# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**GENERALIZED SYLOW TOWER GROUPS. II** 

JAMES B. DERR AND N. P. MUKHERJEE

Vol. 45, No. 2

October 1973

# GENERALIZED SYLOW TOWER GROUPS, II

# J. B. DERR AND N. P. MUKHERJEE

A well-known result of P. Hall shows that finite solvable groups may be characterized by a permutability requirement on Sylow subgroups. The notion of a generalized Sylow tower group (GSTG) arises when this permutability condition on Sylow subgroups is replaced by a suitable normalizer condition. In an earlier papar, one of the authors showed that the nilpotent length of a GSTG cannot exceed the number of distinct primes which divide the order of the group. The present investigation utilizes the 'type' of a GSTG to obtain improved bounds for the nilpotent length of a GSTG. It is also shown that a GSTG with nilpotent length n possesses a Hall subgroup of nilpotent length n which is a Sylow tower group.

Let G be a finite group with order  $p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}$ , where  $p_1, \cdots, p_r$ are distinct primes and  $a_1, \dots, a_r$  are positive integers. For each integer i,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , let  $G_i$  denote a Sylow  $p_i$ -subgroup of G. The collection of subgroups  $\mathscr{S} = \{G_1, \dots, G_r\}$  is then called a complete set of Sylow subgroups of G. If the elements of  $\mathcal{S}$  are pairwise permutable as subgroups (that is, if  $G_iG_j = G_jG_i$  holds for all i and j) then  $\mathcal{S}$  will be called a Sylow basis for G. The notion of a generalized Sylow tower group arises when the permutability condition for a Sylow basis is replaced by a normalizer condition. Thus. we say that a finite group G is a generalized Sylow tower group (GSTG) if and only if some complete set of Sylow subgroups  $\mathcal{S}$  of G satisfies the normalizer condition (N): if  $G_i$  and  $G_j$  are distinct elements of S, at least one of these subgroups normalizes the other. It should be noted that not every complete set of Sylow subgroups of a GSTG need satisfy condition (N).

A well-known result of P. Hall states that a finite group is solvable if and only if the group possesses a Sylow basis. If a complete set of Sylow subgroups  $\mathscr{S}$  of a group G satisfies condition (N) then any two elements of  $\mathscr{S}$  are permutable as subgroups and  $\mathscr{S}$  is a Sylow basis for G. Consequently every generalized Sylow tower group must be solvable.

A finite group G is called a Sylow tower group (STG) if every nontrivial epimorphic image of G possesses a nontrivial normal Sylow subgroup. Equivalently, the group G is a STG if the prime divisors  $p_1, \dots, p_r$  of the order of G can be labelled in such a way that a Sylow  $p_i$ -subgroup of G normalizes a Sylow  $p_j$ -subgroup of G whenever i > j. It is clear from this definition that a Sylow tower group is necessarily a generalized Sylow tower group. An example of a *GSTG* which is not a Sylow tower group was given in ([1]; p. 638).

In order to handle generalized Sylow tower groups it will be necessary to introduce the 'type' of a GSTG. Suppose G is some given GSTG and let  $\mathscr{T}$  be a Sylow basis for G. Since any two Sylow bases for G are conjugate ([3]; p. 665),  $\mathscr{T}$  satisfies the normalizer condition (N). Let R be a relation on the set of all primes with the property that either pRq or qRp (or both) holds for any primes p and q. If the Sylow  $p_i$ -subgroup of G in  $\mathscr{T}$  normalizes the Sylow  $p_j$ -subgroup of G in  $\mathscr{T}$  whenever  $p_iRp_j$  holds, then G will be called a GSTG of type R. It follows directly from the conjugacy of Sylow bases that the type of a GSTG is independent of the choice of a Sylow basis. It should be noted that a group can be a GSTGof more than one type.

It was shown in [1] that the class of all generalized Sylow tower groups of a given type R is a formation. In addition, any subgroup of a GSTG of type R was shown to be a GSTG of type R. We list the main results about GSTG's in [1] for easy reference.

THEOREM 1.7 [1]. If G is a GSTG then the nilpotent length of G does not exceed the number of distinct prime divisors of the order of G.

THEOREM 1.8 [1]. If G is a GSTG and the nilpotent length of G is equal to the number of distinct prime divisors of the order of G then G is a Sylow tower group.

All groups mentioned are assumed to be finite. The following notations will be used. For a group G

c(G) denotes the set of distinct prime divisors of the order of G $\pi(G)$  denotes the number of distinct prime divisors of the order of G $\swarrow(G)$  denotes the nilpotent (Fitting) length of G.

If H is a subgroup of G then  $N_G(H)$  means the normalizer of H in G and  $C_G(H)$  means the centralizer of H in G.

If  $p_i$  is a prime,  $G_i$  will denote a Sylow  $p_i$ -subgroup of G.

The following lemma will be used in several of our arguments.

LEMMA 1. If G is a nontrivial GSTG then at least one of the following holds:

(1) G contains a nontrivial normal Sylow subgroup P with  $C_{G}(P) \subseteq P$ 

(2) G contains nontrivial normal subgroups having relatively prime orders.

**Proof.** Let G be a GSTG and suppose that  $\mathscr{S} = \{G_1, \dots, G_n\}$  is a Sylow basis for G. Since a GSTG is necessarily solvable, G possesses a nontrivial minimal normal subgroup M with order a power of some prime q. Let Q denote the maximum normal q-subgroup of G and suppose that  $G_1$  is the Sylow q-subgroup of G belonging to  $\mathscr{S}$ . Since  $\mathscr{S}$  satisfies the normalizer condition (N), either

$$G_1 \subseteq N_G(G_k)$$
 or  $G_k \subseteq N_G(G_1)$ 

must hold for each integer  $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$ . We distinguish two cases.

First suppose that  $G_1 \subseteq N_G(G_k)$  holds for some  $k, 1 < k \leq n$ . Since Q is normal in G and has order prime to the order of  $G_k, G_k$ centralizes Q. Hence  $C = C_G(Q)$  is not a q-group. Set  $Q_0 = Q \cap C_G(Q)$ and consider the factor group  $C/Q_0$ . Since  $C/Q_0$  is a nontrivial solvable group,  $C/Q_0$  contains a nontrivial minimal normal subgroup  $L/Q_0$ with order a power of some prime p. Let  $T/Q_0$  be the maximum normal p-subgroup of  $C/Q_0$ . Since Q is the maximum normal q-subgroup of G it follows that  $p \neq q$ . If N is a Sylow p-subgroup of Tthen T is the direct product of N and  $Q_0$ . The normality of T in G then implies the normality of N in G. Therefore G has nontrivial normal subgroups with relatively prime orders.

Now suppose that  $G_1 \not\subseteq N_G(G_k)$  holds for all integers  $k, 1 < k \leq n$ . Since  $\mathscr{S}$  satisfies the normalizer condition  $(N), G_k \subseteq G_G(G_1)$  must then hold for all integers k. Thus  $G_1$  is a normal Sylow q-subgroup of G. Then  $G_1 \cap C_G(G_1)$  is a normal Sylow q-subgroup of  $C_G(G_1)$  and  $C_G(G_1)$  has a normal q-complement W. If W is nontrivial then W is a normal subgroup of G having q'-order and (2) holds. If W is trivial then  $G_1 \cap C_G(G_1) = C_G(G_1)$ . In this case  $C_G(G_1) \subseteq G_1$  and (1) holds.

THEOREM S. Let G be a GSTG. If  $H_1, \dots, H_n$  are pairwise permutable Hall subgroups of G with  $G = H_1 \dots H_n$ , then the nilpotent length of G does not exceed the sum of the nilpotent lengths of  $H_1, \dots, H_n$ .

*Proof.* (By induction on the order of G.) Since the product  $H_2 \cdots H_n$  is a Hall subgroup of G permutable with  $H_1$  we may assume that n = 2. First suppose that G possesses nontrivial normal subgroups A and B with  $A \cap B = 1$ . Then G is isomorphic to a subgroup of the direct of G/A and G/B. Hence

$$\mathscr{C}(G) = \max \{\mathscr{C}(G/A), \mathscr{C}(G/B)\}$$

and it suffices to show that

$$\mathscr{C}(G/A) \leqslant \mathscr{C}(H_1) + \mathscr{C}(H_2) \quad ext{ and } \quad \mathscr{C}(G/B) \leqslant \mathscr{C}(H_1) + \mathscr{C}(H_2) \;.$$

Since G/A is the product of the permutable Hall subgroups  $H_1A/A$ and  $H_2A/A$  of G/A, the induction hypothesis gives

$$\mathscr{L}(G/A)\leqslant \mathscr{L}(H_1A/A)+\mathscr{L}(H_2A/A)$$
 .

Since  $H_1A/A$  and  $H_2A/A$  are epimorphic images of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  (respectively), we know that  $\swarrow(H_iA/A) \leq \checkmark(H_i)$  for i = 1, 2. Therefore  $\swarrow(G/A) \leq \checkmark(H_1) + \checkmark(H_2)$ . The same argument applied to G/B will show  $\checkmark(G/B) \leq \checkmark(H_1) + \checkmark(H_2)$ . This verifies the theorem in this case.

Now suppose that G possesses a unique minimal normal subgroup. Then Lemma 1 shows that G contains a nontrivial normal Sylow subgroup P with  $C_G(P) \subseteq P$ . Since the theorem is trivially true if G = P we may assume this is not the case. Set

$$ar{G}\,=\,G/P,\,ar{H_{_1}}\,=\,H_{_1}P/P,\,ar{H_{_2}}\,=\,H_{_2}P/P$$

and consider the nontrivial  $GSTG \ \overline{G}$ . The induction hypothesis applied to  $\overline{G} = \overline{H}_1 \overline{H}_2$  gives  $\mathscr{L}(\overline{G}) \leqslant \mathscr{L}(\overline{H}_1) + \mathscr{L}(\overline{H}_2)$ . We first observe that  $\mathscr{L}(G) = \mathscr{L}(\overline{G}) + 1$ . Since P is a nilpotent normal subgroup of G, P must lie in the Fitting subgroup F of G. If  $P \neq F$  then Fcontains a nonidentity element of order prime to the order of P which belongs to the centralizer in G of P. This contradicts  $C_G(P) \subseteq P$ . Therefore P = F and  $\mathscr{L}(G) = \mathscr{L}(\overline{G}) + 1$ .

Since  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are Hall subgroups of G satisfying  $G = H_1H_2$ , the Sylow subgroup P must lie in  $H_1$  or  $H_2$ . We may suppose that  $H_1$  contains P. If  $P = H_1$  then  $\overline{G} = \overline{H}_2$  and so  $\ell(\overline{G}) = \ell(\overline{H}_2) \leq \ell(H_2)$ . Then  $\ell(G) = \ell(\overline{G}) + 1 \leq \ell(H_2) + \ell(H_1)$ , which is what we wanted to show. If  $P \neq H_1$  then the argument used in the preceding paragraph can be repeated to show  $\ell(H_1) = \ell(\overline{H}_1) + 1$ . It follows from this that

$$\mathscr{C}(G) = \mathscr{C}(ar{G}) + 1 \leqslant \mathscr{C}(ar{H}_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}) + 1 + \mathscr{C}(ar{H}_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}) \leqslant \mathscr{C}(H_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}) + \mathscr{C}(H_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}) \; .$$

This completes the argument.

It seems interesting to ask if this theorem has a converse, in the following sense. Does a GSTG G necessarily possess pairwise permutable proper Hall subgroups  $H_1, \dots, H_n$  satisfying  $G = H_1 \dots H_n$ so that  $\mathscr{C}(G) = \mathscr{C}(H_1) + \dots + \mathscr{C}(H_n)$  holds? The answer is obviously no, since any nilpotent group is a GSTG. If we insist that the group G not be nilpotent, the answer to the question is still no. This can easily be verified using the example of an N-group which is not a Sylow tower group (see [1]; p. 638). We now mention some consequences of the theorem. Let G be a GSTG and suppose that  $\mathscr{G} = \{G_1, \dots, G_n\}$  is a Sylow basis for G. Since  $G_1, \dots, G_n$  are pairwise permutable Sylow subgroups of G satisfying  $G = G_1 \dots G_n$ , Theorem S gives

$$\mathscr{C}(G) \leqslant \mathscr{C}(G_1) + \cdots + \mathscr{C}(G_n) = \pi(G)$$
.

Consequently Theorem 1.7 [1] follows from Theorem S.

Now we show how the type of a GSTG can be used to improve the bound on the nilpotent length of a GSTG given by Theorem 1.7 [1]. It will be helpful to first introduce some terminology. Let Rbe a relation on the set of all primes and let  $\sigma$  denote some given set of primes. Then  $\sigma$  will be called a complete R-symmetric set provided both pRq and qRp hold for all primes p and q belonging to  $\sigma$ . If  $\sigma$  contains a single prime then  $\sigma$  is (trivially) a complete Rsymmetric set. It is clear from this that any set of primes can be written as a union of complete R-symmetric subsets. The set  $\sigma$  will be called an R-cyclic set if  $\sigma$  contains distinct primes p, q, and wsuch that pRq, qRw, and wRp hold.

COROLLARY 1. Let G be a GSTG of type R. If  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$  are complete R-symmetric subsets of c(G) such that the union of the  $\sigma_i$  is c(G) then  $\checkmark(G) \leq d$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mathscr{T} = \{G_1, \dots, G_n\}$  be a Sylow basis for G. For each  $i, 1 \leq i \leq d$ , define the subgroup  $H_i$  of G to be the product of all Sylow  $p_k$ -subgroups  $G_k$  for which  $p_k \in \sigma_i$ . Since pRq and qRp hold for all distinct primes p and q from  $\sigma_i$ , each  $H_i$  is seen to be a nilpotent Hall  $\sigma_i$ -subgroup of G. Since the union of the  $\sigma_i$ 's is c(G), clearly  $G = H_1 \cdots H_d$ . The theorem then shows that

$$\mathscr{C}(G)\leqslant \mathscr{C}(H_{\scriptscriptstyle 1})+\cdots+\mathscr{C}(H_{\scriptscriptstyle d})=d$$
 .

COROLLARY 2. Let G be a GSTG of type R. If  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$  are disjoint R-cyclic subsets of c(G) then  $\prime(G) \leq \pi(G) - d$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathscr{S} = \{G_1, \dots, G_n\}$  be a Sylow basis for G. For each integer  $i, 1 \leq i \leq d$ , define the subgroup  $H_i$  of G to be the product of all Sylow  $p_k$ -subgroups  $G_k$  for which  $p_k \in \sigma_i$ . It is clear from the definition that the  $H_i$  are pairwise permutable Hall  $\sigma_i$ -subgroups of G. Since the product  $H = H_1 \cdots H_d$  has a Hall complement in G, it suffices to show that  $\mathscr{L}(H) \leq \pi(H) - d$ . Since the  $\sigma_i$ 's are disjoint sets, this will follow if  $\mathscr{L}(H_i) \leq \pi(H_i) - 1$  holds for each  $i, 1 \leq i \leq d$ . Let p, q, and w be distinct primes in  $\sigma_i$  satisfying pRq, qRp and wRp. Consider a Hall  $\{p, q, w\}$ -subgroup T of  $H_i$ . If T has a normal Sylow p-subgroup then pRq shows that T has a nilpotent Hall

 $\{p, q\}$ -subgroup. Then Corollary 1 shows  $\checkmark(T) \leq \pi(T) - 1$ . It now follows from Theorem S that  $\checkmark(H_i) \leq \pi(H_i) - 1$ . Consequently we may assume T has no nontrivial normal Sylow subgroup. By Lemma 1, T then has nontrivial normal subgroups A and B with  $A \cap B = 1$ . Since T/A and T/B are GSTG's of type R, induction shows that  $\checkmark(T/A) \leq 2$  and  $\checkmark(T/B) \leq 2$ . Using the fact that T is isomorphic to a subgroup of the direct product of T/A and T/B we obtain

$$\mathscr{L}(T)\leqslant 2=\pi(T)-1$$
 .

Theorem S applied to  $H_i$  now gives  $\mathscr{L}(H_i) \leq \pi(H_i) - 1$ . Therefore we have shown that  $\mathscr{L}(H_i) \leq \pi(H_i) - 1$  holds for arbitrary *i* and the assertion follows.

The next consequence of the theorem is Theorem 1.8 [1].

COROLLARY 3. Let G be GSTG with  $\swarrow(G) = \pi(G)$ . Then G is a Sylow tower group of exactly one type R, in the sense that the relation R is uniquely determined for pairs of primes p, q in c(G).

*Proof.* Let  $\mathscr{S} = \{G_1, \dots, G_n\}$  be Sylow basis for G. Define the relation R on the set of all primes as follows: R is reflexive and for distinct primes p and q, pRq holds if and only if either p or qdoes not divide the order of G or both p and q do divide the order of G and the Sylow p-subgroup of G belonging to  $\mathcal{S}$  normalizes the Sylow q-subgroup of G belonging to  $\mathcal{S}$ . Clearly G is of type R. Since  $\mathscr{L}(G) = \pi(G)$ , Corollary 1 shows that both pRq and qRp hold for no distinct primes  $p, q \in c(G)$ . In addition, Corollary 2 shows that pRq, qRw, and wRp hold for no distinct primes p, q, and w from c(G). Since either pRq or qRp holds for any primes p,  $q \in c(G)$ , the restriction of R to c(G) must be a linear order. Therefore G is a Sylow tower group of type R. Suppose that G is also a STG of type S and the restriction of S to c(G) differs from the restriction of R to c(G). Then G would necessarily have a nilpotent Hall  $\{p, q\}$ subgroup for some distinct  $p, q \in c(G)$ . The conjugacy of Hall  $\{p, q\}$ subgroups in G then implies that  $\mathcal{L}(G) \leq \pi(G) - 1$ , a contradiction. Therefore G is a STG of exactly one type, in the sense mentioned.

We next give an example to show that the nilpotent length of a GSTG cannot be found from the type alone. Let A be the holomorph of a cyclic group of order 7 and let B donote the Hall {7, 3}-subgroup of A. Define the group  $G_1$  as the direct product of A and a symmetric group of degree 3 and define  $G_2$  as the wreath product of B by a cyclic group of order 2. Both  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are Sylow tower groups of type 7 < 3 < 2 and no distinct Sylow subgroups of  $G_1$  or  $G_2$  centralize one another. Hence, for a given relation R on the set

of all primes,  $G_1$  is a GSTG of type R if and only if  $G_2$  is a GSTG of type R. Yet the nilpotent length of  $G_1$  is 2 and the nilpotent length of  $G_2$  is 3.

THEOREM T. Let G be a GSTG with nilpotent length k. Then G contains a Hall subgroup which is a Sylow tower group and has nilpotent length k.

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.8 [1] it is sufficient to show that G contains a Hall subgroup L with  $\mathcal{L}(L) = \pi(L) = k$ . We proceed by induction on the order of G.

Suppose G contains a proper subgroup W with  $\mathscr{L}(W) = k$ . The induction hypothesis then shows that W contains a Hall subgroup T with  $\mathscr{L}(T) = \pi(T) = k$ . Choose a Hall subgroup L of G with  $T \subseteq L$  and c(T) = c(L). Then  $\mathscr{L}(G) = k = \mathscr{L}(T) \leq \mathscr{L}(L) \leq \mathscr{L}(G)$  shows that  $\mathscr{L}(L) = \pi(L) = k$ . This proves the theorem in the case where G contains a proper subgroup with nilpotent length k. Now suppose that every proper subgroup of G has nilpotent length strictly less than k.

Since G is a GSTG, either G possesses nontrivial normal subgroups A and B with  $A \cap B = 1$  or G contains a nontrivial normal Sylow subgroup P with  $C_G(P) \subseteq P$ . We consider these possibilities separately. First suppose that A and B are distinct minimal normal subgroups of G. If the Frattini subgroup  $\phi$  of G is trivial then G contains a maximal subgroup  $M_1$  not containing A and a maximal subgroup  $M_2$ not containing B. Then  $M_1$  complements A in G and  $M_2$  complements B in G. Since we have assumed that all proper subgroups of G have nilpotent length less than k, the isomorphism of G/A and  $M_1$ gives  $\angle(G/A) < k$ . Similarly one sees that  $\angle(G/B) < k$ . Since G is isomorphic to a subgroup of the direct product of G/A and G/B, it follows that  $\angle(G) = \max{\{\angle(G/A), \angle(G/B)\}}$  is less than k, a contradiction. Therefore G has nontrivial Frattini subgroup. Since  $\angle(G/\phi) =$  $\angle(G) = k$ , the induction hypothesis shows that G contains a Hall subgroup L satisfying  $\angle(L\phi/\phi) = \pi(L\phi/\phi) = k$ . Now

$$k = \mathscr{L}(L\phi/\phi) \leqslant \mathscr{L}(L) \leqslant \mathscr{L}(G) = k$$

shows  $\checkmark(L) = k$ . Hence L = G. Since the Frattini subgroup of G contains no Sylow subgroup of G,  $\pi(G) = \pi(L) = \pi(L\phi/\phi) = k$ . Therefore  $\checkmark(G) = \pi(G) = k$ , which completes the argument in this case.

Now suppose G contains a nontrivial normal Sylow subgroup P with  $C_G(P) \subseteq P$ . It follows that P must be the Fitting subgroup of G. Therefore  $\mathcal{L}(G) = \mathcal{L}(G/P) + 1$  or G = P. In the latter case the theorem is trivially true. If  $\mathcal{L}(G) = \mathcal{L}(G/P) + 1$ , the induction hypothesis shows that G/P contains a nontrivial Hall subgroup L/Psatisfying  $\mathscr{L}(L/P) = \pi(L/P) = k - 1 = \mathscr{L}(G/P)$ . Clearly L is then a Hall subgroup of G with  $\pi(L) = k$ . Since  $C_G(P) \subseteq P$ , P must be the Fitting subgroup of L. Hence  $\mathscr{L}(L) = \mathscr{L}(L/P) + 1$ . Therefore

$$\mathscr{L}(L) = k = \pi(L)$$
.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Let G be a given GSTG and suppose  $\mathscr{S}$  is a Sylow basis for G. Define the relation R on the set of all primes as follows: for any primes p and q (possibly equal), pRq holds if and only if  $p \notin c(G)$  or  $q \notin c(G)$  or both p and q belong to c(G) and the Sylow p-subgroup of G in  $\mathscr{S}$  normalizes the Sylow q-subgroup of G in  $\mathscr{S}$ . Clearly G is a GSTG of type R. If H is a Hall subgroup of G which is a Sylow tower group and H satisfies  $\mathscr{L}(H) = \pi(H) = \mathscr{L}(G)$ , then the restriction of R to c(H) is a transitive relation (see the proof of Corollary 3). This leads to the following bound for the nilpotent length of G in terms of the relation R defined above. The nilpotent length of the GSTG G cannot exceed n, where n is the largest integer such that the restriction of R to some subset of c(G) having n elements is a transitive relation.

### References

1. J. B. Derr, Generalized Sylow tower groups, Pacific J. Math., 32 (1970), 633-642.

2. A. Fattahi, On generalizations of Sylow tower groups, Pacific J. Math., (to appear).

3. B. Huppert, Endliche Gruppen I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1967.

Received February 29, 1972 and in revised form June 19, 1972.

WEST VIRGINIA UNIVERSITY

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

# EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University Stanford, California 94305 J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

# ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E.F. BECKENBACH B.H. NEUMANN F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA

# SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

\*

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$48.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$24.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

C. R. HOBBY University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 45, No. 2 October, 1973

Kenneth Paul Baclawski and Kenneth Kapp, <i>Induced topologies for quasigroups</i>	303
D G Bourgin Fixed point and min – max theorems	403
I. L. Brenner. Zolotarev's theorem on the Legendre symbol.	413
Iospeh Atkins Childress. Ir Restricting isotopies of spheres	415
John Edward Coury Some results on lacunary Walsh series	419
James B. Derr and N. P. Mukheriee. <i>Generalized Sylow tower groups</i> II	427
Paul Frazier Duvall Ir. Peter Eletcher and Robert Allen McCov Isotony Galois	
spaces	435
Mary Rodriguez Embry, <i>Strictly cyclic operator algebras on a Banach space</i>	443
Abi (Abiadbollah) Fattahi. On generalizations of Sylow tower groups	453
Burton I. Fein and Murray M. Schacher, <i>Maximal subfields of tensor products</i>	479
Ervin Fried and J. Sichler. <i>Homomorphisms of commutative rings with unit</i>	
element	485
Kenneth R. Goodearl, <i>Essential products of nonsingular rings</i>	493
George Grätzer, Bjarni Jónsson and H. Lakser, The amalgamation property in	
equational classes of modular lattices	507
H. Groemer, On some mean values associated with a randomly selected simplex	
in a convex set	525
Marcel Herzog, Central 2-Sylow intersections	535
Joel Saul Hillel, On the number of type-k translation-invariant groups	539
Ronald Brian Kirk, A note on the Mackey topology for $(C^b(X)^*, C^b(X))$	543
J. W. Lea, <i>The peripherality of irreducible elements of lattice</i> .	555
John Stewart Locker, <i>Self-adjointness for multi-point differential operators</i>	561
Robert Patrick Martineau, Splitting of group representations	571
Robert Massagli, On a new radical in a topological ring	577
James Murdoch McPherson, Wild arcs in three-space. I. Families of Fox-Artin	
arcs	585
James Murdoch McPherson, Wild arcs in three-space. III. An invariant of	
oriented local type for exceptional arcs	599
Fred Richman, <i>The constructive theory of countable abelian</i> p-groups	621
Edward Barry Saff and J. L. Walsh, On the convergence of rational functions	
which interpolate in the roots of unity	639
Harold Eugene Schlais, Non-aposyndesis and non-hereditary	612
Mark Lawrence Tark, A data of divisible we dolor	652
Edward Leasth Tully. In <i>Macanumentation consistency in which and</i>	033
Edward Joseph Tully, Jr., <i>R-commutative semigroups in which each</i>	660
Corth William Warper, Ir. Zata functions on the real general linear comm	601
Keith Vale Cocyles with range [+1]	602
Chi Lin Yan. On the rest points of a poplinger non-superside $a$	600
Cin-Lin 16n, On the rest points of a nonlinear nonexpansive semigroup	099