI (1965).
5. ———, *Complexes with the Disappearing Closed Set Property* (to appear).
6. N. L. Levine, *Some remarks on invertible spaces*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **70**, No. 2 (1963), 181-183.

Received August 11, 1971.

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, FULLERTON

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

C. R. HOBBY

University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Arne P. Baartz and Gary Glenn Miller, <i>Souslin's conjecture as a problem on the real line</i>	277
Joseph Barback, <i>On solutions in the regressive isols</i>	283
Barry H. Dayton, <i>Homotopy and algebraic K-theory</i>	297
William Richard Derrick, <i>Weighted convergence in length</i>	307
M. V. Deshpande and N. E. Joshi, <i>Collectively compact and semi-compact sets of linear operators in topological vector spaces</i>	317
Samuel Ebenstein, <i>Some H^p spaces which are uncomplemented in L^p</i>	327
David Fremlin, <i>On the completion of locally solid vector lattices</i>	341
Herbert Paul Halpern, <i>Essential central spectrum and range for elements of a von Neumann algebra</i>	349
G. D. Johnson, <i>Superadditivity intervals and Boas' test</i>	381
Norman Lloyd Johnson, <i>Derivation in infinite planes</i>	387
V. M. Klassen, <i>The disappearing closed set property</i>	403
B. Kuttner and B. N. Sahney, <i>On the absolute matrix summability of Fourier series</i>	407
George Maxwell, <i>Algebras of normal matrices</i>	421
Kelly Denis McKennon, <i>Multipliers of type (p, p)</i>	429
James Miller, <i>Sequences of quasi-subordinate functions</i>	437
Leonhard Miller, <i>The Hasse-Witt-matrix of special projective varieties</i>	443
Michael Cannon Mooney, <i>A theorem on bounded analytic functions</i>	457
M. Ann Piech, <i>Differential equations on abstract Wiener space</i>	465
Robert Piziak, <i>Sesquilinear forms in infinite dimensions</i>	475
Muril Lynn Robertson, <i>The equation $y'(t) = F(t, y(g(t)))$</i>	483
Leland Edward Rogers, <i>Continua in which only semi-aposyndetic subcontinua separate</i>	493
Linda Preiss Rothschild, <i>Bi-invariant pseudo-local operators on Lie groups</i>	503
Raymond Earl Smithson and L. E. Ward, <i>The fixed point property for arcwise connected spaces: a correction</i>	511
Linda Ruth Sons, <i>Zeros of sums of series with Hadamard gaps</i>	515
Arne Stray, <i>Interpolation sets for uniform algebras</i>	525
Alessandro Figà-Talamanca and John Frederick Price, <i>Applications of random Fourier series over compact groups to Fourier multipliers</i>	531