

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**SUMMABILITY OF SUBSEQUENCES AND STRETCHINGS OF
SEQUENCES**

DAVID FLEMING DAWSON

SUMMABILITY OF SUBSEQUENCES AND STRETCHINGS OF SEQUENCES

DAVID F. DAWSON

In 1943 R. C. Buck gave a summability characterization of real convergent sequences by showing that a real sequence x is convergent if there exists a regular matrix summability method which sums every subsequence of x . In 1944 R. P. Agnew generalized Buck's result by showing that if x is a bounded complex sequence and A is a regular matrix, then there exists a subsequence y of x such that every limit point of x is a limit point of Ay . In the present paper a theorem concerning "stretchings" of sequences is proved; and from this theorem, summability characterizations of several classes of sequences are obtained, together with an extension of Agnew's result.

DEFINITION. The sequence $y = \{y_p\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$ is a stretching of $x = \{x_p\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$ provided there exists an increasing sequence $\{m_p\}_{p=0}^{\infty}$ of integers such that $m_0 = 1$ and $y_q = x_p$ if $m_{p-1} \leq q < m_p$, $p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Under these conditions, we shall say that y is the stretching of x induced by $\{m_p\}$.

Conditions which are necessary and sufficient for a matrix $A = (a_{pq})$ to be a regular summability method are

- (1) $\{a_{pq}\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$ converges to 0, $q = 1, 2, 3, \dots$,
- (2) $\{\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} a_{pq}\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$ converges to 1,
- (3) $\sup_p \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} |a_{pq}| < \infty$.

Let \mathcal{S} denote the set of all matrices P such that for all x , Px is a subsequence of x , and let \mathcal{Q} denote the set of all matrices Q such that for all x , Qx is a stretching of x .

We note that any $P = (p_{ij}) \in \mathcal{S}$ is determined by an increasing sequence $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of positive integers as follows: $p_{ij} = 1$ if $j = n_i$, $p_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. Similarly, any $Q = (q_{ij}) \in \mathcal{Q}$ is determined by an increasing sequence $\{n_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$, $n_0 = 1$, of integers as follows: $q_{ij} = 1$ if $n_{j-1} \leq i < n_j$, $q_{ij} = 0$ otherwise.

Clearly all $P \in \mathcal{S}$ and $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ are regular.

If x is the sequence of partial sums of a series $\sum c_p$, then any subsequence of x is the sequence of partial sums of a series obtained by bracketing the terms of $\sum c_p$ appropriately. On the other hand, any stretching of x is the sequence of partial sums of a series obtained by inserting zero terms in $\sum c_p$ appropriately.

A series $\sum c_p$ with partial sums $\{x_p\}$ converges absolutely if and only if $\sum |x_p - x_{p+1}| < \infty$. Thus a sequence $\{t_p\}$ is said to converge absolutely (or to be of bounded variation) provided $\sum |t_p - t_{p+1}| < \infty$.

We will let BV denote the set of all complex sequences which converge absolutely. These sequences have the property that the sequence of real (imaginary) parts of the terms is the difference of convergent nondecreasing sequences.

In light of Buck's result [2], [3], one might conjecture that a real sequence $x \in BV$ if there exists a regular matrix A such that $Ay \in BV$ for every subsequence y of x . This is not true, as shown by the following example. Let x be a null sequence such that $x \notin BV$. Let $\{n_q\}_{q=1}^{\infty}$ be an increasing sequence of positive integers such that $|x_p| < 2^{-q}$ if $p \geq n_q$. Let $A = (a_{pq})$ be defined by $a_{pq} = 1$ if $q = n_p$, $a_{pq} = 0$ otherwise. Clearly A is regular and if y is a subsequence of x , then $Ay \in BV$. The conjecture is valid, however, if we replace "subsequence" with "stretching," as shown by Theorem 2.

Note that (3) of the regularity conditions is not assumed in any of our results which follow.

THEOREM 1. *If x is a complex sequence, A is a matrix satisfying (1) and (2) of the regularity conditions, and ε is a positive term null sequence, then there exist $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ such that $PAQx = x + u$, where $|u_n| < \varepsilon_n$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$.*

Proof. Let $w_n^{-1} = 1 + |x_1| + \dots + |x_n|$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Take n_1 and m_1 such that

$$\left| \sum_{q=1}^{m_1-1} a_{n_1q} - 1 \right| < \varepsilon_1 2^{-2} w_1, \left| \sum_{q=s}^t a_{n_1q} \right| < \varepsilon_1 2^{-3} w_2, m_1 \leq s \leq t.$$

Using (1), we can find a positive integer N such that if $n > N$, then

$$\sum_{q=1}^{m_1-1} |a_{nq}| < \varepsilon_2 2^{-2} w_1.$$

Take $n_2 > n_1 + N$ and $m_2 > m_1$ such that

$$\left| \sum_{q=m_1}^{m_2-1} a_{n_2q} - 1 \right| < \varepsilon_2 2^{-2} w_2$$

and

$$\left| \sum_{q=s}^t a_{n_jq} \right| < \varepsilon_j 2^{j-5} w_3, m_2 \leq s \leq t, j = 1, 2.$$

Take $n_3 > n_2$ and $m_3 > m_2$ such that

$$\sum_{q=1}^{m_2-1} |a_{n_3q}| < \varepsilon_3 2^{-2} w_2, \left| \sum_{q=m_2}^{m_3-1} a_{n_3q} - 1 \right| < \varepsilon_3 2^{-2} w_3,$$

$$\left| \sum_{q=s}^t a_{n_jq} \right| < \varepsilon_j 2^{j-6} w_4, m_3 \leq s \leq t, j = 1, 2, 3.$$

Continue the process. Let y be the stretching of x induced by $\{m_p\}_{p=0}^\infty$, where $m_0 = 1$. It is trivial to apply the Cauchy condition in order to show that $\sum_{q=1}^\infty a_{n_r q} y_q$ converges, $r = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, and we omit the proof. If r is a positive integer, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{q=1}^\infty a_{n_r q} y_q - x_r \right| &\leq \left| \sum_{q=m_{r-1}}^{m_r-1} a_{n_r q} y_q - x_r \right| + w_{r-1}^{-1} \sum_{q=1}^{m_{r-1}-1} |a_{n_r q}| \\ &\quad + \sum_{p=r}^\infty \left| \sum_{q=m_p}^{m_{p+1}-1} a_{n_r q} y_q \right| \\ &\leq |x_r| \left| \sum_{q=m_{r-1}}^{m_r-1} a_{n_r q} - 1 \right| + w_{r-1}^{-1} \varepsilon_r 2^{-2} w_{r-1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{p=r}^\infty |x_{p+1}| \left| \sum_{q=m_p}^{m_{p+1}-1} a_{n_r q} \right| \\ &\leq |x_r| \varepsilon_r 2^{-2} w_r + \varepsilon_r 2^{-2} + \sum_{p=r}^\infty |x_{p+1}| \varepsilon_r 2^{r-3-p} w_{p+1} \\ &< \varepsilon_r . \end{aligned}$$

Thus if $P \in \mathcal{P}$ is determined by $\{n_p\}$ and $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ is determined by $\{m_p\}$, then $PAQx = x + u$, where $|u_n| < \varepsilon_n$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. This completes the proof.

THEOREM 2. *A complex sequence x is convergent (absolutely convergent) [bounded] {divergent to ∞ } if there exists a matrix A satisfying (1) and (2) of the regularity conditions such that Ay is convergent (absolutely convergent) [bounded] {divergent to ∞ } for every stretching y of x .*

Proof. Take $\varepsilon_p = 2^{-p}$, $p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, and apply Theorem 1 to obtain $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ such that $PAQx = x + u$, where $|u_n| < \varepsilon_n$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. If Ay converges for every stretching y of x , then AQx converges and so $PAQx$ converges since P is regular. Thus $x = PAQx - u$ converges since u converges. If $Ay \in BV$ for every stretching y of x , then $AQx \in BV$ and so $PAQx \in BV$ since P is super regular, i.e., preserves absolute convergence. Hence $x = PAQx - u \in BV$ since $u \in BV$. The statements involving boundedness and divergence to ∞ follow similarly. This completes the proof.

Next we use Theorem 1 to prove an extension of the result of Agnew [1] previously mentioned. Specifically, we obtain Agnew's conclusion (in a sense) after weakening his hypothesis in two ways. Besides dropping the assumption that A satisfy (3) of the regularity conditions, we replace the boundedness of x with the assumption that x have a finite limit point.

THEOREM 3. *If x is a complex sequence having a finite limit point and A is a matrix satisfying (1) and (2) of the regularity conditions, then there exist $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{S}$ such that every finite limit point of x is a limit point of $P_1 A P_2 x$.*

Proof. Following Agnew [1, p. 596], we use the separability of the complex plane to obtain an infinite sequence u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots , such that each u_j is a finite limit point of x and every finite limit point of x is either a term of u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots or a limit point of this sequence. Still following Agnew, we form the sequence

$$u_1; u_1, u_2; u_1, u_2, u_3; \dots,$$

which is then relabeled v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots . Let A be a matrix satisfying (1) and (2), and let $\{\varepsilon_p\}$ be a positive term null sequence. Apply Theorem 1 to obtain an increasing sequence $\{n_p\}$ of positive integers and a stretching z of v such that

$$\left| \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} a_{n_p q} z_q - v_p \right| < \varepsilon_p, \quad p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Then every finite limit point of x is a limit point of $\{(Az)_{n_p}\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$. Let $\{m_p\}_{p=0}^{\infty}$ be an increasing sequence of positive integers such that z is the stretching of v induced by $\{m_p\}$. If p is a positive integer, then, since each of the sequences $\{a_{jq}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$, $m_{p-1} \leq q < m_p - 1$, is convergent, there exists a number $L_p > 1$ such that $|a_{st}| < L_p$, $s \geq 1$, $m_{p-1} \leq t < m_p - 1$. We now construct a subsequence y of x as follows. Let y_1, \dots, y_{m_1-1} be a finite subsequence of x such that

$$\sum_{p=1}^{m_1-1} |y_p - v_1| < (2L_1)^{-1}.$$

Let $y_{m_1}, \dots, y_{m_2-1}$ be such that y_1, \dots, y_{m_2-1} is a finite subsequence of x and

$$\sum_{p=m_1}^{m_2-1} |y_p - v_2| < (2^2 L_2)^{-1}.$$

Continue the process. Using the convergence of $\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} a_{n_p q} z_q$ and the Cauchy condition, it is easy to show that $\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} a_{n_p q} y_q$ converges, $p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. The details will not be given. Let $\lambda > 0$. Take k to be a positive integer such that $2^{-k} < \lambda$. Take N so that if $n > N$, then $|a_{nq}| < \lambda$, $1 \leq q < m_k$. For $n_p > N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} a_{n_p q} y_q - v_p \right| &\leq \left| \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} a_{n_p q} y_q - \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} a_{n_p q} z_q \right| + \left| \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} a_{n_p q} z_q - v_p \right| \\
 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{q=m_{j-1}}^{m_j-1} |a_{n_p q}| |y_q - v_j| \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \sum_{q=m_{j-1}}^{m_j-1} |a_{n_p q}| |y_q - v_j| + \varepsilon_p \\
 &< \lambda \sum_{j=1}^k 2^{-j} + \sum_{k=k+1}^{\infty} L_j (2^j L_j)^{-1} + \varepsilon_p \\
 &< \lambda + 2^{-k} + \varepsilon_p \\
 &< 2\lambda + \varepsilon_p .
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence every finite limit point of x is a limit point of $\{(Ay)_{n_p}\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$. Clearly the conclusion follows.

COROLLARY. *A complex sequence x diverges to ∞ if there exists a matrix A satisfying (1) and (2) of the regularity conditions such that Ay diverges to ∞ for every subsequence y of x .*

Proof. Suppose A satisfies (1) and (2) and Ay diverges to ∞ for every subsequence y of x , but x has a bounded subsequence. Then x has a finite limit point P , and by the theorem, there exists a subsequence z of x such that P is a limit point of Az . But this is a contradiction. Thus x cannot have a bounded subsequence. Hence x diverges to ∞ , and the proof is complete.

It is interesting to note that in Theorem 3 we cannot in general prove that every limit point of x (finite or infinite) is a limit point of $\{(Ay)_{n_p}\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$. For example, let $x = \{1, 0, 4, 0, 16, 0, \dots\}$ and let A be defined by $a_{pq} = 2^{p-q-1}$ if $q \geq p$, $a_{pq} = 0$ otherwise. Clearly, if a subsequence y of x contains at most a finite number of nonzero terms, then $(Ay)_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. But if y contains infinitely many nonzero terms of x , then $\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} a_{pq} y_q$ diverges, $p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$.

On the other hand, we have the following modification of Theorem 3.

THEOREM 4. *If x is a complex sequence and A is a row-finite matrix satisfying (1) and (2) of the regularity conditions, then there exists a subsequence y of x such that every limit point of x (finite or infinite) is a limit point of Ay .*

The proof of Theorem 4 involves only minor changes in the proof of Theorem 3, and will be omitted.

COROLLARY. *A complex sequence x is bounded if there exists a matrix A satisfying (1) and (2) of the regularity conditions such that Ay is bounded for every subsequence y of x .*

Proof. Suppose A satisfies (1) and (2) and Ay is bounded for every subsequence y of x , but x is not bounded. Then A is row-finite, for otherwise we could construct a subsequence z of x such that Az is not defined. Thus by the theorem, there exists a subsequence w of x such that ∞ is a limit point of Aw . But this is a contradiction. Hence x is bounded.

REFERENCES

1. R. P. Agnew, *Summability of subsequences*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **50** (1944), 596-598.
2. R. C. Buck, *A note on subsequences*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **49** (1943), 898-899.
3. ———, *An addendum to "A note on subsequences,"* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **7**(1956), 1074-1075.

Received October 8, 1971 and in revised form December 7, 1971.

NORTH TEXAS STATE UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

C. R. HOBBY

University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$48.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$24.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 44, No. 2

June, 1973

Tsuyoshi Andô, <i>Closed range theorems for convex sets and linear liftings</i>	393
Richard David Bourgin, <i>Conically bounded sets in Banach spaces</i>	411
Robert Jay Buck, <i>Hausdorff dimensions for compact sets in R^n</i>	421
Henry Cheng, <i>A constructive Riemann mapping theorem</i>	435
David Fleming Dawson, <i>Summability of subsequences and stretchings of sequences</i>	455
William Thomas Eaton, <i>A two sided approximation theorem for 2-spheres</i>	461
Jay Paul Fillmore and John Herman Scheuneman, <i>Fundamental groups of compact complete locally affine complex surfaces</i>	487
Avner Friedman, <i>Bounded entire solutions of elliptic equations</i>	497
Ronald Francis Gariepy, <i>Multiplicity and the area of an $(n - 1)$ continuous mapping</i>	509
Andrew M. W. Glass, <i>Archimedean extensions of directed interpolation groups</i>	515
Morisuke Hasumi, <i>Extreme points and unicity of extremum problems in H^1 on polydiscs</i>	523
Trevor Ongley Hawkes, <i>On the Fitting length of a soluble linear group</i>	537
Garry Arthur Helzer, <i>Semi-primary split rings</i>	541
Melvin Hochster, <i>Expanded radical ideals and semiregular ideals</i>	553
Keizō Kikuchi, <i>Starlike and convex mappings in several complex variables</i>	569
Charles Philip Lanski, <i>On the relationship of a ring and the subring generated by its symmetric elements</i>	581
Jimmie Don Lawson, <i>Intrinsic topologies in topological lattices and semilattices</i>	593
Roy Bruce Levow, <i>Counterexamples to conjectures of Ryser and de Oliveira</i>	603
Arthur Larry Lieberman, <i>Some representations of the automorphism group of an infinite continuous homogeneous measure algebra</i>	607
William George McArthur, <i>G_δ-diagonals and metrization theorems</i>	613
James Murdoch McPherson, <i>Wild arcs in three-space. II. An invariant of non-oriented local type</i>	619
H. Millington and Maurice Sion, <i>Inverse systems of group-valued measures</i>	637
William James Rae Mitchell, <i>Simple periodic rings</i>	651
C. Edward Moore, <i>Concrete semispaces and lexicographic separation of convex sets</i>	659
Jingyal Pak, <i>Actions of torus T^n on $(n + 1)$-manifolds M^{n+1}</i>	671
Merrell Lee Patrick, <i>Extensions of inequalities of the Laguerre and Turán type</i>	675
Harold L. Peterson, Jr., <i>Discontinuous characters and subgroups of finite index</i>	683
S. P. Philipp, <i>Abel summability of conjugate integrals</i>	693
R. B. Quintana and Charles R. B. Wright, <i>On groups of exponent four satisfying an Engel condition</i>	701
Marlon C. Rayburn, <i>On Hausdorff compactifications</i>	707
Martin G. Ribe, <i>Necessary convexity conditions for the Hahn-Banach theorem in metrizable spaces</i>	715
Ryōtarō Satō, <i>On decomposition of transformations in infinite measure spaces</i>	733
Peter Drummond Taylor, <i>Subgradients of a convex function obtained from a directional derivative</i>	739
James William Thomas, <i>A bifurcation theorem for k-set contractions</i>	749
Clifford Edward Weil, <i>A topological lemma and applications to real functions</i>	757
Stephen Andrew Williams, <i>A nonlinear elliptic boundary value problem</i>	767
Pak-Ken Wong, <i>$*$-actions in A^*-algebras</i>	775