Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A TOPOLOGICAL LEMMA AND APPLICATIONS TO REAL FUNCTIONS

CLIFFORD EDWARD WEIL

Vol. 44, No. 2 June 1973

A TOPOLOGICAL LEMMA AND APPLICATIONS TO REAL FUNCTIONS

CLIFFORD E. WEIL

In working with functions of Baire class one having the Darboux property, one of the most useful tools has been a theorem due to Baire that says a function of Baire class one has a point of continuity on every closed set relative to the closed set. The lemma mentioned in the title can be used in many instances more efficiently than Baire's theorem as is shown in § 4. It is concerned with sets rather than functions and hence more basic than Baire's Theorem, and easier to prove requiring only one application of Baire's category theorem.

1. Definitions and conventions. Euclidean *n*-space will be denoted by E_n and K will designate a fixed, closed subset of E_n . For each x in K and r positive, $B(x, r) = \{y \in K : |x - y| < r\}$; that is, the open ball of radius r about x. For any subset H of K, Cl H will be its closure and int H will denote its interior relative to K. Finally m(E) will be the Lebesgue measure of E.

DEFINITION 1. A G_{δ} subset H of K will be called a ball closed G_{δ} set if whenever $B(x, r) \subset H$, $\{y \in K \colon |x - y| = r\} \subset H$.

Clearly every closed set is a ball closed G_{δ} set. However, not every ball closed G_{δ} set is closed. For example, in [0, 1] the set

$$H = igcup_{n=2}^{\infty} [n^{-1}, \, n^{-1} + 2^{-n}]$$

is a ball closed G_{δ} set that is not closed because it does not contain 0. Not every G_{δ} set is a ball closed G_{δ} set. In particular it is fairly easy to prove (using one of the techniques used in the proof of the lemma) that the only nonempty open subset of K that is a ball closed G_{δ} set is K itself. This fact will be needed.

DEFINITION 2. A function $f: E_n \to E_k$ has the Denjoy property if for each open subset G of E_k either $f^{-1}(G) = \emptyset$ or $m(f^{-1}(G)) > 0$.

2. The lemma.

LEMMA. If H_1 and H_2 are two nonempty disjoint, ball closed G_δ subsets of K, then there is an $x \in K - (H_1 \cup H_2)$, and an open neighborhood O of x (open relative to K) such that $O \cap H_1 = \emptyset$ or $O \cap H_2 = \emptyset$.

Proof. Suppose, on the contrary, that every open neighborhood of every point $x \in K - (H_1 \cup H_2)$ intersects both H_1 and H_2 . The first step of the proof is establishing the following fact. Every open set intersecting H_1 —int H_1 also intersects H_2 —int H_2 , and conversely, every open set intersecting H_2 —int H_2 also intersects H_1 —int H_1 . It will suffice to prove the first part; the proof of the second being similar.

Let $x \in H_1$ — int H_1 , U an open set with $x \in U$ and r > 0 so that $B(x, r) \subset U$. Since $x \notin \text{int } H_1$, there is a $y \in K - H_1$ with |x-y| < r/2. Either $y \in H_2$ or $y \in K - H_2$ in which case $y \in K - (H_1 \cup H_2)$; so since B(x, r/2) is an open set containing y, by assumption B(x, r/2) contains a point of H_2 . In either case there is a point $z \in B(x, r/2) \cap H_2$. If $z \notin \text{int } H_2$ it is the desired point. If not to find the desired point let

$$s = \sup\{t: B(z, t) \subset H_2\}$$
.

Since $x \in H_1$ and $H_1 \cap H_2 = \emptyset$, $s \le r/2$ (actually s < r/2, but that fact is not needed). A simple compactness argument then shows that there is a point w with |z - w| = s such that $w \in K - \text{int } H_2$. But since H_2 is a ball closed G_δ set, $w \in H_2$. Moreover,

$$|x-w| \le |x-z| + |z-w| < r/2 + s \le r$$
.

Hence

$$w \in U \cap (H_2 - \operatorname{int} H_2)$$
.

Let

$$F = \operatorname{cl} [(H_1 - \operatorname{int} H_1) \cup (H_2 - \operatorname{int} H_2)]$$
.

The fact just verified says that both H_1 and H_2 are dense in F. Now H_1 and H_2 are G_{δ} sets; so write

$$H_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} = igcap_{\scriptscriptstyle n=1}^{\infty} \, U_{\scriptscriptstyle n}$$

and

$$H_2 = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n$$

where U_n and V_n are open sets (relative to K) for each $n=1, 2, \cdots$. Then for each $n=1, 2, \cdots, U_n \cap F$ and $V_n \cap F$ are open subsets of F and each contains a dense subset of F. The intersection of two such sets is a dense set; so $U_n \cap V_n \cap F$ is an open, dense subset of F. So by the Baire category theorem,

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (U_n \cap V_n \cap F)$$

is a dense subset of F. But

$$igcap_{n=1}^\infty \left(U_n\cap V_n\cap F
ight) = \left(igcap_{n=1}^\infty U_n
ight)\cap \left(igcap_{n=1}^\infty V_n
ight)\cap F \ = H_1\cap H_2\cap F = arnothing.$$

So $F=\emptyset$; that is $H_1-\inf H_1=\emptyset$ and $H_2-\inf H_2=\emptyset$. That is, H_1 and H_2 are both open, ball closed G_δ sets which are nonempty. By the remark following the definition of ball closed G_δ sets, $H_1=K=H_2$ contrary to the assumption that they are disjoint.

One immediate consequence of the lemma that will be used in the next section and in § 6 is that a closed subset of K cannot be decomposed into two, nonempty disjoint, ball closed G_{δ} sets.

3. Applications to Baire one, Darboux functions. A function $f \colon E_1 \to E_1$ of Baire class one having the Darboux property has a connected graph (see [1] Theorem 4.1). An easy proof of this fact can be given by first observing that if G is an open subset of E_2 , then $\{x \colon (x, f(x)) \in G\}$ is an F_σ set. If the graph of f is disconnected by two open sets O_1 and O_2 , then the two sets $E_i = \{x \colon (x, f(x)) \in O_i\}$, i = 1, 2, are disjoint F_σ subsets of E_1 whose union is E_1 . Hence they are also G_δ sets. That f has the Darboux property implies that E_1 and E_2 are ball closed G_δ sets. By the lemma either $E_1 = \emptyset$ or $E_2 = \emptyset$. A similar argument can be used to give a short proof of Zahorski's classification of Baire one, Darboux functions (see [1] or [10]).

In [6] (also [1] Theorem 6.1) it is shown that a function $f: E_1 \rightarrow E_1$ is of Baire class one and has the Darboux property if and only if for each real number a, the sets

$$E_a = \{x: f(x) \ge a\}$$

and

$$E^a = \{x: f(x) \le a\}$$

are ball closed G_{δ} subsets of E_{1} . The "if" part follows at once from the remark after the lemma, for if on a closed interval I, f attains values larger than a and smaller than a, but does not attain the value a, then E_{a} and E^{a} would be a decomposition of I into two nonempty disjoint ball closed G_{δ} sets.

The following corollary was certainly attainable using Baire's Theorem, but seems to have escaped detection. It will be the crux of many of the remaining applications.

COROLLARY. If $f: E_1 \to E_1$ is a function of Baire class one

having the Darboux property, then for each open interval (a, b) with $f^{-1}(a, b) \neq \emptyset$, there is an interval I such that $f^{-1}(a, b) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and on I, f is strictly larger than a or strictly smaller than b.

Proof. By the above result of Neugebauer's the two sets E_b and E^a are ball closed G_b sets, and it may be assumed that they are nonempty for otherwise the conclusion is immediate. The lemma then says that there is an interval I and an $x \in I$ such that $x \notin E_b \cup E^a$ (that is $x \in f^{-1}(a, b)$) and $I \cap E_b = \emptyset$ or $I \cap E^a = \emptyset$ which is the desired result.

4. Applications to derivatives. The proceeding corollary gives an easy proof of the following theorem of Denjoy's (see [2]).

THEOREM. If $f: E_1 \rightarrow E_1$ is everywhere differentiable, then f' has the Denjoy property.

Proof. The function f' is of Baire class one and has the Darboux property; so if $f'^{-1}(a, b) \neq \emptyset$ then by the corollary there is an interval I such that $f'^{-1}(a, b) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and on I, f' is strictly larger than a or strictly smaller than b.

Thus the theorem will follow by proving the special case that if f'(x) > 0 for all $x \in I$ and $f'(x) \ge 1$ for a.e. $x \in I$, then $f'(x) \ge 1$ for every $x \in I$. This can be established in two ways. Using the Lebesgue theory it follows that f' is integrable and for every

$$x < y, x, y \in I, f(y) - f(x) \ge \int_{x}^{y} f'(t)dt \ge y - x;$$

that is $(f(y)-f(x))/(y-x) \ge 1$. Letting $y \to x$ yields $f'(x) \ge 1$ for every $x \in I$. Using more primitive methods a standard nested interval argument will prove that if the lower Dini derivate of a function is ≥ 1 for each $x \in I$, then each difference quotient of the function is ≥ 1 . Next let $E = \{x \in I: f'(x) < 1\}$ and for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$, let G_n be an open set, $G_n \supset E$, with $m(G_n) < 1/n$. Define

$$f_n(x) = m((-\infty, x) \cap G_n) + f(x).$$

It is easy to show that the lower Dini derivate of f_n is ≥ 1 for each x in I and hence that for each x, $y \in I$, $x \neq y$,

$$(f_n(y) - f_n(x))/(y - x) \ge 1$$
.

But clearly $\{f_n\}$ converges pointwise to f. Thus

$$(f(y) - f(x))/(y - x) \ge 1$$
.

And as before it follows that $f'(x) \ge 1$ for each $x \in I$.

The Denjoy property is possessed by L_p -derivatives, approximate derivatives and kth Peano derivatives. For definitions and proofs [3] and [7]. The properties that all of these generalized derivatives have which yield an immediate proof of the result is that they are Baire one functions, have the Darboux property, and if bounded above or below on an interval they are ordinary derivatives on that interval (see [3], [4], and [7]). So by the corollary if one of the generalized derivatives inverses an open interval to a nonempty set E, then there is an $x \in E$ and an interval I containing x such that the generalized derivative is bounded above or below on I and hence is an ordinary derivative on I. So by Denjoy's theorem

$$m(E \cap I) > 0$$
.

Actually a property slightly stronger than the Denjoy property was proved here. Even though the set where the derivative is not an ordinary derivative may have positive measure, it does not contain the inverse image of any open interval. It was shown that if the inverse image of an open interval is not empty, then it meets an interval on which the generalized derivative is an ordinary derivative. (This fact was first proved for approximate derivatives by Mukhopadhyay. He gave a standard proof using Baire's theorem). In particular, the generalized derivative, when restricted to the set where it is an ordinary derivative, has the Denjoy property. For standard proofs of this fact see [3] and [9].

5. An application to functions of several variables. In [5] Mišik introduced a Darboux property for functions of several variables.

DEFINITION 3. A function $f \colon E_n \to E_1$ has the Darboux property if whenever it attains a value larger than a and a value smaller than a on a closed ball $\{y \in E_n \colon |x-y| \le r\}$, then it attains a on the open ball $\{y \in E_n \colon |x-y| < r\}$.

The lemma will now be used to prove Mišik's theorem concerning this Darboux property.

THEOREM. A function $f \colon E_n \to E_1$ of Baire class one has the Darboux property if and only if for each real number a, the two sets

$$E_a = \{x: f(x) \ge a\}$$

$$E^a = \{x: f(x) \le a\}$$

are ball closed G_{δ} subsets of E_n .

Proof. The "only if" part is straightforward and does not need the lemma.

For the "if" part, let B be a closed ball on which f attains a value larger than a and one smaller than a. Then f must attain a value larger than a on the interior of B, for if not the interior of B would be part of E^a and then by hypothesis so would B contrary to assumptions on B. Likewise f attains values larger than a on B. Let K be a closed ball contained in the *interior* of B, on which f attains values larger than a and smaller. Then $K \cap E_a$ and $K \cap E^a$ are nonempty ball closed G_b subsets of K and their union is K. But a closed subset of E_a cannot be decomposed into nonempty, disjoint, ball closed G_b subsets. Thus $(K \cap E_a) \cap (K \cap E^a) \neq \emptyset$. If x is in this intersection, then x is interior to B because K is and f(x) = a because $x \in E_a \cap E^a$.

6. The Darboux property and partial derivatives. This work is concluded by an example, a theorem and some remarks concerning how Mišik's Darboux property relates to partial derivatives. It is shown that a partial derivative even of a continuous function need not have the property, but if the function is differentiable then the partial derivatives, (in fact, all directional derivatives) do satisfy the condition.

DEFINITION 4. If $\nu \in E_n$, $|\nu| = 1$, $f \colon E_n \to E_1$, $x \in E_n$, then the directional derivative of f at x in the direction ν is denoted by $\partial_{\nu} f(x)$ and defined by

$$\partial_{\nu}f(x) = \lim_{h\to 0} (f(x+h\nu) - f(x))/h$$
.

(In E_2 this notation is a bit clumsy; so there $\partial_x f$ and $\partial_y f$ are used to denote the usual partial derivatives.)

DEFINITION 5. Let $f: E_n \to E_1$, let ν^1, \dots, ν^n denote the usual basis of unit vectors in E_n , and let $x \in E_n$. Then the gradient of f at x is denoted by grad f(x) and is the vector in E_n whose kth coordinate is $\partial_{\nu k} f(x)$.

DEFINITION 6. Let $F: E_n \to E_1$ and let $x \in E_n$. Then f is said to be differentiable at x if $f(y) = f(x) + (y-x) \cdot \operatorname{grad} f(x) + o(|y-x|)$ as $y \to x$.

EXAMPLE. Let h be a differentiable function of one variable that is 0 on $(-\infty, -2]$, constant on $[2, \infty)$ and has derivative 1 on [-1, 1]. Define $f: E_2 \to E_1$ by

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{y} \ h(x/\sqrt{y}) & \text{if } y > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } y \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Since h is bounded, f is continuous at each point (x, 0). The continuity elsewhere is immediate. It is easily shown that

$$\partial_x f(x, y) = egin{cases} h'(x/\sqrt{y}) & ext{if } y > 0 \ 0 & ext{if } y \leq 0 \ . \end{cases}$$

In particular $\partial_x f(x, y) = 1$ if $x^2 \leq y$ and y > 0, but $\partial_x (0, 0) = 0$. It follows then that $E = \{(x, y) : \partial_x f(x, y) \geq 1\}$ contains the open ball B((0, r), r) for any 0 < r < 1/2, but (0, 0), which is on the boundary of such a ball, is not in E. Thus E is not a ball closed G_δ set.

THEOREM. If f is differentiable, $\nu \in E_n \mid \nu \mid = 1$, $a \in E_1$, then the sets $E_{\nu}^a = \{x \colon \partial_{\nu} f(x) \leq a\}$ and $E_{\nu,a} = \{x \colon \partial_{\nu} f(x) \geq a\}$ are ball closed G_{δ} sets.

Proof. It suffices to prove that $E_{\nu,0}$ is a ball closed G_{δ} set. Let $B(y,r) \subset E_{\nu,0}$ and let x be such that |y-x|=r. For 0 < s < 1 the two points

$$x + s(y - x) = x_1$$

and

$$x + s(y - x) + srv/2 = x_2$$

both lie in B(y, r) since

$$|x_1 - y| = (1 - s)|y - x| < |y - x| = r$$

and

$$|x_2 - y| \le (1 - s) |y - x| + rs/2 = (1 - s/2) r < r$$
.

The line segment joining x_1 and x_2 lies entirely in B(y, r). So by the Mean Value Theorem for each 0 < s < 1, there is a 0 < t < s with

$$f(x_2) - f(x_1) = (sr/2)\partial_{\nu}f(x + s(y - x) + tr\nu/2)$$
.

But $x + s(y - x) + tr\nu/2 \in B(y, r)$; so

$$(f(x_2) - f(x_1))/(sr/2) \ge 0$$
.

Now since f is differentiable at x,

$$f(x_2) - f(x_1) = f(x) + (s(y - x) + sr\nu/2) \cdot \operatorname{grad} f(x)$$

$$+ o(|s(y - x) + sr\nu/2|)$$

$$- f(x) - s(y - x) \cdot \operatorname{grad} f(x) - o(|s(y - x)|)$$

$$= (sr\nu/2 \cdot \operatorname{grad} f(x) + o(|s(y - x) + sr\nu/2|)$$

$$- o(|s(y - x)|)$$

$$= (sr/2)\partial_{\nu} f(x) + o(s) \text{ as } s \to 0$$

(since y, x, r, and ν are fixed the two "o" terms are just o(s)). But $(f(x_2) - f(x_1))/(sr/2) \ge 0$. Thus $\partial_{\nu} f(x) + o(1) \ge 0$ as $s \to 0$, and hence $\partial_{\nu} f(x) \ge 0$.

That $E_{\nu,0}$ is a G_{δ} set follows since f is continuous and hence $\partial_{\nu} f$ is of Baire class one. Hence $E_{\nu,0}$ is a ball closed G_{δ} set.

The converse is far from true. There are functions f having directional derivatives in every direction and such that for each v and for each real number a, $E_{\nu,a}$ and E_{ν}^a are ball closed G_{δ} sets, but f is not differentiable. The situation is even worse. It is not hard to see that if f is differentiable, then $E_{\nu,a}$ and E^a have a much stronger closure property than just being ball closed G_i sets. Suppose that some x in E_n is the vertex of a "cone" in E_n whose interior lies in $E_{\nu,a}$ (resp. E_{ν}^a). Then $x \in E_{\nu,a}$ (resp. E_{ν}^a). This property on the sets $E_{\nu,a}$ and E_{ν}^a is still not enough to guarantee that f is differentiable. For example, it is possible to construct a function f(x, y) which is zero except for points (x, y) satisfying y > 0 and $y^2 < x < 4y^2$, has continuous partial derivatives except at (0, 0) where all directional derivatives exist, however, and are zero, but f is not differentiable at (0, 0) (If h(t) is infinitely differentiable, with support [0, 1], then $f(x, y) = xh((x - y^2)/3y^2)$ for y > 0 and f(x, y) = 0 for $y \leq 0$ is such a function.) If (x, y) is the vertex of a "cone" whose interior lies in $E_{\nu,a}(\text{resp. }E_{\nu}^a)$ and if $(x,y)\neq (0,0)$, then $\partial_{\nu}f$ is continuous at (x, y); so $x \in E_{\nu,a}(\text{resp. } x \in E_{\nu}^a)$. If (0, 0) is the vertex of such a "cone," then that cone does not lie in the support of f; so there is an (x, y) in that cone with $\partial_{\nu} f(x, y) = 0$. Thus $0 \ge a$ (resp. $0 \le a$). Since $\partial_{\nu} f(0,0) = 0$, it follows that $(0,0) \in E_{\nu,a}$ (resp. $(0,0) \in E_{\nu}^a$). Consequently the sets $E_{\nu,\alpha}$ and E^{α}_{ν} contain the vertex of any cone whose interior they contain, but by choice f is not everywhere differentiable.

REFERENCES

^{1.} A. M. Bruckner and J. G. Ceder, *Darboux continuity*, Jber, Deutsch. Math-Verein. **67** (1965), 93-117.

A. Denjoy, Sur une propriété des fonctions dérivées, Enseignement Math. 18 (1916), 320-328.

- 3. Michael J. Evans, L_p derivatives and approximate Peano derivatives, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **165** (1972), 381-388.
- 4. C. Goffman and C. J. Neugebauer, On approximate derivatives, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 11 (1960), 962-966.
- 5. L. Mišik, Über die Funktionen der ersten Baireschen Klasse mit der Eigenschaft von Darboux, Mat. Fyz. Casopis Sloven. Akad. Vied, 14 (1964), 44-49.
- 6. C. J. Neugebauer, Darboux functions of Baire class one and derivatives, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 13 (1962) 838-843.
- 7. H. W. Olvier, The exact Peano derivative, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 76 (1954), 444-456.
- 8. C. E. Weil, On properties of derivatives, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 114 (1965), 363-376.
- 9. ———, On approximate and Peano derivatives, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 20 (1969), 487-490.
- 10. Z. Zahorski, Sur la prémière dérivée, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 69 (1950), 1-54.

Received August 10, 1971. Research supported by the National Science Foundation grant GP-12293

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

C. R. HOBBY

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. Dugundji

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E.F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. Yoshida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$48.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$24.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 44, No. 2

June, 1973

Tsuyoshi Andô, Closed range theorems for convex sets and linear liftings	393			
Richard David Bourgin, Conically bounded sets in Banach spaces				
Robert Jay Buck, Hausdorff dimensions for compact sets in \mathbb{R}^n				
Henry Cheng, A constructive Riemann mapping theorem	435			
David Fleming Dawson, Summability of subsequences and stretchings of sequences	455			
William Thomas Eaton, A two sided approximation theorem for 2-spheres				
Jay Paul Fillmore and John Herman Scheuneman, Fundamental groups of compact	461 487			
complete locally affine complex surfaces				
Avner Friedman, Bounded entire solutions of elliptic equations	497			
Ronald Francis Gariepy, Multiplicity and the area of an $(n-1)$ continuous mapping	509 515			
Andrew M. W. Glass, Archimedean extensions of directed interpolation groups				
Morisuke Hasumi, Extreme points and unicity of extremum problems in H ¹ on polydiscs	523			
Trevor Ongley Hawkes, On the Fitting length of a soluble linear group				
Garry Arthur Helzer, Semi-primary split rings	541			
Melvin Hochster, Expanded radical ideals and semiregular ideals				
Keizō Kikuchi, Starlike and convex mappings in several complex variables	569			
Charles Philip Lanski, On the relationship of a ring and the subring generated by its				
symmetric elements	581			
Jimmie Don Lawson, Intrinsic topologies in topological lattices and semilattices	593			
Roy Bruce Levow, Counterexamples to conjectures of Ryser and de Oliveira	603			
Arthur Larry Lieberman, Some representations of the automorphism group of an				
infinite continuous homogeneous measure algebra	607			
William George McArthur, G_{δ} -diagonals and metrization theorems	613			
James Murdoch McPherson, Wild arcs in three-space. II. An invariant of non-oriented local type	619			
H. Millington and Maurice Sion, <i>Inverse systems of group-value</i> d measures	637			
William James Rae Mitchell, Simple periodic rings	651			
C. Edward Moore, Concrete semispaces and lexicographic separation of convex sets	659			
Jingyal Pak, Actions of torus T^n on $(n + 1)$ -manifolds M^{n+1}	671			
	675			
Harold L. Peterson, Jr., Discontinuous characters and subgroups of finite index	683			
S. P. Philipp, Abel summability of conjugate integrals	693			
R. B. Quintana and Charles R. B. Wright, On groups of exponent four satisfying an	0)3			
	701			
<u> </u>	707			
Martin G. Ribe, Necessary convexity conditions for the Hahn-Banach theorem in				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	715			
	733			
Peter Drummond Taylor, Subgradients of a convex function obtained from a				
directional derivative	739			
James William Thomas, A bifurcation theorem for k-set contractions				
Clifford Edward Weil, A topological lemma and applications to real functions				
Stephen Andrew Williams, A nonlinear elliptic boundary value problem	767			
Pak-Ken Wong, *-actions in A*-algebras	775			