

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**COUNTEREXAMPLES TO CONJECTURES OF RYSER AND DE
OLIVEIRA**

ROY BRUCE LEVOW

COUNTEREXAMPLES TO CONJECTURES OF RYSER AND DE OLIVEIRA

ROY B. LEVOW

Let $U(n; k)$ be the set of all $n \times n$ binary matrices with k ones in each row and column. Considering the relation between the permanent and the determinant for matrices in $U(n; k)$, Tinsley established the following result:

THEOREM: Let $C \in U(7; 3)$ be the cyclic matrix defined by the differences $0, 1, 3 \pmod{7}$. Let $A \in U(n; k)$ with $k \geq 3$. Suppose that there are permutation matrices $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k \in U(n; 1)$ such that $A = P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_k$ and $P_i P_j = P_j P_i$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, k$). Then $\text{per } A = |\det A|$ if and only if $k = 3$, $7 \mid n$, and the rows and columns of A can be permuted in such a way that the resulting matrix is the direct sum of C taken $n/7$ times. Ryser posed

Conjecture I. Tinsley's Theorem remains valid when the condition $P_i P_j = P_j P_i$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, k$) is dropped.

Discovery of counterexamples to Conjecture I leads directly to counterexamples to the following conjecture of de Oliveira:

Conjecture II. Let A be an $n \times n$ doubly stochastic irreducible matrix. If n is even, then $f(z) = \text{per}(zI - A)$ has no real roots; if n is odd, then $f(z) = \text{per}(zI - A)$ has one and only real root.

2. Preliminary results. Following the terminology of Harary [6, 7, 8] we recall that with every digraph (with loops), D , we may associate a binary matrix, $A(D)$, the (point) adjacency matrix of D . Conversely, with every binary matrix, A , we may associate a digraph, $D(A)$, which has A as its adjacency matrix. Given an $n \times n$ binary matrix, A , let $l_+(l_-)$ denote the number of linear subgraphs of $D(A)$ which contain an even (respectively, odd) number of cycles of even length. Then as shown by Harary [6] $\det A = l_+ - l_-$. Similar reasoning yields the formula $\text{per } A = l_+ + l_-$.

LEMMA 1. *If A is an $n \times n$ binary matrix with ones on the diagonal, then $\text{per } A = \det A$ if and only if every cycle of $D(A)$ is of odd length. Moreover, if A is an arbitrary $n \times n$ binary matrix and $D(A)$ has only odd cycles, then $\text{per } A = \det A$.*

Proof. This is an obvious consequence of the relation between the permanent, the determinant, and $D(A)$.

An $n \times n$ matrix, A , is said to be indecomposable if there does not exist a permutation matrix, P , such that $PAP^T = A_1 \oplus A_2$ for some matrices, A_1 and A_2 ; A is said to be fully indecomposable if there do not exist permutation matrices, P and Q , such that $PAQ = A_1 \oplus A_2$ for some matrices, A_1 and A_2 .

LEMMA 2. *Let A be a binary matrix with ones on the diagonal. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) A is indecomposable
- (ii) A is fully indecomposable
- (iii) $G(A)$ is weakly connected.

Proof. This is a simple consequence of a result of Brualdi, Parter, and Schneider [2; Lemma 2.3].

3. Constructions. The counterexamples we require can be generated through the proper use of the following three constructions. In each construction the matrices $A_i \in U(n_i; 3)$ satisfy $\text{per } A = |\det A|$ and have only ones on the diagonal. This latter condition is not overly restrictive as any matrix in $U(n; 3)$ can have its rows or columns permuted to put it in this form.

It can easily be verified that in each construction the resulting digraph has only odd cycles, and thus the corresponding matrix has equal permanent and determinant. Furthermore, if the matrices A_i are fully indecomposable, then so is the resulting matrix, as the corresponding digraph is strongly connected.

Construction I. Let $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{2m+1}$ be given for some fixed positive integer m . For each i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 2m + 1$) select from $D(A_i)$ an edge e_i from u_i to v_i . Form a new digraph G from $G_1 \cup G_2 \cup \dots \cup G_{2m+1}$ by deleting the edges e_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 2m + 1$) and adding edges from u_i to v_{i+1} ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 2m$) and from u_{2m+1} to v_1 . Clearly $A(G) \in U(n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_{2m+1}; 3)$ and $\text{per } A(G) = \det A(G)$.

Construction II. Let A_1, A_2, A_3 , and A_4 be given. For each i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) select from $D(A_i)$ an edge e_i from u_i to v_i . Let v_0 be an additional point. Form a new digraph G from $G_1 \cup G_2 \cup G_3 \cup G_4 \cup \{v_0\}$ by deleting the edges e_i for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and adding new edges from u_1 to v_2 , from u_3 to v_4 , from v_0 to v_1 and v_3 , and from u_2 and u_4 to v_0 , and a loop at v_0 . Clearly $A(G) \in U(n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + n_4 + 1; 3)$ and $\text{per } A(G) = \det A(G)$.

Construction III. Let $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{4m+2}$ be given for some fixed

positive integer m . For each i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 4m + 2$) select from $D(A_i)$ an edge e_i from u_i to v_i and form a new digraph G_i by deleting e_i and adding two new points u'_i and v'_i together with new edges from u_i to u'_i , from u'_i to v'_i , and from v'_i to v_i . Form the digraph G from $G_1 \cup G_2 \cup \dots \cup G_{4m+2}$ by identifying the point pairs u'_{2i-1} and u'_{2i} for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2m + 1$, v'_{2i} and v'_{2i+1} for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2m$, and v'_{4m+1} and v'_1 , and adding a loop at each of the resulting points. Clearly $A(G) \in U(n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_{4m+2} + 4m + 2; 3)$ and $\text{per } A(G) = \det A(G)$.

4. Conclusions. We are now ready to prove that Conjecture I is false.

THEOREM 1. *Conjecture I is false for $k = 3$. In fact for every sufficiently large n there is a fully indecomposable matrix $A \in U(n; 3)$ satisfying $\text{per } A = \det A$.*

Proof. Starting with the matrix C , Constructions I, II, and III may be used to generate a family of fully indecomposable matrices with equal permanent and determinant. It can easily be verified that the family contains matrices of order n for all sufficiently large n .

The question of the existence of matrices in $U(n; k)$ for $k \geq 4$ with equal permanent and determinant remains open. It should be noted, however, that should one such matrix exist for a given k , then Constructions I, II, and III with the obvious modifications, may be used to construct an infinite family of such matrices. The problem of finding a good characterization of the matrices in $U(n; 3)$ with equal permanent and determinant also remains to be solved.

As to Conjecture II, while Datta [4] has shown that Conjecture II is true for even n if A is symmetric and imprimitive; Hartfiel [9] has produced counterexamples for $n = 4$ and 5; and Csima [3] has produced an infinite family of counterexamples. Counterexamples for all sufficiently large even n follow directly from the results of Theorem 1. However, more can be said as follows:

THEOREM 2. *For each $n \geq 3$ there is an $n \times n$ indecomposable doubly-stochastic matrix A_n such that $f(z) = \text{per}(zI - A_n)$ has $n - 2$ distinct real roots in $(0, 1)$.*

Proof. Start with $A_3 = J_3$, which is clearly satisfactory, and continue inductively.

Suppose A_{n-1} satisfies the conditions of the theorem. The required matrix, A_n , is constructed as follows. Let $A_n(\lambda) = \lambda J_n + (1 - \lambda)((1) \oplus A_{n-1})$, where J_n is the $n \times n$ matrix each of whose entries is $1/n$. Clearly $A_n(\lambda)$ is doubly-stochastic for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and indecomposable

for $\lambda \neq 0$. Let $B_n(\lambda, z) = zI - A_n(\lambda)$, and let $g_n(\lambda, z) = \text{per } B_n(\lambda, z)$. Then

$$\frac{\partial g_n(\lambda, z)}{\partial \lambda} = \sum_{i,j} - \frac{d(A_n(\lambda))_{ij}}{d\lambda} \text{per } (B_n(\lambda, z))(i|j)$$

where $(A_n(\lambda))_{ij}$ is the entry of $A_n(\lambda)$ in row i and column j , and $(B_n(\lambda, z))(i|j)$ is the matrix obtained from $B_n(\lambda, z)$ by deleting row i and column j . Observe that $B_n(0, 1) = (0) \oplus (I - A_{n-1})$; hence for $\lambda = 0$, $z = 1$ all of the terms in the summation above, except the term for $i = j = 1$, vanish. Thus

$$\frac{\partial g_n(0, 1)}{\partial \lambda} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \text{per } (I - A_{n-1}) \neq 0.$$

It follows that for $\lambda > 0$ sufficiently small $\text{per } (I - A_n(\lambda)) \neq 0$, so that for such $\lambda, z = 1$ is not a root of $\text{per } (zI - A_n(\lambda))$. As the roots of $\text{per } (zI - A_n(\lambda))$ are continuous, and, as shown by Brenner and Brualdi [1], the real roots lie on $(0, 1]$, it must be the case that for some $\lambda_0 > 0$ $\text{per } (zI - A_n(\lambda_0))$ has $n - 2$ real roots in $(0, 1)$. Thus the matrix $A_n = A_n(\lambda_0)$ is as required, and the theorem is proved. We believe that this result may be best possible in the sense that no doubly-stochastic matrix other than the identity yields only real roots.

REFERENCES

1. J. L. Brenner and R. A. Brualdi, *Properties of the permanent function*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc., **14** (1967), 87.
2. R. A. Brualdi, S. V. Parter, and H. Schneider, *The diagonal equivalence of a non-negative matrix to a stochastic matrix*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **16** (1966), 31-50.
3. J. Csima, *A class of counterexamples on permanents*, Pacific J. Math., **37** (1971), 655-656.
4. B. N. Datta, *On a conjecture of de Oliveira*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc., **17** (1970), 1068.
5. Q. N. de Oliveira, *A conjecture and some problems on permanents*, Pacific J. Math., **32** (1970), 495-499.
6. F. Harary, *The determinant of the adjacency matrix of a graph*, SIAM Review, **4** (1962), 202-209.
7. ———, *Graphs and matrices*, SIAM Review, **9** (1967), 83-90.
8. ———, *Graph Theory*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1969.
9. D. J. Hartfiel, *Counterexamples to a conjecture of G. N. de Oliveira*, Pacific J. Math., **38** (1971), 67-68.
10. H. Ryser, *Matrices of zeros and ones*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **66** (1960), 442-463.
11. M. Tinsley, *Permanents of cyclic matrices*, Pacific J. Math., **10** (1960), 1067-1082.

Received October 18, 1971 and in revised form January 19, 1972.

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

C. R. HOBBY

University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$48.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$24.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

| | |
|---|-----|
| Tsuyoshi Andô, <i>Closed range theorems for convex sets and linear liftings</i> | 393 |
| Richard David Bourgin, <i>Conically bounded sets in Banach spaces</i> | 411 |
| Robert Jay Buck, <i>Hausdorff dimensions for compact sets in R^n</i> | 421 |
| Henry Cheng, <i>A constructive Riemann mapping theorem</i> | 435 |
| David Fleming Dawson, <i>Summability of subsequences and stretchings of sequences</i> | 455 |
| William Thomas Eaton, <i>A two sided approximation theorem for 2-spheres</i> | 461 |
| Jay Paul Fillmore and John Herman Scheuneman, <i>Fundamental groups of compact complete locally affine complex surfaces</i> | 487 |
| Avner Friedman, <i>Bounded entire solutions of elliptic equations</i> | 497 |
| Ronald Francis Gariepy, <i>Multiplicity and the area of an $(n - 1)$ continuous mapping</i> | 509 |
| Andrew M. W. Glass, <i>Archimedean extensions of directed interpolation groups</i> | 515 |
| Morisuke Hasumi, <i>Extreme points and unicity of extremum problems in H^1 on polydiscs</i> | 523 |
| Trevor Ongley Hawkes, <i>On the Fitting length of a soluble linear group</i> | 537 |
| Garry Arthur Helzer, <i>Semi-primary split rings</i> | 541 |
| Melvin Hochster, <i>Expanded radical ideals and semiregular ideals</i> | 553 |
| Keizō Kikuchi, <i>Starlike and convex mappings in several complex variables</i> | 569 |
| Charles Philip Lanski, <i>On the relationship of a ring and the subring generated by its symmetric elements</i> | 581 |
| Jimmie Don Lawson, <i>Intrinsic topologies in topological lattices and semilattices</i> | 593 |
| Roy Bruce Levow, <i>Counterexamples to conjectures of Ryser and de Oliveira</i> | 603 |
| Arthur Larry Lieberman, <i>Some representations of the automorphism group of an infinite continuous homogeneous measure algebra</i> | 607 |
| William George McArthur, <i>G_δ-diagonals and metrization theorems</i> | 613 |
| James Murdoch McPherson, <i>Wild arcs in three-space. II. An invariant of non-oriented local type</i> | 619 |
| H. Millington and Maurice Sion, <i>Inverse systems of group-valued measures</i> | 637 |
| William James Rae Mitchell, <i>Simple periodic rings</i> | 651 |
| C. Edward Moore, <i>Concrete semispaces and lexicographic separation of convex sets</i> | 659 |
| Jingyal Pak, <i>Actions of torus T^n on $(n + 1)$-manifolds M^{n+1}</i> | 671 |
| Merrell Lee Patrick, <i>Extensions of inequalities of the Laguerre and Turán type</i> | 675 |
| Harold L. Peterson, Jr., <i>Discontinuous characters and subgroups of finite index</i> | 683 |
| S. P. Philipp, <i>Abel summability of conjugate integrals</i> | 693 |
| R. B. Quintana and Charles R. B. Wright, <i>On groups of exponent four satisfying an Engel condition</i> | 701 |
| Marlon C. Rayburn, <i>On Hausdorff compactifications</i> | 707 |
| Martin G. Ribe, <i>Necessary convexity conditions for the Hahn-Banach theorem in metrizable spaces</i> | 715 |
| Ryōtarō Satō, <i>On decomposition of transformations in infinite measure spaces</i> | 733 |
| Peter Drummond Taylor, <i>Subgradients of a convex function obtained from a directional derivative</i> | 739 |
| James William Thomas, <i>A bifurcation theorem for k-set contractions</i> | 749 |
| Clifford Edward Weil, <i>A topological lemma and applications to real functions</i> | 757 |
| Stephen Andrew Williams, <i>A nonlinear elliptic boundary value problem</i> | 767 |
| Pak-Ken Wong, <i>*-actions in A^*-algebras</i> | 775 |