Pacific Journal of Mathematics

∗-ACTIONS IN A^*-ALGEBRAS

PAK-KEN WONG

Vol. 44, No. 2 June 1973

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 44, No. 2, 1973

*-ACTIONS IN A^*-ALGEBRAS

PAK-KEN WONG

Let U be the open unit disk in the complex plane and f a function defined on U. We show that if A is an infinite dimensional dual B^*-algebra, then f defines a *-action in A if and only if f is continuous at zero and $f(0) = 0$. We also obtain that if A is commutative, then f defines a continuous action in A if and only if f is continuous on U and $f(0) = 0$.

Actions in Banach algebras were introduced and studied recently by Gulick in [1]. Most of her main results were obtained for certain subalgebras of the algebra of all completely continuous operators on a Hilbert space. By using a different approach, we generalize some results in [1].

2. Preliminaries and notation. For any set S in an algebra A, let $L_A(S)$ and $R_A(S)$ denote the left and right annihilators of S in A. A Banach algebra A is called a dual algebra if, for every closed left ideal I and every closed right ideal J, we have $I = L_A(R_A(I))$ and $J = R_A(L_A(J))$. For each element $x \in A$, $Sp_A(x)$ will denote the spectrum of x in A.

Let B be a commutative Banach algebra and X_B its carrier space. For each $x \in B$, we let $x \to \hat{x}$ be the Gelfand map on B defined by $\hat{x}(\alpha) = \alpha(x)$ for all $\alpha \in X_B$.

All algebras under consideration are over the complex field C. Definitions not explicitly given are taken from Rickart's book [5].

3. Lemmas. In this section, we give two lemmas which are useful in § 4.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let A be an A^*-algebra. If there exists a maximal commutative *-subalgebra B of A which is finite dimensional, then A is finite dimensional.*

Proof. Since B is finite dimensional, B has an identity element e such that $e = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i$, where $\{e_i, i = 1, \cdots, n\}$ is the maximal orthogonal family of hermitian minimal idempotents in B. We claim that e is an identity element of A. In fact, for each $a \in A$, let $b = a(1 - e)$. It is straightforward to show that $b^*b \in B$ and $b^*b = 0$. Therefore $b = 0$ and so $a = ae$. Similarly we can show that $a = ea$. Hence e is an identity element of A. Clearly $A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} e_iAe_j$. To complete the proof, it suffices now to show that e_iAe_j is one dimensional. We may assume $e_iAe_j \neq (0)$. Then there exists an element $x \in A$ such that $e_ixe_j \neq 0$ and so

$$0 \neq (e_ixe_j)(e_ixe_j)^* = e_ixe_jx^*e_i = \lambda e_i,$$

where $\lambda \in C$. Now for each $y \in A$, we have

$$e_iye_j = \lambda^{-1}e_ixe_jx^*e_iye_j = \lambda^{-1}e_ix(\lambda' e_j) = \lambda^{-1}\lambda' e_ixe_j \ ,$$

where $\lambda' \in C$. Hence e_iAe_j is one dimensional and this completes the proof.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let A be an A^*-algebra. If the spectrum of every hermitian element of A is finite, then A is finite dimensional.*

Proof. Let B be a maximal commutative *-subalgebra of A. It follows easily from [5, p. 111, Theorem (3.1.6)] that every element of B has a finite spectrum and therefore B is finite dimensional (see [3, p. 376, Lemma 7]). Hence by Lemma 3.1, A is finite dimensional.

4. A^*-algebras and *-actions. In this section, the symbol U denotes the open unit disk in the complex. For a given Banach *-algebra A, we let A_1^* be the set $\{x \in A: xx^* = x^*x \text{ and } Sp_A(x) \subset U\}$. A function f on U is said to define a *-action in A if there exists a mapping $x \to f'(x)$ of A_1^* into A such that whenever B is a maximal commutative *-subalgebra of A and $x \in B \cap A_1^*$, then $f'(x) \in B$ and $\widehat{f'(x)} = f \circ \hat{x}$ on the carrier space X_B of B.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let A be an A^*-algebra. Then A is finite dimensional if and only if any function f on U defines a *-action in A.*

Proof. Suppose A is finite dimensional. Let $x \in A_1^*$ and let B be a maximal commutative *-subalgebra of A containing x. Then B is a finite dimensional dual B^*-algebra. Hence the carrier space X_B of B consists of a finite number of elements, say $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n$. Let e_i be the element of B corresponding to the characteristic function of the point $\alpha_i (i = 1, \cdots, n)$. Then for each $x \in B$, we have $x = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x)e_i$ (see [4, p. 21]). By [5, p. 111, Theorem (3.1.6.)],

$$Sp_B(x) = \{\alpha_i(x); i = 1, \cdots, n\} \ .$$

Let f be any function on U. Define

$$f'(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(\alpha_i(x))e_i \ .$$

Then it is easy to see that $f'(x) \in B$ and $\widehat{f'(x)} = f \circ \hat{x}$. Therefore f defines a *-action in A.

Conversely suppose that any function f on U defines a *-action in A. If A were not finite dimentional, then by Lemma 3.2 there would exist an element x in A_1^* such that $Sp_A(x)$ is infinite. Let B be a maximal commutative *-subalgebra of A containing x. Choose $\lambda_n \in Sp_A(x)$ such that $\lambda_n \neq 0$ $(n = 1,2, \cdots)$. Let f be any function on U such that $f(\lambda_n) = n$. Since f defines a *-action, there exists some $f'(x) \in B$ such that $\widehat{f'(x)} = f \circ \hat{x}$. But this means $n = f(\lambda_n) \in Sp_A(f'(x))$, contradicting the boundedness of $Sp_A(f'(x))$. Hence A is finite dimensional and the proof is complete.

THEOREM 4.2. *Let A be an infinite dimensional dual A^*-algebra which is a dense two-sided ideal of a B^*-algebra. If a function f on U defines a *-action in A, then f is continuous at* 0 *and* $f(0) = 0$.

Rroof. Let B be a maximal commutative *-subalgebra of A. By [4, p. 31, Theorem 19], B is a dual algebra and so its carrier space X_B is discrete. For each $\alpha \in X_B$, let e_α be the element of B corresponding to the characteristic function of α. Then $\{e_\alpha : \alpha \in X_B\}$ is a maximal orthogonal family of hermitian minimal idempotents in A. By Lemma 3.1, B is infinite dimensional and so X_B is infinite. Therefore we can choose a countable subset $\{\alpha_n\}$ of X_B such that the complement $\{\alpha_n\}'$ of $\{\alpha_n\}$ in X_B is infinite.

Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence in U such that $a_n \to 0$. We want to show $f(a_n) \to f(0) = 0$. By passing to a subsequence, we can assume that $|a_n| \leqq (n^2 \| e_{\alpha_n} \|)^{-1}$. Then $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n e_{\alpha_n}$ is defined in B. Clearly $x \in A_1^*$. Hence there exists some $f'(x) \in B$ such that $\widehat{f'(x)} = f \circ \hat{x}$ on X_B. By [4, p. 30, Theorem 16], we have

$$f'(x) = \sum_{\alpha} e_\alpha f'(x) e_\alpha = \sum_{\alpha} \alpha(f'(x)) e_\alpha \,. \tag{4.1}$$

Therefore $\alpha(f'(x)) \to 0$. Since $\alpha_n(x) = a_n$, we have $f(a_n) = \alpha_n(f'(x))$. Thus it follows that $f(a_n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. For each $\alpha \in \{\alpha_n\}'$, $\alpha(x) = 0$ and so $\alpha(f'(x)) = f(\alpha(x)) = f(0)$. Since $\{\alpha_n\}'$ is infinite, it follows easily from (4.1) that $\alpha(f'(x)) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \{\alpha_n\}'$. Hence $f(0) = 0$ and so f is continuous at 0. This completes the proof.

Theorem 4.2 is a generalization of [1, p. 668, Proposition 5.1], since $Cp(1 \leqq p < \infty)$ and their *-subalgebras are dual A^*-algebras which are dense two-sided ideals of their completions in the auxiliary norm (see [6]).

We remark that the converse of Theorem 4.2 does not hold as is shown by the following example.

EXAMPLE. Let A be an infinite dimensional proper H^*-algebra. Then A is a dual A^*-algebra which is a dense two-sided ideal of its completion in an auxiliary norm (see [4, p. 31]). Let B be a maximal commutative *-subalgebra of A and let $\{e_\alpha: \alpha \in X_B\}$ be the maximal orthogonal family of hermitian minimal idempotents given in the proof of Theorem 4.2. Let $\{e_{\alpha_n}: \alpha_n \in X_B\}$ be a countable subset of $\{e_\alpha:\alpha \in X_B\}$ and let $a_n = (n\| e_{\alpha_n} \|)^{-1}$. Then $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_{\alpha_n}$ is defined in B and $\| x \|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2}$. Define a function f on U by $f(z) = (\sqrt{n}\, \| e_{\alpha_n} \|)^{-1}$ if $z = a_n$ and $f(z) = 0$ otherwise. Then f is continuous at 0. If f defines a *-action in A, then there exists an element $f'(x) \in B$ such that $\widehat{f'(x)} = f \circ \hat{x}$. But

$$\| f'(x) \|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f(a_n)|^2 \| e_{\alpha_n} \|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} .$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore f does not define a *-action in A.

THEOREM 4.3. *Let A be an infinite dimensional dual B^*-algebra. Then a function f on U defines a *-action in A if and only if f is continuous at* 0 *and* $f(0) = 0$.

Proof. Suppose f is continuous at 0 and $f(0) = 0$. Let $x \in A_1^*$ and let B be a maximal commutative *-subalgebra of A containing x. By the proof of Theorem 4.2, $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n(x) e_{\alpha_n}$, where $\alpha_n \in X_B$ and e_{α_n} is the element of B corresponding to the characteristic function of α_n. Since $\alpha_n(x) \to 0$, $f(\alpha_n(x)) \to 0$. For any two positive integers m, $n (m \leqq n)$, it follows easily from the commutativity of B that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=m}^{n} f(\alpha_i(x)) e_{\alpha_i} \right\| = \max \{ |f(\alpha_i(x))| : i = m, \cdots, n \} .$$

Therefore $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(\alpha_n(x)) e_{\alpha_n}$ is defined in B. Now let $f'(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(\alpha_n(x)) e_{\alpha_n}$. Then $\widehat{f'(x)} = f \circ \hat{x}$. Hence f defines a *-action in A. The converse of the theorem follows from Theorem 4.2 and the proof is complete.

Since the algebra of all completely continuous operators on a Hilbert space is a dual B^*-algebra, Theorem 4.3 generalizes [1, p. 668, Theorem 5.2].

THEOREM 4.4. *Let A be an infinite dimensional commutative dual B^*-algebra and f a function on U. Then f defines a continuous action in A* (*see* [2, p. 109, *Definition* 5.1]) *if and only if f is a continuous function on U and* $f(0) = 0$.

Proof. Suppose f is continuous and $f(0) = 0$. Then by Theorem 4.3, f defines an action in A. Let x_n and $x \in A_1^*$ such that $x_n \to x$ in A. By the proof of Theorem 4.2, we have

$$x = \sum_{\alpha} \alpha(x_n) e_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad x = \sum_{\alpha} \alpha(x) e_\alpha ,$$

where $\{e_\alpha : \alpha \in X_A\}$ is the maximal orthogonal family of hermitian minimal idempotents in A. Since A is commutative, we have

$$\| x_n - x \| = \sup\{| \alpha(x_n) - \alpha(x) | : \alpha \in X_A\}$$

and

$$\| f(x_n) - f(x) \| = \sup\{| f(\alpha(x_n)) - f(\alpha(x)) | : \alpha \in X_A\} .$$

Therefore it is now easy to see that $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$ in A. Hence f defines a continuous action in A. The converse of the theorem follows from [2, p. 109, Proposition 5.2] and Theorem 4.3.

REMARK. If A is noncommutative, then Theorem 4.4 is not true as is shown in [2, p. 110, Example 5.3].

REFERENCES

1. F. F. Gulick, *Action of functions in Banach algebras*, Pacific J. Math., **34** (1970), 657-673.

2. ———, *Derivations and actions*, Pacific J. Math., **35** (1970), 95-116.

3. I. Kaplansky, *Ring isomorphisms of Banach algebras*, Canadian J. Math., **6** (1954), 374-381.

4. Ogasawara and K. Yoshinaga, *Weakly completely continuous Banach *-algebras*, J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. Ser. A, **18** (1954), 15-36.

5. C. E. Rickart, *General theory of Banach algebras*, The University Series in Higher Math., Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1960.

6. P. K. Wong, *Modular annihilator A*-algebras*, Pacific J. Math., **37** (1971), 825-834.

Received October 29, 1971.

MCMASTER UNIVERSITY, HAMILTON, CANADA
AND
STETON HALL UNIVERSITY, SOUTH ORANGE, N. J.

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: $48.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: $24.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 44, No. 2 June, 1973

Tsuyoshi Andô, *Closed range theorems for convex sets and linear liftings* 393
Richard David Bourgin, *Conically bounded sets in Banach spaces* 411
Robert Jay Buck, *Hausdorff dimensions for compact sets in* R^n 421
Henry Cheng, *A constructive Riemann mapping theorem* 435
David Fleming Dawson, *Summability of subsequences and stretchings of sequences* 455
William Thomas Eaton, *A two sided approximation theorem for* 2*-spheres* 461
Jay Paul Fillmore and John Herman Scheuneman, *Fundamental groups of compact complete locally affine complex surfaces* 487
Avner Friedman, *Bounded entire solutions of elliptic equations* 497
Ronald Francis Gariepy, *Multiplicity and the area of an* $(n-1)$ *continuous mapping* 509
Andrew M. W. Glass, *Archimedean extensions of directed interpolation groups* 515
Morisuke Hasumi, *Extreme points and unicity of extremum problems in* H^1 *on polydiscs* 523
Trevor Ongley Hawkes, *On the Fitting length of a soluble linear group* 537
Garry Arthur Helzer, *Semi-primary split rings* 541
Melvin Hochster, *Expanded radical ideals and semiregular ideals* 553
Keizō Kikuchi, *Starlike and convex mappings in several complex variables* 569
Charles Philip Lanski, *On the relationship of a ring and the subring generated by its symmetric elements* 581
Jimmie Don Lawson, *Intrinsic topologies in topological lattices and semilattices* 593
Roy Bruce Levow, *Counterexamples to conjectures of Ryser and de Oliveira* 603
Arthur Larry Lieberman, *Some representations of the automorphism group of an infinite continuous homogeneous measure algebra* 607
William George McArthur, G_δ*-diagonals and metrization theorems* 613
James Murdoch McPherson, *Wild arcs in three-space. II. An invariant of non-oriented local type* 619
H. Millington and Maurice Sion, *Inverse systems of group-valued measures* 637
William James Rae Mitchell, *Simple periodic rings* 651
C. Edward Moore, *Concrete semispaces and lexicographic separation of convex sets* 659
Jingyal Pak, *Actions of torus* T^n *on* $(n+1)$*-manifolds* M^{n+1} 671
Merrell Lee Patrick, *Extensions of inequalities of the Laguerre and Turán type* 675
Harold L. Peterson, Jr., *Discontinuous characters and subgroups of finite index* 683
S. P. Philipp, *Abel summability of conjugate integrals* 693
R. B. Quintana and Charles R. B. Wright, *On groups of exponent four satisfying an Engel condition* 701
Marlon C. Rayburn, *On Hausdorff compactifications* 707
Martin G. Ribe, *Necessary convexity conditions for the Hahn-Banach theorem in metrizable spaces* 715
Ryōtarō Satō, *On decomposition of transformations in infinite measure spaces* 733
Peter Drummond Taylor, *Subgradients of a convex function obtained from a directional derivative* 739
James William Thomas, *A bifurcation theorem for* k*-set contractions* 749
Clifford Edward Weil, *A topological lemma and applications to real functions* 757
Stephen Andrew Williams, *A nonlinear elliptic boundary value problem* 767
Pak-Ken Wong, $*$*-actions in* A^**-algebras* 775