# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

SLICES, MULTIPLICITY, AND LEBESGUE AREA

WILFRED DENNIS PEPE AND WILLIAM P. ZIEMER

# SLICES, MULTIPLICITY, AND LEBESGUE AREA

# W. D. PEPE AND WILLIAM P. ZIEMER

For a large class of k dimensional surfaces, S, it is shown that the Lebesgue area of S can be approximated by the integral of the k-1 area of a family, F, of k-1 dimensional surfaces that cover S. The family F is regarded as being composed of the slices of the surface S. In addition, a topological characterization of a certain multiplicity function is given. This multiplicity function when integrated with respect to k dimensional Hausdorff measure, yields the Lebesgue area of f.

Suppose X is a smooth compact k dimensional manifold and let  $f: X \to E^n$  be a continuous map into Euclidean *n*-space,  $k \leq n$ , which has finite Lebesgue area. Let  $u: E^n \to E^1$  be a Lipshitz function with Lipschitz constant no greater than one. In [7], it was shown that if k = 2 or if the k + 1 Hausdorff measure of f(X) is zero, then the Lebesgue area of  $f, \mathcal{L}(f)$ , can be approximated by the integral of the k-1 area of f restricted to the boundary of  $\{x: u \circ f(x) < t\}$ , provided that the function u has been chosen appropriately. Of course, the important element of this problem is to give a reasonable interpretation to the concept of the k-1 area of f restricted to the boundary of a velocity of our open set. In [7], this was expressed in terms of the theory developed by H. Federer [4]. It is the purpose of this paper to show that a definition given by J. Cecconi in [1] can be used to obtain results similar to those found in [7].

During the development of this paper, we were able to provide a topological characterization of the multiplicity function which was shown, in [4], to yield the Lebesgue area when integrated with respect to k dimensional Hausdorff measure. It turns out that this characterization is not needed to prove the main theorem of this paper, but we include its proof because of its independent interest.

2. Slices and Cecconi area. In this section we will give a definition of the k-1 area of f restricted to the boundary of an open set. This definition is a slight modification of the one given by Cecconi in [1]. The modification is desirable since our domain is taken to be a smooth oriented compact k-manifold, X. Our development relies heavily on the work of Federer [4] and consequently the notation of that paper will be used here without change. Thus, a continuous map  $f: X \to E^n$  has a monotone-light factorization  $f = \mathcal{L}_f \circ m_f$  where the light factor  $\mathcal{L}_f$  is defined on the middle space  $M_f$ . Moreover, if k = 2 or  $H^{k+1}[f(X)] = 0$ ,  $(H^{k+1}$  denotes k + 1 dimensional Hausdorff measure) then there is current-valued measure  $\mu_f$  defined on the Borel sets of  $M_f$  whose total variation  $||\mu_f||$  is equal to  $\mathcal{L}(f)$ . If T is a current, we will denote by:

M(T), the mass of TF(T), the flat norm of T $\partial T$ , the boundary of T.

Finally,  $L_k$  will denote Lebesgue measure on  $E^k$ , and B(x, r) will be the closed ball with center x and radius r.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let U be an open set in X. Then, the k-1area of f restricted to the boundary of U, C(f, U) is defined as follows. Let  $\{\pi_i\}$  be a sequence of open subsets of U whose boundaries are smooth manifolds. Assume also that every compact subset of U is eventually in every  $\pi_i$ . Let  $f_i$  be a sequence of smooth maps defined on X that converge uniformly to f. Then

$$C(f, U) = \inf \{ \liminf_{i \to \infty} \mathscr{L}(f_i | \operatorname{bdry} \pi_i) \}$$

where the infimum is taken over all  $\{\pi_i\}$  and  $\{f_i\}$  as described above. Here,  $\mathscr{L}(f | \operatorname{bdry} \pi_i)$ , is used to denote the Lebesgue area of  $f_i$  restricted to the boundary of  $\pi_i$ .

DEFINITION 2.2. Let  $u: E^n \to E^1$  be a Lipschitz function. Then C(f; u, t) is defined to be  $C(f, U_t)$  where  $U_t$  is the open set

$$\{x: u \circ f(x) < t\}.$$

LEMMA 2.3. Let  $u_i: E^n \to E^1$   $i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$  be a sequence of Lipschitzian maps such that  $u_1 \ge u_2 \ge \cdots$  and  $\lim_{i\to\infty} u_i = u_0$ . Then

$$C(f; u_0, t) \leq \liminf_{i \to \infty} C(f; u_i, t)$$

for every  $t \in E^1$ .

*Proof.* For  $t \in E^1$  observe that the sets  $V_{i,t} = \{x: u_i \circ f(x) < t\}$  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , are nested and that their union is equal to  $V_{0,t}$ . For each positive *i*, select a smooth map  $f_i$  and an open set  $\pi_i \subset V_{i,t}$  with smooth boundary such that

(i)  $|f_i(x) - f(x)| < i^{-1}$  for all  $x \in X$ 

- (ii)  $|\mathscr{L}(f_i | \text{bdry } \pi_i) C(f; u_i, t))| < i^{-1}$
- (iii) dist (closure  $\pi_i, X V_{i,i}$ )  $< i^{-1}$ .

Now, the sequences  $\{\pi_i\}$  and  $\{f_i\}$  will be admissible in the definition of  $C(f; u_0, t)$ . Hence,

$$C(f; u_0, t) \leq \liminf_{i \to \infty} \mathscr{L}(f_i | \operatorname{bdry} \pi_i) = \liminf_{i \to \infty} C(f; u_i, t)$$
.

The following theorem was proved in [1], but for completeness, we will exhibit a different and perhaps simpler proof here.

THEOREM 2.4. Let  $u: E^n \to E^1$  be a Lipschitzian map with Lipschitz constant K > 0. Then

$$K\mathscr{L}(f) \ge \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} C(f; u, t) dL_1(t) \; .$$

*Proof.* It is easy to see using the techniques of Lemma 2.3 that C(f; u, t) is lower-semicontinuous in t and, hence,  $L_1$  integrable. Now select a sequence of  $C^{\infty}$  maps  $\{f_i\}$  such that  $f_i$  converge to f uniformly on X and such that  $\mathscr{L}(f_i) \to \mathscr{L}(f)$ . Choose a sequence of  $C^{\infty}$  maps  $\{u_i\}$  decreasing to u with the Lipschitz constant of  $u_i$  less or equal to  $K + i^{-1}$ . Fixing i, then with  $\sigma_i = \sup_{x \in X} |u_i \circ f_i(x) - u_i \circ f(x)|$ , and with  $g_m(x) = u_i \circ f_m(x) + \sigma_i$ ,  $\{g_m\}$  converges uniformly to  $u_i \circ f$  on X and each  $g_m$  is smooth and greater than  $u_i \circ f$ . Thus, for every t,

$$V_{m,t} = \{x: g_m(x) < t\} \subset V_t = \{x: u_i \circ f(x) < t\}$$

and for every compact subset K of  $V_t$ ,  $V_{m,t}$  contains K for m sufficiently large. In addition, for almost every t,  $V_{m,t}$  is a  $C^{\infty}$  manifold so the pairs  $f_m$  and  $V_{m,t}$  approximate f and  $V_t$  for almost every t and

(1)  
$$C(f; u_i, t) \leq \lim_{m \to \infty} C(f_m, V_{m,t}) \\ = \lim_{m \to \infty} C(f_m; u_i, t - \sigma_m) .$$

However, it is immediate that for smooth functions,  $f_m$ , on open sets with smooth boundaries,  $V_{m,t}$ , that

(2) 
$$C(f_m, V_{m,t}) \leq \mathscr{L}(f|_{\mathrm{bdry}\, V_{m,t}}) .$$

From [3, Theorem 6.18] with N(y, f) denoting the number of points in  $f^{-1}(y)$  (possibly  $\infty$ ) follows

(3) 
$$\mathscr{L}(f_m|_{\mathrm{bdry}\, V_{m,t}}) = \int_{u_i^{-1}(t-\sigma_m)} N(y,f_m) dH^{k-1}(y) .$$

Combining (1), (2), and (3) and using Fatou's lemma gives

$$(4) \qquad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} C(f; u_i, t) dL_i \leq \lim_{m \to \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{P_t} N(y, f_m) dH^{k-1}(y) dL_1(t)$$

with  $P_t = u_i^{-1}(t - \sigma_m)$ . However, [5, Theorem 3.2.12] gives

$$(5) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{P_t} N(y, f_m) dH^{k-1}(y) dL_1(t) = \int_{E_n} N(y, f_m) |\operatorname{grad} u_i| Q_m | dH^k$$

where  $Q_m$  is the image of x under  $f_m$ . As the Lipschitz constant of  $u_i$  dominates the gradient of  $u_i | Q_m$ , (4) and (5) give

$$egin{aligned} &\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} C\left(f;\,u_{i},\,t
ight)d\;L_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \leq \lim_{\overline{m} o\infty}\left(K+\,i^{-1}
ight)\int_{E^{n}}N(y,f_{_{m}})d\;H^{k}\ &=\lim_{\overline{m} o\infty}\left(K+\,i^{-1}
ight)\,\mathscr{L}(f_{_{m}})\ &=\left(K+\,i^{-1}
ight)\,\mathscr{L}(f)\;. \end{aligned}$$

The result now follows from Lemma 2.3.

In [7] if was shown that with  $\lambda(f; u, t) = \sum M[\partial \mu_f(V)]$ , where the summation is taken over all components V of  $\mathcal{L}_f^{-1}(\{x: u(x) < t\})$ , that an inequality holds which is similar to 2.2 where C(f; u, t) is replaced by  $\lambda(f; u, t)$ . Moreover, it was also shown that if k = 2 or  $H^{k+1}[f(X)] = 0$ , then

$$\sup\left\{\int \lambda(f; u, t)dL_1(t)\right\} = \mathscr{L}(f)$$

where the supremum is taken over all Lipschitz functions  $u: E^n \to E^1$ whose Lipschitz constants are no greater than one. We will show in Theorem 2.8 below that this result is valid with  $\lambda(f; u, t)$  replaced by C(f; u, t).

In the case k = 2, it was show that Cesari's definition of length [2, 20.2] also worked satisfactorily in this theory. In [1], Cecconi showed that C(f; u, t) agreed with Cesari's definition. Thus, in Theorem 2.8, it will be only necessary to consider k > 2.

DEFINITION 2.5. Let X be a compact oriented k-manifold and suppose  $f: X \to E^k$  is continuous. For each  $z \in M_f$ , let  $\Delta(z, r)$  be the component of  $\mathcal{L}_f^{-1}[B(\mathcal{L}_f(z), r)]$  that contains z. Consider the induced homomorphism on Čech cohomology groups,

$$H^k(E^k, \, E^k - B\left(\mathscr{E}_f(z), \, r)
ight) \stackrel{f^*}{\longrightarrow} H^k(X, \, X - \, m_f^{-1}(\varDelta(z, \, r))) \; .$$

We assume the generators of these groups chosen to agree with the orientations on X and  $E^k$ . Then,  $f^*$  maps a generator of one group onto a multiple of the second. Call this integer  $d_f(z, r)$ . Let

$$d_f(z) = \lim_{r \to 0^+} d_f(z, r)$$

if this limit exists and is finite. If not, let  $d_f(z) = \infty$ .

DEFINITION 2.6. Let W be an open connected set in X and let

 $f: X \to E^k$ . Suppose  $y \in E^k - f(bdry W)$ , and choose 0 < r < 1 so that  $f(W) \subset B(y, r^{-1})$  and  $f(bdry W) \cap B(y, r) = 0$ . Then d(f, W, y) is defined as in 2.5 when the following is considered:

$$H^{k}[B(y, r^{-1}), B(y, r^{-1}) - B(y, r)] \xrightarrow{f^{*}} H^{k}(W, \operatorname{bdry} W)$$

Observe, that

$$(6) d(f, W, y) = \sum d_f(z)$$

where the summation is taken over all z in the set  $\mathscr{C}_f^{-1}(y) \cap m_f(W)$ . This equation is valid if  $y \in E^k - f(\operatorname{bdry} W)$  and if each  $d_f(z) < \infty$ .

REMARK 2.7. Let  $f: X \rightarrow E^n$  be a continuous map with

 $\mathscr{L}(f) < \infty$  .

Suppose that  $p: E^n \to E^k$  is an orthogonal projection and consider the following diagram:



It follows from [4, 3.8] that with  $C_p = \{z: h^{-1}(z) \text{ is a non-degenerate continuum}\},$ 

(7) 
$$|| \mu_f || (h^{-1}(C_p)) = 0 \text{ and } L_k(\mathbb{Z}_{p \circ f}(C_p)) = 0$$

for almost all  $p: E^n \to E^k$ . For such projections, it is easily seen that the current valued measure corresponding to  $p \circ f$  is  $h_*(p_* \circ \mu_f)$ . Thus, it follows from [4, 2.1 and 4.1] that for any Borel set  $E \subset M_f$ ,

(8) 
$$h_{\sharp}(p_{\sharp} \circ \mu) [h(E)](w_k) = \int_{E^k} \sum_{A(y)} d_{p \circ f}(z) dL_k(y)$$

where  $A(y) = \{z: z \in \mathbb{Z}_{p \circ f}^{-1}(y) \cap h(E)\}$  and where  $w_k = p^*(dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_k)$ . However, in view of (7), it follows that for  $L_k$  almost all  $y \in E^k$ ,

(9) 
$$\sum_{A(y)} d_{p \circ f}(z) = \sum_{B(y)} d_{p \circ f} [h(z)]$$

where  $B(y) = \{z: z \in (p \circ \ell_f)^{-1}(y) \cap E\}$ . Observe that if E is an open connected set,  $W = m_f^{-1}(E)$ , and if  $L_k[p \circ f(bdry W)] = 0$ , then (6)

implies

(10) 
$$d(p \circ f, W, y) = \sum_{A(y)} d_{p \circ f}(z)$$

for  $L_k$  almost all  $y \in E^k$ .

THEOREM 2.8. Let  $f: X \to E^n$  be a continuous map with finite Lebesgue area and let k > 2 with  $H^{k+1}(f(X)) = 0$  then

$$\sup_{u \in U} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} C(t; f, u) d\mathscr{L}^{\scriptscriptstyle 1} = \mathscr{L}(f, X)$$

where U is the set of all real valued Lipschitzian maps on  $E^n$  with constant less or equal to one.

*Proof.* In [7], it was shown that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a function  $u: E^* \to E^1$  with Lipshitz constant one such that

(11) 
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda(f; u, t) dL_1(t) > \mathscr{L}(f) - \varepsilon.$$

The function u was obtained in the following manner: a certain family of closed disjoint *n*-balls,  $B_i = B_i(y_i, r_i)$  with center  $y_i$  and radius  $r_i$ , was produced and u was defined by

$$u(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i(x)$$

where  $u_i(x) = -\text{dist}(x, E^n - B_i)$ . Thus,

$$\lambda(f; u, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda(f; u_i, t), \ 0 < t < \infty$$
 ,

and the same equality holds with  $\lambda$  replaced by C. At each point  $y_i$  there is a k-dimensional plane  $P_i$  containing  $y_i$  that describes the essential tangential behavior of the set f(X). Let  $p_i: E^n \to P_i$  be the orthogonal projection. Let Z(t) be the set of components of  $\ell_f^{-1}[\{x: u(x) < t\}]$ . In order to establish (11) it was shown in the proof of [7, Theorem 3.3] that

$$\int \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{V \in Z_j(t)} M \left[ \partial P_{i \notin} \mu_f(V) \right] dL_1(t) > \mathscr{L}(f) - \varepsilon$$
 .

Thus, in order to prove our theorem, it will suffice to show that for almost every t,

(12) 
$$C(f, W) \ge M \left[ \partial P_* \mu_f(V) \right] \,.$$

Here it is understood that V is a component of  $\mathcal{L}_{f}^{-1}(B)$  where B is an *n*-ball of radius t in  $E^{n}$  whose center is at y and that  $p: E^{n} \to P$ 

is the orthogonal projection where P is an approximate tangent k-plane at y as described in (11) of [7]. Also,  $W = m_f^{-1}(V)$  and for simplicity, take y = 0.

To this end, we will consider only those t for which

(13) 
$$H^{k}[\{y: \operatorname{dist}(y, 0) = t\} \cap f(x)] = 0.$$

From [5, Theorem 2.10.25] it follows that this will be true for almost all t. From the definition of C(f, W) it follows that there is a sequence of regions  $\pi_m \subset W$  and Lipshitzian maps  $f_m: X \to E^n$  such that

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \mathscr{L}(f_m \,|\, \mathrm{bdry}\, \pi_m) = C(f, W) \,.$$

Let  $T_m$  denote the integral k-current  $f_{mz}(\pi_m)$  and observe that

(14) 
$$M(\partial T_m) \leq \mathscr{L}(f_m \mid \mathrm{bdry}\, \pi_m)$$

since  $\mathscr{L}(f_m | \operatorname{bdry} \pi_m)$  can be expressed as the integral of an elementary counting function, [3, Theorem 6.18]. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $C(f, W) < \infty$ , and therefore, there is a constant K > 0 such that

(15) 
$$M(\partial T_m) \leq K, \quad m = 1, 2, \cdots.$$

If the orthogonal projection  $p: E^n \to P$  does not satisfy the conditions of Remark 2.7, select a projection  $p^*: E^n \to P$  that does. Let  $S_m = p_{\sharp}^*(T_m)$  and observe that (15) implies that  $M(\partial S_m)$  is a bounded sequence. since  $S_m$  is an integral k-current in  $E^k$ , the isoperimetric inequality [5, Theorem 4.5.9(32)] is applicable and we can conclude that  $N(S_m)$  is a bounded sequence. Hence, by the compactness theorem for integral currents [5, Theorem 4.2.17] there is an integral current S and a subsequence of the  $S_m$  such that  $F(S_m - S) \to 0$ . But for k-currents in  $E^k$ , the flat norm agrees with the mass norm and thus

(16) 
$$M(S_m - S) \longrightarrow 0 .$$

Since S is an integral k-current in  $E^k$ , there is an integer valued density function  $s: E^k \to E^1$  such that for each  $C^{\infty}$  differential k-form  $\varphi$  with compact support,

$$S(arphi) = \int s \cdot arphi$$
 .

The density function  $s_m$  associated with  $S_m$  is  $s_m(y) = d(p^* \circ f_m, \pi_m, y)$ and (16) implies

$$\int_{E^k} |s_m - s| \, dL_k \longrightarrow 0 \, .$$

In view of (13), it follows [6, p. 131] that as  $m \to \infty$ ,

 $s_m(y) \longrightarrow d(p^* \circ f, W, y)$ 

for  $L_k$  almost all y. Thus,

$$s(y) = d(p^* \circ f, W, y)$$
 for  $L_k$  almost all  $y$ .

Consequently, by Remark 2.7,

$$S = h_{\sharp}(p_{\sharp}^{*} \circ \mu_{f}) \left[ h(V) 
ight] = p_{\sharp}^{*} [\mu_{f}(V)]$$
 .

Let  $\lambda^*$  be the Lipschitz constant of  $p^*$ . Then, from (14) and the lower semi-continuity of mass,

$$C(f, \operatorname{bdry} W) \ge \limsup M(\partial T_m) \ge (\lambda^*)^{-k} \limsup M(\partial S_m)$$
  
 $\ge (\lambda^*)^{-k} M(\partial S)$   
 $\ge (\lambda^*)^{-k} M(\partial p_*^* \mu_f(V)].$ 

Now, in order to establish (12), note that a sequence of projections  $p_m^*: E^n \to P$  can be selected that satisfy the conditions of 2.7 and that converge to the orthogonal projection p. Then,  $\lambda_m^* \to 1$  and

$$\liminf_{m o \infty} M\left[\partial p^*_{{m}{\sharp}} \, \mu_f(V)
ight] \geq M\left[\partial P_{\sharp} \mu_f(V)
ight]$$
 .

This completes the proof of the theorem.

3. Multiplicity and topological degree. Let  $f: X \to E^n$  have finite Lebesgue area and suppose that k = 2 or  $H^{k+1}[f(X)] = 0$ . Then, it follows from [4, 2.1] that there is a Hausdorff k-rectifiable set  $R \subset E^n$  and a Baire function v defined on  $M_f$ , such that for  $||\mu_f||$ almost all  $z \in M_f$ , v(z) is a simple k-vector that lies in the approximate tangent k-plane to R at  $\ell_f(z)$ . For  $H^k$  almost all  $y \in R$ , let  $\tau(y)$  be a simple k-vector of unit norm that lies in the approximate tangent plane to R at y. It can be assumed that  $\tau$  is a  $H^k$  measurable function. Further, for  $||\mu_f||$  almost all  $z \in M_f$ , |v(z)| is an integer and

$$|| \, \mu_{{}_{f}} \, || \, (A) \, = \, \int_{{}^{M}{}_{h}} | \, v(z) \, | \, dH^{k}(z)$$

for every Borel set  $A \subset M_f$ . The following theorem shows that |v(z)| can be described topologically.

THEOREM 3.1. For almost all projections  $p: E^n \to E^k$ ,

$$|d_{p\circ f}[h(z)]| = |v(z)|$$

for  $|| \mu_f ||$  almost all  $z \in M_f$ .

*Proof.* Choose  $p: E^n \to E^k$  as in 2.7 and define

$$\psi(z) = d_{p\circ f} \left[ h(z) 
ight] \mid p \left[ au(\mathscr{E}_{f}(z)) 
ight] \mid$$

for  $||\mu_f||$  almost all  $z \in M_f$ . Let D be the set where  $v(z) \neq 0$  and A any Borel subset of D. Let

$$F(y) = \sum d_{p \circ f} [h(z)]$$

where the summation is taken over all  $z \in \mathcal{C}_f^{-1}(y) \cap A$ . An application of [5, Theorem 13.2.22] yields

$$egin{aligned} &\int_{R}F(y)\mid p\left[ au(y)
ight]\mid dH^{k}(y)\ =\ \int_{E^{k}}\sum_{y\,\in\,p^{-1}(w)\,\cap\,R}F(y)dL_{k}(w)\ &=\ \int_{E^{k}}\sum_{B(w)}\,d_{p\circ f}\left[h(z)
ight]dL_{k}(w) \end{aligned}$$

where  $B(w) = \{z: z \in A \cap (p \circ \mathscr{L}_f)^{-1}(w)\}$ . However, [4, 2.2] implies

$$egin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}}F(y)\mid p\left[ au(y)
ight]\mid dH^{k}(y)\ =\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\sum\ \psi(z)dH^{k}(y)\ &=\ \int_{\mathbb{A}}\psi(z)dH^{k}(z) \end{aligned}$$

where  $C(y) = \{z: z \in \mathscr{C}_f^{-1}(y) \cap A\}$ . By appealing to 2.7, it is clear that

(17) 
$$h_{\sharp}(p_{\sharp} \circ \mu_{f})[h(A)](w_{k}) = \int_{A} \psi(z) dH^{k}(z) ,$$

where  $w_k$  is the orienting unit k form for  $E^k$ . However,

$$egin{aligned} h_{st}(p_{st}\circ\mu_f)\;[h(A)](w_k)&=p_{st}\circ\mu_f\;[h^{-1}(h(A))]\,(w_k)\ &=\mu_f[h^{-1}(h(A))]\;p^{st}w_k\ &=\int_A p^{st}w_k\,[arepsilon_f(z)]\,ullet\,v(z)dH^k\;. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (17) yields

$$\int_A \psi(z) dH^k = \int_A p^* w_k [\mathscr{C}_f(z)] \cdot v(z) dH^k$$
 ;

and since A is arbitrary,

(18) 
$$\psi(z) = p^* w_k [\mathscr{E}_f(z)] \cdot v(z) ,$$

 $H^k$  almost everywhere in D. As  $||\mu_f||(M_f - D) = 0$  and  $||\mu_f||$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $H^k$  in D, (18) and the defini-

tion of  $\psi(z)$  gives

(19) 
$$d_{p\circ f}[h(z)] \mid p[\tau(\mathscr{C}_g(z))] \mid = p^{\sharp} w_k[\mathscr{C}_f(z)] \cdot v(z) ,$$

 $||\mu_f||$  almost everywhere. As v(z) and  $\tau(\ell_f(z))$  are parallel k-vectors,

$$(20) \qquad |p^*w_k[\mathscr{L}_f(z)] \cdot v(z)| = |v(z)| |p[\tau(\mathscr{L}_f(z))]|.$$

The result follows from (19) and (20) provided  $|p[\tau(\mathcal{L}_f(z))]| \neq 0$ ,  $||\mu_f||$  almost everywhere for almost all p.

To this end, observe that for  $H^k$  almost all  $y \in R$ ,  $\tau(y)$  exists and, thus, for almost all p,  $p[\tau(y)] \neq 0$ . However, the set of pairs (y, p) so that  $y \in R$  and  $p[\tau(y)] = 0$  is a Borel set. Thus, Fubini's theorem gives for almost all p,  $p[\tau(y)] \neq 0$  for  $H^k$  almost all  $y \in R$ . Further, if  $B \subset D \subset M_f$  and  $H^k[\mathscr{E}_f(B)] = 0$  then [4, 2.2] gives  $|| \mu_f || (B) = 0$ . So for almost all p,  $p[\tau(\mathscr{E}_f(z))] \neq 0$  for  $|| \mu_f ||$  almost all  $z \in M_f$ , and the result follows.

REMARK 3.2. It is interesting to note that an application of Fubini's theorem gives the following conclusion to Theorem 3.1: for  $|| \mu_f ||$  almost  $z \in M_f$ ,

$$|d_{p \circ f}[h(z)]| = |v(z)|$$
 for almost every  $p$ .

### References

1. J. Cecconi, La disuguaglianza di Cavalieri per la k-area secondo Lebesgue in un n-spazio, Ann. Mat. pura ed appl., **42** (1956), 189-204.

2. L. Cesari, Surface Area, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1956.

3. H. Federer, On Lebesque area, Ann. of Math., 61 (1955), 289-353.

4. \_\_\_\_\_, Currents and area, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 98 (1961), 204-233.

5. \_\_\_\_, Geometric Measure Theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1969.

6. T. Rado and P. V. Reichelderfer, *Continuous Transformations in Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1955.

7. W. Ziemer, Slices of maps and Lebesgue area, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 164 (1972), 139-152.

Received August 25, 1971. Research supported in part by NSF grant #GP 7505.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY AND INDIANA UNIVERSITY

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

# EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

C.R. HOBBY

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

# ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E.F. BECKENBACH

B.H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF K

K. Yoshida

### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON \* \* \* \* AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 43, No. 3 May, 1972

Max K. Agoston, <i>An obstruction to finding a fixed point free map on a manifold</i> Nadim A. Assad and William A. Kirk, <i>Fixed point theorems for set-valued mappings</i>	543
of contractive type	553
John Winston Bunce, Characterizations of amenable and strongly amenable	
C*-algebras	563
Erik Maurice Ellentuck and Alfred Berry Manaster, <i>The decidability of a class of</i> AE <i>sentence in the isols</i>	573
U. Haussmann, The inversion theorem and Plancherel's theorem in a Banach	
space	585
Peter Lawrence Falb and U. Haussmann, <i>Bochner's theorem in infinite dimensions</i>	601
Peter Fletcher and William Lindgren, <i>Quasi-uniformities with a transitive base</i>	619
Dennis Garbanati and Robert Charles Thompson, <i>Classes of unimodular abelian</i> group matrices	633
Kenneth Hardy and R. Grant Woods, <i>On c-realcompact spaces and locally bounded</i> normal functions	647
Manfred Knebusch, Alex I. Rosenberg and Roger P. Ware. Grothendieck and Witt	/
rings of hermitian forms over Dedekind rings	657
George M. Lewis, <i>Cut loci of points at infinity</i>	675
Jerome Irving Malitz and William Nelson Reinhardt, A complete countable $L^{Q}_{\omega_{1}}$ theory with maximal models of many cardinalities	691
Wilfred Dennis Pepe and William P. Ziemer, <i>Slices, multiplicity</i> and Lebesgue	071
area	701
Keith Pierce. Amalgamating abelian ordered groups	711
Stephen James Pride. <i>Residual properties of free groups</i>	725
Roy Martin Rakestraw. <i>The convex cone of n-monotone functions</i>	735
T. Schwartzbauer, Entropy and approximation of measure preserving transformations	753
Deter E Stabe Invariant functions of an iterative process for maximization of a	155
nolynomial	765
Kondagunta Sundaresan and Woibor Woyczynski. L. orthogonally scattered	705
measures	785
Kyle David Wallace $C_{1-arouns}$ and $\lambda$ -basic subgroups	700
Ryte David Wallace, C <sub>k</sub> -gloups and k-basic subgloups	())
$product sets in C^n$	811
Donald Steven Passman, <i>Corrections to: "Isomorphic groups and group rings"</i>	823
Don David Porter, Correction to: "Symplectic bordism, Stiefel-Whitney numbers, and a Novikov resolution"	825
John Ben Butler, Jr., Correction to: "Almost smooth perturbations of self-adjoint	
operators"	825
Constantine G. Lascarides, Correction to: "A study of certain sequence spaces of Maddox and a generalization of a theorem of Iyer"	826
George A. Elliott, Correction to: "An extension of some results of takesaki in the	
reduction theory of von neumann algebras"	826
James Daniel Halpern, Correction to: "On a question of Tarski and a maximal	
theorem of Kurepa"	827