

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**A BOUNDARY FOR THE ALGEBRAS OF BOUNDED
HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS**

DONG S. KIM

A BOUNDARY FOR THE ALGEBRAS OF BOUNDED HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

DONG S. KIM

Let (X, A) be a ringed space and let D be a domain in X . Let $B = B(D) = \{f \in A(D); \|f\|_D < \infty\}$. A minimal boundary for B is defined as a unique smallest subset of \bar{D} such that every function in B attains its supremum near the set. The followings are shown: If X is locally compact, D is relatively compact, and B separates the points of D then there exists a minimal boundary. Under the same assumptions, the natural projection of the Silov boundary $\partial_{\hat{B}}$ into X is the minimal boundary. If A is a maximum modulus algebra and the set of frontier points for A is the minimal boundary, then any holomorphic function which is bounded near the minimal boundary must be bounded. Finally, if D is the spectrum of B (with the compact open topology), then the topological boundary of D is the set of frontier points for B .

Introduction. Let (X, A) be a ringed space; a subsheaf of rings with identity of the sheaf of germs of continuous functions on a Hausdorff space X . Let $\Gamma(U, A)$ be the set of all sections of A over U , U is an open subset of X . Let $A(U) = \{f \in C(U): f(x) = \phi(x)(x) = {}_x f(x), x \in U\}$, where $\phi \in \Gamma(U, A)$ and ${}_x f$ is the germ of f at x . A function f in $A(U)$ is called A -holomorphic or holomorphic. Let $B(U) = \{f \in A(U): f \text{ is bounded on } U\}$. Then $B(U)$ is an algebra (over C) with identity.

Let D be an open subset of X and let \bar{D} be the closure of D in X . For $\mathcal{A} \subset \bar{D}$ let $N(\mathcal{A})$ be the filter base of open neighborhoods of \mathcal{A} in X and denote $N_0(\mathcal{A})$ be the trace of $N(\mathcal{A})$ on D .

DEFINITION. For $f \in A(D)$, define $\text{cl } t_f(\mathcal{A}) = \{\bigcap \text{cl } f(W): W \in N_0(\mathcal{A})\}$, where $\text{cl } f(W)$ is the closure of $f(W)$ in the Riemann sphere $C \cup \{\infty\}$, the cluster set of f at \mathcal{A} , and write $\text{cl } t_f(x)$ for $\text{cl } t_f(\{x\})$. Define $M_f(\mathcal{A}) = \sup \{|\text{cl } t_f(\mathcal{A})| \in [0, \infty]$, and write $M_f(x)$ for $M_f(\{x\})$.

Let $B = B(D)$. Denote B_s for B with the topology of supremum norm on D and B_c for B with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of D (c.o. topology). Then B_s is a Banach algebra. Let $S(B_s)$ be the space of nonzero complex homomorphisms of B_s onto C and $S(B_c)$ be the space of nonzero continuous complex homomorphisms of B_c onto C . Then $S(B_s) \supset S(B_c)$, for, if $h \in S(B_c)$ then there exists a compact subset K_h of D such that $|h(f)| \leq \|f\|_{K_h}$ for all $f \in B$, which implies $|h(f)| \leq \|f\|_D$ for all $f \in B$, so that $h \in S(B_s)$. Endow $S(B_s)$ with the weakest topology for which each \hat{f} is continuous,

where \hat{f} is the Gelfand representation of f on $S(B_s)$ such that $\hat{f}(h) = h(f)$ for all $h \in S(B_s)$. Then $S(B_s)$ is compact. Equip $S(B_c)$ with the relative topology of $S(B_s)$. For $x \in D$ define $h_x(f) = f(x)$ for all $f \in B$ then $h_x \in S(B_s)$, moreover $h_x \in S(B_c)$, since $|h_x(f)| = |f(x)| \leq \|f\|_K$ for all $f \in B$, where K is a compact subset containing $\{x\}$. Now if B separates the points of D then it separates strongly the points of D (in the sense of [8]), since B contains constant functions. If D is locally compact and B separates the points then the natural embedding ρ of D into $S(B_s)$ is a homeomorphism (See Cor. 3.2.5 of Rickart [8]). Henceforth, we denote ρ for this homeomorphism. Let π be a continuous mapping from $S(B_s)$ into X such that $\pi|_{\rho D}$ is the inverse mapping of ρ , so that $\pi|_{\rho D}$ is a homeomorphism of ρD onto D .

The prototype of these phenomena is the following: Let D be a relatively compact domain in C^n and $B = B(D)$. Set $S = S(B_s)$. With the coordinate function z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n in B , define $\pi: S \rightarrow C^n$ by $\pi(h) = (\hat{z}_1(h), \dots, \hat{z}_n(h))$, $h \in S$ ($\pi(S)$ is the joint spectrum of z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n). Then π is continuous and it is a homeomorphism on ρD . Moreover $\pi s(B) \subset D$ and $\pi S \supset \bar{D}$.

A minimal boundary.

PROPOSITION 1.

(i) $M_f(\Delta) = \lim_{N_0(\Delta)} \sup \{|f(W)| : W \in N_0(\Delta)\}$, where $\Delta \subset \bar{D}$. For $x \in D$, $M_f(x) = f(x)$. $\|f\| = \sup_{x \in D} |f(x)| = M_f(D) = M_f(\bar{D})$.

(ii) The function $M_f(\cdot) : \bar{D} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is upper semi-continuous.

(iii) For a closed subset $\Delta \subset \bar{D}$, there exists a point $p \in \Delta$ such that $M_f(\Delta) = M_f(p)$.

(iv) $M_{fg}(\Delta) \leq M_f(\Delta) \cdot M_g(\Delta)$, where $\Delta \subset \bar{D}$.

Proof. For (i), (ii), and (iii), see Quigley [5]. (iv) is trivial.

DEFINITION 2. Let $H \subset A(D)$. We call a subset Γ of \bar{D} an H -set if Γ is closed in \bar{D} and $\|f\| = M_f(D) = M_f(\Gamma)$ for all $f \in H$. An H -set is minimal if it properly contains no H -set. Denote Γ_H for a minimal H -set.

If $H = B = B(D)$, Γ_B is a minimal B -set.

PROPOSITION 2. If D is relatively compact then a minimal H -set exists for every $H \subset A(D)$.

Proof. See Quigley [5].

PROPOSITION 3. Let X be locally compact and B separate the points of D . Let π be a continuous mapping from $S(B_s)$ into X such that $\pi \circ \rho$ is the identity mapping on D . Let $\text{cl } \rho D$ be the closure of ρD in $S(B_s)$. Then $\pi(\text{cl } \rho D) = \bar{D}$ and $\pi(\text{cl } \rho D - \rho D) = \bar{D} - D$.

Proof. Since $\text{cl } \rho D$ is compact and $\pi(\text{cl } \rho D) \cong D$, $\pi(\text{cl } \rho D) \cong \bar{D}$. Let $h \in \text{cl } \rho D$ then for any net $\{h_n\} \subset \rho D$ which converges to h , $\{\pi(h_n)\}$ converges to $\pi(h)$, since π is continuous. Since $\{\pi(h_n)\} \subset D$, $\pi(h) \in \bar{D}$. So $\pi(\text{cl } \rho D) \subseteq \bar{D}$. Hence $\pi(\text{cl } \rho D) = \bar{D}$.

Let $h \in \text{cl } \rho D - \rho D$ and assume that $\pi(h) \in D$. Take any $f \in B$. Since f is continuous, we may choose, for arbitrary $\varepsilon' > 0$, a neighborhood U of $\pi(h)$; $U = \{x \in D: |f_i(x) - f_i(\pi(h))| < \varepsilon, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$, such that $y \in U$ implies $|f(y) - f(\pi(h))| < \varepsilon'$. Again, since \hat{f} is continuous on $S(B_s)$ and $h \in \text{cl } \rho D$, there is $y_0 \in D$ with $\rho(y_0) \in N = \{\varphi \in S(B_s): |\hat{f}_i(\varphi) - \hat{f}_i(h)| < \varepsilon, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $|\hat{f}(h) - \hat{f}(\rho(y_0))| < \varepsilon'$. Note that $y_0 \in U = \pi^{-1}(\rho D(N))$, so $|f(y_0) - f(\pi(h))| < \varepsilon'$. Also $f(y_0) = \hat{f}(\rho(y_0))$ and $f(\pi(h)) = \hat{f}(\rho(\pi(h)))$, so it follows that $|\hat{f}(h) - \hat{f}(\rho(\pi(h)))| < 2\varepsilon'$. Since ε' is arbitrary, we have $\hat{f}(h) = \hat{f}(\rho(\pi(h)))$ for every $f \in B$. Hence $h = \rho(\pi(h)) \in \rho D$, which is absurd. Hence $\pi(\text{cl } \rho D - \rho D) = \bar{D} - D$.

THEOREM 1. *Let X be locally compact and D be relatively compact in X . If $B(D)$ separates the points of D , then the minimal B -set Γ_B is unique.*

Proof. Let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be minimal B -sets, and let $p \in \Gamma_1$ be an arbitrary point of Γ_1 . We will show that every neighborhood of p intersects Γ_2 so that $p \in \Gamma_2$. So $\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma_2$. The same argument shows that $\Gamma_2 \subset \Gamma_1$.

Let $p \in \Gamma_1$. Let W be any neighborhood of p in \bar{D} and let $\varphi \in \text{cl } \rho D$ such that $\pi(\varphi) = p$. Take a neighborhood N of φ in $S(B_s) = S$ such that $N \subset \pi^{-1}(W)$; $N = \{h \in S: |\hat{f}_i(h) - \hat{f}_i(\varphi)| < \varepsilon, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Put $U = \{x \in D: |f_i(x) - a_i| < \varepsilon, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$, where $a_i = \hat{f}_i(\varphi)$. Then $U = \pi(N) \cap D \subset \pi(N)$. Let $V = \{x \in \bar{D}: M_{f_i - a_i}(x) < \varepsilon/2, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Since $M_{f_i - a_i}(x) = |f_i(x) - a_i|$ for $x \in D$, $V \cap D = U$. And, since $M_{f_i - a_i}$ is upper semicontinuous, V is open in \bar{D} and it is easy to see that $M_{f_i - a_i}(p) = 0$, so V is an open neighborhood of p . Note that $M_{f_i}(p) = |a_i|$. Now, since $M_{f_i - a_i}(x) < \varepsilon/2$ in V , we may choose a neighborhood G of p in \bar{D} such that $|(f_i - a_i)(x)| < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in G \cap D$ and $G \subset \pi N$. Then $V \subset G \subseteq \pi N \subset W$.

Since $\Gamma_1 - V$ is closed in \bar{D} and it is a proper subset of Γ_1 , it is not a B -set. Hence there exists $g \in B(D)$ such that $M_g(\Gamma_1 - V) < M_g(\Gamma_1) = \|g\|$. So $M_g(\Gamma_1 - V) \|g\|^{-1} < 1$. Choose m large enough such that $\{M_g(\Gamma_1 - V) \|g\|^{-1}\}^m < \varepsilon(1 + \sum_1^n \|f_i - a_i\|)^{-1} = \delta$, and set $f = g^m$. Then $M_f(\Gamma_1 - V) = M_{g^m}(\Gamma_1 - V) \leq \{M_g(\Gamma_1 - V)\}^m < \delta \|g\|^m = \delta \|f\|$. If $x \in V$ then $M_{f_i - a_i}(x) < \varepsilon/2$ so that

$$M_{f_i - 2} M_f(x) = M_{f_i - a_i}(x) M_f(x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} M_f(\bar{D}) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|f\|.$$

If $x \in \Gamma_1 - V$ then $M_f(x) \leq M_f(\Gamma_1 - V) < \delta \|f\|$, so that again

$$M_{f_i-a_i}M_f(x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|f\| .$$

Since Γ_1 is a B -set it follows that $M_{f_i-a_i}M_f(\bar{D}) < (\varepsilon/2)M_f(\bar{D}) = (\varepsilon/2)\|f\|$. Let q be any point of Γ_2 with $M_f(q) = M_f(\bar{D}) = M_f(D) = \|f\|$. Then $M_{f_i-a_i}(q)M_f(q) < (\varepsilon/2)\|f\|$. Hence $M_{f_i-a_i}(q) < \varepsilon/2$ and this is true for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Thus $q \in V$, so $V \cap \Gamma_2 \neq \emptyset$. Hence $W \cap \Gamma_2 \neq \emptyset$. Since Γ_2 is closed, $p \in \Gamma_2$. The proof is complete.

We call the unique minimal B -set the minimal boundary for B .

Note. Let Γ_B be a minimal boundary for B then $x \in \Gamma_B$ if and only if for every neighborhood U of x there exists $f \in B$ such that $\|f\| = M_f(U) > M_f(\bar{D} - U)$.

THEOREM 2. *Let X be locally compact and D be relatively compact in X . We assume that B separates the points of D . Then $\pi\partial\hat{B}$ is a minimal boundary.*

Proof. Since $M_f(\bar{D}) = \|f\|_D = \|\hat{f}\|_{\rho D} = \|\hat{f}\|_S$ for all $f \in B$, we have $\partial\hat{B} \subset \text{cl } \rho D$. Let $x \in \pi\partial\hat{B}$ then there exists $h \in \partial\hat{B}$ such that $x = \pi h$. Now, $h \in \partial\hat{B}$ implies that for arbitrary neighborhood N of h in $S = S(B_s)$ there exists $\hat{f} \in \hat{B}$ such that $\|\hat{f}\|_S = \|\hat{f}\|_N > \|\hat{f}\|_{S-N}$. Since $S - N \supset \rho D - N \cap \rho D$, we have $\|\hat{f}\|_{S-N} \geq \|\hat{f}\|_{\rho D - N \cap \rho D}$. So $\|\hat{f}\|_{\rho D} = \|\hat{f}\|_S > \|\hat{f}\|_{S-N} \geq \|\hat{f}\|_{\rho D - N \cap \rho D}$. Hence it follows that $\|\hat{f}\|_{\rho D} = \|\hat{f}\|_{N \cap \rho D} > \|\hat{f}\|_{\rho D - N \cap \rho D}$. This is equivalent to $\|f\|_D = \|f\|_{\pi(N \cap \rho D)} > \|f\|_{D - \pi(N \cap \rho D)}$. Since $\pi(N \cap \rho D)$ is a trace of a neighborhood of $x = \pi h$ on D and a trace of any neighborhood of x on D can be written as such a form, $x = \pi h$ belongs to a minimal boundary Γ_B . So $\pi\partial\hat{B} \subset \Gamma_B$. On the other hand, if W is any open set containing $\pi\partial\hat{B}$, then by the continuity of π , there exists an open set G in S containing $\partial\hat{B}$ such that $\pi(G) \subseteq W$ and hence $\pi(G \cap \rho D) \subseteq W \cap D$. For any $f \in B$, we have

$$\|f\|_{W \cap D} \geq \|\hat{f}\|_{G \cap \rho D} = \|\hat{f}\|_{G \cap \rho D} = \|\hat{f}\|_{\partial\hat{B}} = \|f\|_D .$$

It follows that $M_f(\pi\partial\hat{B}) = \|f\|_D$ for all $f \in B$. Since $\pi\partial\hat{B}$ is closed, it is a B -set. Thus $\pi\partial\hat{B}$ is a minimal boundary.

For instance: Let D be the unit open disc in C and let $B(D) = H^\infty$. Define a natural continuous mapping π of S into the closed unit disc \bar{D} by $\pi(h) = h(z)$, $h \in S$ and z is the coordinate function. Then the minimal boundary Γ_B is the unit circle and the Šilov boundary $\partial\hat{B}$ on S is a proper closed subset of $\text{cl } \rho D - \rho D$ which is totally disconnected. The image of $\partial\hat{B}$ under π is the unit circle.

Next, we have a question that whether a function f with $M_f(\Gamma_B) < \infty$ is bounded.

PROPOSITION 4. *Suppose $A = A(D)$ and $B = B(D)$ have the unique minimal boundaries Γ_A and Γ_B respectively. If $\Gamma_A \neq \Gamma_B$ then there exists a function $f \in A$ which is bounded near Γ_B (i.e., $M_f(\Gamma_B) < \infty$), but not in B .*

Proof. In general, $\Gamma_A \supset \Gamma_B$. Take $x \in \Gamma_A - \Gamma_B$ and choose a neighborhood U of x in \bar{D} such that $M_f(U) = \|f\| > M_f(\bar{D} - U)$ and $U \cap \Gamma_B = \phi$. Then $M_f(\Gamma_B) < \infty$ but $f \notin B$.

DEFINITION. A point $x \in \bar{D}$ is a frontier point of D for $H \subset A(D)$ if for each compact subset K of D with $x \notin K$ there exists $f \in H$ such that $M_f(x) > \|f\|_K$. Let F_H be the set of all frontier points of D for H . Denote F_A for $A(D)$ and F_B for $B(D)$ respectively.

We introduce a generalized form of a theorem in Bochner and Martin [2] (see Theorem 1, Ch. V) as follows:

PROPOSITION 5. *Let X be locally compact, D be a subset of X which is countable at ∞ , and let $\bar{D} - D$ be first countable. Let $A = A(D)$ be a maximum modulus algebra and c.o. complete. Then $x \in F_A$ if and only if there is a function $f \in A$ such that $M_f(x) = \infty$. In fact, there is a single function f such that $M_f(x) = \infty$ for all $x \in F_A$.*

Proof. Use the analogous argument as in Bochner and Martin [2].

THEOREM 3. *Let X be locally compact, D be countable at ∞ , and $\bar{D} - D$ be first countable. Let A be a maximum modulus algebra and c.o. complete. Suppose Γ_B is a minimal boundary and $F_A = \Gamma_B$ then every function $f \in A$ with $M_f(\Gamma_B) < \infty$ belongs to B .*

Proof. Assume that f is unbounded then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset D$ such that $|f(x_n)| \rightarrow \infty$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $x_n \rightarrow x$ then by Proposition 5, $x \in F_A$ and so $x \in \Gamma_B$. Thus $\infty = M_f(x) \leq M_f(\Gamma_B) < \infty$, which is absurd. Hence $f \in B$.

We observe that $h \in S(B_e) - S(B_o)$ if and only if for any compact subset K of D there exists $f \in B$ (f may depend on K) such that $|h(f)| > \|f\|_K$.

THEOREM 4. *Let X be locally compact and B separate the points of D . Let F_B be the set of all frontier points for B . If $\rho D = S(B_o)$ then $\bar{D} - D = F_B$.*

Proof. Let $\text{bdry } S(B_o) = \text{cl } S(B_o) - S(B_o)$. By Proposition 3, $\pi(\text{bdry } S(B_o)) = \text{bdry } D$. Now if $h \in \text{bdry } S(B_o)$, then for any com-

pact subset K of D , there exists $f \in B$ such that $|h(f)| > \|f\|_K$. We claim $M_f(\pi(h)) > \|f\|_K$: Suppose $M_f(\pi(h)) = \|f\|_K = r$, then there exists a net $\{x_n\} \subset D$ such that $||f(x_n)| - r| < 1/n$ as $x_n \rightarrow \pi(h)$. So $|f(x_n)| \rightarrow r$. Now, let $h_{x_n} \rightarrow h$. Since \hat{f} is continuous, $\hat{f}(h_{x_n}) \rightarrow \hat{f}(h)$. So $f(x_n) \rightarrow h(f)$. In particular, $|f(x_n)| \rightarrow |h(f)|$. Then it follows that $|h(f)| = r = \|f\|_K$. This is absurd. Hence $M_f(\pi(h)) > \|f\|_K$. So $\text{bdry } D = F_B$.

Note. If D is a Stein manifold of bounded type then $\rho D = S(B_c)$ (see Kim [3]).

REFERENCES

1. F. Birtel, *Uniform Algebras with Unbounded Functions*, Rice studies, (1968), 1-13.
2. S. Bochner and W. Martin, *Several Complex Variables*, Princeton (1948).
3. Kim, *Boundedly holomorphic convex domains*, (to appear) Pacific J. Math., **45** (1973).
4. K. Hoffman, *Bounded analytic functions and Gleason parts*, Annals of Math., **86** (1967), 74-111.
5. F. Quigley, *Generalized Phragmén-Lindelöf Theorems*, Function algebras, the proceedings of the international conference on function algebras at Tulane, edited by F. Birtel (1966), 36-41.
6. ———, *Lectures on Several Complex Variables*, Tulane Univ., 1963-1966.
7. C. Rickart, *Boundary properties of sets relative to function algebras*, Studia Math., **31** (1968), 253-261.
8. ———, *General Theory of Banach Algebras*, Princeton (1960).

Received November 10, 1971.

UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

C. R. HOBBY
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 45, No. 1

September, 1973

William George Bade, <i>Complementation problems for the Baire classes</i>	1
Ian Douglas Brown, <i>Representation of finitely generated nilpotent groups</i>	13
Hans-Heinrich Brungs, <i>Left Euclidean rings</i>	27
Victor P. Camillo and John Cozzens, <i>A theorem on Noetherian hereditary rings</i>	35
James Cecil Cantrell, <i>Codimension one embeddings of manifolds with locally flat triangulations</i>	43
L. Carlitz, <i>Enumeration of up-down permutations by number of rises</i>	49
Thomas Ashland Chapman, <i>Surgery and handle straightening in Hilbert cube manifolds</i>	59
Roger Cook, <i>On the fractional parts of a set of points. II</i>	81
Samuel Harry Cox, Jr., <i>Commutative endomorphism rings</i>	87
Michael A. Engber, <i>A criterion for divisoriality</i>	93
Carl Clifton Faith, <i>When are proper cyclics injective</i>	97
David Finkel, <i>Local control and factorization of the focal subgroup</i>	113
Theodore William Gamelin and John Brady Garnett, <i>Bounded approximation by rational functions</i>	129
Kazimierz Goebel, <i>On the minimal displacement of points under Lipschitzian mappings</i>	151
Frederick Paul Greenleaf and Martin Allen Moskowitz, <i>Cyclic vectors for representations associated with positive definite measures: nonseparable groups</i>	165
Thomas Guy Hallam and Nelson Onuchic, <i>Asymptotic relations between perturbed linear systems of ordinary differential equations</i>	187
David Kent Harrison and Hoyt D. Warner, <i>Infinite primes of fields and completions</i>	201
James Michael Hornell, <i>Divisorial complete intersections</i>	217
Jan W. Jaworowski, <i>Equivariant extensions of maps</i>	229
John Jobe, <i>Dendrites, dimension, and the inverse arc function</i>	245
Gerald William Johnson and David Lee Skoug, <i>Feynman integrals of non-factorable finite-dimensional functionals</i>	257
Dong S. Kim, <i>A boundary for the algebras of bounded holomorphic functions</i>	269
Abel Klein, <i>Renormalized products of the generalized free field and its derivatives</i>	275
Joseph Michael Lambert, <i>Simultaneous approximation and interpolation in L_1 and $C(T)$</i>	293
Kelly Denis McKennon, <i>Multipliers of type (p, p) and multipliers of the group L_p-algebras</i>	297
William Charles Nemitz and Thomas Paul Whaley, <i>Varieties of implicative semi-lattices. II</i>	303
Donald Steven Passman, <i>Some isolated subsets of infinite solvable groups</i>	313
Norma Mary Piacun and Li Pi Su, <i>Wallman compactifications on E-completely regular spaces</i>	321
Jack Ray Porter and Charles I. Votaw, <i>$S(\alpha)$ spaces and regular Hausdorff extensions</i>	327
Gary Sampson, <i>Two-sided L_p estimates of convolution transforms</i>	347
Ralph Edwin Showalter, <i>Equations with operators forming a right angle</i>	357
Raymond Earl Smithson, <i>Fixed points in partially ordered sets</i>	363
Victor Snaith and John James Ucci, <i>Three remarks on symmetric products and symmetric maps</i>	369
Thomas Rolf Turner, <i>Double commutants of weighted shifts</i>	379
George Kenneth Williams, <i>Mappings and decompositions</i>	387