

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**GROUPS OF ARITHMETIC FUNCTIONS UNDER DIRICHLET
CONVOLUTION**

ROY W. RYDEN

GROUPS OF ARITHMETIC FUNCTIONS UNDER DIRICHLET CONVOLUTION

ROY W. RYDEN

If f is an arithmetic function, let $T(f) = \{(a, b) \mid f(ab) = f(a)f(b)\}$. If S is a set of pairs of positive integers, let $f \in M(S)$ if $T(f) \supseteq S$. In this paper we determine all sets S such that $M(S)$ is a group under Dirichlet convolution.

1. **Introduction.** An *arithmetic function* f is a complex-valued function whose domain is the set $N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. The *multiplicative set* belonging to f is the set $T(f) = \{(a, b) \mid f(ab) = f(a)f(b)\}$. If S is any nonempty subset of $N \times N$, then we say that $f \in M(S)$ if $f \neq 0$ and $T(f) \supseteq S$. We shall let \mathcal{S} denote the set $\{(a, b) \mid \text{GCD}(a, b) = 1\}$. Furthermore, for convenience we shall assume that all of our sets $S \subseteq N \times N$ are *symmetric* $\dots (a, b) \in S$ if and only if $(b, a) \in S$.

It is well-known (see [1]) that $M(\mathcal{S})$, the set of all *multiplicative functions*, forms an Abelian group under the *Dirichlet convolution*

$$[f * g](n) = \sum_{d \mid n} f(d)g(n/d).$$

In this paper we intend to characterize completely all those sets S such that $M(S)$ is a group under $*$. It is not hard to show that all of our results carry through for the generalized convolution defined by Goldsmith [2]. We shall work with $*$ for simplicity.

Some of the contents of this paper appeared in the author's Ph.D. thesis written at the University of Oregon under the direction of Professor Ivan Niven.

2. **The multiplicative closure of a set.** It is convenient for us to introduce a closure operation on subsets of $N \times N$. Properties of this operation which are not necessary for this paper will be discussed by the author elsewhere.

If $S \subseteq N \times N$, then the transformation

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \longleftrightarrow (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n, b_{n+1})$$

is said to be an *S-step* if

$$(i) \quad a_j = b_j \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$$

$$(ii) \quad a_n = b_n b_{n+1}$$

and

$$(iii) \quad (b_n, b_{n+1}) \in S,$$

where all n -tuples for $n \geq 3$ are to be considered as *unordered*. It should be emphasized that an S -step is a transformation which can go either from (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) to $(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n, b_{n+1})$, or from $(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n, b_{n+1})$ to (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) . An S -chain is any sequence of S -steps. We say that a pair (a, b) is in S^* , the *multiplicative closure* of S , if there exists a finite S -chain leading from the 1-tuple (ab) to the pair (a, b) . A set S is *closed* if $S = S^*$.

- THEOREM 2.1.** (i) $S \subseteq S^*$, and $A \subseteq B$ implies $A^* \subseteq B^*$;
(ii) $S^{**} = S^*$;
(iii) $T(f)$ is closed for all functions f .

Proof. (i) Notice that $(a_1 a_2) \rightarrow (a_1, a_2)$ is an S -chain if $(a, b) \in S$. To see that (ii) holds, let $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \leftrightarrow (b_1, \dots, b_n, b_{n+1})$ be an S^* -step where $a_i = b_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, $b_n b_{n+1} = a_n$ and $(b_n, b_{n+1}) \in S^*$. Then there exists a finite S -chain:

$$(b_n b_{n+1}) \longrightarrow (c_1, c_2) \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow (d_1, d_2, d_3) \longrightarrow (b_n, b_{n+1}).$$

Notice that the following is a finite S -chain:

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1, \dots, a_n) &\longrightarrow (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, c_1, c_2) \\ &= (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n-1}, c_1, c_2) \\ &\longrightarrow \dots \\ &\longrightarrow (b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, d_1, d_2, d_3) \\ &\longrightarrow (b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, b_n, b_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence any finite S^* -chain can be represented as a finite S -chain and (ii) follows.

To prove (iii), if $(nm) \rightarrow (n_1, n_2) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow (b_1, b_2, m) \rightarrow (n, m)$ is a $T(f)$ -chain, then

$$\begin{aligned} f(nm) &= f(n_1)f(n_2) \\ &= \dots \\ &= f(b_1)f(b_2)f(m) \\ &= f(n)f(m), \end{aligned}$$

so that $(n, m) \in T(f)^*$ implies that $(n, m) \in T(f)$.

If φ is Euler's totient function, then it is not hard to see that $T(\varphi) = \mathcal{R}$. Hence we can conclude from 2.1 that the set \mathcal{R} is closed.

A set S is *divisible* if $(a, b) \in S$ implies that $(d, d') \in S$ whenever $d|a$ and $d'|b$. Notice that \mathcal{R} is a divisible set.

THEOREM 2.2. *If S is a divisible subset of \mathcal{R} , then S^* is also*

a divisible subset of \mathcal{R} .

Proof. The fact that $S^* \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ is immediate because \mathcal{R} is closed. If $(a, b) \in S^*$ and $p^\alpha \parallel a$ and $q^\beta \parallel b$ where p and q are primes, then $(p^\alpha, q^\beta) \in S$. If not, then $(p^\alpha x, q^\beta y) \notin S$ by the divisibility of S so that if $(ab) \rightarrow (u, v) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow (a, b)$ is an S -chain then one and only one "co-ordinate" of each-tuple involved must be divisible by $p^\alpha q^\beta$. But this is a contradiction because $p^\alpha \mid a$ and $q^\beta \mid b$. Therefore $(p^i, q^j) \in S$ for all $i \leq \alpha, j \leq \beta$, by the divisibility of S .

Assume that $d \mid a, d' \mid b$, and $(\delta, \delta') \in S^*$ for all $\delta \mid d, \delta' \mid d'$, and $(\delta, \delta') \neq (d, d')$. Since $(a, b) \in S^*$ let $(ab) \rightarrow (u, v)$ be a first S -step where $(u, v) \in S, u = d_1 d'_1 d''_1, v = d_2 d'_2 d''_2, d_1 d_2 = d$, and $d'_1 d'_2 = d'$. By the divisibility of S we have $(d_1 d'_1, d_2 d'_2) \in S, (d_1, d_2) \in S$, and $(d'_1, d'_2) \in S$. By the choice of (d, d') we have (d_1, d'_1) and $(d_2, d'_2) \in S^*$. Hence the following S -chain obtains:

$$\begin{aligned} (dd') = (d_1 d'_1 d_2 d'_2) &\longrightarrow (d_1 d'_1, d_2 d'_2) \\ &\longrightarrow (d_1, d'_1, d_2 d'_2) \\ &\longrightarrow (d_1, d'_1, d_2, d'_2) \\ &\longrightarrow (d_1 d_2, d'_2 d'_1) \\ &\longrightarrow (d, d') \end{aligned}$$

so that $(d, d') \in S^*$.

3. The main results. A set S is said to have *property P* if $f^*g \in M(S)$ whenever f and g are in $M(S)$. The main theorem of this paper is the following characterization.

THEOREM 3.1. *A set S has property P if, and only if S^* is a divisible subset of \mathcal{R} . In particular, all divisible subsets of \mathcal{R} have property P.*

The proof of Theorem 3.1 will follow from a sequence of lemmas. A set S has *property P'* if $f^*1 \in M(S)$ whenever $f \in M(S)$ where 1 is the function with constant value 1.

LEMMA 3.2. *If S has property P, then S has property P'.*

LEMMA 3.3. *If S has property P', then $S \subseteq \mathcal{R}$.*

Proof. 1^*1 is the number of divisors function τ , and it is easy to see that $T(\tau) = \mathcal{R}$. Therefore $\tau \in M(S)$ implies $\mathcal{R} = T(\tau) \subseteq S$.

LEMMA 3.4. *If S has property P', then $(1, 1) \in S$.*

Proof. If $(1, 1) \notin S$, define $f(1) = 2$, $f(n) = 0$ for all $n > 1$. Then $f \in M(S)$ but $f^*1 \notin M(S)$.

LEMMA 3.5. *Let S be closed and have property P' . If $(a, b) \in S$, then $(1, d) \in S$ for all $d | a$ and $(1, d') \in S$ for all $d' | b$.*

Proof. Assume $(1, d) \notin S$ for $d | a$ and d is the smallest divisor of a with this property. We may assume that $(\delta, \delta') \notin S$ where $\delta\delta' = d$ and $\delta \neq 1 \neq \delta'$, because, by the minimality of d , the following S -chain obtains:

$$(d) \longrightarrow (\delta, \delta') \longrightarrow (1, \delta, \delta') \longrightarrow (1, d) .$$

Since S is closed, $(1, d) \in S$.

Define f via $f(1) = 0$, $f(d) = 1$, $f(x) = 0$ otherwise. It is easy to see that $f \in M(S)$ by the previous remarks, but

$$[f^*1](ab) \neq [f^*1](a) \cdot [f^*1](b) ,$$

a contradiction.

Let k be fixed and let g be defined via $g(1) = 1$, $g(k) = 1$, and $g(m) = 0$ otherwise. It is easy to check that $T(g)$ contains all co-prime pairs except those of the form $(d, k/d)$ where $d \neq 1$ or k .

LEMMA 3.6. *If S is closed and has property P' , then S must be divisible.*

Proof. Suppose that the set

$$\{(a, b) \in S \mid (d, d') \notin S \text{ for some } d | a, d' | b, d \neq 1 \neq d'\}$$

is nonempty, and let (a, b) be an element of this set which is minimal with respect to the product $ab = n$. Also pick an appropriate (d, d') to be minimal with respect to its product $dd' = k$.

(1) If $\delta | d$ and $\delta' | d'$ and $\delta\delta' < dd'$, then $\delta | a$, $\delta' | b$, and so $(\delta, \delta') \in S$.

(2) If $(d_1, d'_1) \in S$ where $d_1d'_1 = k$, $d_1 \neq 1 \neq d'_1$, then $(\delta, \delta') \in S$ for all $\delta | d_1$ and $\delta' | d'_1$ by the minimality of $ab = n$.

We may assume, however, that $(d_1, d'_1) \notin S$ whenever

$$d_1d'_1 = k, d_1 \neq 1 \neq d'_1 .$$

For if $(d_1, d'_1) \in S$, let $d_1 = d_2d'_2$ and $d'_1 = d_3d'_3$ where $d_2d_3 = d$ and $d'_2d'_3 = d'$. Then the following chain obtains:

$$\begin{aligned} (dd') &\longrightarrow (d_1, d'_1) \longrightarrow (d_2, d'_2, d'_1) \longrightarrow (d_2, d'_2, d_3, d'_3) \\ &\longrightarrow (d_2d_3, d'_2d'_3) = (d, d') . \end{aligned}$$

Since S is a closed set, it follows from this that $(d, d') \in S$, which is contrary to our assumption.

It follows that $g \in M(S)$ where g is the function defined above. It is not hard to see that $[g^*1](ab) \geq 2$ but $[g^*1](a) = 1 = [g^*1](b)$, a contradiction.

THEOREM 3.7. *Let S be a closed set. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) S has property P ,
- (ii) S has property P' ,

and

- (iii) $S \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and S is divisible.

Proof. We have shown $(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3)$. Let $f, g \in M(S)$ and $(a, b) \in S$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [f^*g](ab) &= \sum_{d|a, d'|b} f(dd')g(a/d b/d') \\ &= \sum_{d|a, d'|b} f(d)f(d')g(a/d)g(b/d') \\ &= \sum_{d|a} f(d)g(a/d) \sum_{d'|b} f(d')g(b/d') \\ &= [f^*g](a) \cdot [f^*g](b) . \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. If $f \in M(S)$, then $f \in M(S^*)$. Hence, if S has property P , then S^* has property P . Therefore S has property P if and only if S^* is a divisible subset of \mathcal{A} . In particular all divisible subsets of \mathcal{A} have property P . It should be noted, however, that there exist examples of sets $S \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ which are *not* divisible but whose closures are divisible.

The function E which has value 1 at 1 and 0 elsewhere is the identity under Dirichlet convolution. Therefore it is easy to see that a function f has an inverse \hat{f} if and only if $\hat{f}(1) \neq 0$, in which case, $\hat{f}(1) = 1/f(1)$, and $\hat{f}(n) = (-1/f(1))(\sum_{d|n, d \neq n} \hat{f}(d)f(n/d))$.

THEOREM 3.8. *Let $S \subseteq N \times N$. Then $M(S)$ is a group if and only if $S \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, $\{(1, n)\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq S$, and S^* is a divisible set.*

Proof. All that remains to show is that given $S \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, $\{(1, n)\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq S$, and S^* divisible, then $f \in M(S)$ implies that $\hat{f} \in M(S)$. First, $f(1) = 1$ so that \hat{f} exists and $\hat{f}(n) = \hat{f}(1)\hat{f}(n)$. Let $(a, b) \in S$ and assume that $(d, d') \in T(\hat{f})$ for all $d|a, d'|b$ and $dd' < ab$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
-\hat{f}(ab) &= \sum_{\substack{d|a, d'|b \\ d d' \neq ab}} \hat{f}(dd') f(ab/dd') \\
&= \sum \hat{f}(d) \hat{f}(d') f(a/d) f(b/d') \\
&= \sum_{d|a, d' \neq a} \hat{f}(d) f(a/d) \cdot \sum_{d'|b, d' \neq b} \hat{f}(d') f(b/d') \\
&\quad + \sum_{d|a, d \neq a} \hat{f}(d) f(a/d) \hat{f}(b) + \sum_{d'|b, d' \neq b} \hat{f}(d') f(b/d') \hat{f}(a) \\
&= (-\hat{f}(a) \hat{f}(b)) + \hat{f}(b) (-\hat{f}(a)) + \hat{f}(a) (-\hat{f}(b)) \\
&= -\hat{f}(a) \hat{f}(b) .
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

1. E. D. Cashwell and E. J. Everett, *The ring of number-theoretic functions*, Pacific J. Math., **9** (1959), 975-985.
2. D. L. Goldsmith, *A generalized convolution for arithmetic functions*, Duke Math. J., **38**, (1971), 279-283.

Received September 1971. Research supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grant GP-12015.

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, HUMBOLDT

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

C. R. HOBBY
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 44, No. 1

May, 1973

Jimmy T. Arnold, <i>Power series rings over Prüfer domains</i>	1
Maynard G. Arsove, <i>On the behavior of Pincherle basis functions</i>	13
Jan William Auer, <i>Fiber integration in smooth bundles</i>	33
George Bachman, Edward Beckenstein and Lawrence Narici, <i>Function algebras over valued fields</i>	45
Gerald A. Beer, <i>The index of convexity and the visibility function</i>	59
James Robert Boone, <i>A note on mesocompact and sequentially mesocompact spaces</i>	69
Selwyn Ross Caradus, <i>Semiclosed operators</i>	75
John H. E. Cohn, <i>Two primary factor inequalities</i>	81
Mani Gagrat and Somashekhar Amrith Naimpally, <i>Proximity approach to semi-metric and developable spaces</i>	93
John Grant, <i>Automorphisms definable by formulas</i>	107
Walter Kurt Hayman, <i>Differential inequalities and local valency</i>	117
Wolfgang H. Heil, <i>Testing 3-manifolds for projective planes</i>	139
Melvin Hochster and Louis Jackson Ratliff, Jr., <i>Five theorems on Macaulay rings</i>	147
Thomas Benton Hoover, <i>Operator algebras with reducing invariant subspaces</i>	173
James Edgar Keesling, <i>Topological groups whose underlying spaces are separable Fréchet manifolds</i>	181
Frank Leroy Knowles, <i>Idempotents in the boundary of a Lie group</i>	191
George Edward Lang, <i>The evaluation map and EHP sequences</i>	201
Everette Lee May, Jr, <i>Localizing the spectrum</i>	211
Frank Belsley Miles, <i>Existence of special K-sets in certain locally compact abelian groups</i>	219
Susan Montgomery, <i>A generalization of a theorem of Jacobson. II</i>	233
T. S. Motzkin and J. L. Walsh, <i>Equilibrium of inverse-distance forces in three-dimensions</i>	241
Arunava Mukherjea and Nicolas A. Tserpes, <i>Invariant measures and the converse of Haar's theorem on semitopological semigroups</i>	251
James Waring Noonan, <i>On close-to-convex functions of order β</i>	263
Donald Steven Passman, <i>The Jacobian of a growth transformation</i>	281
Dean Blackburn Priest, <i>A mean Stieltjes type integral</i>	291
Joe Bill Rhodes, <i>Decomposition of semilattices with applications to topological lattices</i>	299
Claus M. Ringel, <i>Socle conditions for QF – 1 rings</i>	309
Richard Rochberg, <i>Linear maps of the disk algebra</i>	337
Roy W. Ryden, <i>Groups of arithmetic functions under Dirichlet convolution</i>	355
Michael J. Sharpe, <i>A class of operators on excessive functions</i>	361
Erling Stormer, <i>Automorphisms and equivalence in von Neumann algebras</i>	371
Philip C. Tonne, <i>Matrix representations for linear transformations on series analytic in the unit disc</i>	385