# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

## FIBER INTEGRATION IN SMOOTH BUNDLES

JAN WILLIAM AUER

Vol. 44, No. 1

May 1973

## FIBER INTEGRATION IN SMOOTH BUNDLES

## J. W. AUER

### The purpose of this paper is to comment on the operations of fiber integration, or integration over the fiber, which arise in the study of the cohomology of bundles.

Let  $\xi = (E, \Pi_E, B, F, G)$  be a smooth  $(C^{\infty})$  bundle, where as usual E is the total space, B the base, F the (connected) fiber, G the group, and  $\Pi_E : E \to B$  the projection. Assume  $H^k(F)$  to be finite dimensional for all k. A form  $\omega$  on the total space is said to have fiber-compact support if, and only if, for all  $x \in B$ , there is a neighbourhood  $U_x$  of x, a trivialization  $\phi \colon U_x \times F \cong \pi_E^{-1}(U_x)$ , and a compact set  $K \subset F$  such that (support  $\phi^*\omega) \cap (U_x \times F) \subset U_x \times K$ . Denote these k-forms by  $A_F^k(E)$ , and their de Rham cohomology by  $H_F^k(E)$ . When F is compact  $A_F^k(E) = A^k(E)$ , the algebra of all k-forms on E; if B is compact,  $A_F(E)$  is the algebra  $A_c(E)$  of forms on E with compact support. Now integration over fiber has been defined by various authors as a linear map

$$\Psi$$
:  $H^{k}(E) \longrightarrow H^{k-m}(B; H^{m}(F))$ ,  $k \ge m$ ,

where m is the dimension of F. These definitions are essentially algebraic in nature; for example  $\Psi$  has been defined by a spectral sequence. Using this idea when  $\xi$  is orientable, a linear map

$$\Psi_1: H^k_F(E) \longrightarrow H^{k-m}(B)$$

is defined, and called algebraic fiber integration on account of the origin of the definition.

On the other hand, when  $\xi$  is orientable, there is the geometrically defined linear map  $\int_{F} A_{F}^{k}(E) \to A^{k-m}(B)$  given roughly speaking by

$$\left(\int_{F}\omega\right)(x) = \int_{F_x}\omega/F_x$$
 ,

where  $\omega \in A_F^k(E)$ ,  $x \in B$ , and  $F_x$  denotes the fiber of  $\xi$  over x. The induced map  $\Psi_2$  of cohomology is called geometric fiber integration:

 $\Psi_2: H^k_F(E) \to H^{k-m}(B)$ . The main purpose of the paper is to show

Theorem.  $\Psi_1 = \Psi_2$ .

1. The spectral sequence in  $A_F(E)$ .

(a) In this section we obtain results analogous to a theorem of Borel [6], which we use to obtain an expression for  $\Psi_1$ .

The action of G on F induces an action on  $H^k(F)$  and on  $H^k_c(F)$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$ , where  $H^k_c(F)$  denotes the de Rham cohomology of forms on F with compact support. Denote by  $h^k$  the total space of the bundle over B with fiber  $H^k_c(F)$ ; because this bundle has a discrete group, the exterior derivative  $\delta^{p,q}_{B'}$  in  $A^p_B(h^q)$  (the p-forms on B with coefficients in  $h^q$ ) is a differential operator. Denote Ker  $\delta^{p,q}_B/\text{Im} \, \delta^{p-1,q}_B$  by  $H^p(B; h^q)$ .

(b) We filter  $A_F^k(E)$  following Hattori [5] and Borel [6]: when  $\{x_i\}$ ,  $\{y_j\}$  are coordinates on neighbourhoods in B and F respectively,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - m, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , where  $n = \dim E$ , we use the same symbols to denote coordinates induced on sufficiently small open sets in E; then according to Hattori and Borel,  $I^p$  consists of those forms which involve at least p base differentials  $dx_i$ .

It will be convenient to define this filtration by a bigradation of  $A_F(E)$ . For this purpose, it is necessary to assume that a fixed connection ([7], p. 63) has been prescribed in  $\xi$ . Of course, by the remarks above, the filtration will be independent of the connection. If X is a  $C^{\infty}$  vector field on E, then by definition its horizontal and vertical parts, HX and VX respectively, induced by the connection, are again  $C^{\infty}$  vector fields. Whenever  $\{x_i\}, \{y_j\}$  are coordinates on an open set  $W \subset E$ , as described above, we denote by  $dy_j^v, j = 1, 2, \cdots$ , m, the 1-forms on W defined by  $dy_j^v(x) = dy_j(VX)$ , where X is a vector field on W. Then  $\{dx_i\} \cup \{dy_j^v\}$  generate  $A^1(W)$  over  $C^{\infty}(W)$ .

DEFINITION 1.  $C^{p,q} \equiv C^{p,q}(E) = \{\omega \in A_F^{p+q}(E) \mid i(X_1) \cdots i(X_{p+1})\omega = i(Y_1) \cdots i(Y_{q+1})\omega = 0 \text{ for all horizontal vector fields } X_i \text{ and vertical vector fields } Y_j \text{ on } E\}.$ 

Here, as usual, i(Z) is the substitution operator with respect to the vector field Z on E:

$$i(Z)\omega(Z_1, \cdots, Z_{p+q-1}) = \omega(Z, Z_1, \cdots, Z_{p+q-1})$$

when  $Z_1, \dots, Z_{p+q-1}$  are vector fields on  $E, \omega \in A^{p+q}(E)$ . Thus i(Z):  $A_F^{p+q}(E) \to A_F^{p+q-1}(E)$ , because  $A_F(E)$  is clearly stable under i(Z).

Now we let Greek letters  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , etc., represent sequences of positive integers of the form  $(i_1, \dots, i_p)$ , for some positive integer p, with  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p$ . Then  $dx_{\alpha}$  will denote  $dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_p}$ , and so on. We put  $|\alpha| = p$  when  $\alpha = (i_1, \dots, i_p)$ . Then if W is a coordinate neighbourhood in E,  $\omega \in A^k(E)$ , we may write  $\omega/W$  as

(1) 
$$\omega/W = \sum_{\substack{p+q=k \ |\alpha|=p \ |\beta|=q}} \omega^{\alpha_{\beta}} dx_{\alpha} \wedge dy_{\beta}^{\nu}$$

where  $\omega^{\alpha\beta} \in C^{\infty}(W)$ , the  $C^{\infty}$ -functions on W. Consequently any  $\omega \in C^{p,q}(W)$  may be written

(2) 
$$\omega = \sum_{|\alpha|=p \atop |\beta|=q} \omega^{\alpha\beta} dx_{\alpha} \wedge dy_{\beta}$$

with  $\omega^{\alpha\beta} \in C^{\infty}(W)$ .

**DEFINITION 2.** 

$$I^{p,q}= \mathop{\oplus}\limits_{r\geq p} C^{\,r,p+q-r}\;,\qquad I^{\,p}=\mathop{\oplus}\limits_{q\geq 0} I^{\,p,q}\;.$$

It is easily seen that

PROPOSITION 1.  $\{I^p\}_{p=0}^{\infty}$  is a decreasing filtration of  $A_F(E)$ . It is the filtration associated with the gradation  $\{C^p\}_{p=0}^{\infty}$  of  $A_F(E)$ , where  $C^p = \bigoplus_{q \ge 0} C^{p,q}$ , because  $I^p = \bigoplus_{r \ge p} C^r$ .

Note in particular that  $I^{0} = A_{F}(E)$ , and  $I^{p} = \{0\}$  for  $p > \dim B = n - m$ .

REMARK. If the above definitions are carried out for A(E), one obtains the filtrations of *Hattori* [5] and *Borel* [6]. In particular, the *filtration* is independent of the choice of connection in  $\xi$ .

(c) Denote by  $\{E_r^{p,q}\}$  the spectral sequence defined by the filtration  $\{I^{p,q}\}$  of  $A_F(E)$  defined above (see § 2). Then we will next show

**PROPOSITION 2.** 

$$E_2^{p,q}\cong H^p(B;h^q)$$
 ,  $p,q\geqq 0$  .

Let  $\mathscr{A}_{B}^{P}$  be the sheaf of germs of p-forms on B, and  $\mathscr{C}_{B}^{\infty}$  the sheaf of germs of  $C^{\infty}$ -functions on B.

DEFINITION 3. The sheaf  $\mathscr{F}^q$  of fiber-compact q-forms along the fiber of  $\xi$ .

We first define the presheaf  $\underline{\mathscr{F}}^{q}$  on B by  $\underline{\mathscr{F}}^{q}(U) = C^{0,q}(\Pi_{E}^{-1}(U))$ , when U is open in B. Then  $\mathscr{F}^{\overline{q}}$  is the sheaf induced by  $\underline{\mathscr{F}}^{q}$ .

LEMMA 1.  $E_0^{p,q} \cong \Gamma(\mathscr{M}_B^P \otimes \mathscr{F}^q)$  ( $\Gamma(\mathscr{S})$  denotes the module of sections of any sheaf  $\mathscr{S}$ , and all tensor products are over the sheaf  $\mathscr{C}_{\widetilde{B}}^{\infty}$ ).

*Proof.* From the definitions we have that

$$(3) E_0^{p,q} = C^{p,q}$$

DEFINITION 4.

 $\varOmega\colon \varGamma(\mathscr{M}_{\scriptscriptstyle B}{}^{\scriptscriptstyle P}\otimes \mathscr{F}{}^{\scriptscriptstyle q}) \longrightarrow C^{{\scriptscriptstyle p},q} \ .$ 

Let  $s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}_B^P \otimes \mathscr{F}^q)$ ; then if  $U \subset B$  is sufficiently small, we may write s locally as a sum of terms of the form  $\omega$ , where

$$oldsymbol{\omega} = \left( {\sum\limits_{|lpha|=p}} oldsymbol{\omega}_{_1}^{lpha} \, dx_{lpha} 
ight) \otimes \left( {\sum\limits_{|eta|=q}} oldsymbol{\omega}_{_2}^{eta} \, dy_{eta}^{v} 
ight)$$

with  $\omega_1^{\alpha} \in C^{\infty}(U)$ ,  $\omega_2^{\beta} \in C^{\infty}(\Pi_E^{-1}(U))$ . Put

$$arrho(\omega)\,=\!\sum_{|lpha|=p\|eta|=q}\!\!\!(\omega_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{\,lpha}\Pi_{\scriptscriptstyle E})\omega_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}^{_{eta}}\,dx_{lpha}\wedge dy_{\scriptscriptstyle eta}^{\scriptscriptstyle r}$$
 ,

and extend linearly to define  $\Omega(s)$ .

LEMMA 2.  $\Omega$  is well-defined, independent of the choice of coordinates.

*Proof.* This follows from the fact that if  $\{\bar{x}_i\}$ ,  $\{\bar{y}_j\}$  are coordinates defined on an open set in E overlapping the domain of definition of the coordinates  $\{x_i\}$ ,  $\{y_j\}$ , then

$$dar{x}_{lpha} = \sum\limits_{|\mu|=p} rac{\partialar{x}_{lpha}}{\partial x_{\mu}} dx_{\mu}$$

and

$$(\,4\,) \qquad \qquad dar{y}^{\,v}_{\,\scriptscriptstyleeta} = \sum\limits_{\midlpha\mid=q} rac{\partialar{y}_{\,\scriptscriptstyleeta}}{\partial y_{\,\scriptscriptstylelpha}} dy^{\,v}_{\,\scriptscriptstyleeta}$$

where  $\partial \overline{x}_{\alpha}/\partial x_{\mu}$  represents the  $p \times p$  sub-matrix with rows  $\alpha$ , columns  $\mu$  of the  $(n-m) \times (n-m)$  matrix with entries  $\partial \overline{x}_i/\partial x_j$ , and analogously for  $\partial \overline{y}_{\beta}/\partial y_{\alpha}$ . Note that equation (4) is not the equation of transformation for the  $dy_{\beta}$ 's; the latter equation also involves linear combinations of the  $dx_{\alpha}$ 's. Since  $\Omega$  is easily seen to be an isomorphism, this completes the proof of Lemma 1.

DEFINITION 5. The homomorphism

$$\delta_{F}^{p,q} \colon \Gamma\left(\mathscr{M}_{B}^{P} \otimes \mathscr{F}^{q}\right) \longrightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathscr{M}_{B}^{P} \otimes \mathscr{F}^{q+1}\right) \,.$$

We first define the presheaf homomorphism  $\underline{\partial}_{F}^{q}: \mathscr{F}^{q} \to \mathscr{F}^{q+1}$ . Let  $U \subset B$  be open,  $\phi \in \mathscr{F}^{q}(U) = C^{0,q}(\Pi_{E}^{-1}(U))$ , and  $Y_{1}, \cdots, Y_{q+1}$  be vertical vector fields on  $\Pi_{E}^{-1}(U)$ . Then

36

$$(5) \qquad \begin{aligned} \delta_{F}^{q}(U)(\phi)(Y_{1},\cdots,Y_{q+1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{q+1}\sum_{i=1}^{q+1}(-1)^{i-1}Y_{i}(\phi(Y_{1},\cdots,\hat{Y}_{i},\cdots,Y_{q+1}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{q+1}\sum_{i< j}(-1)^{i+j}\phi([Y_{i},Y_{j}],Y_{1},\cdots,\hat{Y}_{i},\cdots,\hat{Y}_{j},\cdots,Y_{q+1}) \end{aligned}$$

where the symbol  $\hat{Y}_i$  indicates that  $Y_i$  is to be omitted. In terms of coordinates, if  $\phi = \sum_{|\beta|=q} \omega^{\beta} dy^{\nu}_{\beta}$ , with  $\omega^{\beta} \in C^{\infty}(\Pi_{E^1}^{-1}(U))$ , then

$$(6) \qquad \qquad \underline{\delta}_{F}^{q}(U)(\phi) = \sum_{|\beta|=q} \sum_{k} \frac{\partial \omega^{\beta}}{\partial y_{k}} dy_{k}^{v} \wedge dy_{\beta}^{v}$$

Now  $\delta_F^q$  is the sheaf homomorphism induced by  $\underline{\delta}_F^q$ , and  $\delta_F^{p,q}$  is the homomorphism of modules of sections induced by  $(-1)^p \mathbf{1}_{\mathscr{N}_R^p} \otimes \delta_F^q$ .

Let  $\Delta_0^{p,q}: E_0^{p,q} \to E_0^{p,q+1}$  be the map induced by the exterior derivative on E; that is,  $\Delta_0^{p,q}$  is defined by the diagram below, where  $\rho_0^{p,q}$  is the canonical projection:



Now in view of  $E_0^{p,q} = C^{p,q}$ ,  $\Delta_0^{p,q}$  is exactly the differential operator induced in  $C^p$  by the exterior derivative on E:

 $\rho^{p,q+1}\delta = \Delta_0^{p,q} \colon C^{p,q} \longrightarrow C^{p,q+1}$ 

where  $\rho^{p,q+1}$ :  $A_F^{p+q+1}(E) \to C^{p,q+1}$  is the canonical projection induced by  $A_F(E) = \bigoplus_{p,q} C^{p,q}$ .

LEMMA 3. The diagram below is commutative:

*Proof.* Any  $s \in \Gamma(\mathscr{M}_B^P \otimes \mathscr{F}^q)$  is locally expressible on  $W \subset E$  as a sum of terms of the form

$$\omega = \left(\sum\limits_{|lpha|=p} \omega^{lpha}_{_1} \, dx_{lpha}
ight) igotimes \left(\sum\limits_{|eta|=q} \omega^{eta}_{_1} \, dy^{v}_{eta}
ight)$$

so that

$$\mathcal{Q}(\omega) = \sum_{|lpha|=p \ |eta|=q} (\omega_1^lpha \ \Pi_E) \ \omega_2^eta \ dx_lpha \wedge dy_eta^v$$
 .

Now we may write on W

$$(7) dy_j^v = dy_j + \sum_i \theta_j^i dx_i$$

$$(\,8\,) \qquad \qquad dy_{\scriptscriptstyle k} = dy^{\scriptscriptstyle v}_{\scriptscriptstyle k} + \sum_{\scriptscriptstyle j} \zeta^{\scriptscriptstyle j}_{\scriptscriptstyle k}\, dx_{\scriptscriptstyle j}$$

where  $\theta_j^i$ ,  $\zeta_k^j \in C^{\infty}(W)$ .

Replacing  $dy^v_{\beta}$  using equation (7) one obtains

$$arDelta(\omega) = \sum\limits_{|lpha|=p top |eta|=q} (\omega_1^lpha \Pi_E) \, \omega_2^eta \, dx_lpha \wedge dy^eta + \sum\limits_{|lpha|>p top (oldsymbol{\cdot\cdot\cdot})^{lpha \mu}} dx_lpha \wedge dy_\mu$$

(where  $(\cdots)^{\alpha\mu}$  indicate coefficients of terms with  $|\alpha| > p$ ) so that using the definition of  $\rho^{p,q+1}$ , one obtains on account of  $\partial/\partial y_k(\omega_1^{\alpha}\Pi_E) = 0$ ,

$$(9) \qquad \varDelta^{p,q}_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} \Omega(\omega) = \rho^{p,q+1} \delta \Omega(\omega) = \sum_{|\alpha|=p \atop |\beta|=q} \sum_k \frac{\partial \omega^2_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}{\partial y_k} (\omega^{\alpha}_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \Pi_{\scriptscriptstyle E}) dy^v_k \wedge dx_{\scriptscriptstyle \alpha} \wedge dy^v_{\scriptscriptstyle \beta} \ .$$

One sees immediately that this is the same as the expression for  $\Omega \delta_{F}^{p,q}(\omega)$ , proving Lemma 3.

As a consequence we have

$$E_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{\,p,q}=\operatorname{Ker} {\it \Delta}_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^{\,p,q}/\operatorname{Im} {\it \Delta}_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^{\,p,q-1}=\operatorname{Ker} \delta_{\scriptscriptstyle F}^{\,p,q}/\operatorname{Im} \delta_{\scriptscriptstyle F}^{\,p,q-1}$$
 .

Let  $\mathcal{A}^q$  be the sheaf of germs of smooth sections of the bundle  $h^q$ . Now one can show by an argument identical to the one employed by Borel ([6], pp. 206, 207) that there is an isomorphism

(10) 
$$\mathscr{A}^{q} = \operatorname{Ker} \delta_{F}^{q} / \operatorname{Im} \delta_{F}^{q-1},$$

and hence also  $\operatorname{Ker} \delta_F^{p,q/}/\operatorname{Im} \delta_E^{p,q-1} \cong A_B^p(h^q)$ . Thus we have

LEMMA 4.  $E_1^{p,q} \cong A_B^p(h^q)$ .

Proof of Proposition 2. Because  $H^{p}(B; h^{q}) = \operatorname{Ker} \delta_{B}^{p,q}/\operatorname{Im} \delta_{B}^{p-1,q}$  (see §1 (a) for the definition of  $\delta_{B}^{p,q}$ ) and  $E_{2}^{p,q} \cong \operatorname{Ker} \Delta_{1}^{p,q}/\operatorname{Im} \Delta_{1}^{p-1,q}$  it suffices to show that the diagram (11) below is commutative:



Here  $\Omega^1$  is the isomorphism induced by virture of Lemma 4. Consider the exact sequence of cochain complexes

where  $\partial^{p,q}$  is induced by the exterior derivative  $\delta: A(E) \to A(E)$  and  $i(d) = (0, d), d \in C^{p+1,q-1}, j(c, d) = c, c \in C^{p,q}$ .

Now it is known ([3], p. 85) that the differential operator  $\Delta_1^{p,q}$  is the same as the connecting homomorphism  $d^{p,q}$  induced by this exact sequence:

$$d^{p,q}$$
: Ker  $\Delta_0^{p,q}/\operatorname{Im} \Delta_0^{p,q-1} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \Delta_0^{p+1,q}/\operatorname{Im} \Delta_0^{p+1,p-1}$ 

Consequently, if  $\omega \in \operatorname{Ker} \Delta_0^{p,q}$ 

$$\mathscr{A}_{1}^{p,q}([\pmb{\omega}]_{E_{1}^{p,q}})=[i^{-1}\partial^{p,q}j^{-1}\pmb{\omega}]_{E_{1}^{p+1,q}}$$
 ,

where  $[\omega]_{E_1^{p,q}}$  indicates the class of  $\omega$  in  $E_1^{p,q}$ , and so on; that is,  $\mathcal{A}_1^{p,q}([\omega]_{E_1^{p,q}})$  is  $\partial^{p,q}\omega$  modulo  $\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{A}_0^{p+1,q-1}$ .

Now suppose that  $\omega \in A_B^p(h^q)$ ; then for a coordinate neighbourhood  $U \subset B$ ,  $\omega/U$  may be written as

$$\omega/U(x) = \sum_{|lpha|=p \ |eta|=q} [\omega^{lphaeta} dy^v_{eta}]_{H^p_c(F_x)} dx_{lpha}$$

where  $x \in U \subset B$  and  $\omega^{\alpha\beta} \in C^{\infty}(\Pi_{E}^{-1}(U))$ .

A consideration of the isomorphism  $\Omega^1$  yields

$$egin{aligned} arOmega^1(\omega/U) &= \sum\limits_{|lpha|=p \ |eta|=q} [\omega^{lphaeta} dx_lpha \wedge dy^v_eta]_{E_1^{p,q}} \ &= \left[\sum\limits_{|lpha|=p \ |eta|=q} \omega^{lphaeta} dx_lpha \wedge dy_eta + \sum\limits_{|lpha|>p \ |eta|>p} (oldsymbol{\cdot\cdot\cdot})^{lpha\mu} dx_lpha \wedge dy_\mu
ight]_{E_1^{p,q}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have again replaced  $dy_{\beta}^{v}$  by means of equation (7).

A short computation now shows, when all quotients have been taken, and using (8), that

#### J. W. AUER

on the other hand,

$$egin{aligned} arDelta^1 \delta^{p,q}_B(oldsymbol{\omega}/U) \,&=\, arDelta^1 \delta^{p,q}_B \Big( \sum\limits_{|lpha|=p \ |eta|=q} [oldsymbol{\omega}^{lphaeta} dy^v_eta]_{H^q_{oldsymbol{\sigma}}(F)} dx_lpha \,\Big) \ &=\, arDelta^1 \Big( \sum\limits_{|lpha|=p \ |eta|=q} \sum\limits_k \left[ rac{\partial oldsymbol{\omega}^{lphaeta}}{\partial x_k} dy^v_eta 
ight]_{H^q_{oldsymbol{\sigma}}(F)} dx_k \,\wedge\, dx_lpha \Big) \end{aligned}$$

where  $[\omega^{lphaeta} dy^v_{eta}]_{H^q_{c}(F)}$  indicates the section of  $h^q$  over U defined by

 $[\omega^{lphaeta}dy^{\imath}_{eta}]_{{}_{d}{}_{c}^{q}(F)}(x)=[\omega^{lphaeta}dy^{\imath}_{eta}]_{{}_{d}{}_{c}^{q}(F_{x})}$ ,  $x\in U$ 

(recall that  $(h^q)_x = H^q_c(F_x)$ ).

The expression above clearly yields the right hand side of equation (12), as required.

2. Fiber integration, algebraic definition. As mentioned earlier, algebraic fiber integration is defined by using the definition of Borel and Hirzebruch [1] applied to the spectral sequence  $\{E_r\}$  arising from the filtration  $\{I^p\}$  of fiber-compact forms on the total space of  $\xi$ .

For convenience we recall some definitions from the theory of (decreasing) spectral sequences:

$$egin{aligned} &Z^{p,q}_{s}=A^{p,q}_{F'}(E)\cap\{a\in I^{\,p}\,|\,\delta a\in I^{\,p+s}\}\ &D^{p,q}_{s}=A^{p+q}_{F}(E)\cap I^{\,p}\cap\delta I^{\,p-s}\ &E^{p,q}_{s}=Z^{p,q}_{s}/(Z^{p+1,q-1}_{s-1}\oplus D^{p,q}_{s-1}) \end{aligned}$$

where  $0 \leq p, q, s \leq \infty$ .

Let  $\Pi: Z^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}_{\infty} \to H_{\scriptscriptstyle F}(E)$  be the canonical projection; then

(13) 
$$H^{p,q} = H^{p+q}_F(E) \cap \Pi(Z^p_{\infty})$$
 filter  $H_F(E)$ , and  $E^{p,q}_{\infty} = H^{p,q}_{\infty}/H^{p+1,q-1}_{\infty}$ 

Because dim F = m and dim B = n - m, it follows that  $E_r^{p,q} = 0$  for q > m,  $p \ge 0$ ,  $r \ge 0$  and that  $I^p = 0$  for p > n - m.

LEMMA 5. (a)  $E_r^{p,m} \subset E_{r-1}^{p,m}, r \ge 3, p \ge 0.$ (b)  $E_r^{k-m,q} = E_{\infty}^{k-m,q}, r > \sup(n-k, k-m), k \ge m, q \ge 0.$ (c)  $E_{\infty}^{k-m,m} = H_F^k(E)/H_{\infty}^{k-m+1,m-1}, k \ge m.$ 

As a consequence of Lemma 5 we now have an injection

$$h_1: E^{k-m,m}_\infty = E^{k-m,m}_{r_0} \subset \cdots \subset E^{k-m,m}_2$$

where  $r_0 = \sup(n-k, k-m) + 1$ , and a projection  $h_2: H_F^k(E) \to E_{\infty}^{k-m,m}$ . Let  $\chi: E_2^{k-m,m} \cong H^{k-m}(B; h^m)$  be the isomorphism induced by  $\Omega$  (Proposition 2). Then the definition of Borel, Hirzebruch yields  $\chi h_1 h_2$ :  $H_F^k(E) \to H^{k-m}(B; h^m)$ . We now define  $\sigma: H^{k-m}(B; h^m) \to H^{k-m}(B)$ , under the assumption that  $\xi$  is *orientable*, in the following sense (see [4]):

DEFINITION 6.  $\xi$  is orientable if, and only if, there exists an *m*-form  $\psi$  on *E* such that for all  $x \in B$ ,  $i_x^* \psi$  is an orientation on  $F_x$ , the fiber over x;  $i_x$ :  $F_x \subset E$ . If such a  $\psi$  has been chosen,  $\xi$  is called oriented.

Clearly F is orientable when  $\xi$  is.

Recall that  $\varkappa^m = \operatorname{Ker} \delta_F^m / \operatorname{Im} \delta_F^{m-1} = \mathscr{F}^m / \operatorname{Im} \delta_F^{m-1}$ .

We first define a map of presheaves,  $\mathscr{I}: \mathscr{I}^m \to \mathscr{C}_B^\infty$ , where  $\mathscr{C}_B^\infty$  denotes the presheaf of germs of  $C^\infty$ -functions on B: Let  $x \in U$ , U open in B, and  $\omega \in \mathscr{I}^m(U)$ ; then  $(\mathscr{I}(U)(\omega))(x) = \int_{F_x} \omega(x)$ . To show this is well defined, let  $\{U_j/j \in J\}$  be a covering of B by open sets such that E is trivial over each  $U_j$ , with  $\phi_j: U_j \times F \cong \Pi_E^{-1}(U_j), j \in J$ . Define  $\psi_{j,x}: F \to F_x$  by  $\psi_{j,x}(f) = \phi_j(x, f), x \in U_j, f \in F$ . Then

(14) 
$$(\mathscr{I}(U_j)(\omega))(x) = \deg \psi_{j,x} \int_F \psi_{j,x}^*(\omega(x)) ;$$

this shows that  $\mathcal{F}(U)(\omega)$  is  $C^{\infty}$  in x, because, since  $\omega$  has fibercompact support, the forms  $\psi_{j,x}^*(\omega(x))$  on F have supports contained in a common compact set for x in sufficiently small open sets in B.

Thus there is a sheaf homomorphism  $\mathscr{I}: \mathscr{F}^m \to \mathscr{C}_B^{\infty}$ ; if  $\omega \in \operatorname{Im} \underline{\delta}_F^{m-1}(U)$ , then by Stokes' Theorem,  $(\mathscr{I}(U)(\omega))(x) = 0$ , for all  $x \in U$ . Consequently,  $\mathscr{I}$  induces a sheaf homomorphism, also denoted by  $\mathscr{I}, \ \mathscr{I}: \mathscr{F}^m/\operatorname{Im} \delta_F^{m-1} = \mathscr{L}^m \to \mathscr{C}_B^{\infty}$ . Lastly,

$$\sigma: H^{k-m}(B; h^m) \longrightarrow H^{k-m}(B)$$

is canonically induced by  $\mathscr{I}$  on account of the commutative diagram below:

$$\begin{array}{c} A_{B}^{k-m}(h^{m}) \cong \varGamma(\mathscr{A}^{k-m} \otimes \mathscr{A}^{m}) & \xrightarrow{\Gamma(1 \otimes \mathscr{I})} & \varGamma(\mathscr{A}_{B}^{k-m}) = A_{B}^{k-m} \\ & & \downarrow \\ \delta_{B}^{k-m,m} \\ \downarrow \\ A_{B}^{k-m+1}(h^{m}) \cong \varGamma(\mathscr{A}_{B}^{k-m+1} \otimes \mathscr{A}^{m}) \xrightarrow{\varGamma(1 \otimes \mathscr{I})} \varGamma(\mathscr{A}_{B}^{k-m+1}) = A_{B}^{k-m+1} \end{array}$$

Combining  $\sigma$  with the map  $\chi h_1 h_2$  we obtain algebraic fiber integration  $\Psi_1 = \sigma \chi h_1 h_2$ ;  $\Psi_1$ :  $H_F^k(E) \to H^{k-m}(B)$ .

3. Fiber integration, geometric definition ([4], chapter 7). For arbitrary manifolds B, F, and  $x \in B$ ,  $y \in F$ , define

$$i_x: F \longrightarrow B \times F$$
 by  $i_x(y) = (x, y), y \in F$ ,  
 $i_y: B \longrightarrow B \times F$  by  $i_y(x) = (x, y), x \in B$ .

When  $\xi \in T_x(B)$ , put  $\hat{\xi} = (i_y)_* \hat{\xi} \in T_{(x,y)}(B \times F)$  and when  $\zeta \in T_y(F)$ , let  $\hat{\zeta} = (i_x)_* \zeta \in T_{(x,y)}(B \times F)$ .

Define  $\lambda_x = C^{p,q}(B \times F) \rightarrow A^q_c(F; \wedge {}^pT^*_x(B))$  (with the trivial connection in the product bundle  $B \times F$ ) by

$$(\lambda_x\omega)(y;\,\zeta_1,\,\cdots,\,\zeta_q)(\xi_1,\,\cdots,\,\xi_p)\,=\,\omega((x,\,y);\,\hat{\xi}_1,\,\cdots,\,\hat{\xi}_p,\,\hat{\zeta}_1,\,\cdots,\,\hat{\zeta}_q))$$

where  $x \in B$ ,  $\omega \in C^{p,q}(B \times F)$ ,  $\zeta_i \in T_y(F)$  and  $\xi_j \in T_x(B)$ . For the product bundle  $B \times F$  geometric fiber integration is the linear map

$$iggl_{F}^{:} : C^{p,r}(B imes F) \longrightarrow A^{p}(B), \ p \ge 0$$
,  
defined by  $\left( \oint_{F} \omega \right) \ (x) = \begin{cases} \int_{F} \lambda_{x} \omega, \ x \in B, \ r = m \\ 0, \ r \neq m, \end{cases}$  where  $\omega \in C^{p,r}(B imes F)$ 

For an arbitrary oriented bundle  $\hat{\xi}$ , let  $\{U_j, \phi_j\}$  be a family of trivializations as before with  $\phi_j: U_j \times F \cong \Pi_E^{-1}(U_j)$ . If  $\omega \in A_F^k(E)$ ,  $\phi_j^* \omega$  is a fiber-compact form on  $U_j \times F$ , so that  $\omega_j$  defined by  $\omega_j(x) = \deg \psi_{j,x} \left( \int_F \phi_j^* \omega \right)(x)$  is a k-m form on  $U_j$ . Define  $\int_F A_F^k(E) \to A^{k-m}(B)$  by  $\left( \int_F (\omega) \right)(x) = \omega_j(x)$  when  $x \in U_j$ .

It is easily shown that this is independent of the choice of  $U_j$ , so that  $\int_{U_j}$  is well defined ([4]).

Furthermore,  $\int_F \delta_E = \delta_B \int_E$ , so that there is an induced map  $\Psi_2: H^k_F(E) \longrightarrow H^{k-m}(B)$ ,

 $k \ge m$ , called geometric fiber integration.

4. Proof of Theorem.  $\Psi_1 = \Psi_2$ . Let  $[\omega] \in H_F^k(E)$  be represented by  $\omega \in A_F^k(E)$ . If  $W_j = \prod_{E^{-1}}^{-1}(U_j)$  is sufficiently small we may write

$$\omega/W_j = \sum\limits_{|lpha|+|eta|=k} \omega^{lphaeta} dx_lpha \wedge dy_eta$$
 ,  $\omega^{lphaeta} \in C^\infty(W_j)$  ,

or, upon substitution of equation (8) for  $dy_{\beta}$ ,

(15) 
$$\omega/W_{j} = \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=k-m\\|\beta|=m}} \omega^{\alpha\beta} dx_{\alpha} \wedge dy^{v}_{\beta} + \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|>k-m\\\beta}} (\cdots)^{\alpha\beta} dx_{\alpha} \wedge dy^{v}_{\beta} .$$

Since  $h_2: H^k_F(E) \rightarrow H^k_F(E)/H^{k-m+1,m-1}_{\infty} = E^{k-m,m}_{\infty}$  is merely the projection,

$$h_{\mathrm{z}}([\omega]) = igg[ \sum_{|lpha|=k-m lpha \ |eta|=m} \omega^{lphaeta} dx_{lpha} \wedge dy^{\mathrm{v}}_{eta} igg]_{F^{k-m,m}_{\infty}} \, .$$

Hence,

$$\chi h_1 h_2([\omega]) = \left[ \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=k-m \\ |\beta|=m}} [\omega^{\alpha\beta} dy^v_\beta]_{h^m} dx_\alpha \right]_{H^{k-m}(B;h^m)}$$

and

$$\Psi_{1}([\omega]) = \sigma \chi h_{1} h_{2}([\omega]) = [\mu]_{H^{k-m}(B)}$$
,

where  $\mu \in A^{k-m}(B)$  with

(16) 
$$\mu(x) = \sum_{|\alpha|=k-m} (\deg \psi_{j,x} \int \psi_{j,x}^* \left( \sum_{|\beta|=m} \omega^{\alpha\beta} dy_{\beta}^v \right) dx^{\alpha}$$

when  $x \in U_j$ .

On the other hand,

$$\Psi_2([\omega]) = \left[ \oint_F \omega \right]_{H^{k-m}(B)}$$

and

(17) 
$$\left(\int_{F}\omega\right)(x) = \deg \psi_{j,x} \int_{F} \lambda_{x}(\phi_{j}^{*}\omega) = \deg \psi_{j,x} \int_{F} \left(\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=k-m\\|\beta|=m}} \omega^{\alpha\beta} \psi_{j,x} dy_{\beta}\right) dx_{\alpha}$$

as a short computation shows.

A comparison of (16), (17) shows that  $\Psi_1 = \Psi_2$  as required.

#### References

1. A. Borel and F. Hirzebruch, *Characteristic classes and homogeneous spaces I*, Amer. J. Math. **80** (1958), 458-538.

2. S. S. Chern, On the characteristic classes of complex sphere bundles and algebraic varieties, Amer. J. Math., 75 (1953), 565-597.

3. R. Godement, Topologie Algébrique et Théorie des Faisceaux, Hermann (1964).

4. W. H. Grueb, S. Halperin, and R. Vanstone, Cohomology of Smooth Bundles I, II, III, Academic Press (to appear).

5. A. Hattori, A Spectral sequence in the de Rham cohomology of fibre bundles, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I (1960), 289-331.

6. F. Hirzebruch, Topological Methods in Algebraic Geometry, Springer Verlag (1966) appendix II.

7. S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, Foundations of Differential Geometry, volume I, Interscience (1963).

Received September 30, 1971.

BROCK UNIVERSITY

## PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

#### EDITORS

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

C. R. HOBBY University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

#### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

OSAKA UNIVERSITY

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF

 SUPPORTING
 INSTITUTIONS

 COLUMBIA
 UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

 F TECHNOLOGY
 STANFORD UNIVERSITY

 VIA
 UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO

 SITY
 UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

 WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

 VIERSITY
 UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

 TY
 \*

K. YOSHIDA

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 44, No. 1 May, 1973

Jimmy T. Arnold, <i>Power series rings over Prüfer domains</i>	1
Maynard G. Arsove, On the behavior of Pincherle basis functions	13
Jan William Auer, <i>Fiber integration in smooth bundles</i>	33
George Bachman, Edward Beckenstein and Lawrence Narici, Function algebras	
over valued fields	45
Gerald A. Beer, <i>The index of convexity and the visibility function</i>	59
James Robert Boone, A note on mesocompact and sequentially mesocompact	
spaces	69
Selwyn Ross Caradus, Semiclosed operators	75
John H. E. Cohn, <i>Two primary factor inequalities</i>	81
Mani Gagrat and Somashekhar Amrith Naimpally, Proximity approach to	
semi-metric and developable spaces	93
John Grant, Automorphisms definable by formulas	107
Walter Kurt Hayman, <i>Differential inequalities and local valency</i>	117
Wolfgang H. Heil, <i>Testing 3-manifolds for projective planes</i>	139
Melvin Hochster and Louis Jackson Ratliff, Jr., Five theorems on Macaulay	
rings	147
Thomas Benton Hoover, <i>Operator algebras with reducing invariant subspaces</i>	173
James Edgar Keesling, <i>Topological groups whose underlying spaces are separable</i>	
Fréchet manifolds	181
Frank Leroy Knowles, <i>Idempotents in the boundary of a Lie group</i>	191
George Edward Lang, <i>The evaluation map and EHP sequences</i>	201
Everette Lee May, Jr, <i>Localizing the spectrum</i>	211
Frank Belsley Miles, <i>Existence of special K-sets in certain locally compact abelian</i>	
groups	219
Susan Montgomery, A generalization of a theorem of Jacobson. II	233
T. S. Motzkin and J. L. Walsh, <i>Equilibrium of inverse-distance forces in</i>	
three-dimensions	241
Arunava Mukherjea and Nicolas A. Tserpes, <i>Invariant measures and the converse</i>	
of Haar's theorem on semitopological semigroups	251
James Waring Noonan, <i>On close-to-convex functions of order</i> $\beta$	263
Donald Steven Passman, <i>The Jacobian of a growth transformation</i>	281
Dean Blackburn Priest, A mean Stieltjes type integral	291
Joe Bill Rhodes, <i>Decomposition of semilattices with applications to topological</i>	
lattices	299
Claus M. Ringel, Socle conditions for QF – 1 rings	309
Richard Rochberg, <i>Linear maps of the disk algebra</i>	337
Roy W. Ryden, <i>Groups of arithmetic functions under Dirichlet convolution</i>	355
Michael J. Sharpe, A class of operators on excessive functions	361
Erling Stormer, Automorphisms and equivalence in von Neumann algebras	371
Philip C. Tonne, Matrix representations for linear transformations on series	
analytic in the unit disc	385