# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# GROUPS OF ARITHMETIC FUNCTIONS UNDER DIRICHLET CONVOLUTION

ROY W. RYDEN

Vol. 44, No. 1 May 1973

# GROUPS OF ARITHMETIC FUNCTIONS UNDER DIRICHLET CONVOLUTION

### ROY W. RYDEN

If f is an arithmetic function, let  $T(f) = \{(a, b) \mid f(ab) = f(a)f(b)\}$ . If S is a set of pairs of positive integers, let  $f \in M(S)$  if  $T(f) \supseteq S$ . In this paper we determine all sets S such that M(S) is a group under Dirichlet convolution.

1. Introduction. An arithmetic function f is a complex-valued function whose domain is the set  $N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ . The multiplicative set belonging to f is the set  $T(f) = \{(a, b) | f(ab) = f(a)f(b)\}$ . If S is any nonempty subset of  $N \times N$ , then we say that  $f \in M(S)$  if  $f \neq 0$  and  $T(f) \supseteq S$ . We shall let  $\mathscr{R}$  denote the set  $\{(a, b) | GCD(a, b) = 1\}$ . Furthermore, for convenience we shall assume that all of our sets  $S \subseteq N \times N$  are symmetric  $(a, b) \in S$  if and only if  $(b, a) \in S$ .

It is well-known (see [1]) that  $M(\mathcal{R})$ , the set of all *multiplicative* functions, forms an Abelian group under the *Dirichlet convolution* 

$$[f^*g](n) = \sum_{d+n} f(d)g(n/d)$$
.

In this paper we intend to characterize completely all those sets S such that M(S) is a group under\*. It is not hard to show that all of our results carry through for the generalized convolution defined by Goldsmith [2]. We shall work with\* for simplicity.

Some of the contents of this paper appeared in the author's Ph.D. thesis written at the University of Oregon under the direction of Professor Ivan Niven.

2. The multiplicative closure of a set. It is convenient for us to introduce a closure operation on subsets of  $N \times N$ . Properties of this operation which are not necessary for this paper will be discussed by the author elsewhere.

If  $S \subseteq N \times N$ , then the transformation

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \longleftrightarrow (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n, b_{n+1})$$

is said to be an S-step if

$$a_j = b_j \qquad \qquad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \cdots, n-1$$

$$a_n = b_n b_{n+1}$$

and

(iii) 
$$(b_n, b_{n+1}) \in S$$
,

where all *n*-tuples for  $n \ge 3$  are to be considered as *unordered*. It should be emphasized that an S-step is a transformation which can go either from  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  to  $(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n, b_{n+1})$ , or from  $(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n, b_{n+1})$  to  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ . An S-chain is any sequence of S-steps. We say that a pair (a, b) is in  $S^*$ , the *multiplicative closure* of S, if there exists a finite S-chain leading from the 1-tuple (ab) to the pair (a, b). A set S is closed if  $S = S^*$ .

THEOREM 2.1. (i)  $S \subseteq S^*$ , and  $A \subseteq B$  implies  $A^* \subseteq B^*$ ;

- (ii)  $S^{**} = S^*$ ;
- (iii) T(f) is closed for all functions f.

*Proof.* (i) Notice that  $(a_1a_2) \rightarrow (a_1, a_2)$  is an S-chain if  $(a, b) \in S$ . To see that (ii) holds, let  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \leftarrow (b_1, \dots, b_n, b_{n+1})$  be an  $S^*$ -step where  $a_i = b_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ,  $b_nb_{n+1} = a_n$  and  $(b_n, b_{n+1}) \in S^*$ . Then there exists a finite S-chain:

$$(b_n b_{n+1}) \longrightarrow (c_1, c_2) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow (d_1, d_2, d_3) \longrightarrow (b_n, b_{n+1})$$
.

Notice that the following is a finite S-chain:

$$(a_1, \dots, a_n) \longrightarrow (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, c_1, c_2) \ = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n-1}, c_1, c_2) \ \longrightarrow \cdots \ \longrightarrow (b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, d_1, d_2, d_3) \ \longrightarrow (b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, b_n, b_{n+1})$$

Hence any finite  $S^*$ -chain can be represented as a finite S-chain and (ii) follows.

To prove (iii), if  $(nm) \rightarrow (n_1, n_2) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow (b_1, b_2, m) \rightarrow (n, m)$  is a T(f)-chain, then

$$f(nm) = f(n_1)f(n_2)$$

$$= \cdots$$

$$= f(b_1)f(b_2)f(m)$$

$$= f(n)f(m) ,$$

so that  $(n, m) \in T(f)^*$  implies that  $(n, m) \in T(f)$ .

If  $\varphi$  is Euler's totient function, then it is not hard to see that  $T(\varphi) = \mathscr{R}$ . Hence we can conclude from 2.1 that the set  $\mathscr{R}$  is closed.

A set S is divisible if  $(a, b) \in S$  implies that  $(d, d') \in S$  whenever  $d \mid a$  and  $d' \mid b$ . Notice that  $\mathscr{R}$  is a divisible set.

Theorem 2.2. If S is a divisible subset of  $\mathcal{R}$ , then  $S^*$  is also

a divisible subset of R.

*Proof.* The fact that  $S^* \subseteq \mathscr{R}$  is immediate because  $\mathscr{R}$  is closed. If  $(a, b) \in S^*$  and  $p^{\alpha} \parallel a$  and  $q^{\beta} \parallel b$  where p and q are primes, then  $(p^{\alpha}, q^{\beta}) \in S$ . If not, then  $(p^{\alpha}x, q^{\beta}y) \notin S$  by the divisibility of S so that if  $(ab) \to (u, v) \to \cdots \to (a, b)$  is an S-chain then one and only one "co-ordinate" of each-tuple involved must be divisible by  $p^{\alpha}q^{\beta}$ . But this is a contradiction because  $p^{\alpha} \mid a$  and  $q^{\beta} \mid b$ . Therefore  $(p^i, q^i) \in S$  for all  $i \leq \alpha, j \leq \beta$ , by the divisibility of S.

Assume that  $d \mid a$ ,  $d' \mid b$ , and  $(\delta, \delta') \in S^*$  for all  $\delta \mid d$ ,  $\delta' \mid d'$ , and  $(\delta, \delta') \neq (d, d')$ . Since  $(a, b) \in S^*$  let  $(ab) \rightarrow (u, v)$  be a first S-step where  $(u, v) \in S$ ,  $u = d_1 d'_1 d''_1$ ,  $v = d_2 d'_2 d''_2$ ,  $d_1 d_2 = d$ , and  $d'_1 d'_2 = d'$ . By the divisibility of S we have  $(d_1 d'_1, d_2 d'_2) \in S$ ,  $(d_1, d_2) \in S$ , and  $(d'_1, d'_2) \in S$ . By the choice of (d, d') we have  $(d_1, d'_1)$  and  $(d_2, d'_2) \in S^*$ . Hence the following S-chain obtains:

$$\begin{aligned} (dd') &= (d_1d'_1d_2d'_2) & \longrightarrow (d_1d'_1, \ d_2d'_2) \\ & \longrightarrow (d_1, \ d'_1, \ d_2, d'_2) \\ & \longrightarrow (d_1, \ d'_1, \ d_2, \ d'_2) \\ & \longrightarrow (d_1d_2, \ d_2d'_2) \\ & \longrightarrow (d, \ d') \end{aligned}$$

so that  $(d, d') \in S^*$ .

3. The main results. A set S is said to have property P if  $f^*g \in M(S)$  whenever f and g are in M(S). The main theorem of this paper is the following characterization.

THEOREM 3.1. A set S has property P if, and only if  $S^*$  is a divisible subset of  $\mathscr{R}$ . In particular, all divisible subsets of  $\mathscr{R}$  have property P.

The proof of Theorem 3.1 will follow from a sequence of lemmas. A set S has property P' if  $f^*1 \in M(S)$  whenever  $f \in M(S)$  where 1 is the function with constant value 1.

LEMMA 3.2. If S has property P, then S has property P'.

LEMMA 3.3. If S has property P', then  $S \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ .

*Proof.* 1\*1 is the number of divisors function  $\tau$ , and it is easy to see that  $T(\tau) = \mathcal{R}$ . Therefore  $\tau \in M(S)$  implies  $\mathcal{R} = T(\tau) \supseteq S$ .

LEMMA 3.4. If S has property P', then  $(1, 1) \in S$ .

*Proof.* If  $(1, 1) \notin S$ , define f(1) = 2, f(n) = 0 for all n > 1. Then  $f \in M(S)$  but  $f*1 \notin M(S)$ .

LEMMA 3.5. Let S be closed and have property P'. If  $(a, b) \in S$ , then  $(1, d) \in S$  for all  $d \mid a$  and  $(1, d') \in S$  for all  $d' \mid b$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $(1, d) \notin S$  for  $d \mid a$  and d is the smallest divisor of a with this property. We may assume that  $(\delta, \delta') \notin S$  where  $\delta \delta' = d$  and  $\delta \neq 1 \neq \delta'$ , because, by the minimality of d, the following S-chain obtains:

$$(d) \longrightarrow (\delta, \delta') \longrightarrow (1, \delta, \delta') \longrightarrow (1, d)$$
.

Since S is closed,  $(1, d) \in S$ .

Define f via f(1) = 0, f(d) = 1, f(x) = 0 otherwise. It is easy to see that  $f \in M(S)$  by the previous remarks, but

$$[f*1](ab) \neq [f*1](a) \cdot [f*1](b)$$
,

a contradiction.

Let k be fixed and let g be defined via g(1) = 1, g(k) = 1, and g(m) = 0 otherwise. It is easy to check that T(g) contains all coprime pairs except those of the form (d, k/d) where  $d \neq 1$  or k.

LEMMA 3.6. If S is closed and has property P', then S must be divisible.

Proof. Suppose that the set

$$\{(a, b) \in S \mid (d, d') \notin S \text{ for some } d \mid a, d' \mid b, d \neq 1 \neq d'\}$$

is nonempty, and let (a, b) be an element of this set which is minimal with respect to the product ab = n. Also pick an appropriate (d, d') to be minimal with respect to its product dd' = k.

- (1) If  $\delta \mid d$  and  $\delta' \mid d'$  and  $\delta \delta' < dd'$ , then  $\delta \mid a, \delta' \mid b$ , and so  $(\delta, \delta') \in S$ .
- (2) If  $(d_1, d_1') \in S$  where  $d_1d_1' = k$ ,  $d_1 \neq 1 \neq d_1'$ , then  $(\delta, \delta') \in S$  for all  $\delta \mid d_1$  and  $\delta' \mid d_1'$  by the minimality of ab = n.

We may assume, however, that  $(d_1, d_1) \notin S$  whenever

$$d_1d_1' = k, d_1 \neq 1 \neq d_1'$$
.

For if  $(d_1, d'_1) \in S$ , let  $d_1 = d_2 d'_2$  and  $d'_1 = d_3 d'_3$  where  $d_2 d_3 = d$  and  $d'_2 d'_3 = d'$ . Then the following chain obtains:

$$(dd') \longrightarrow (d_1, d'_1) \longrightarrow (d_2, d'_2, d'_1) \longrightarrow (d_2, d'_2, d_3, d'_3)$$
$$\longrightarrow (d_2d_3, d'_2d'_3) = (d, d').$$

Since S is a closed set, it follows from this that  $(d, d') \in S$ , which is contrary to our assumption.

It follows that  $g \in M(S)$  where g is the function defined above. It is not hard to see that  $[g*1](ab) \ge 2$  but [g\*1](a) = 1 = [g\*1](b), a contradiction.

Theorem 3.7. Let S be a closed set. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) S has property P,
- (ii) S has property P',

and

(iii)  $S \subseteq \mathcal{R}$  and S is divisible.

*Proof.* We have shown  $(1)\Rightarrow (2)\Rightarrow (3)$ . Let  $f,g\in M(S)$  and  $(a,b)\in S$ . Then

$$\begin{split} [f^*g](ab) &= \sum_{d|a,d'|b} f(dd')g(a/d\ b/d') \\ &= \sum_{d|a,d'|b} f(d)f(d')g(a/d)g(b/d') \\ &= \sum_{d|a} f(d)g(a/d) \sum_{d'|b} f(d')g(b/d') \\ &= [f^*g](a) \cdot [f^*g](b) \;. \end{split}$$

*Proof of Theorem* 3.1. If  $f \in M(S)$ , then  $f \in M(S^*)$ . Hence, if S has property P, then  $S^*$  has property P. Therefore S has property P if and only if  $S^*$  is a divisible subset of  $\mathscr{R}$ . In particular all divisible subsets of  $\mathscr{R}$  have property P. It should be noted, however, that there exist examples of sets  $S \subseteq \mathscr{R}$  which are *not* divisible but whose closures are divisible.

The function E which has value 1 at 1 and 0 elsewhere is the identity under Dirichlet convolution. Therefore it is easy to see that a function f has an inverse  $\hat{f}$  if and only if  $\hat{f}(1) \neq 0$ , in which case,  $\hat{f}(1) = 1/f(1)$ , and  $\hat{f}(n) = (-1/f(1))(\sum_{d|n,d\neq n} \hat{f}(d)f(n/d))$ .

THEOREM 3.8. Let  $S \subseteq N \times N$ . Then M(S) is a group if and only if  $S \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ ,  $\{(1, n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq S$ , and  $S^*$  is a divisible set.

*Proof.* All that remains to show is that given  $S \subseteq \mathscr{R}$ ,  $\{(1,n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq S$ , and  $S^*$  divisible, then  $f \in M(S)$  implies that  $\hat{f} \in M(S)$ . First, f(1) = 1 so that  $\hat{f}$  exists and  $\hat{f}(n) = \hat{f}(1)\hat{f}(n)$ . Let  $(a,b) \in S$  and assume that  $(d,d') \in T(\hat{f})$  for all  $d \mid a$ ,  $d' \mid b$  and dd' < ab. Then

$$\begin{split} -\; \hat{f}(ab) &= \sum_{\stackrel{d|a,d'|b}{dd' \neq ab}} \hat{f}(dd') f(ab/dd') \\ &= \sum_{\stackrel{d|a,d'|a}{dd' \neq ab}} \hat{f}(d) f(d') f(a/d) f(b/d') \\ &= \sum_{\stackrel{d|a,d \neq a}{d\neq a}} \hat{f}(d) f(a/d) \cdot \sum_{\stackrel{d'|b,d' \neq b}{d' \mid b,d' \neq b}} \hat{f}(d') f(b/d') \\ &+ \sum_{\stackrel{d|a,d \neq a}{d\neq a}} \hat{f}(d) f(a/d) \hat{f}(b) + \sum_{\stackrel{d'|b,d' \neq b}{d' \mid b,d' \neq b}} \hat{f}(d') f(b/d') \hat{f}(a) \\ &= (-\hat{f}(a) \hat{f}(b)) + \hat{f}(b) (-\hat{f}(a)) + \hat{f}(a) (-\hat{f}(b)) \\ &= -\hat{f}(a) \hat{f}(b) \; . \end{split}$$

This completes the proof.

### REFERENCES

- 1. E. D. Cashwell and E. J. Everett, The ring of number-theoretic functions, Pacific J. Math., 9 (1959), 975-985.
- D. L. Goldsmith, A generalized convolution for arithmetic functions, Duke Math. J., 38, (1971), 279-283.

Received September 1971. Research supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grant GP-12015.

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, HUMBOLDT

### PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

### **EDITORS**

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

C. R. HOBBY University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. Yoshida

### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

## **Pacific Journal of Mathematics**

Vol. 44, No. 1

May, 1973

Jimmy T. Arnold, Power series rings over Prüfer domains	1
Maynard G. Arsove, On the behavior of Pincherle basis functions	13
Jan William Auer, Fiber integration in smooth bundles	33
George Bachman, Edward Beckenstein and Lawrence Narici, Function algebras	
over valued fields	45
Gerald A. Beer, <i>The index of convexity and the visibility function</i>	59
James Robert Boone, A note on mesocompact and sequentially mesocompact	
spaces	69
Selwyn Ross Caradus, Semiclosed operators	75
John H. E. Cohn, Two primary factor inequalities	81
Mani Gagrat and Somashekhar Amrith Naimpally, Proximity approach to	
semi-metric and developable spaces	93
John Grant, Automorphisms definable by formulas	107
Walter Kurt Hayman, Differential inequalities and local valency	117
Wolfgang H. Heil, Testing 3-manifolds for projective planes	139
Melvin Hochster and Louis Jackson Ratliff, Jr., Five theorems on Macaulay	
rings	147
Thomas Benton Hoover, Operator algebras with reducing invariant subspaces	173
James Edgar Keesling, Topological groups whose underlying spaces are separable	
Fréchet manifolds	181
Frank Leroy Knowles, <i>Idempotents in the boundary of a Lie group</i>	191
George Edward Lang, The evaluation map and EHP sequences	201
Everette Lee May, Jr, Localizing the spectrum	211
Frank Belsley Miles, Existence of special K-sets in certain locally compact abelian	
groups	219
Susan Montgomery, A generalization of a theorem of Jacobson. II	233
T. S. Motzkin and J. L. Walsh, <i>Equilibrium of inverse-distance forces in</i>	
three-dimensions	241
Arunava Mukherjea and Nicolas A. Tserpes, <i>Invariant measures and the converse</i>	
of Haar's theorem on semitopological semigroups	251
James Waring Noonan, On close-to-convex functions of order $\beta$	263
Donald Steven Passman, The Jacobian of a growth transformation	281
Dean Blackburn Priest, A mean Stieltjes type integral	291
Joe Bill Rhodes, Decomposition of semilattices with applications to topological	
lattices	299
Claus M. Ringel, Socle conditions for QF – 1 rings	309
Richard Rochberg, Linear maps of the disk algebra	337
Roy W. Ryden, Groups of arithmetic functions under Dirichlet convolution	355
Michael J. Sharpe, A class of operators on excessive functions	361
Erling Stormer, Automorphisms and equivalence in von Neumann algebras	371
Philip C. Tonne, Matrix representations for linear transformations on series	
analytic in the unit disc	385