

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**MATRIX REPRESENTATIONS FOR LINEAR
TRANSFORMATIONS ON SERIES ANALYTIC IN THE UNIT
DISC**

PHILIP C. TONNE

MATRIX REPRESENTATIONS FOR LINEAR
TRANSFORMATIONS ON SERIES
ANALYTIC IN THE UNIT DISC

PHILIP C. TONNE

Let S be the space of all complex sequences A such that if z is a complex number and $|z| < 1$ then $\sum A_n z^n$ converges. We present three characterizations of the linear transformations from S to S which have matrix representations. We also characterize the linear transformations from S to the bounded sequences (or to the convergent sequences) which have matrix representations. The characterizations are in terms of natural topologies for the spaces.

These results are a blend of Köthe and Toeplitz' much quoted study [3] of complex sequence spaces, Haplanov's beautiful characterization [1] of those matrices which transform S to S , and some rather natural norms for S which have been used by V. Ganapathy Iyer [2] in his study of entire functions.

Köthe and Toeplitz study complex sequence spaces, matrices, and linear transformations having a kind of continuity which is independent of norms. A space is said to be *normal* provided that if x is in the space and $|y_n| \leq |x_n|$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, then y is also in the space. Köthe and Toeplitz show that a continuous linear transformation from a normal space to a normal space has a matrix representation, and conversely, provided that each space contains all the "finite" sequences. Our space S is normal and the space of bounded sequences is also normal. The continuity criteria used in our theorems (statement (2) in each) are special cases of the continuity condition of Köthe and Toeplitz. It follows from their work that the existence of a matrix for a linear transformation L is necessary and sufficient for L to have "analytic" continuity (see definition below).

Given a matrix transformation from S to S and a norm N_r ($0 < r < 1$: if A is in S , $N_r(A) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} |A_p| r^p$) for S , Haplanov's theorem provides another such norm N_B such that the transformation is continuous from the normed linear space $\{S, N_r\}$ to $\{S, N_B\}$. Finally, to complete Theorem 1, each linear transformation which is continuous relative to some such pair of norms is represented by a matrix, even though S is complete with respect to neither of the norms.

Our second theorem is like the first: the transformations are from S to the bounded sequences (or convergent sequences).

In [6] Wilanski gives a result of a similar kind for convergence-preserving transformations.

For a topological approach to obtaining continuity for a transformation from the existence of a matrix representation, see Wilanski's *Functional Analysis* [5, p. 204].

The author is indebted to the referee for most of the references.

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that L is a linear transformation from S to S . These statements are equivalent:*

(1) *L has a matrix representation (there is a complex matrix M such that if A is in S and n is a nonnegative integer then $L(A)_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M_{nk}A_k$).*

(2) *If A is a sequence of sequences in S and A has limit 0 analytically (definition below), then the sequence $\{L(A_n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ has limit 0 analytically also.*

(3) *If $0 < R < 1$ then there is a number r between 0 and 1 such that L is a continuous transformation from the normed linear space $\{S, N_r\}$ to $\{S, N_R\}$. (For ρ between 0 and 1 and A in S , $N_\rho(A) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |A_n| \rho^n$.)*

(4) *There are numbers r and R between 0 and 1 such that L is a continuous linear transformation from $\{S, N_r\}$ to $\{S, N_R\}$.*

DEFINITION. If A is a sequence of sequences in S and f is a sequence of analytic functions such that if n is a nonnegative integer and $|z| < 1$ then

$$f_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{nk} z^k$$

and f converges uniformly with limit 0 on each closed subset of the unit disc, then A is said to have limit 0 *analytically*.

HAPLANOV'S THEOREM. *Suppose that M is an infinite complex matrix. Then these statements are equivalent:*

(1) *If A is in S then $M \cdot A$ is in S . ($(M \cdot A)_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M_{nk}A_k$)*

(2) *There are numbers r and d such that $0 < r < 1$ and d is a positive integer such that if j and k are nonnegative integers and $k > jd + d$ then $|M_{jk}| < r^k$, and there is a sequence s in S such that $|M_{jk}| \leq s_j$ ($j, k = 0, 1, \dots$).*

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that L is a linear transformation from S to the bounded sequences (convergent sequences). Then these statements are equivalent:*

(1) *L has a matrix representation.*

(2) *If A is a sequence with values in S and has limit 0 analytically, then $\{L(A_n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence with limit 0 in the least upper bound norm.*

(3) *There is a number r between 0 and 1 such that if $0 < R < 1$*

then L is continuous from $\{S, N_r\}$ to $\{S, N_R\}$.

(4) There is a number r between 0 and 1 such that L is a continuous transformation from $\{S, N_r\}$ to the space of bounded sequences (convergent sequences) under the least upper bound norm.

The matrix transformations from S to the bounded sequences (convergent sequences) are characterized as follows [4]:

THEOREM A. Suppose that M is an infinite complex matrix. Then these statements are equivalent:

(1) If A is in S , then $M \cdot A$ is a bounded (convergent) sequence.

(2) Each column of M is a bounded (convergent) sequence and there are numbers r and t such that $0 < r < 1$ and if each of j and k is a nonnegative integer then $|M_{jk}| \leq tr^k$.

The following notation and lemmas are useful in the proofs of our theorems.

NOTATION. l_1 is the space of all sequences x such that $\sum |x_k|$ converges, and N_1 is its usual norm: if x is in l_1 then $N_1(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |x_k|$. If each of x and y is a sequence, then $x \cdot y$ is the sequence such that if n is a nonnegative integer then $(x \cdot y)_n = x_n \cdot y_n$.

Cauchy's Inequalities. Suppose that A is in S , $0 < r < 1$, and μ is a number such that if $|z| = r$ then $|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n z^n| \leq \mu$. Then, for each nonnegative integer n , $|A_n| r^n \leq \mu$.

LEMMA 0. If A is a sequence of sequences in S which has limit 0 analytically and B is the sequence such that

$$B(n)_k = B_{nk} = \max \{|A_{nj}|, j = 0, 1, \dots, k\} \quad (n, k = 0, 1, \dots),$$

then B has limit 0 analytically.

Proof. Let r be a number between 0 and 1. Let R be a number between r and 1. Let ε be a positive number. Let m be a positive integer such that if n is an integer exceeding m and $|z| \leq R$ then $|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{nk} z^k| < \varepsilon/(1 - r/R)$. Let n be an integer exceeding m . By Cauchy's inequalities, $|A_{nk}| < \varepsilon R^{-k}/(1 - r/R)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$. For each nonnegative integer k let j_k be a nonnegative integer such that $j_k \leq k$ and $B_{nk} = |A(n, j_k)|$. If k is a nonnegative integer, $R^{-k} \geq R^{-j_k}$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_{nk} r^k &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |A(n, j_k)| r^k \\ &< \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon}{1 - r/R} R^{-k} r^k = \epsilon . \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 1. *Suppose that A is a sequence (of sequences in S), A has limit 0 analytically, and C is the sequence such that if each of n and k is a nonnegative integer then $C(n)_k = C_{nk} = |A_{nk}|$. Then C has limit 0 analytically.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 0.

LEMMA 2. *Suppose that A is a sequence, A has limit 0 analytically, and s is in S . Let C be the sequence such that if each of n and k is a nonnegative integer then $C(n)_k = C_{nk} = A_{nk} s_k$. Then C has limit 0 analytically.*

Proof. Let r be a number between 0 and 1 and let R be a number between r and 1. Recall the Cauchy-Hadamard characterization for S : the sequence x belongs to S only in the case that $\limsup_n |x_n|^{1/n} \leq 1$. Therefore there is a number t such that if k is a nonnegative integer then $|s_k r^k| < t R^k$. Then, if n is a nonnegative integer and $|z| \leq r$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{nk} z^k \right| &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |A_{nk} s_k| r^k \\ &\leq t \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |A_{nk}| R^k , \end{aligned}$$

so that by Lemma 1, C has limit 0 analytically.

LEMMA 3. *If A is a sequence which has limit 0 analytically, d is a positive integer, and C is the sequence such that if each of n and k is a nonnegative integer then $C(n)_k = C_{nk} = A(n, kd + d)$, then C has limit 0 analytically. Furthermore, if $0 < r < 1$ and $R = r^{1/d}$ then $N_r(C_n) \leq N_R(A_n)/r, n = 0, 1, \dots$.*

Proof. Let r be a number between 0 and 1. Let R be $r^{1/d}$ and let ϵ be a positive number. By Lemma 1 there is a positive integer m such that if n is an integer exceeding m then $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |A_{nk}| R^k < r \cdot \epsilon$. Let n be an integer exceeding m . Then, if $|z| \leq r$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{nk} z^k \right| &\leq N_r(C_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |A(n, kd + d)| r^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |A(n, kd + d)| R^{-d} R^{kd+d} \leq R^{-d} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |A_{nk}| R^k < \epsilon , \end{aligned}$$

and

$$N_r(C_n) \leq R^{-d} N_R(A_n) = \frac{1}{r} N_R(A_n) .$$

LEMMA 4. Suppose that $0 < a < 1$, $R = a^2$, s is in S , and $s_k \geq 0, k = 0, 1, \dots$. Then s^2 in S and $N_R(s^2) \leq N_a(s)^2$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} N_R(s^2) &= N_{a^2}(s^2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n^2 (a^2)^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_{2n-n} s_n a^{2n} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{2n} s_{2n-k} s_k \right) a^{2n} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n s_{n-k} s_k \right) a^n \\ &= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n a^n \right)^2 = N_a(s)^2 . \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 5. Suppose that $0 < r < 1$ and A is in S and B is the sequence such that if n is a nonnegative integer then $B_n = \max \{ |A_k|, k = 0, \dots, n \}$. Then

$$N_r(B) \leq N_r(A)/(1 - r) .$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} N_r(B) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n r^n \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n |A_k| \right) r^n \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |A_k| \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} r^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |A_k| r^k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n \\ &= N_r(A)/(1 - r) . \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 1. (1 \rightarrow 2) Statement (2) follows statement (1) as a consequence of Köthe and Toeplitz' Satz 2, §8 [3, p. 208]. Lemma 1 (above) is useful in showing that our "analytic" continuity is equivalent to their continuity. Alternately, one can cite a general theorem concerning FK spaces [5, Cor. 5, p. 204], or else one can use Haplanov's Theorem and Lemmas 0, 1, 2, and 3.

(2 \rightarrow 1) Statement (1) follows from (2) as a consequence of Satz 7, §6 [3, p. 207]. This can also be done by modifying the argument under the heading (4 \rightarrow 1) below.

(1 \rightarrow 3) Let R be a number between 0 and 1, and let M be the matrix representation for L . Let s be the sequence such that if n is a nonnegative integer then $s_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |M_{nk}|$. By Haplanov's Theorem, s is in S , and there are numbers q and d such that $0 < q < 1$ and d

is a positive integer such that if j and k are nonnegative integers and $k > jd + d$ then $|M_{jk}| < q^k$. Let r be a number between q and 1 and between $R^{1/2d}$ and 1.

Let A be in S and suppose that each of B and C is a sequence such that

$$B_n = \max \{ |A_j|, j = 0, 1, \dots, n \} \quad \text{and} \quad C_n = B_{nd+d} (n = 0, 1, \dots).$$

Each of B and C is in S , and, by Cauchy's inequality and Lemmas 4, 3, and 5,

$$\begin{aligned} N_R(L(A)) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M_{nk} A_k \right| R^n \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{nd+d} |M_{nk}| |A_k| R^n + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=nd+d+1}^{\infty} |M_{nk}| |A_k| R^n \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n B_{nd+d} R^n + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^k |A_k| R^n \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n R^{n/2} C_n R^{n/2} + \frac{1}{1-R} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |A_k| q^k \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n^2 R^n \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n^2 R^n \right)^{1/2} + N_q(A)/(1-R) \\ &\leq N_{\sqrt{R}}(s) N_{\sqrt{R}}(C) + N_q(A)/(1-R) \\ &\leq R^{-1/2} N_{\sqrt{R}}(s) N_r(B) + N_r(A)/(1-R) \\ &\leq \frac{R^{-1/2}}{1-r} N_{\sqrt{R}}(s) N_r(A) + \frac{1}{1-R} N_r(A). \end{aligned}$$

L is a continuous linear transformation from $\{S, N_r\}$ to $\{S, N_R\}$.

(4 \rightarrow 1) For each nonnegative-integer pair $\{j, k\}$ let M_{jk} be $L(\delta_k)_j$. ($(\delta_k)_j = 1$ if $j = k$, $(\delta_k)_j = 0$ otherwise.) Let B be a member of S and let n be a nonnegative integer. Recall that l_1 is a subset of the ring S and let T be that linear transformation from l_1 to the complex plane such that if A is in l_1 then $T(A) = L(B \cdot A)_n$. If A is a sequence of sequences in l_1 and the sequence $\{N_1(A_j)\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ has limit 0, then $\{N_r(B \cdot A_j)\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ has limit 0, so that $\{N_R(L(B \cdot A_j))\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ has limit 0 and $\{T(A_j)\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ has limit 0 (by Cauchy's inequalities). T is a continuous linear transformation from l_1 to the plane. Consequently, there is a bounded complex sequence b such that if x is in l_1 then $T(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k x_k$. Furthermore, if k is a nonnegative integer then

$$b_k = T(\delta_k) = L(B \cdot \delta_k)_n = L(B_k \cdot \delta_k)_n = B_k \cdot L(\delta_k)_n = M_{nk} B_k.$$

Let A be the sequence whose only value is 1. A is in S . Let x be the sequence with values in l_1 such that if each of j and k is a nonnegative integer then $x_{jk} = 1$ if $j \geq k$ and $x_{jk} = 0$ if $j < k$. The

sequence $\{N_r(B \cdot (x_j - A))\}_{j=0}^\infty$ has limit 0. So $\{N_r(L(B \cdot (x_j - A)))\}_{j=0}^\infty$ has limit 0, and, by Cauchy's inequalities, $\{L(B \cdot x_j)\}_{j=0}^\infty$ has limit $L(B \cdot A)_n = L(B)_n$: the sequence $\{T(x_j)\}_{j=0}^\infty$ has limit $L(B)_n$. But, if j is a nonnegative integer,

$$T(x_j) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty b_k x_{jk} = \sum_{k=0}^j b_k = \sum_{k=0}^j M_{nk} B_k,$$

and

$$L(B)_n = \sum_{k=0}^\infty M_{nk} B_k,$$

so L has a matrix representation.

Statement (4) follows immediately from (3). So we have proved Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 2. (1 \rightarrow 2) One can again quote [3, Satz 2, p. 208] or quote [5, Cor. 5, p. 204] or simply apply Theorem A and Lemma 1.

(1 \rightarrow 4) Let M be the infinite matrix such that if x is a bounded (convergent) sequence then $L(x)_n = \sum_{k=0}^\infty M_{nk} x_k$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$). There are numbers r and t such that $|M_{jk}| \leq t r^k$ ($j, k = 0, 1, \dots$). Let A be in S and let j be a nonnegative integer.

$$|L(A)_j| = \left| \sum_{k=0}^\infty M_{jk} A_k \right| \leq t \sum_{k=0}^\infty r^k |A_k| = t N_r(A).$$

Hence, L is a continuous transformation from $\{S, N_r\}$ to the space of bounded sequences (convergent sequences) with least upper bound norm.

(2 \rightarrow 1) Suppose that A is a sequence having limit 0 analytically and $0 < r < 1$. Let ε be a positive number and let m be a positive integer such that if n is an integer exceeding m then

$$|L(A_n)_k| < \varepsilon(1 - r) \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots).$$

Then, if $|z| \leq r$ and n is an integer exceeding m ,

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^\infty L(A_n)_k z^k \right| \leq \sum_{k=0}^\infty |L(A_n)_k| r^k < \varepsilon.$$

Consequently, the sequence $\{L(A_n)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ has limit 0 analytically, so that by Theorem 1, L has a matrix representation.

(4 \rightarrow 3) Let r be a number between 0 and 1 such that L is a continuous transformation from $\{S, N_r\}$ to the space of bounded sequences (convergent sequences) under the least upper bound norm. Suppose that $0 < R < 1$.

There is a number K such that if A is in S then

$$|L(A)_j| \leq KN_r(A) \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots).$$

So, if A is in S ,

$$N_R(L(A)) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |L(A)_j| R^j \leq \frac{K}{1-R} N_r(A),$$

and L is continuous from $\{S, N_r\}$ to $\{S, N_R\}$.

Statement (1) follows from statement (3) by Theorem 1, and so we are finished with the proof of Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

1. M. G. Haplanov, *Linear transformations of analytic spaces*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., (2) **13** (1960), 177-183.
2. V. Ganapathy Iyer, *On the spaces of integral functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **3** (1952), 874-883.
3. G. Köthe and O. Toeplitz, *Lineare Räume mit unendlichvielen Koordinaten und Ringe unenlicher Matrizen*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, **171** (1934), 193-226.
4. P. C. Tonne, *Matrix transformations on the power series convergent on the unit disc*, J. London, Math. Soc., (2), **4** (1972), 667-670.
5. Albert Wilanski, *Functional Analysis*, Blaisidell, New York, 1964.
6. ———, *Subalgebras of $B(X)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **29** (1971), 355-360.

Received July 23, 1971

EMORY UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

C. R. HOBBY
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 44, No. 1

May, 1973

Jimmy T. Arnold, <i>Power series rings over Prüfer domains</i>	1
Maynard G. Arsove, <i>On the behavior of Pincherle basis functions</i>	13
Jan William Auer, <i>Fiber integration in smooth bundles</i>	33
George Bachman, Edward Beckenstein and Lawrence Narici, <i>Function algebras over valued fields</i>	45
Gerald A. Beer, <i>The index of convexity and the visibility function</i>	59
James Robert Boone, <i>A note on mesocompact and sequentially mesocompact spaces</i>	69
Selwyn Ross Caradus, <i>Semiclosed operators</i>	75
John H. E. Cohn, <i>Two primary factor inequalities</i>	81
Mani Gagrat and Somashekhar Amrith Naimpally, <i>Proximity approach to semi-metric and developable spaces</i>	93
John Grant, <i>Automorphisms definable by formulas</i>	107
Walter Kurt Hayman, <i>Differential inequalities and local valency</i>	117
Wolfgang H. Heil, <i>Testing 3-manifolds for projective planes</i>	139
Melvin Hochster and Louis Jackson Ratliff, Jr., <i>Five theorems on Macaulay rings</i>	147
Thomas Benton Hoover, <i>Operator algebras with reducing invariant subspaces</i>	173
James Edgar Keesling, <i>Topological groups whose underlying spaces are separable Fréchet manifolds</i>	181
Frank Leroy Knowles, <i>Idempotents in the boundary of a Lie group</i>	191
George Edward Lang, <i>The evaluation map and EHP sequences</i>	201
Everette Lee May, Jr, <i>Localizing the spectrum</i>	211
Frank Belsley Miles, <i>Existence of special K-sets in certain locally compact abelian groups</i>	219
Susan Montgomery, <i>A generalization of a theorem of Jacobson. II</i>	233
T. S. Motzkin and J. L. Walsh, <i>Equilibrium of inverse-distance forces in three-dimensions</i>	241
Arunava Mukherjea and Nicolas A. Tserpes, <i>Invariant measures and the converse of Haar's theorem on semitopological semigroups</i>	251
James Waring Noonan, <i>On close-to-convex functions of order β</i>	263
Donald Steven Passman, <i>The Jacobian of a growth transformation</i>	281
Dean Blackburn Priest, <i>A mean Stieltjes type integral</i>	291
Joe Bill Rhodes, <i>Decomposition of semilattices with applications to topological lattices</i>	299
Claus M. Ringel, <i>Socle conditions for QF – 1 rings</i>	309
Richard Rochberg, <i>Linear maps of the disk algebra</i>	337
Roy W. Ryden, <i>Groups of arithmetic functions under Dirichlet convolution</i>	355
Michael J. Sharpe, <i>A class of operators on excessive functions</i>	361
Erling Stormer, <i>Automorphisms and equivalence in von Neumann algebras</i>	371
Philip C. Tonne, <i>Matrix representations for linear transformations on series analytic in the unit disc</i>	385