Pacific Journal of Mathematics

WILD ARCS IN THREE-SPACE. I. FAMILIES OF FOX-ARTIN ARCS

JAMES MURDOCH MCPHERSON

Vol. 45, No. 2

October 1973

WILD ARCS IN THREE-SPACE

1: FAMILIES OF FOX-ARTIN ARCS

JAMES M. MCPHERSON

Roughly speaking, a Fox-Artin arc is an arc which is tame modulo one endpoint at which it has penetration index three, and which may be constructed in the way that the examples of R. H. Fox and E. Artin were constructed in their classical paper of 1948.

For each oriented Fox-Artin arc, there is an associated infinite sequence of oriented prime 2-component links, which is an invariant of the local embedding type of the arc in \mathbb{R}^3 . Using existence results from link theory, this result yields the corollary: If M is a 3-manifold and p a point in the interior of M, then there exists an uncountable family of locally non-invertible Fox-Artin arcs in M, which are wild at p.

Later papers will be concerned with developing invariants of the oriented local embedding type of an arc k_n which is tame modulo one endpoint, at which it has penetration index 2n+1.

O. Introduction. The results of this paper originated in attempts to answer the following two questions:

1. Do there exist uncountably many arcs in Euclidean 3-space R^3 , which are tame modulo one endpoint? ([1], p. 33. Such arcs are called "nearly polyhedral" in [1].)

2. Are the arcs 1.1, 1.1*, 1.3 of [3] amphicheiral or invertible? (Problem 17 of [2].)

In 1961, R. H. Fox and O. G. Harrold [4] succeeded in completely classifying the Wilder arcs of penetration index 2, and the existence of uncountably many non-invertible Wilder arcs follows immediately from their classification. In 1963, an affirmative answer to question 1 was announced by Giffen [5] (a more detailed development of Giffen's ideas is given in [13]); however, Giffen developed no new invariant of local embedding type, so there was still no way of distinguishing nearly polyhedral arcs of the same penetration index. While S. J. Lomonaco ([8], 1967) succeeded in algebraically distinguishing the local types of arcs at one interior wild point, there was no theory of local embedding type for nearly polyhedral arcs.

To the best of the present author's knowledge, the invariants of local type of an oriented nearly polyhedral arc, developed in this paper and in [9], are the only ones available (other than penetration index invariants) that will differentiate one nearly polyhedral arc type from another. Use of these invariants will give a detailed affirmative answer to question 1 (see § 2, and p. 91 of [9]) and a partial answer to question 2.

The author wishes to thank Professor N. Smythe for his helpful advice in the writing of his Ph. D. thesis [9], presented to the University of New South Wales. The development of the invariants of [9] will be the subject of later papers in this series.

1. Preliminaries. Let X be a set—we use Bd X, Cl X, and Int X to denote the boundary, closure, and interior respectively of X. X has N(X) elements. If k is an oriented arc in \mathbb{R}^3 (the orientation of \mathbb{R}^3 is fixed), and X is an oriented surface, $\nu(k \cap X)$ is the algebraic intersection number of k with X.

An oriented link $L = l_1 \cup l_2$ of two components in \mathbb{R}^3 is *splittable* if there exists a 2-sphere $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, such that l_1 and l_2 lie in different components of $\mathbb{R}^3 - S$; S is said to split L. If no such 2-sphere exists, L is unsplittable. If L' is another link in \mathbb{R}^3 which is Fisotopic to L (for the definition of F-isotopy, see either [14] or [10]), then L' is splittable iff L' is splittable, by Theorem 1 of [14].

The main theorem of [6] states that if L is unsplittable, L has a unique factorisation into prime links; by Theorem 1 of the same paper, at most one of these prime links is a 2-component link L^* . (The other factors are 1-component links, i.e. knots, which are factors of the knot types of the components of L.) We call L^* the (oriented) prime hub of L, and note that L and L^* are F-isotopic.

Let k be an oriented arc in \mathbb{R}^3 , with endpoints p and q. Let E_1 and E_2 be tame closed 3-cell neighbourhoods of p, with $N(k \cap Bd E_i) = 3$. If $E_2 \subset \text{Int } E_1$, the set $k \cap \text{Cl}(E_1 - E_2)$ is either (i) three arcs, each with one endpoint on $\text{Bd } E_1$ and the other on $\text{Bd } E_2$, or (ii) one arc γ_1 connecting $\text{Bd } E_1$ and $\text{Bd } E_2$, one arc α_1 whose endpoints both lie on $\text{Bd } E_1$, and one arc β_1 with both endpoints on $\text{Bd } E_2$.

In case (i), we say E_1 and E_2 are k-similar and write $E_1 \sim E_2$, without distinguishing E_1 and E_2 . We note that " \sim " is not transitive, but if E_1 , E_2 , E_3 are tame closed 3-cell neighbourhoods of p with $E_{i+1} \subset \text{Int } E_i$, and $E_1 \sim E_2$, $E_2 \sim E_3$, then $E_1 \sim E_3$. The use of the symbol \sim for a relation which is not an equivalence relation is unfortunate but seems unavoidable.

In case (ii), we write $E_1 > E_2$ if the pair (α_1, β_1) is unsplittable in the sense of [10]; that is, there exist oriented non-singular arcs $\alpha' \subset \operatorname{Bd} E_1$ and $\beta' \subset \operatorname{Bd} E_2$ such that the link $L = (\alpha_1 \cup \alpha') \cup (\beta_1 \cup \beta')$ is unsplittable. (Hereafter, we shall always assume that the arcs α' and β' are chosen to make L a consistently oriented link.) Let $L(E_1, E_2)$ denote the prime hub of L.

Unless otherwise stated, all our "3-cells" will be tame closed 3-cell

neighbourhoods of p, each meeting k on its boundary in exactly three points. We fix a 3-cell E_0 , which will contain all other 3-cells in its interior. When we write $E_2 \subset E_1$, it is implicit that $E_2 \subset \operatorname{Int} E_1$.

We make the following observations:

A: "~" is not a transitive relation.

B: If E_1 , E_2 , and C are 3-cells with $E_1 \supset C \supset E_2$, and $E_1 \sim C$, then $C \succ E_2$ iff $E_1 \succ E_2$. In either case, $L(C, E_2) = L(E_1, E_2)$.

 B^* : If $C \sim E_2$, then $C \prec E_1$ iff $E_2 \prec E_1$, and $L(E_1, C) = L(E_1, E_2)$ in either case.

We shall only prove that $C > E_2$ if $E_1 > E_2$ and $E_1 \sim C$, and that then $L(C, E_2) = L(E_1, E_2)$.

Let $\alpha_1, \alpha', \beta_1, \beta'$, and γ_1 be chosen as above, so that $L = (\alpha_1 \cup \alpha') \cup (\beta_1 \cup \beta')$. Let α_c be an arc on Bd $C - \gamma_1$ which connects the two points of $\alpha_1 \cap$ Bd C, and let Γ be a regular neighbourhood of γ_1 in $E_1 - (C \cup \alpha_1)$. See Figure 1.

Denote by L_c the link $[\alpha_c \cup (\alpha_1 \cap C)] \cup (\beta_1 \cup \beta')$. The cube $Q = Cl(E_1 - C \cup \Gamma)$ is an "admissible cube" for the link L, and by [6], p. 284, L is the link-product of L_c and the knot formed by the arcs α' and α_c and the two arcs of $\alpha_1 \cup (E_1 - C)$. This implies (i) L and L_c have the same prime hub, i.e. $L(C, E_2) = L(E_1, E_2)$, and (ii) L_c and L are F-isotopic. Since L is unsplittable, L_c is unsplittable and $C > E_2$.



FIGURE 1

2. Statement of the theorem.

DEFINITION. An oriented arc k in \mathbb{R}^3 , with endpoints p and q, is a Fox-Artin arc if k-p is tame, and there exists a sequence $\mathscr{C}: E_0 > E_1 > E_2 > \cdots$ of tame closed 3-cell neighbourhoods of p, with $\cap E_i = \{p\}$. \mathscr{C} is a Fox-Artin sequence for k.

By [10], the Fox-Artin arcs are wild, with penetration index three at p. Example 1.2 of [3] is a Fox-Artin arc.

Before stating the main theorem of this paper, we must recall two definitions.

Two sequences $\{a_i\}$ and $\{b_j\}$ are *cofinal* if there exist indices M and N such that $a_{M+r} = b_{N+r}$ for all $r = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$.

Two arcs k_1 and k_2 are of the same oriented local type at points p_1 and p_2 if there exist neighbourhoods U_i of p_i (which inherit their orientation from R^3), and an orientation-preserving homeomorphism of U_1 to U_2 which takes $(U_1 \cap k_1, p_1)$ onto $(U_2 \cap k_2, p_2)$ ([8], p. 323).

THEOREM. Let k_1 and k_2 be Fox-Artin arcs with wild endpoints p_1 and p_2 , and Fox-Artin sequences

$$\mathscr{C}_1: E_0 > E_1 > E_2 > \cdots, \mathscr{C}_2: B_0 > B_1 > B_2 > \cdots$$

for k_1 and k_2 respectively. Then if k_1 and k_2 have the same oriented local type at p_1 and p_2 , the sequences of oriented prime 2-component links $L(\mathscr{C}_1) = \{L(E_i, E_{i+1})\}$ and $L(\mathscr{C}_2) = \{L(B_j, B_{j+1})\}$ are cofinal.

COROLLARY 1. There exists an uncountable family of locally noninvertible Fox-Artin arcs in \mathbb{R}^3 , and an uncountable family of nonamphicheiral such arcs.

Proof 1. It is shown in [11] that there exists an infinite family of non-invertible prime 2-component links, and the links L_n , $n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$ of [7] form an infinite family of non-amphicheiral prime links.

Let k be a Fox-Artin arc, and \mathscr{C} one of its Fox-Artin sequences. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \cdots$ be an ordering of the prime links which have property P, where P connotes non-invertibility or non-amphicheirality. Obtain a binary number $\alpha(k)$ by the rule: the *i*th digit of $\alpha(k)$ is 1 if the prime λ_i occurs infinitely often in the sequence $L(\mathscr{C})$, and is 0 otherwise. Since all the binary numbers may be obtained in this way, the result follows.

Figure 2(a) shows a Fox-Artin arc, which may be constructed from an infinite family of cylinders of the type shown in Figure 2(a) (cf. [3] or [1] for details). For each *i*, the link $L(E_i, E_{i+1})$ is the link



of Figure 3, which is known to be non-amphicheiral ([7], p. 653), so the arc of Figure 2 (b) is non-amphicheiral.

COROLLARY 2. Let M be a 3-manifold, and p a point in the interior of M. If M is non-orientable, there exist uncountably many

locally non-invertible Fox-Artin arcs which are wild at p. If M is oriented, there exist uncountably many locally non-invertible Fox-Artin arcs which are wild at p, and uncountably many non-amphicheiral such arcs.

Using the results of C. D. Sikkema in [12], we obtain

COROLLARY 3. There exist uncountably many crumpled 3-cubes.

A crumpled n-cube is a topological space which is homeomorphic to a closed complementary domain of an (n-1)-sphere in S^n . An *n-psuedo-half-space* M^n is an *n*-manifold with boundary, whose boundary is homeomorphic to R^{n-1} and whose interior is homeomorphic to R^n . For $n \neq 3$, every *n*-psuedo-half-space is homeomorphic to the closed half-space R^n_+ ([12], p. 399, p. 411). However, for n = 3, Theorem 8 of [12] yields.

COROLLARY 4. There exists an uncountable family of topologically different 3-psuedo-half-spaces.

We conclude our list of corollaries with a partial answer to question 2 of 0, namely.

COROLLARY 5. The arcs 1.1, 1.1^* , and 1.2 of [3] are not amphicheiral.

Proof 5. We prove that the arc 1.2 (whose projection is shown in Figure 7 of [3]) is non-amphicheiral. Denote this arc by k, and its mirror image by k'. k is constructed from cylinders of the type shown in Figure 1 of [3], while k' may be constructed from cylinders which are the mirror images of those used to construct k. A glance at Figure 1 of [3] shows that the link obtained by identifying r_{-} and s_{-} , and t_{+} with r_{+} , has linking number +1, while the corresponding link in the mirror image of this cylinder has linking number -1. For k, then, the sequence of oriented prime 2-component links is a sequence of simply linked circles with linking number +1, whereas the sequence of oriented prime 2-component links associated with k'is a sequence of simply linked circles with linking number -1. k and k' (the mirror image of k) therefore cannot have the same oriented local type, so k cannot be amphicheiral.

3. The proof of the theorem. The proof consists of a sequence of manipulatory lemmas. In each, k is a fixed Fox-Artin arc, with fixed Fox-Artin sequence $\mathscr{C}: E_0 > E_1 > E_2 > \cdots$. α_i and β_i are the arcs of $k \cap \operatorname{Cl}(E_i - E_{i+1})$ whose endpoints both lie on Bd E_i , and on Bd E_{i+1} respectively. γ_i is the unique subarc of k which connects Bd E_i and Bd E_{i+1} . $L_i = L(E_i, E_{i+1})$ denotes the prime hub of the link $(\alpha_i \cup \alpha) \cup (\beta_i \cup \beta)$, where α and β are suitably chosen arcs on Bd E_i and Bd E_{i+1} respectively.

LEMMA 1. Let C be a 3-cell with $E_i \supset C \supset E_{i+1}$, some i. Then either $C \sim E_i$, or $C \sim E_{i+1}$.

Proof. Suppose $\alpha_i \subset \text{Int} (E_i - C)$ —we assert that $\beta_i \cap \text{Bd} C \neq \emptyset$ and that $C \sim E_{i+1}$.

So suppose $\beta_i \cap \operatorname{Bd} C = \emptyset$, i.e. that $\beta_i \subset \operatorname{Int} C$. Then $\operatorname{Bd} C$ is a 2-sphere that splits the pair (α_i, β_i) , contradicting the hypothesis that $E_i > E_{i+1}$. Hence $\beta_i \cap \operatorname{Bd} C \neq \emptyset$, and β_i therefore meets $\operatorname{Bd} C$ in precisely two points, for $N(k \cap \operatorname{Bd} C) = 3$ and $\nu(\beta_i \cap \operatorname{Bd} C) = 0$. Also, γ_i must meet $\operatorname{Bd} C$ in only one point.

To prove $C \sim E_{i+1}$, assume to the contrary, and that α is a subarc of k in $C - E_{i+1}$ whose endpoints both lie on Bd C. If α joins the points of $\beta_i \cap \text{Bd } C$, then $\alpha \cup (\beta_i \cap \text{Cl } (E_i - C))$ is a simple closed curve in the arc k, which is impossible. One of the endpoints of α must therefore be the point $\gamma_i \cap \text{Bd } C - \text{so } \alpha$ must be a subarc of γ_i , else k intersects itself at $\gamma_i \cap \text{Bd } C$. But then γ_i meets Bd C in at least two points, for

$$2 = N(\alpha \cap \operatorname{Bd} C) \leq N(\gamma_i \cap \operatorname{Bd} C)$$

since $\alpha \subset \gamma_i$. But γ_i meets Bd C in only one point, so $\gamma_i \cap$ Bd C cannot be an endpoint of α .

Hence no such subarc α of k exists, and $k \cap \operatorname{Cl} (C - E_{i+1})$ must therefore consist of three arcs connecting Bd C and Bd E_{i+1} . Thus $C \sim E_{i+1}$.

Similarly, $C \sim E_i$ if $\beta_i \subset \text{Int C}$.

The cases $\alpha_i \subset E_i - C$ and $\beta_i \subset \text{Int } C$ are the only two cases possible; it is impossible that both $\alpha_i \cap \text{Bd } C$ and $\beta_i \cap \text{Bd } C$ be nonempty together. For α_i and β_i are disjoint, and both have algebraic intersection number zero with Bd C, so

$$N(k \cap \operatorname{Bd} C) \ge N(\alpha_i \cap \operatorname{Bd} C) + N(\beta_i \cap \operatorname{Bd} C) \ge 4$$

because α_i and β_i must both meet Bd C in at least two points. So if $N(k \cap \text{Bd } C) = 3$, one and only one of the sets $\alpha_i \cap \text{Bd } C$, $\beta_i \cap \text{Bd } C$ is empty.

LEMMA 2. If C is a 3-cell, $C \sim E_i, E_{i-1} \supset C \supset E_{i+1}$, then $\mathscr{C}': E_0 > E_1 > \cdots > E_{i-1} > C > E_{i+1} > \cdots$ is a Fox-Artin sequence for k, and $L(\mathcal{E}') = L(\mathcal{E})$.

Proof. This is a straightforward application of the results B and B^* of §1.

If $C \supset E_i$, then $C \prec E_{i-1}$ and $L(E_{i-1}, C) = L(E_{i-1}, E_i)$, by B^* . Also, $E_i > E_{i+1}$ so $C > E_{i+1}$ and $L(C, E_{i+1}) = L(E_i, E_{i+1})$, by B. This is sufficient to show that \mathscr{C}' is a Fox-Artin sequence for k.

If $C \subset E_i$, the proof is similar.

Let $E_{i+1} \in \mathscr{C}$. A containing sequence for E_{i+1} , of length s in E_0 , is a 3-cell sequence

$$E_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} = B_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} \succ B_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \succ B_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \succ \cdots \succ B_{\scriptscriptstyle s} \succ B_{\scriptscriptstyle s+1} = E_{\scriptscriptstyle i+1}$$
 .

The associated sequence of prime links is the sequence $\{L(B_i, B_{i+1})\}$.

LEMMA 3. Any two containing sequences for E_{i+1} in E_0 have the same length (length i), and the associated sequences of prime links are identical. Thus any two Fox-Artin sequences \mathscr{E} and \mathscr{E}' which start at E_0 and have E_{i+1} as a common term also have the first i + 1 terms of $L(\mathscr{E})$ and $L(\mathscr{E}')$ in common.

Proof. Let \mathscr{C}_{i+1} denote the containing sequence

 $E_0 > E_1 > \cdots > E_i > E_{i+1}$

for E_{i+1} in E_0 , let

$$E_0 > B_1 > \cdots > B_s > E_{i+1}$$

be another containing sequence for E_{i+1} , and assume s > i. Let \mathscr{B} be the class of all containing sequences for E_{i+1} , of length s in E_0 , whose associated sequences of prime links are identical with the sequence $\{L(B_j, B_{j+1})\}$. \mathscr{B} is not empty by hypothesis, so there exists a sequence

$$E_0 = C_0 > C_1 > C_2 > \cdots > C_s > C_{s+1} = E_{i+1}$$

in *B* with the properties:

(i) each 2-sphere Bd C_j is in general position with respect to the 2-spheres Bd E_i , \cdots , Bd E_i , and

(ii) the number of elements of the family

$$C(\mathscr{C}_{i+1}) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{s} \bigcup_{h=1}^{i} \operatorname{Bd} C_{j} \cap \operatorname{Bd} E_{h}$$

of intersection curves is minimal in \mathcal{B} , and none of these intersection curves meets k.

Our first step is to show $C(\mathscr{C}_{i+1}) = \emptyset$.

For some l and h, let $\sigma \subset \operatorname{Bd} C_l \cap \operatorname{Bd} E_h$ be an intersection curve. σ separates $\operatorname{Bd} E_h$ into two discs, D_1 and D_2 , and one of these discs contains at most one point of k, because $N(k \cap \operatorname{Bd} E_h) = 3$. Let this disc be $D(\sigma)$.

Suppose there exists an index j and an intersection curve $\alpha \subset \operatorname{Bd} C_j \cap \operatorname{Int} D(\sigma)$, which bounds a disc $D \subset \operatorname{Int} D(\sigma)$ containing no other intersection curves. α also separates $\operatorname{Bd} C_j$ into two discs; one of these, say D', together with D is the boundary of a 3-cell S which does not contain C_{j+1} . Let N be a closed regular neighbourhood of S. We write $C'_j = C_j \cup N$ if $D \subset \operatorname{Cl} (E_0 - C_j)$, and $C'_j = \operatorname{Cl} (C_j - N)$ if $D \subset C_j$.

Then C'_j is a tame closed 3-cell neighbourhood of p, with $C_{j-1} \supset C'_j \supset C_{j+1}$; we will show

(a) $N(k \cap \operatorname{Bd} C'_j) = N(k \cap \operatorname{Bd} C_j) = 3$, and

(b)
$$C'_{j} \prec C_{j-1}, C'_{j} > C_{j+1},$$

$$L(C_{j-1},\,C_{j}')\,=\,L(C_{j-1},\,C_{j})$$
 ,

and

$$L(C'_{j}, C_{j+1}) = L(C_{j}, C_{j+1})$$
.

The sequence

$$E_0 > C_1 > \cdots > C_{j-1} > C'_j > C_{j+1} > \cdots > C_s > E_{i+1}$$

will then be a sequence in \mathcal{B} by Lemma 2; and we note that

Bd $C'_j \cap$ Bd $E_l \subset$ Bd $C_j \cap$ Bd E_l ,

particularly if l = h, when

Bd
$$C'_i \cap$$
 Bd $E_h \subset$ Bd $C_j \cap$ Bd $E_h - \{\alpha\}$.

But this new sequence in \mathscr{B} has at least one less intersection curve in $C(\mathscr{C}_{i+1})$ than our original sequence, contradicting the minimality hypothesis (ii). Hence if (a) and (b) hold, we must conclude that $C(\mathscr{C}_{i+1})$ is empty.

(a) k meets Bd C'_j in at least three points, for k has penetration index three at p. So $N(k \cap \text{Bd } C'_j) \ge N(k \cap \text{Bd } C_j)$, i.e. $N(k \cap D) \ge N(k \cap D')$. But

$$N(k \cap D') \leq N(k \cap D) \leq N(k \cap D(\sigma)) \leq 1;$$

so certainly $k \cap D'$ is empty if $k \cap D = \emptyset$, and k meets D' in precisely one point if $N(k \cap D) = 1$, because $\nu(k \cap \operatorname{Bd} S) = 0$. In both cases, then, $N(k \cap D) = N(k \cap D')$ and $N(k \cap \text{Bd } C'_j) = 3$.

(b) If $k \cap D = \emptyset$, this is trivial. If $k \cap D$ and $k \cap D'$ are both one-point sets, $k \cap S$ must consist of one arc γ joining D and D', so C'_j and C_j are k-similar. Then it follows from Lemma 1 that $C'_j \prec C_{j-1}$ and $C'_j \succ C_{j+1}$, and from B and B^* that

$$L(C_{j-1}, C'_j) = L(C_{j-1}, C_j)$$

and

$$L(C'_{j}, C_{j+1}) = L(C_{j}, C_{j+1})$$
.

We conclude that $C(\mathscr{C}_{i+1}) = \emptyset$; that is, that Bd $C_j \cap$ Bd $E_k = \emptyset$ for all indices $j = 1, \dots, s$ and $h = 1, \dots, i$. Our next aim is to show that the assumption s > i leads to a contradiction; and to this end, we let n(h) denote the number of 2-spheres Bd C_j contained in Int $(E_k - E_{k+1}), h = 0, \dots, i$.

That n(0) and n(i) are both at most 1 follows from Lemma 1. Indeed, suppose $\operatorname{Bd} C_{s-1} \cup \operatorname{Bd} C_s \subset \operatorname{Int} (E_i - E_{i+1})$. Then $C_s \sim E_i$ because $C_s > E_{i+1}$, so there is no subarc of k in $\operatorname{Cl} (E_i - C_s)$ which has both endpoints lying on $\operatorname{Bd} C_s$. Since $E_i \supset C_{s-1} \supset C_s$, it is therefore impossible that $C_{s-1} > C_s$. So C_{s-1} must contain E_i in its interior, and $n(i) \leq 1$. Similarly $n(0) \leq 1$.

Suppose n(h) = r; that is, that the 2-spheres Bd C_j, \dots , Bd C_{j+r-1} all lie in Int $(E_h - E_{h+1})$. If C_j and E_h are not k-similar, then $C_j \sim E_{h+1}$ by Lemma 1. Again, this means that there is no subarc of k in $C_j - E_{h+1}$ which has both its endpoints on Bd C_j , so it is impossible that $C_j > C_{j+1}$ if $C_j \supset C_{j+1} \supset E_{h+1}$. So r = 1 if $C_j \sim E_{h+1}$.

If $C_j \sim E_h$, then $E_h > C_{j+1}$ (by the remark B in §1) because $C_j > C_{j+1}$. By Lemma 1, C_{j+1} and E_{h+1} must be k-similar. It is then impossible that $C_{j+1} > C_{j+2}$ if Bd $C_{j+2} \subset \text{Int} (C_{j+1} - E_{h+1})$, so $C_{j+2} \subset E_{h+1}$. Hence r = 2 if $C_j \sim E_h$.

Thus $n(h) = r \leq 2$ for all h. Suppose n(h) = 2 and n(l) = 1 for all l < h. Then $E_{l-1} \supset C_l \supset E_l$ for all l, and $C_1 \sim E_1$ because $C_1 \prec E_0$, by Lemma 1. C_2 and E_1 cannot be k-similar, for then $C_1 \sim C_2$, so $C_2 \sim E_2$ by Lemma 1. Similarly, $C_3 \sim E_3$, $C_4 \sim E_4$, \cdots , $C_k \sim E_k$: but the preceding paragraphs show $C_{h+1} \sim E_h$ because n(h) = 2. Because $C_h \supset E_h \supset C_{h+1}$, C_h and C_{h+1} must be k-similar, which contradicts the hypothesis $C_h > C_{h+1}$. Then n(l) must be zero for at least one l < h.

Similarly, we may show that if h is the last index such that n(h) = 2, then there is an index l > h such that n(l) = 0.

Now suppose n(h) = n(t) = 2, but n(r) = 1 for all h < r < t. Let C_i be the 3-cell which is k-similar to E_{h+1} . Then $C_{l+1} \sim E_{h+2}$, so $C_{l+2} \sim E_{h+3}, \cdots$, and $C_{l+t-h-1} \sim E_t$. But because

$$C_{l+t-h-1} \supset E_t \supset C_{l+t-h} \succ C_{l+t-h+1} \supset E_{t+1}$$
 ,

it follows that $C_{l+t-h} \sim E_t$; but then $C_{l+t-h-1} \sim C_{l+t-h}$, which is impossible. So n(r) must be zero for some r, h < r < t.

Thus, in the sequence $n(0), n(1), \dots, n(i)$, we have shown that there is an 0 preceding the first 2, succeeding the last 2 is a 0, and between any two 2's there is a 0. There are more 0's than 2's therefore. But then

$$s = \sum_{h=0}^{i} n(h) < i+1 \leq s$$

which is impossible. So the assumption s > i leads to a contradiction. However if s < i, we let m(j) denote the number of 2-spheres Bd E_h in Int $(C_j - C_{j+1})$, and deduce, as above, that

$$i = \sum m(j) < s + 1 \leq i$$
 .

This forces s = i.

We now have two containing sequences for E_{i+1} , of length *i* in E_{0} ; we wish to show that the associated sequences of prime links are identical.

For each index h, it is impossible that $C_h > E_h$ if $C_h \supset E_h$, for then

$$E_0 > C_1 > C_2 > \cdots > C_h > E_h$$

is a containing sequence for E_h , of length h in E_0 , while

$$E_0 > E_1 > \cdots > E_{h-1} > E_h$$

is a containing sequence of length h-1 in E_o . But from the part of the lemma already proven, any two containing sequences for E_h must have the same length -h-1 - in E_o . Therefore C_h and E_h are k-similar if $C_h \supset E_h$; similarly, $C_h \sim E_h$ if $C_h \subset E_h$.

Then to prove $L(C_h, C_{h+1}) = L(E_h, E_{h+1})$, we have several possibilities to consider: we shall only prove that the prime hubs are identical if $E_h \supset C_h > C_{h+1} \supset E_{h+1}$. We have

$$L(C_h, C_{h+1}) = L(E_h, C_{h+1}) = L(E_h, E_{h+1})$$

where the equalities follow from the statements B and B^* of §1.

The other possibilities may be considered similarly, and we conclude that $L(C_h, C_{h+1}) = L(E_h, E_{h+1})$ for all h; that is, the associated sequences of prime links are identical.

LEMMA 4. Let \mathcal{C} be a Fox-Artin sequence for k, and let $C \subset \text{Int } E_0$ be a 3-cell. Then C has a containing sequence

$$E_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} = C_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} \succ C_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \succ C_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \succ \cdots \succ C_{\scriptscriptstyle s} \succ C = C_{\scriptscriptstyle s+1}$$

such that

$$L(C_i, C_{i+1}) = L(E_i, E_{i+1})$$
, $i = 0, 1, \dots, s$.

Proof. There exists an index h such that $E_h \subset \text{Int } C$. Let \mathscr{B} be the class of all containing sequences for E_h in E_o , which therefore have length h - 1 in E_o , and the associated sequence of prime links for each containing sequence is the first h terms of the sequence $L(\mathscr{C})$, by Lemma 3. \mathscr{B} is not empty. Then there exists one sequence in \mathscr{B} , say

$$E_0 > C_1 > C_2 > \cdots > C_{h-1} > E_h$$

with the properties:

(i) Bd C is in general position with respect to Bd C_1 , Bd C_2 , ..., Bd C_{k-1} , and

(ii) the number of intersection curves $\operatorname{Bd} C \cap \bigcup \operatorname{Bd} C_j$ is minimal in \mathscr{B} , and none of these curves meets k.

As in the proof of Lemma 3, we may keep C fixed and modify our sequence in \mathscr{B} to eliminate those intersection curves which bound discs on Bd C containing at most one point of k, i.e. to eliminate any intersection curves which may occur. Since the number of curves of Bd $C \cap \bigcup$ Bd C_j is assumed to be minimal, we conclude that Bd $C \cap$ Bd $C_j = \emptyset$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, h - 1$.

Then $C_{j+1} \subset C \subset C_j$ for some $j, 0 \leq j < h - 1$. From Lemma 1, it follows that either $C \sim C_j$ or $C \sim C_{j+1}$ and $C \prec C_j$. In the first case,

$$E_0 > C_1 > \cdots > C_{j-1} > C$$

is a containing sequence for C, while

$$E_0 > C_1 > \cdots > C_{j-1} > C_j > C$$

is a containing sequence in the second case. Then we can take the s in the statement of this lemma to be either j or j-1, and the result follows because

$$L(C_s, C) = L(C_s, C_{s+1}) = L(E_s, E_{s+1})$$

where the equality on the left follows from either statement B or B^* .

We are now ready to prove the theorem.

Since k_1 and k_2 are of the same oriented local type at their respective endpoints, there exist neighbourhoods U_i of p_i (with orientation inherited from R^3), and an orientation-preserving homeomorphism

$$h: (U_1, U_1 \cap k_1, p_1) \rightarrow (U_2, U_2 \cap k_2, p_2)$$

which takes U_1 onto U_2 .

Let $\mathscr{C}_1: E_0 > E_1 > E_2 > \cdots$ and $\mathscr{C}_2: B_0 > B_1 > B_2 > \cdots$ be Fox-Artin sequences for k_1 and k_2 respectively, and consider $h(\mathscr{C}_1)$; that is, the sequence

$$h(E_0) > h(E_1) > h(E_2) > \cdots$$

which is a Fox-Artin sequence for k_2 . There exists an index t such that $h(E_t) \subset \text{Int } B_0$; by Lemma 4, there exist 3-cells C_1, \dots, C_s such that

$$B_0 \succ C_1 \succ C_2 \succ \cdots \succ C_s \succ h(E_t) \succ h(E_{t+1}) \succ \cdots \succ h(E_{t+r})$$

is a containing sequence for $h(E_{t+r})$ in B_0 . Also, there exists an index H(r) = H and 3-cells $C_{s+r+2}, \dots, C_{H-1}$ such that

$$h(E_{t+r}) > C_{s+r+2} > \cdots > C_{H-1} > B_H$$

is a containing sequence for B_H in $h(E_{t+r})$.

Then we have two containing sequences for B_{H} in B_{0} , namely

$$B_0 > B_1 > B_2 > \cdots > B_H$$

and

$$B_0 \succ C_1 \succ \cdots \succ C_s \succ h(E_t) \succ h(E_{t+1}) \succ \cdots$$

$$\succ h(E_{t+r}) \succ C_{s+r+2} \succ \cdots \succ C_{H-1} \succ B_H.$$

Then by Lemma 3,

$$egin{aligned} L(E_t,\,E_{t+1}) &= L(h(E_t),\,h(E_{t+1})) = L(B_{s+1},\,B_{s+2}) \;, \ L(E_{t+1},\,E_{t+2}) &= L(h(E_{t+1}),\,h(E_{t+2})) = L(B_{s+2},\,B_{s+3}) \;, \ dots \ L(E_{t+r-1},\,E_{t+r}) &= L(h(E_{t+r-1}),\,h(E_{t+r})) = L(B_{s+-1},\,B_{s+r}) \;. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $r = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$ shows that the sequences $L(\mathscr{C}_1)$ and $L(\mathscr{C}_2)$ are cofinal.

References

1. W. R. Alford and B. J. Ball, Some almost polyhedral wild arcs, Duke Math. J., **30** (1963), 33-38.

2. R. H. Fox, Some problems in knot theory, in TOPOLOGY OF 3-MANIFOLDS, ed. M. K. Fort, Jr., Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J. 1962.

3. R. H. Fox and E. Artin, Some wild cells and spheres in three-dimensional space, Ann. Math., (2) **49** (1948), 979-990.

4. R. H. Fox and O. G. Harrold, *The Wilder arcs*, in TOPOLOGY OF 3-MANIFOLDS, ed. M. K. Fort, Jr., Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J. 1962.

5. C. H. Giffen, Uncountably many inequivalent nearly tame arcs, Abstract 607-19, Notices Amer. Math. Math. Soc., 10 (1963), 666-667.

6. Y. Hashizume, On the uniqueness of the decomposition of a link, Osaka Math. J.,

JAMES M. MCPHERSON

10 (1958), 283-300.

7. R. Holmes and N. Smythe, Algebraic invariants of isotopy of links, Amer. J. Math., 88 (1966), 646-654.

8. S. J. Lomonaco, An algebraic theory of local knottedness, I, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (1967), 322-343.

9. J. McPherson, Wild knots and arcs in a 3-manifold, University of New South Wales Ph. D. thesis, 1970.

10. _____, A sufficient condition for an arc to be nearly polyhedral, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 28 (1971), 229-233.

11. _____, A family of non-invertible prime links, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 4 (1971), 105-108, Corrigendum 5 (1971), 141-143.

12. C. D. Sikkema, A duality between certain spheres and arcs in S^3 , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **122** (1966), 399-415.

13. C. D. Sikkema, S. Kinoshita, and S. J. Lomonaco, Uncountably many quasi-translations of S^3 , manuscript.

14. N. Smythe, Topological invariants of isotopy of links, I, Amer. J. Math., **92** (1970), 86-98.

Received October 23, 1970 and in revised form April 5, 1971.

THE AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY CANBERRA, AUSTRALIA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University Stanford, California 94305 J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E.F. BECKENBACH B.H. NEUMANN F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

*

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$48.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$24.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

C. R. HOBBY University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 45, No. 2 October, 1973

| Kenneth Paul Baclawski and Kenneth Kapp, <i>Induced topologies for quasigroups</i> | 303 |
|---|-----|
| D G Bourgin Fixed point and min – max theorems | 403 |
| I. L. Brenner. Zolotarev's theorem on the Legendre symbol. | 413 |
| Iospeh Atkins Childress. Ir Restricting isotopies of spheres | 415 |
| John Edward Coury Some results on lacunary Walsh series | 419 |
| James B. Derr and N. P. Mukheriee. <i>Generalized Sylow tower groups</i> II | 427 |
| Paul Frazier Duvall Ir. Peter Eletcher and Robert Allen McCov Isotony Galois | |
| spaces | 435 |
| Mary Rodriguez Embry, <i>Strictly cyclic operator algebras on a Banach space</i> | 443 |
| Abi (Abiadbollah) Fattahi. On generalizations of Sylow tower groups | 453 |
| Burton I. Fein and Murray M. Schacher, <i>Maximal subfields of tensor products</i> | 479 |
| Ervin Fried and J. Sichler. <i>Homomorphisms of commutative rings with unit</i> | |
| element | 485 |
| Kenneth R. Goodearl, <i>Essential products of nonsingular rings</i> | 493 |
| George Grätzer, Bjarni Jónsson and H. Lakser, The amalgamation property in | |
| equational classes of modular lattices | 507 |
| H. Groemer, On some mean values associated with a randomly selected simplex | |
| in a convex set | 525 |
| Marcel Herzog, Central 2-Sylow intersections | 535 |
| Joel Saul Hillel, On the number of type-k translation-invariant groups | 539 |
| Ronald Brian Kirk, A note on the Mackey topology for $(C^b(X)^*, C^b(X))$ | 543 |
| J. W. Lea, <i>The peripherality of irreducible elements of lattice</i> . | 555 |
| John Stewart Locker, <i>Self-adjointness for multi-point differential operators</i> | 561 |
| Robert Patrick Martineau, Splitting of group representations | 571 |
| Robert Massagli, On a new radical in a topological ring | 577 |
| James Murdoch McPherson, Wild arcs in three-space. I. Families of Fox-Artin | |
| arcs | 585 |
| James Murdoch McPherson, Wild arcs in three-space. III. An invariant of | |
| oriented local type for exceptional arcs | 599 |
| Fred Richman, <i>The constructive theory of countable abelian</i> p-groups | 621 |
| Edward Barry Saff and J. L. Walsh, On the convergence of rational functions | |
| which interpolate in the roots of unity | 639 |
| Harold Eugene Schlais, Non-aposyndesis and non-hereditary | 612 |
| Mark Lawrence Tark, A data of divisible we dolor | 652 |
| Edward Leasth Tully. In <i>Macanumentation consistency in which and</i> | 033 |
| Edward Joseph Tully, Jr., <i>R-commutative semigroups in which each</i> | 660 |
| Corth William Warper, Ir. Zata functions on the real general linear comm | 601 |
| Keith Vale Cocyles with range [+1] | 602 |
| Chi Lin Yan. On the rest points of a poplinger non-superside a | 600 |
| Cin-Lin 16n, On the rest points of a nonlinear nonexpansive semigroup | 099 |