ON SOME MEAN VALUES ASSOCIATED WITH A RANDOMLY SELECTED SIMPLEX IN A CONVEX SET

H. Groemer

ON SOME MEAN VALUES ASSOCIATED WITH A RANDOMLY SELECTED SIMPLEX IN A CONVEX SET

H. Groemer

For any convex body K in euclidean n-space denote by $m(K)$ the mean value of the volume of a simplex with vertices at $n + 1$ randomly selected points from K. It is shown that among all convex bodies of given volume the mean value $m(K)$ is minimal if and only if K is an ellipsoid. Actually, a more general result is obtained which shows that the higher order moments of the volume of a randomly selected simplex in a convex set have similar minimal properties.

Throughout this paper R^n denotes euclidean n-space, where n is a given fixed positive integer. A compact convex subset of R^n which has interior points will be called a convex body. The volume of a convex body X will be denoted by $v(X)$. If $p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_{n+1}$ are $n + 1$ points of R^n we write $C(p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_{n+1})$ to denote the convex hull of the points $p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_{n+1}$. Including various forms of degeneracy, $C(p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_{n+1})$ will be called a simplex with vertices at $p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_{n+1}$.

Let K be a given convex body. If $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{n+1}$ are $n + 1$ points from K the volume of the simplex with vertices at $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{n+1}$ is given by $v(C(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{n+1}))$ and, assuming that the points $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{n+1}$ are variable, the mean value of this volume is defined by

$$(1) \quad m(K) = (1/v(K))^{n+1} \int_{x_1 \in K} \cdots \int_{x_{n+1} \in K} v(C(x_1, \cdots, x_{n+1})) \, dx_1 \cdots dx_{n+1} .$$

Since $v(C(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{n+1}))$ is a continuous function in the space $R^{n(n+1)}$ and since the set defined by the $n+1$ conditions $x_i \in K \ (i = 1, 2, \cdots, n+1)$ is a compact convex set in $R^{n(n+1)}$ it is obvious that $m(K)$ exists for every convex body K.

Blaschke [1], [2] has proved that for convex bodies in R^2 of given volume (i.e., area) the mean value $m(K)$ is minimal if and only if K is an ellipse. See also Klee [11] for the history of this problem. Kingman [10] has conjectured that for any dimension n and fixed volume $v(K)$ the minimum of $m(K)$ is reached if K is a (solid) sphere in R^n. In addition, he pointed out that the higher order moments of the expected volume, i.e., the expressions

$$(2) \quad m_r(K) = (1/v(K))^{n+1} \int_{x_1 \in K} \cdots \int_{x_{n+1} \in K} (v(C(x_1, \cdots, x_{n+1})))^r dx_1 \cdots dx_{n+1}$$

are of interest. The definitions (1) and (2) show that $m_1(K) = m(K)$.

Just as before, it is seen that $m_r(K)$ exists for every convex body K and every $r \geqq 0$. It is also clear that $m_r(K)$ is invariant under volume preserving affine transformation.

The main purpose of this paper is to provide a proof of Kingman's conjecture and of a similar but more general statement for the higher order moments. The following theorem contains the precise formulation of our result.

THEOREM. *For any convex body K in R^n and any real number r with $r \geqq 1$ the moments $m_r(K)$ satisfy the inequality*

$$m_r(S) \leqq m_r(K)$$

where S is a solid sphere in R^n which has the same volume as K. Equality holds if and only if K is an ellipsoid.

Because of $m_1(K) = m(K)$ this theorem has the following corollary as an obvious consequence.

COROLLARY 1. *Among all convex bodies of given volume the mean value $m(K)$ of the volume of a simplex with vertices at $n + 1$ randomly selected points from the convex body K is minimal if and only if K is an ellipsoid.*

Kingman [10] has been able to find an explicit formula for $m(K)$ in the case when K is an ellipsoid of R^n, namely

$$m(K) = 2^n \left(\frac{n+1}{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)} \right)^{n+1} \left(\frac{(n+1)^2}{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)^2} \right)^{-1} v(K) .$$

Corollary 1 is related to a problem which, in two dimensional space, is frequently referred to as Sylvester's problem (cf. Kendall and Moran [9]). If $n + 2$ points of R^n are selected at random from a convex body K the problem consists of finding the probability, say $P(K)$, that none of these $n + 2$ points is in the interior of their convex hull. A simple calculation shows that (see Kingman [10])

$$P(K) = 1 - \frac{(n+2)m(K)}{v(K)} .$$

It follows that Corollary 1 is equivalent with the following statement.

COROLLARY 2. *For any convex body K of R^n the probability $P(K)$ that the convex hull of $n + 2$ randomly selected points from K con-*

tains none of these points in its interior is maximal if and only if K is an ellipsoid.

Similarly as the proof given by Blaschke for $n = 2, r = 1$ our proof of the above theorem depends on a property of the Steiner symmetrization of a convex body and on a certain characterization of ellipsoids. Since this characterization, which is of independent interest, appears to have been investigated only in the cases $n = 2$ and $n = 3$ (see Bonnesen and Fenchel [4], p. 143) we supply a new proof which imposes no restriction on the dimension or regularity of the convex body (Lemma 2).

First, we prove a lemma which shows that there exist convex bodies which have the desired minimal property with respect to $m_r(K)$.

LEMMA 1. *If r is a given positive number there exists a convex body K_0 in R^n such that $v(K_0) = 1$ and*

$$(3) \qquad m_r(K_0) \leqq m_r(K)$$

for every convex body K with $v(K) = 1$.

Proof. For every convex body K there exist, according to a theorem of John [8], two ellipsoids E, E' such that $E' \subset K \subset E$ and $v(E) \leqq n^n v(E')$. Because of $v(E') \leqq v(K)$ this implies $v(E) \leqq n^n v(K)$. It follows that to any K with $v(K) = 1$ there is a volume preserving affine transformation σ such that $\sigma K \subset B$, where B is a sphere of volume n^n and center at the origin of the coordinate system. Because of this fact and because of the invariance of m_r under volume preserving affine transformations it is evident that it suffices to prove (3) under the additional assumptions that $v(K) = 1$ and $K \subset B$. Let us denote by $\mathscr{K}$ the class of all convex bodies for which these two conditions are satisfied. If a number μ is defined by

$$\mu = \inf m_r(K) \qquad (K \in \mathscr{K})$$

then μ has obviously the property that for every $K \in \mathscr{K}$

$$(4) \qquad \mu \leqq m_r(K)$$

and that there exists a sequence $K_1, K_2, \cdots$ of convex bodies in $\mathscr{K}$ such that

$$(5) \qquad \lim_{i \to \infty} m_r(K_i) = \mu \ .$$

Because of $K_i \subset B$ the selection theorem of Blaschke can be applied to the class of convex bodies K_i. This justifies the assumption that

the sequence $K_1, K_2, \cdots$ converges (in the Hausdorff-Blaschke metric) to some convex set K_0. Note that $K_i \in \mathscr{K}$ implies $K_0 \in \mathscr{K}$.

The functional m_r is obviously translation invariant, monotone and homogeneous in the sense that $m_r(sK) = s^{n \cdot r} m_r(K)$ for any $s \geqq 0$. It is known that such a functional is also continuous (cf. Hadwiger [7], p. 204 and the proof of the continuity of the volume in Blaschke [3], p. 61 or Eggleston [6], p. 72). Therefore, the convergence of K_i to K_0 implies

$$(6) \qquad \lim_{i \to \infty} m_r(K_i) = m_r(K_0) \ .$$

Since (3) is an immediate consequence of (4), (5), and (6) the proof of the Lemma is finished.

K_0 will be referred to as a *minimum body* for m_r. Actually, K_0 does not depend on r if $r \geqq 1$; but this cannot be concluded from our proof of Lemma 1.

For the formulation of our next lemma it is convenient to call a subset of R^n *flat* if it is contained in some plane. It should be noted that in this paper a plane is always understood to be a hyperplane. As a further notational simplification the following concept will be used. If K is a convex body and if G is a line in R^n we denote by $\mathscr{P}(K, G)$ the set of midpoints of all line segments of the form $X \cap K$ where X ranges over all lines that are parallel to G and meet K. $\mathscr{P}(K, G)$ will be called a *midpoint set* of K.

LEMMA 2. *A convex body K is an ellipsoid if and only if the midpoint set $\mathscr{P}(K, G)$ is flat for every line G of R^n.*

Proof. If K is a sphere the midpoint set $\mathscr{P}(K, G)$ is obviously flat for every line G. Applying an affine transformation the same result is seen to be true for ellipsoids.

Assume now that for a given convex body K the midpoint set $\mathscr{P}(K, G)$ is flat for every line G. Let H be any plane, and choose a coordinate system in R^n which has the property that H is given by $H = \{(x^1, x^2, \cdots, x^n) \mid x^n = 0\}$. Then, if G is a line that is orthogonal to H, the equation of the plane which contains $\mathscr{P}(K, G)$ can be written in the form

$$x^n = a_0 + a_1 x^1 + \cdots + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} \ .$$

The symmetrization of K with respect to the plane H is achieved by mapping each point $(p^1, p^2, \cdots, p^n)$ of K onto the point

$$(p^1, p^2, \cdots, p^{n-1}, p^n - (a_0 + a_1 p^1 + \cdots + a_{n-1} p^{n-1})) \ .$$

This mapping is obviously an affine transformation. Hence, one can

conclude that every symmetrization is a volume preserving affine transformation, provided that the midpoint set $\mathscr{P}(K, G)$ is flat for every line G of R^n.

The convex body obtained from K by symmetrization with respect to a plane H will be denoted by $\widetilde{K}(H)$.

It is known (see Danzer, Laugwitz, and Lenz [5]) that there is an ellipsoid, say L, which contains K and has smallest possible volume. It is also known (see Hadwiger [7], p. 170) that there is a sequence of planes, say $H_1, H_2, \cdots$, in R^n such that the sequence of convex bodies which is defined by $K_1 = K$, $K_{i+1} = \widetilde{K}_i(H_i)$ $(i = 1, 2, \cdots)$ contains a subsequence that converges to a sphere S. It follows that there are volume preserving affine transformations $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \cdots$ such that the sequence $\sigma_1 K, \sigma_2 K, \cdots$ converges to S. If $K = L$ the proof of the lemma is obviously finished. Let us assume that $K \neq L$. In this case we have

$$(7) \qquad v(K) = v(S) < v(L) \; .$$

Since the sequence $\sigma_1 K, \sigma_2 K, \cdots$ converges to S there exists for any positive ε an index h such that

$$(8) \qquad \sigma_h K \subset S^\varepsilon \; .$$

Here, S^ε denotes the parallel domain of S, which, in this case is a sphere of radius $r + \varepsilon$ if S has radius r. Because of (7) ε can be taken so small that

$$(9) \qquad v(S^\varepsilon) < v(L) \; .$$

(8) implies that the ellipsoid $\sigma_h^{-1} S^\varepsilon$ contains K, and (9) shows that $v(\sigma_h^{-1} S^\varepsilon) < v(L)$. However, according to the definition of L it is impossible that an ellipsoid which contains K has smaller volume than L. It follows that the trivial case $K = L$ is the only possiblility.

LEMMA 3. *Let $G_1, G_2, \cdots, G_{n+1}$ be $n + 1$ distinct lines in R^n which are of the form $G_k = \{(c_k^1, c_k^2, \cdots, c_k^{n-1}, z_k) \mid -\infty < z_k < \infty\}$. Assume that to each G_k there corresponds an interval I_k of the form $I_k = \{(c_k^1, c_k^2, \cdots, c_k^{n-1}, z_k) \mid |z_k - p_k| \leqq l_k\}$ where $l_k > 0$. Write $z = (z_1, z_2 \cdots, z_{n+1})$, $p = (p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_{n+1})$, $e = (1, 1, \cdots, 1)$, $c^j = (c_1^j, c_2^j, \cdots, c_{n+1}^j)$ and*

$$D(z) = \frac{1}{n} \det (e, c^1, c^2, \cdots, c^{n-1}, z) \; .$$

Finally, if r is a given real number with $r \geqq 1$ write

$$(10) \qquad M(p) = \int_{|z_k - p_k| \leqq l_k} | D(z) |^r \, dz \; .$$

Then, if the numbers c_k^i and the interval lengths l_k are fixed, $M(p)$ attains its absolute minimum value exactly for those vectors p for which all the midpoints $(c_k^1, c_k^2, \cdots, c_k^{n-1}, p_k)$ of the intervals I_k ($k = 1, 2, \cdots, n + 1$) are contained in some plane of R^n.

Proof. Since $D(z)$ is a linear function of z (10) can be written in the form

$$(11) \qquad M(p) = \int_{|u_k| \leq l_k} |D(u) + D(p)|^r \, du$$

where $u = (u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_{n+1})$ and $u = z - p$. If p varies over the total R^{n+1} the linear function $D(p)$ takes on any value between $-\infty$ and ∞. Therefore, a comparison of (11) with the function

$$(12) \qquad F(y) = \int_{|u_k| \leq l_k} |D(u) + y|^r \, du$$

shows that $M(p)$ and $F(y)$ have the same greatest lower bound. If all y-values for which $F(y)$ is (absolutely) minimal are known, the set of all vectors p for which $M(p)$ is minimal are found by solving the linear equation

$$(13) \qquad y = D(p)$$

for each such known y-value.

Now, to investigate the minimum value of $F(y)$ we note that $D(u) = -D(-u)$ implies

$$\int_{|u_k| \leq l_k} |D(u) + y|^r \, du = \int_{|u_k| \leq l_k} |D(u) - y|^r \, du \, .$$

This, together with the definition (12), shows that

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} & F(y) - F(0) \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \int_{|u_k| \leq l_k} (|D(u) + y|^r + |D(u) - y|^r - 2|D(u)|^r) du \, . \end{aligned}$$

Since for a fixed value of r ($r \geq 1$) the function $|\zeta|^r$ is convex it follows that the integrand in (14), say $T(u, y)$, has the property that for all values of u and y

$$(15) \qquad T(u, y) \geq 0 \, .$$

(The convexity of the function $|\zeta|^r$, i.e., the relation $|(\zeta_1 + \zeta_2)/2|^r \leq (|\zeta_1|^r + |\zeta_2|^r)/2$, is a special case of Hölder's inequality $|\alpha a + \beta b| \leq (|\alpha|^p + |\beta|^p)^{1/p}(|a|^q + |b|^q)^{1/q}$, namely the case $\alpha = \beta = 1/2$, $a = \zeta_1$, $b = \zeta_2$, $p = r/r - 1$, $q = r$). In addition to (15) it is clear that for $y \neq 0$

(16) $$T(0, y) = 2 \, |y|^r > 0 \; .$$

Because of the continuity of $T(u, y)$ as a function in u (16) implies that for a given value of y with $y \neq 0$ the inequality

(17) $$T(u, y) > 0$$

holds not only for $u = 0$ but for a whole interval with center at $u = 0$. From (14), (15), and (17) it follows that for any $y \neq 0$

$$F(y) > F(0) \; .$$

Hence, $F(y)$ attains an absolute minimum value at $y = 0$ and nowhere else. This result in conjunction with (13) shows that $M(p)$ is minimal if and only if $D(p) = 0$. Since $D(p)$ is the volume of a simplex with vertices at the points $(c_k^1, c_k^2, \cdots, c_k^{n-1}, p_k)$ we find finally that these points are contained in a plane if and only if $M(p)$ is minimal.

Proof of the Theorem. Since it has already been pointed out that $m_r(K)$ is a homogeneous function of K it suffices to prove the Theorem under the assumption $v(K) = 1$.

As before, let H be the plane $\{(x^1, x^2, \cdots, x^n) \mid x^n = 0\}$. Assume that $G_1, G_2, \cdots, G_{n+1}$ are $n + 1$ given lines which are orthogonal to H and have the property that each G_k intersects K in a line segment I_k of positive length l_k. The midpoint of I_k will again be denoted by $(c_k^1, c_k^2, \cdots, c_k^{n-1}, p_k)$. Under these assumptions the number $M(p)$ can be defined by (11). However, since in this case the vector p is completely determined if K and $G_1, G_2, \cdots, G_{n+1}$ are given we write now $M(K; G_1, G_2, \cdots, G_{n+1})$ instead of $M(p)$. Let $\tilde{K} = \tilde{K}(H)$ be the convex body which is obtained from K by symmetrization with respect to the plane H. Since all the segments $\tilde{K} \cap G_k$ have midpoints that are contained in a plane, namely H, Lemma (3) shows that

(18) $$M(\tilde{K}; G_1, G_2, \cdots, G_{n+1}) \leqq M(K; G_1, G_2, \cdots, G_{n+1})$$

where equality holds if and only if the midpoints of the segments $K \cap G_k$ are already contained in some plane. Assume now that K is a minimum body for m_r and that K is not an ellipsoid. Then Lemma 2 shows that there is a line G such that the midpoint set $\mathscr{P}(K, G)$ is not flat. This implies obviously that $\mathscr{P}(K, G)$ contains $n + 1$ points which are not contained in a plane of R^n. A simple continuity argument shows further that one may assume that the line segments corresponding to these $n + 1$ midpoints have positive lengths. A suitable selection of the coordinate system permits us to assume that the plane $H = \{(x^1, x^2, \cdots, x^n) \mid x^n = 0\}$ is orthogonal to G. Hence, if $G_1, G_2, \cdots, G_{n+1}$ is any system of $n + 1$ lines that are parallel to G

and meet K in intervals of positive lengths one obtains (18) and the additional information that strict inequality holds for at least one such system of $n + 1$ lines.

Denote now by K_H the projection of K onto the plane H. Further, if w_k is a point of K_H denote by $G(w_k)$ the line which is orthogonal to H and contains w_k. Using the definitions (2) and (10) an obvious rearrangement of the order of integration shows that

$$
(19) \quad \begin{aligned} & m_r(K) \\ & = \int_{w_1 \in K_H} \cdots \int_{w_{n+1} \in K_H} M(K; G(w_1), G(w_2), \cdots, G(w_{n+1})) dw_1 \cdots dw_{n+1} . \end{aligned}
$$

(Since the integrand has been defined only if the intervals $K \cap G(w_k)$ have positive lengths and if the points w_k are distinct, a set of measure 0 has been neglected.) Because of (18) with strict inequality for at least one system $w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_{n+1}$ and because of the continuity of the integrand in (19) (considered as a function of $w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_{n+1}$) the equation (19) implies that

$$
m_r(\widetilde{K}(H)) < m_r(K) .
$$

This contradicts the assumption that K be a minimum body for m_r. Therefore, only ellipsoids can be minimal bodies. Because of Lemma 1 and since m_r is invariant under volume preserving affine transformations it follows that any sphere S of unit volume is a minimal body, that

$$
m_r(S) < m_r(K)
$$

if K is not an ellipsoid, and that

$$
m_r(S) = m_r(K)
$$

if K is an ellipsoid. Hence, the Theorem is proved.

It might be worth noting that essentially the same method of proof can be used to establish a similar theorem with the higher order moments replaced by more general types of functions.

REFERENCES

1. W. Blaschke, *Über affine Geometrie XI: Lösung des "Vierpunktproblems" von Sylvester aus der Theorie der geometrischen Wahrscheinlichkeiten,* Ber. Verh. sächs. Akad. Leipzig, Bd. **69** (1971), 436-453.
2. ————, *Vorlesungen über Differentialgeometrie II: Affine Differentialgeometrie,* Springer, Berlin, 1923.
3. W. Blaschke, Kreis, und Kugel, *Walter de Gruyter,* Berlin, 1956.
4. T. Bonnesen and W. Fenchel, *Theorie der konvexen Körper,* Ergebn. d. Math. Bd. **3**, Springer, Berlin, 1934.

5. L. Danzer, D. Laugwitz, and H. Lenz, *Über das Löwnersche Ellipsoid und sein Analogon unter dem einem Eikörper einbeschriebenen Ellipsoiden*, Arch. d. Math., **8** (1957), 214-219.

6. H. G. Eggleston, *Convexity*, Cambr. Tracts in Math., vol. **47**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1958.

7. H. Hadwiger, *Vorlesungen über Inhalt, Oberfläche und Isoperimetrie*, Grundl. d. math. Wiss., Bd. **93**, Springer, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1957.

8. F. John, *Extremum problems with inequalities as subsidiary conditions*, Studies and essays presented to R. Courant, pp. 187-204, Interscience, New York, 1948.

9. M. G. Kendall and P.A.P. Moran, *Geometrical Probability*. Griffin, London, 1963.

10. J. F. C. Kingman, *Random secants of a convex body*, J. Appl. Prob., **6** (1969), 660-672.

11. V. Klee, *What is the expected volume of a simplex whose vertices are chosen at random from a given convex body?* Amer. Math. Monthly, **76** (1969), 286-288.

THE UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

C. R. HOBBY

University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH   B. H. NEUMANN   F. WOLF   K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Vol. 45, No. 2   October, 1973