# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# HAUSDORFF DIMENSIONS FOR COMPACT SETS IN $\mathbb{R}^n$

ROBERT JAY BUCK

Vol. 44, No. 2

June 1973

## HAUSDORFF DIMENSIONS FOR COMPACT SETS IN $\mathbb{R}^n$

## ROBERT J. BUCK

A general Hausdorff dimension of sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is studied by considering the dependence of the dimension upon the size and shape, relative to the convex measure, of the elements in the covering family. The Hausdorff dimension of compact sets is related to the behavior of distribution functions of finite measures of compact support in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A comparison of dimensions using diameter and Lebesgue measure is given in terms of the regularity of the shape of elements in the covering family.

1. Introduction. Eggleston [3] defined the Hausdorff dimension of sets E in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as follows: Let C denote the collection of all convex sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ; and, for each positive number  $\beta$ , write

$$C^{\beta}(E) = \inf \left\{ \Sigma(\delta(C_i))^{\beta} : \bigcup C_i \supseteq E, \{C_i\} \subseteq C \right\},\$$

where  $\delta(A)$  denotes the diameter of A. The Hausdorff dimension of E, denoted by C(E), is then the supremum over all values  $\beta$  where  $C^{\beta}(E) > 0$ . This notion of dimension has been generalized in various ways in  $\mathbb{R}^{1}$ , e.g., [1], [2], [5], [6]; and it is the intent of this paper to study the situation in  $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ , where apparently deeper problems are involved than those studied in [2].

In particular, let  $\tau$  be a nonnegative, monotone, translation invariant set function, defined and sub-additive on the convex subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in the sense that if  $\{A_i\}$  is a convex covering of the convex set A, then  $\tau(A) \leq \Sigma \tau(A_i)$ . If, in addition,  $\tau(A)$  tends to zero with  $\delta(A)$ , then  $\tau$  is said to be a convex measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let K be an arbitrary collection of *n*-dimensional rectangles (hereafter referred to as rectangles) which have edges parallel to the coordinate axes and uniformly bounded diameters. If K is closed under translations, and contains a sequence of rectangles  $\{R_i\}$  for which  $\delta(R_i) \rightarrow 0$ , then K is called a covering class. If K is a covering class,  $\tau$  a convex measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\beta$  a positive number, and E a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , put

$$K^{\scriptscriptstyleeta}_{ au}(E) = \inf \left\{ arsigma au(A_i)^{\scriptscriptstyleeta} \colon igcup A_i \supseteq E, \left\{ A_i 
ight\} \subseteq K 
ight\}$$
 .

The Hausdorff dimension of E relative to the convex measure  $\tau$  and the covering class K is the number

$$K_{_{ au(E)}} = \sup \left\{ eta \colon K^{\scriptscriptstylear{s}}_{_{ au}}(E) > 0 
ight\}$$
 .

The remainder of this work is concerned with the dependence of  $K_{z}(E)$ 

upon the choices of K and  $\tau$ . The nature of this dependence is more interesting in  $\mathbb{R}^{*}(n \geq 2)$  than in  $\mathbb{R}^{1}$  for various reasons. One reason is that the usual choices for the convex measure  $\tau$ , diameter  $\delta$  and Lebesgue measure m, coincide in  $\mathbb{R}^{1}$ . Another is that covering classes in  $\mathbb{R}^{1}$  are completely determined by the length of their members, while in higher dimensions, shape as well as size plays a key role.

Theorem 1 relates the Hausdorff dimension of compact subsets of  $R^{*}$  to the behavior of distribution functions of finite measures supported by such sets. The theorem yields a sufficient condition for the relation

$$K_{\tau}(E) \geq M_{\tau}(E)$$

for all compact sets E, in terms of the *shape* and *size* of elements in the covering classes K and M. A second result, Theorem 2, relates the dimensions  $K_s(E)$  and  $K_m(E)$  by establishing a necessary and sufficient condition for the relation

$$K_m(E)=\frac{1}{n}\ K_{\delta}(E)$$

to hold for all compact sets E.

2. The Hausdorff dimension of compact sets. If K is a covering class, then K can be completely described by a set of points in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ; namely by those points x whose  $i^{\text{th}}$  component,  $x_i$ , is the length of the edge of the given rectangle which is parallel to the  $i^{\text{th}}$  coordinate axis. Accordingly, a set of points K in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , with positive coordinates, is a covering class, if and only if it is bounded and contains a sequence converging to the origin. In the following, elements of a covering class will be referred to either as points or as rectangles, as convenience dictates. Now let E be a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and denote by  $\mathscr{M}(E)$ , the class of all positive finite measures  $\mu$  supported in E. If  $F_{\mu}$  is the distribution function of  $\mu$ , write for a in K,

$$\Delta F_{\mu}(\boldsymbol{a}) = \mathbf{V} \ \mu(R_{\boldsymbol{a}} + \boldsymbol{y}) , \quad (\boldsymbol{y} \in R^{n})$$

where  $R_a = \{x: 0 \leq x_i < a_i; i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Finally put

$$K_{ au}(\mu) = \liminf \left(\log \Delta F_{\mu}(a) / \log \tau(R_a)\right)$$
,

as  $\tau(R_a) \to 0$ ,  $a \in K$ . The number  $K_{\tau}(\mu)$  is called the Hausdorff dimension of the measure  $\mu$  with respect to K and  $\tau$ . The connection between the Hausdorff dimension of E and the Hausdorff dimension of the measures it supports is given by

THEOREM 1. For all compact sets E, covering classes K, and convex measures  $\tau$ ,

$$K_{\tau}(E) = \sup \left\{ K_{\tau}(\mu) \colon \mu \in \mathscr{M}(E) \right\}$$
.

*Proof.* The inequality

 $K_{\tau}(E) \geq \sup \{K_{\tau}(\mu) \colon \mu \in \mathscr{M}(E)\}$ 

is immediate. Indeed, if  $\mu \in \mathscr{M}(E)$  and  $0 < \beta < K_k(\mu)$ , then there is  $\delta > 0$  for which  $\tau(R_a) < \delta$  implies  $\tau(R_a)^{\beta} > \Delta F_{\mu}(a)$ , for all  $a \in K$ . Hence if  $\mathscr{N}$  is a countable covering of E by rectangles of K, then

$$\sum_{A \in \mathscr{A}} ( au(A))^{eta} \geq \mu(E) \wedge \, \delta^{eta}$$
 .

Since the right-hand side is positive and independent of the covering, it follows that  $\beta \leq K_{\rm c}(E)$ . To establish the reverse inequality, assume that the points of K have all coordinates of the form  $2^{-m}$ , m integral. It will be shown later that this assumption is not restrictive. Let  $\{a(m)\}$  be a sequence in K tending to the origin. Fixing m, let K(m) denote the points of K in  $\{x: x_i \geq a(m)_i\}$ . If  $\beta$  is a positive number and  $\beta < K_{\rm c}(E)$ , then a measure  $\nu_m$  can be associated with E as follows. Each K(m) contains a finite number of points which are taken to be lexicographically ordered, say

$$b(1) > b(2) > \cdots > b(p)$$
,

with b(p) = a(m). For each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ , let  $A_j$  denote the partition of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  induced by the rectangle  $\mathbb{R}_{b(j)}$ . If Q is a subrectangle of  $A_j$ , write  $\delta(E, Q) = \sup \{\chi_{E \cap Q}(\mathbf{x}) \colon \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ ,

$$f_0(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{Q \in A_p} \tau(Q)^{\beta} \delta(E, Q) \chi_Q(\mathbf{x})$$

For each index  $j = 0, 1, \dots, p-2$  write

$$f_{j+1}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{Q \in A_{p-(j+1)}} \left( 1/\bigwedge (\tau(Q)^{p} / \int_{Q} f_{j}(\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x} \right) \cdot \chi_{Q}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot f_{j}(\boldsymbol{x}) ,$$

allowing  $\tau(Q)^{\beta} / \int_{Q} f_{j}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$  to take the value  $+ \infty$ , when  $\int_{Q} f_{j}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$  is zero. Finally, the measure  $\nu_{m}$  is defined to be

$$u_m(A) = \int_A f_{p-1}(\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x} \ .$$

LEMMA 1. For all R in  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{p} A_j$ ,  $\nu_m(R) \leq (R)^{\beta}$ . Moreover, for each x in E, there is Q in  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{p} A_j$ , containing x and such that  $\nu_m(Q) = \tau(Q)^{\beta}$ . This rectangle Q can be selected so that  $Q \in A_{p-j}$  implies

$$\int_{Q} f_{j-1}(\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x} > \tau(Q)^{\beta}, \text{ while } \int_{Q} f_{j}(\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x} = \tau(Q)^{\beta} \ (j > 0).$$

*Proof.* The first assertion follows immediately from the fact that for all  $x, f_{j+1}(x) \leq f_j(x)$ . For the second part, let  $x \in E$  and  $x \in P \in A_p$ . If  $\nu_m(P) = \tau(P)^\beta$  there would be nothing to prove. Otherwise, let j be such that

$$\int_{P} f_{j-1}(\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x} > \boldsymbol{\nu}_{m}(P)$$

and

$$\int_P f_j(\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{\nu}_m(P) \ .$$

It would then follow that

$$\int_P f_{j-1}({m x}) d{m x} > \int_P f_j({m x}) d{m x} = \left[ {m 1} \, \wedge \, au(Q)^eta \Big/ \! \int_Q f_{j-1}({m x}) d{m x} 
ight] \cdot \, \int_P f_{j-1}({m x}) d{m x} \; ,$$

where Q is that unique element of  $A_{p-j}$  containing P. Hence,  $\tau(Q)^{\beta} < \int_{Q} f_{j-1}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$ , and so  $\int_{Q} f_{j}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \tau(Q)^{\beta}$ . If there were an index l with  $j \leq l$  and

$$\int_{Q}f_{l}(oldsymbol{x})doldsymbol{x}>\int_{Q}f_{l+1}(oldsymbol{x})doldsymbol{x}$$
 ,

it would follow that  $f_{l}(x) > f_{l+1}(x)$  for all x in P, which in turn would imply that

$$\int_P f_l(oldsymbol{x}) doldsymbol{x} > \int_P f_{l+1}(oldsymbol{x}) doldsymbol{x}$$
 ,

contradicting the choice of j. Hence  $\nu_m(Q) = \tau(Q)^{\beta}$  and the lemma is proved.

Returning to the proof of the theorem, it follows trivially from the first assertion of Lemma 1, that 'there is a positive constant A, independent of m, such that  $\nu_m(R^n) \leq A$ . Less trivial is the fact that there is another positive constant B, for which  $\nu_m(R^n) \geq B$  for all m. Indeed, let  $\mathscr{A}$  be a covering of E by the rectangles of  $\bigcup_i^p A_j$ , distinguished by Lemma 1, and with the property that no element of  $\mathscr{A}$  contains another element of  $\mathscr{A}$ . Let  $P, Q \in \mathscr{A}$ ,  $P \cap Q \neq \emptyset$ ,  $P \in A_{p-j}$ ,  $Q \in A_{p-k}$ ,  $1 \leq j < k$ , and R an arbitrary element of  $A_p$  contained in  $P \cap Q$ . The rectangles P and Q satisfy

$$oldsymbol{
u}_m(P) = au(P)^{eta} = \int_P f_j(oldsymbol{x}) doldsymbol{x} < \int_P f_{j-1}(oldsymbol{x}) doldsymbol{x}$$

and

$$oldsymbol{
u}_m(Q) = au(Q)^eta = \int_Q f_k(oldsymbol{x}) < \int_Q f_{k-1}(oldsymbol{x}) doldsymbol{x} \; .$$

If x belongs to R and  $f_j(x) > 0$ , then

$${f}_k({oldsymbol x}) = {f}_{k-1}({oldsymbol x}) au(Q)^eta \left/ \int_Q {f}_{k-1}({oldsymbol x}) d{oldsymbol x} < {f}_j({oldsymbol x}) \; .$$

Hence

$$\int_P f_k(\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x} < \int_P f_j(\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x} = \tau(P)^{\beta}$$
 ,

contradicting the choice of P and k. Thus  $f_j(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0$  on R, which shows  $P \cap Q \cap S = \emptyset$ , for every  $S \in A_p$  intersecting E. If D denotes the union of all S in  $A_p$ , intersecting E, then  $\mathscr{N}' = \{P \cap D: P \in \mathscr{N}\}$ is a disjoint covering of E. Since  $\beta < K_r(E)$ , there is a positive constant B, such that

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{
u}_{\mathtt{m}}(R^{\,\mathtt{m}}) &= \sum\limits_{A \, 
i \, 
i \, 
i \, 
i \, 
i \, 
i \, 
u}_{\mathtt{m}}(A) &= \sum\limits_{P \, \in \, \, 
i \, 
i \, 
i \, 
i \, 
u}_{\mathtt{m}}(P) \ &= \sum\limits_{P \, \in \, \, 
i \, 
i \, 
i \, 
u}_{\mathtt{m}}(P)^{\,\mathtt{p}} &\geq B \; . \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the sequence of measures  $\{\nu_m\}$ , has a subsequence which is weakly convergent to a measure  $\nu$  for which  $A \ge \nu(R^n) \ge B$ . Since E is compact and  $a(m) \to 0$ , it follows that  $\nu \in \mathscr{M}(E)$ . If Qis a rectangle in K, then Q can be covered by  $2^n$  of its translates, Q', for which  $\nu_m(Q') \le \tau(Q')^\beta$ , provided m is sufficiently large. Since  $\tau$  is translation invariant and sub-additive on convex sets, it follows that

$$u(Q) \leq 2^n \tau(Q)^{\beta}$$
.

Hence, for each  $a \in K$ ,  $\Delta F_{\nu}(a) \leq 2^n \tau(R_a)^{\beta}$ , which shows  $K_{\tau}(\nu) \geq \beta$ , and thus

$$K_{\tau}(E) \leq \sup \{K_{\tau}(\mu): \mu \in \mathcal{M}(E)\}$$
.

Finally, it must be shown that the assumption that K consists only of points having all coordinates of the form  $2^{-m}$ , can be eliminated. Let K be an arbitrary covering class, and let K' be obtained from K by stipulating that  $a' \in K'$ , if and only if, there is a in K such that for each j,

$$a_j'=2^{-m}\geqq a_j>2^{-m-1}$$

for some integer m. By what has already been shown, it is sufficient to prove that for each compact set E, and each finite measure  $\mu$  of compact support  $K_{\tau}(E) \leq K'_{\tau}(E)$ 

and

 $K'_{\tau}(\mu) \leq K_{\tau}(\mu)$ .

To establish the first of these relations, let  $\beta < K_{c}(E)$  and let  $\mathscr{A}$  be a covering of E by rectangles of K'. If  $P' \in \mathscr{A}$ , then P' can be covered by  $2^{n}$  rectangles of K, from which P' was formed. The collection of such rectangles  $\mathscr{B}$  is again a covering of E. It follows that there is a positive number B such that

$$B \leq \sum_{P \in \mathscr{B}} \tau(P)^{\beta} \leq 2^{n} \sum_{P' \in \mathscr{A}} \tau(P')^{\beta}$$
,

and so  $\beta \leq K'_{\tau}(E)$ , which entails  $K_{\tau}(E) \leq K'_{\tau}(E)$ . By the subadditivity of  $\tau$  on convex sets,

$$\tau(R_{a'}) \leq 2^n \tau(R_a)$$

for each  $a \in K$ , and so

$$\frac{\log \Delta F_{\mu}(\boldsymbol{a}')}{\log \tau(R_{\boldsymbol{a}'})} \leq \frac{\log \tau(R_{\boldsymbol{a}})}{n \log 2 + \log \tau(R_{\boldsymbol{a}})} \cdot \frac{\log \Delta F_{\mu}(\boldsymbol{a})}{\log \tau(R_{\boldsymbol{a}})}$$

,

which implies that  $K'_{\tau}(\mu) \leq K_{\tau}(\mu)$ . The proof of Theorem 1 is now complete.

The following illustrates the usefulness of Theorem 1 in questions dealing with the dimension of compact sets. Since dimension is monotone with respect to covering classes, i.e.,  $K_1 \subseteq K_2$  implies  $K_1(E) \geq K_2(E)$  for all E, it is natural to consider the following question. Suppose two covering classes, K and M, are given and are related by a map  $\varphi: K \to M$ . What conditions on  $\varphi$  will guarantee  $K_{\tau}(E) \geq M_{\tau}(E)$  for all compact E? It would be difficult to guess such conditions using only the definitions of §1. By Theorem 1, however, it is sufficient to obtain conditions on  $\varphi$  implying  $K_{\tau}(\mu) \geq$  $M_{\tau}(\mu)$  for all finite measures of compact support in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Since  $\Delta F_{\mu}$ is sub-additive in each component, it follows that

$$\varDelta F_{\mu}(\boldsymbol{x}) \leq 2^{n} \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[1 \lor (x_{i}/s_{i})\right]\right) \cdot \varDelta F_{\mu}(\boldsymbol{s}) \;,$$

and so

$$\frac{\log \Delta F_{\mu}(\mathbf{x})}{\log \tau(R_{\mathbf{x}})} \\ \geq \frac{\log \tau(R_{\varphi(\mathbf{x})})}{\log \tau(R_{\mathbf{x}})} \cdot \frac{\log \Delta F_{\mu}(\varphi(\mathbf{x}))}{\log \tau(R_{\varphi(\mathbf{x})})} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\log (1 \vee (x_{i}/\varphi(x)_{i}))}{\log \tau(R_{\mathbf{x}})} + \frac{\log 2^{n}}{\log \tau(R_{\mathbf{x}})} \cdot$$

Hence the following

426

COROLLARY. Given covering classes K and M, and the convexmeasure  $\tau$ ,  $M_{\tau}(E) \leq K_{\tau}(E)$  for all compact E, provided there is a map  $\varphi: K \to M$  with the properties:

(i) 
$$\lim_{\tau(R_x)\to 0} (\log \tau(R_{\varphi(x)})/\log \tau(R_x)) = 1, \quad x \in K$$

and

(ii) For 
$$j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$
,  
$$\lim_{\tau(R_x)\to 0} (\log (x_j/\varphi(x)_j)/\log \tau(R_x)) = 0, \quad x \in K.$$

REMARK 1. The preceding corollary shows that  $\varphi(K)_{\tau}(E) \leq K_{\tau}(E)$ for all compact E and for  $\varphi$  satisfying (i) and (ii). If, in addition,  $\varphi$  has the property that  $\tau(R_x) \to 0$  as  $\tau(R_{\varphi(x)}) \to 0$ , then it is clear that  $\varphi(K)_{\tau}(E) = K_{\tau}(E)$  for all compact E. This fact will be used without explicit mention in § 3 below.

REMARK 2. The function  $\varphi$  defined by  $\varphi(x)_i = x_i \wedge a_i$  for a with  $a_i > 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , maps any covering class K into the rectangle  $R_a$ . Since K is bounded and

$$au(R_{\star}) \cdot \prod_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{\scriptscriptstyle n} \left( rac{x_i}{a_i} + 1 
ight)^{\!\!-\!\!\!1} \leq au(R_{arphi(\star)}) \leq au(R_{\star}) \;,$$

it follows that  $\varphi$  satisfies conditions (i) and (ii), and the property mentioned in Remark 1. Thus in the following it will be assumed that covering classes are contained in a rectangle  $R_a$  for convenient choice of a.

REMARK 3. In § 3, the conditions (i) and (ii) are shown to be necessary for  $M_m(E) \leq K_m(E)$ , in the special case that M consists entirely of cubes. For n = 1, the conditions are known to be necessary [2], but complete results are not known at present for  $n \geq 2$ .

REMARK 4. The idea for the construction of the measure  $\nu$  in the proof of Theorem 1 is due to O. Frostman [4], although his construction is carried out in  $R^1$ , and for the covering class consisting of all intervals. It seems to be difficult to prove a version of Theorem 1 when covering classes are presumed closed under all rigid transformations.

3. Dimension as a function of the convex-measure  $\tau$ . If E is a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $K_m(E)$  and  $K_{\delta}(E)$  denote, respectively, the dimension of E relative to K and Lebesgue measure m, and the dimension of E relative to K and diameter  $\delta$ . In general,

$$nK_m(E) \leq K_{\delta}(E)$$

since  $m(R) \leq \delta(R)^n$  for rectangles in  $R^n$ . The results of this section establish a necessary and sufficient condition for equality to hold in the above relation.

THEOREM 2. Given a covering class K,

$$nK_m(E) = K_{\delta}(E)$$

for all compact subsets E of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , if and only if, there is a covering class S, consisting of cubes, for which  $S_m(E) = K_m(E)$  for all compact E.

*Proof.* Suppose  $nK_m(E) = K_{\delta}(E)$  holds for all compact E. Let  $K^*$  be the covering class of cubes obtained from K by writing  $a^* \in K^*$  if and only if there is a in K such that

$$a_j^* = \max a_i$$
 for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

If  $\beta > K_{\delta}(E)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then there is a covering,  $\{R_i\}$ , of E in K such that

$$arepsilon > \Sigma \, \delta(R_i)^{eta}$$
 .

If  $R_i^*$  denotes the cube of  $K^*$  corresponding to, and concentric with  $R_i$ , then  $\bigcup R_i^* \supseteq E$  and

$$n^{\scriptscriptstyle eta/2} \, arepsilon \geq \varSigma \, \delta(R_i^{\,*})^{\scriptscriptstyle eta}$$
 .

It follows that  $\beta \ge K_{\delta}^{*}(E)$  and so  $K_{\delta}(E) \ge K_{\delta}^{*}(E)$ . Consequently,

$$nK_m(E) = K_\delta(E) \ge K^*_\delta(E) = nK^*_m(E)$$
,

the last equality arising from the fact that

$$m(R) = n^{-n/2} \,\delta(R)^n$$

for cubes R. Hence  $K_m(E) \ge K_m^*(E)$  for all compact sets E. Before proceeding, it will be convenient to introduce some notation and new concepts. Let  $\mathscr{F}$  denote the collection of all real-valued function fdefined on  $R^1$  and unbounded on the positive portion of  $R^1$  with the properties that  $f(0) \le 0$  and that  $x \le y$  implies

$$0 \leq f(y) - f(x) \leq y - x .$$

With each such function f associate a compact set E = E(f) in  $\mathbb{R}^1$  as follows. Let  $\{\xi(j)\}$  be a positive, decreasing sequence for which  $f(-\log \xi(j)) = j \log 2$ . Since f(x) - x is nonincreasing, it follows

that  $\Sigma \xi(j) \leq 1$ , and so the set

$$E = \{\xi: \xi = \Sigma \varepsilon_j \xi(j), \varepsilon_j = 0 \text{ or } 1\}$$

is compact. Moreover, the function

$$F_{\mu}(x) = \sup \{ \Sigma \varepsilon_j 2^{-j} \colon x \ge \Sigma \varepsilon_j \xi(j) \}$$

is sub-additive and is the distribution function of a finite measure  $\mu$ , supported on *E*. Now let  $\mathscr{G}$  be the collection of all functions *g* on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which are of the form  $g(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x_i)$  for  $f_i \in \mathscr{F}$ . With each such *g*, associate the compact set

$$E_g = E(f_1) \times \cdots \times E(f_n)$$

If  $F_i$  and  $\mu_i$  denote, respectively, the distribution function and finite measure associated with  $E(f_i)$ , then

$$F_{\mu}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} F_{i}(x_{i})$$

is the distribution function of the product measure  $\mu = \mu_1 \times \cdots \times \mu_n$ supported on  $E_g$ . Since each  $F_i$  is sub-additive, it follows that  $\Delta F_{\mu} \equiv F_{\mu}$ . Finally, if  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  and K is a covering class, define

$$K_m(g) = \liminf \left( g(oldsymbol{x}) / \Sigma x_i 
ight)$$
 ,

taken as  $\Sigma x_i \to \infty$  over points x for which there is a in K with  $x_i = -\log a_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . The relationship between g and  $E_g$  is given by

## **LEMMA 2.** For all $g \in \mathcal{G}$ and all covering classes K,

$$K_m(g) = K_m(E_g)$$
.

*Proof.* Assuming that  $g(\mathbf{x}) = \Sigma f_i(x_i)$ , let  $\{\xi_i(j)\}$ , satisfy

$$f_i(-\log \xi_i(j)) = j \log 2$$
  $(i = 1, \dots, n; j = 1, 2, \dots)$ .

Given the point x, there are indices  $k_1, \dots, k_n$ , for which

 $-\log \xi_i(k_i) \leq x_i \leq -\log \xi_i(k_i+1)$ ,  $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, n)$ .

It follows that

$$-\log 2 - \log F_i(\exp(-x_i)) \leq f_i(x_i) \leq \log 2 - \log F_i(\exp(-x_i))$$

Thus, if a satisfies  $x_i = -\log a_i$   $(i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ , then

$$\frac{n\log 2}{\log m(R_a)} + \frac{\log \varDelta F_{\mu}(\boldsymbol{a})}{\log m(R_a)} \leq \frac{g(\boldsymbol{x})}{\varSigma x_i} + \frac{-n\log 2}{\log m(R_a)} + \frac{\log \varDelta F_{\mu}(\boldsymbol{a})}{\log m(R_a)}$$

which implies  $K_m(g) = K_m(\mu)$ . If  $\lambda \in \mathscr{M}(E_g)$  and  $a \in K$ , with, say,  $\xi_i(k_i + 1) \leq a_i \leq \xi_i(k_i)$   $(i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ , then clearly,

$$\log {\it \Delta} F_{\it \lambda}({\it a}) \geqq -\sum\limits_{i} \left(k_i+1
ight) \log 2 \geqq - n \log 2 + \log {\it \Delta} F_{\scriptscriptstyle \mu}({\it a}) \; .$$

It follows that  $K_m(\lambda) \leq K_m(\mu)$  so that by Theorem 1,

$$K_m(g) = K_m(\mu) = K_m(E_g)$$

and the lemma is proved.

At this point it is necessary to establish the fact that, in so far as compact sets are concerned, covering classes K can be assumed to have the property that if  $\{R_n\}$  is a sequence of rectangles for\_which  $m(R_n) \to 0$ , then  $\delta(R_n) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

LEMMA 3. Given a covering class K, there is a covering class K' such that

(i)  $K_m(E) = K'_m(E)$  for all compact sets E, and

(ii) If  $\{R_n\} \subseteq K'$  with  $m(R_n) \to 0$ , then  $\delta(R_n) \to 0^{\intercal}(n \to \infty)$ .

*Proof.* Let p be a permutation of the first n 1 and write

$$K(p) = \{ \boldsymbol{a} \in K \colon a_{p(1)} \geq \cdots \geq a_{p(n)} \} .$$

Define

$$arphi(t) = egin{cases} -1/{\log t}; \ 0 < t \leq 1/e \ 1 \ , \ 1/e < t \end{cases}$$

Then  $\varphi$  is nondecreasing and  $\varphi(t) \ge t$  for  $t \le 1/e$ . If x belongs to K(p), define

 $\psi(x)=x,$ 

in the case that  $x_{p(i)} < \varphi(x_{p(i+1)})$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ . Otherwise define  $\psi(x)$  by

$$\psi(x)_{{}^{p(i)}}=egin{cases} arphi(x_{{}^{p(j+1)}}) \ , \ 1\leq i\leq j \ x_{p}(i) \ , \ j+1\leq i\leq n \ , \end{cases}$$

where j is the largest integer  $k \leq n-1$  for which

$$x_{p(k)} \geq \varphi(x_{p(k+1)})$$
.

Consider the set K(1), 1 denoting the identity permutation. The following remarks will apply to K(p) for arbitrary p, by replacing every index j by its image p(j). If  $x \in K(1)$ , then

$$\frac{\log m(R_{\psi(x)})}{\log m(R_x)} = \left(1 + j \frac{\log \varphi(x_{j+1})}{\log x_{j+1} \cdots x_n}\right) / \left(1 + \frac{\log x_1 \cdots x_j}{\log x_{j+1} \cdots x_n}\right)$$

and, by Remark 2 of §2 with  $R_a = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (0, 1/e)$ ,

$$0 \leq \frac{\log x_1 \cdots x_j}{\log x_{j+1} \cdots x_n} \leq j \frac{\log \varphi(x_{j+1})}{\log x_{j+1} \cdots x_n} \leq \frac{j}{(n-j)} \frac{\log \varphi(x_{j+1})}{\log x_{j+1}}$$

Suppose that K(1) contains rectangles of arbitrarily small measure. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$  be such that  $0 < t < \delta$  implies

 $0 < \log arphi(t) / \log t < arepsilon / (n-1)$  .

Select  $\gamma > 0$  so that  $0 < t < \gamma$  implies  $0 < \varphi^n(t) < \delta$ . Now if  $x_1 \cdots x_n < \gamma^n$ , then  $x_n < \gamma$  and so  $\varphi^n(x_n) < \delta$ . Now  $\varphi^i(t) \ge \varphi^k(t)$  if  $i \ge k$ , and so

$$x_{j+1} < arphi(x_{j+2}) \leq \cdots \leq arphi^{n-j-1}(x_n) < \delta$$
.

It follows that  $\log \varphi(x_{j+1})/\log x_{j+1} < \varepsilon/(n-1)$ , and so

$$rac{1}{1+arepsilon} \leq rac{\log m(R_{\psi(x)})}{\log m(R_x)} \leq 1+arepsilon$$
 .

Since  $K = \bigcup_{p} K(p)$ , it now follows that

$$\lim_{m(R_x)\to 0} \frac{\log m(R_{\psi(x)})}{\log m(R_x)} = 1 \qquad (x \in K) \ .$$

A similar analysis shows that condition (ii) of the corollary to Theorem 1 also holds, with  $\tau = m$ . If  $K' = \psi(K)$ , then  $K_m(E) = K'_m(E)$  for all compact sets E. For the second assertion of the lemma, consider again K'(1), this set being typical of the general case. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and  $\delta > 0$  such that  $0 < t < \delta$  implies  $\mathcal{P}^n(t) < \varepsilon$ . As before, if  $x_1 \cdots x_n < \delta^n$ , then  $\mathcal{P}^n(x_n) < \varepsilon$  and so,

$$x_{j+1} \leq arphi(x_{j+2}) \leq \cdots \leq arphi^{n-j-j}(x_n) < arepsilon$$
 .

Hence

$$(\psi(x)_1^2+\cdots+\psi(x)_n^2)^{1/2}\leq (jarphi(arepsilon)^2+(n-j)arepsilon^2)^{1/2}$$
 .

Since  $\psi(x)_1 \cdots \psi(x)_n \to 0$  implies  $x_1 \cdots x_n \to 0$ , the second assertion is proved.

Since  $K_m(E) \ge K_m^*(E)$  for all compact *E*, by Lemma 3, the same relation holds for K', i.e.,  $K'_m(E) \ge K_m^*(E)$ . The proof of the first part of Theorem 2 will be concluded with

LEMMA 4. Let K be a covering class with the property that if  $\{R_n\} \subseteq K$  and  $m(R_n) \rightarrow 0$ , then  $\delta(R_n) \rightarrow 0$   $(n \rightarrow \infty)$ . If S is a covering

class consisting of cubes and  $K_m(E) \ge S_m(E)$  for all compact E, then the map  $\psi$  defined on K by  $\psi(a)_j = \max_{1 \le i \le n} a_i$ ,  $(j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$  has the properties (i) and (ii) listed in the corollary to Theorem 1 for  $\tau = m$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let p be a permutation of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Write

$$K(p, \varepsilon) = \left\{ a \in K \colon a_{p(1)} \leq \cdots \leq a_{p(n)} \text{ and } \frac{\log a_{p(1)}}{\log m(R_a)} - \frac{1}{n} \geq \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Suppose that  $K(p, \varepsilon)$  contains rectangles of arbitrarily small measure. Let  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$  be selected so that  $0 < \gamma_i < 1$  and for all a in  $K(p, \varepsilon)$ ,

$$\left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{\log a_{p(1)}}{\log m(R_a)}\right) (\gamma_{p(1)} - \gamma_{p(n)}) \ge \varepsilon/2$$

and

$$\sum\limits_{j=2}^{n-1} \mid {\gamma}_{p(j)} - {\gamma}_{p(n)} \mid \leqq arepsilon / 4$$
 .

For each i,  $(i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ , define

$$f_i(t) = igvee_{s \in S} \left( -\gamma_i \log s_i \wedge \left(t + (1 - \gamma_i) \log s_i 
ight) 
ight)$$
 .

Then  $f_i \in \mathscr{F}$   $(i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$  and hence consider  $g(\mathbf{x}) = \Sigma f_i(x_i)$  in  $\mathscr{G}$ . Clearly  $S_m(g) = 1/n \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i$ . On the other hand, for  $\mathbf{a}$  in  $K(p, \varepsilon)$  and  $\mathbf{x}$  with  $x_i = -\log a_i \ (i = 1, \dots, n)$ ,

$$\frac{g(\boldsymbol{x})}{\Sigma x_i} = \Sigma \frac{f_i(x_i)}{x_i} \cdot \frac{x_i}{\Sigma x_i} \leq \Sigma \gamma_i \frac{\log a_i}{\log m(R_a)}.$$

It follows that

$$egin{aligned} S_{m}(g) &- K_{m}(p,\,arepsilon)(g) \geqq rac{1}{n}\sum\limits_{1}^{n}\gamma_{i} - \sum\limits_{1}^{n}\gamma_{i}rac{\log a_{i}}{\log m(R_{a})} \ &= \sum\limits_{1}^{n-1} igg(rac{1}{n} - rac{\log a_{p(i)}}{\log m(R_{a})}igg) \left(\gamma_{p(i)} - \gamma_{p(n)}
ight) \geqq arepsilon/4 \ . \end{aligned}$$

If  $K_m(p, \varepsilon)$  is a covering class, Lemma 2 implies that there is a compact set E for which

$$S_{\mathtt{m}}(E) - K_{\mathtt{m}}(p, \varepsilon)(E) \geq arepsilon/4$$
 .

Since  $K(p, \varepsilon) \subseteq K$ ,  $K(p, \varepsilon)$  cannot contain rectangles of arbitrarily small measure, and thus

$$\lim \left( \max_{1 \le i \le n} \frac{\log a_i}{\log m(R_a)} \right) = 1/n \quad (m(R_a) \longrightarrow 0, a \in K) .$$

Since  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log a_i / \log m(R_a) = 1$ , it also follows that

$$\lim\left(\min_{1\leq i\leq n}\frac{\log a_i}{\log m(R_a)}\right)=1/n \quad (m(R_a)\longrightarrow 0, \ a\in K) ,$$

and so,

$$\lim\left(\frac{\log m(R_{\psi(a)})}{\log m(R_a)}\right) = 1 \quad (m(R_a) \longrightarrow 0 \ , \ a \in K) \ .$$

Moreover, for each j,

$$\lim\left(\frac{\log a_j - \log \psi(a)_j}{\log m(R_a)}\right) = \lim\left(\frac{\log a_j}{\log m(R_a)} - \frac{1}{n}\right) = 0$$
$$(m(R_a) \longrightarrow 0, \ a \in K)$$

and the lemma is proved.

It now follows that  $K'_m(E) = \psi(K')_m(E)$  for all compact sets E, and so  $K_m(E) = \psi(K')_m(E)$ , which concludes the proof of the first part of Theorem 2. For the second part, assume that  $K_m(E) = S_m(E)$  for all compact E and some covering class of cubes S. Observe that this condition implies that  $\delta(R_j) \to 0$  whenever  $m(R_j) \to 0$ ,  $\{R_j\} \subseteq K$ . Indeed, if  $\limsup \delta(R_k) = b > 0$ , while  $m(R_k) \to 0$ , then extract a subsequence, say  $\{P_j\}$ , from  $\{R_k\}$  for which  $\delta(P_j) \geq b/2$ , and such that there is l,  $(1 \leq l \leq n)$  for which the edges of the rectangles  $P_k$ , parallel to the *l*th coordinate axis have length at least  $b/2\sqrt{n}$ . Then the set

$$E = \{ x : x_i = 1/2, i \neq l, \text{ and } 0 \leq x_l \leq b^2/2n \}$$

is such that  $S_m(E) = 1/n$ , while  $K_m(E) = 0$ , which contradicts the assumption. Now, by Lemma 4 and the corollary to Theorem 1,  $K_m(E) \ge \psi(K)_m(E)$  for all compact E. Since

$$\psi(K)_{{\scriptscriptstyle m}}(E) = 1/n \ \psi(K)_{{\scriptscriptstyle \delta}}(E)$$
 ,

it is sufficient to establish the relation  $\psi(K)_{\delta}(E) \ge K_{\delta}(E)$  for all compact *E*. For this purpose, let  $\varphi$  be defined on  $\psi(K)$  by writing  $\varphi(x) = z$ , for some *z* in *K* for which  $\psi(z) = x$ . For *x* in  $\psi(K)$ ,

$$egin{aligned} &rac{\log x_j - \log arphi(x)_j}{\log \delta(R_\star)} \ &= \Big( rac{\log \psi(z)_j - \log z_j}{\log m(R_\star)} \Big) \Big( rac{\log m(R_\star)}{\log m(R_{\psi(x)})} \Big) \Big( rac{\log m(R_{\psi(x)})}{\log \delta(R_{\psi(x)})} \Big) \,. \end{aligned}$$

Now log  $m(R_{\psi(z)})/\log \delta(R_{\psi(z)})$  is bounded for all z, since  $R_{\psi(z)}$  is a cube. Moreover, since  $m(R_z) \to 0$  as  $\delta(R_x) \to 0$ , the expressions

$$\frac{\log \psi(z)_j - \log z_j}{\log m(R_z)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\log m(R_z)}{\log m(R_{\psi(z)})},$$

approach 0 and 1 respectively as  $\delta(R_x)$  approaches 0. It follows that

$$\lim \frac{\log x_j - \log \varphi(x)_j}{\log \delta(R_x)} = 0 \qquad (\delta(R_x) \longrightarrow 0 , \ x \in \psi(K)) .$$

Also, since  $R_x \supseteq R_{\varphi(x)}$ ,

$$1 \leq \frac{\log \delta(R_{\varphi(x)})}{\log \delta(R_x)} \leq \frac{\log (\max \varphi(x)_i)}{\log \delta(R_x)} = \frac{\log \delta(R_x) - 1/2 \log n}{\log \delta(R_x)}$$

and thus

$$\lim \frac{\log \delta(R_{\varphi(x)})}{\log \delta(R_x)} = 1 , \qquad (\delta(R_x) \longrightarrow 0 , x \in \psi(K)) .$$

The map  $\varphi: \psi(K) \to K$  thus satisfies the conditions listed in the corollary to Theorem 1 for  $\tau = \delta$ , and the desired inequality,  $\psi(K)_{\delta}(E) \ge K_{\delta}(E)$  is established; and the proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

#### References

1. P. Billingsley, Ergodic Theory and Information, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1965.

2. R. Buck, A generalized Hausdorff dimension for functions and sets, Pacific J. Math. 33 (1970), 69-78.

3. H. Eggleston, Sets of fractional dimension which occur in some problems of number theory, Proc. London Math. Soc., 54 (1952), 42-93.

4. O. Frostman, Potentiel d'équilibre et capacité des ensembles avec quelques applications à la théorie des functions, Lund. Universitet. Medd. 3 (1935), 56-57, 85-91.

5. K. Hirst, Translation invariant measures which are not Hausdorff measures, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., **62** (1966), 693-698.

6. A. Rényi, *Dimension, entropy and information*, Transactions of the Second Prague Conference on Information Theory, Statistical Decision Functions, Random Processes, Academic Press, New York, 1960, 545-556.

Received September 7, 1971 and in revised form September 15, 1972.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS

## PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

### EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

C. R. HOBBY

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E.F. BECKENBACH

B.H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

K. YOSHIDA

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article: additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$48.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$24.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 44, No. 2 June, 1973

Tsuyoshi Andô, Closed range theorems for convex sets and linear liftings	393
Richard David Bourgin, <i>Conically bounded sets in Banach spaces</i>	411
Robert Jay Buck, <i>Hausdorff dimensions for compact sets in R<sup>n</sup></i>	421
Henry Cheng, A constructive Riemann mapping theorem	435
David Fleming Dawson, Summability of subsequences and stretchings of	
sequences	455
William Thomas Eaton, A two sided approximation theorem for 2-spheres	461
Jay Paul Fillmore and John Herman Scheuneman, <i>Fundamental groups of compact</i>	
complete locally affine complex surfaces	487
Avner Friedman, Bounded entire solutions of elliptic equations	497
Ronald Francis Gariepy, <i>Multiplicity and the area of an</i> $(n - 1)$ <i>continuous</i>	
mapping	509
Andrew M. W. Glass, Archimedean extensions of directed interpolation groups	515
Morisuke Hasumi, <i>Extreme points and unicity of extremum problems in</i> $H^1$ on	
polydiscs	523
Trevor Ongley Hawkes, On the Fitting length of a soluble linear group	537
Garry Arthur Helzer, Semi-primary split rings	541
Melvin Hochster, <i>Expanded radical ideals and semiregular ideals</i>	553
Keizō Kikuchi, Starlike and convex mappings in several complex variables	569
Charles Philip Lanski. On the relationship of a ring and the subring generated by its	
symmetric elements	581
Jimmie Don Lawson, Intrinsic topologies in topological lattices and	
semilattices	593
Roy Bruce Levow, <i>Counterexamples to conjectures of Ryser and de Oliveira</i>	603
Arthur Larry Lieberman, Some representations of the automorphism group of an	
infinite continuous homogeneous measure algebra	607
William George McArthur, G <sub>8</sub> -diagonals and metrization theorems	613
James Murdoch McPherson, <i>Wild arcs in three-space</i> , <i>II. An invariant of</i>	
non-oriented local type	619
H. Millington and Maurice Sion. <i>Inverse systems of group-valued measures</i>	637
William James Rae Mitchell. <i>Simple periodic rings</i>	651
C Edward Moore Concrete semispaces and lexicographic separation of convex	001
sets.	659
Jingval Pak. Actions of torus $T^n$ on $(n + 1)$ -manifolds $M^{n+1}$ .	671
Merrell Lee Patrick Extensions of inequalities of the Laguerre and Turán type	675
Harold L. Peterson, Ir. Discontinuous characters and subgroups of finite inder	683
S P Philipp Abel summability of conjugate integrals	693
<b>P</b> B Quintana and Charles <b>P</b> B Wright <i>On groups of arnonant four ratisfying an</i>	075
Final condition	701
Marlon C Rayburn On Hausdorff compactifications	707
Martin G. Ribe. Necessary converting conditions for the Hahn-Banach theorem in	101
metrizable spaces	715
Ryōtarō Satō On decomposition of transformations in infinite measure spaces	733
Peter Drummond Taylor Subgradients of a convex function obtained from a	155
directional derivative	739
James William Thomas. A bifurcation theorem for k-set contractions	749
Clifford Edward Weil A topological lemma and applications to real functions	757
Stephen Andrew Williams A nonlinear elliptic boundary value problem	767
Pak-Ken Wong *-actions in A*-algebras	775
$1 \text{ as ison wong, } \pi^{-u} (u) u u u u = u g c v u $	-15