Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON REALIZING HNN GROUPS IN 3-MANIFOLDS

C. D. Feustel and Robert John Gregorac

Vol. 46, No. 2 December 1973

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 46, No. 2, 1973

ON REALIZING HNN GROUPS IN 3-MANIFOLDS

C. D. FEUSTEL AND R. J. GREGORAC

In this paper we suppose that the fundamental group of a 3-manifold M has a presentation as an HNN group. We then show that under suitable conditions we can realize this presentation by embedding a closed, connected imcompressible surface in M.

In [2], [3], and [4] we show that if $\pi_1(M^3)$ is constructed in certain ways, one can realize this construction by a surface embedded in M^3. In this paper we show that one can realize the HNN construction when certain relationships between $\pi_1(M^3)$ and M^3 are present. The results in this paper are related to Theorem 2.4 in [10].

In this paper all spaces will be simplicial complexes, all maps will be piecewise linear, and all 3-manifolds will be 3-manifolds with boundary. However the boundary may be vacuous. Let X be a connected subspace of a space Y. As usual we shall denote the boundary, closure, and interior of X in Y by bd (X), cl (X), and int (X) respectively. The natural inclusion map from X into Y will be denoted by ρ and the induced homomorphism from $\pi_1(X)$ into $\pi_1(Y)$ by ρ_*. Let S be a closed connected surface other than the 2-sphere of projective plane embedded in a space Y. Then S is *incompressible* in Y if $\rho_*: \pi_1(S) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$ is one-to-one. If S is a closed surface embedded in Y, then S is *incompressible* in Y if each component of S is incompressible in Y. Irreducible and P^2-irreducible are defined as in [7]. We denote the unit interval [0, 1] by I throughout.

DEFINITION 1. Let K be a group and A a subgroup of K. Let S be a closed connected surface other than the projective plane or 2-sphere. Let $A_j \cong \pi_1(S)$ and $A_j \subset A$ for $j = 1, 2$. Let k be an element of K not in A such that $A_1 = k^{-1}A_2k$. Then if A and k generate K and all relations of K are consequences of the relations of A together with the relations k induces between the elements of A_1 and A_2, we shall say that *K is an extension of A by k across A_1 and A_2.* The reader will note that the class of groups defined above is a subclass of the Higmann, Neumann, Neumann (H.N.N.) groups [8].

Let M be a 3-manifold, x a point in M, and S an incompressible surface in M such that $M - S$ is connected. Then it is a consequence of Van Kampen's Theorem that $\pi_1(M, x)$ is an extension of $\pi_1(M - S, x)$ by some element of $\pi_1(M, x)$ across appropriate subgroups of $\pi_1(M, x)$. One might then wonder "If $\pi_1(M, x)$ were such an extension, could we embed in M an incompressible surface which realizes this extension." We will show blow that this can, in fact, be done. Let M be a compact 3-manifold and x a point of M. We suppose that $\pi_1(M, x)$ is an extension of A by k across A_1 and A_2 as given in Definition 1 above. We can represent this extension by an ordered sequence $\langle \pi_1(M, x), A, A_1, A_2, k \rangle$. If for each component F of the boundary of M some conjugate $\rho_* \pi_1(F)$ is contained in A, we shall say that the *extension preserves the peripheral structure of M.* Suppose a second representation of $\pi_1(M, x)$ is given by $\langle \pi_1(M, x), B, B_1, B_2, \hat{k} \rangle$ and this extension of B is induced by an incompressible, closed, two-sided surface S embedded in M and a loop l meeting S in the single point x, i.e., B is generated by the elements of $\pi_1(M, x)$ having representative loops which do not cross S, $\hat{k} = [l]$, $B_1 = \rho_* \pi_1(S, x)$ and $B_2 = [l] B_1 [l]^{-1}$. We shall say that S realizes the extension of B if there is an isomorphism

$$\Phi \colon \pi_1(M, x) \longrightarrow \pi_1(M, x)$$

such that

(1) $\Phi(A) = B$

(2) $\Phi(A_j) = B_j \quad j = 1, 2$

(3) $\Phi(k) = \hat{k}$.

THEOREM 1. *Let M be a compact 3-manifold such that $\pi_2(M) = 0$. Let S be a closed connected surface other than the 2-sphere or projective plane. Suppose $\pi_1(M, x)$ has a representation given by*

$$\langle \pi_1(M, x), A, A_1, A_2, k \rangle$$

where $A_1 \cong \pi_1(S)$ and the extension above preserves the peripheral structure of M. Then there is an embedding of S in M which realizes the given extension.

The proof of Theorem 1 above is similar in many respects to the proof of Theorem 1 in [3]. One first constructs a complex X having the same fundamental group as M. One then finds a map $f \colon M \to X$ inducing an isomorphism from $\pi_1(M)$ to $\pi_1(X)$. The complex X is constructed to contain an embedded surface S realizing the given extension. One shows that there is a map g homotopic to f such that $g^{-1}(S)$ is an incompressible, connected, closed surface in M and that $g^{-1}(S)$ realizes the given extension.

The following three lemmas appear in [4]. We omit the proofs which are not difficult.

LEMMA 1. *Let M be a compact, connected 3-manifold such that $\pi_2(M) = 0$. Let X be a connected complex and S a closed incompressi-*

ble surface embedded in X and having a neighborhood homeomorphic to $S \times I$. We suppose that no component of S is a 2-sphere or projective plane. Let X_k, $k = 1, \cdots, n$ be the components of $X - S$. We suppose that $\pi_i(X) = \pi_i(X_k) = 0$ for $i \geqq 2$ and $k = 1, \cdots, n$. Let $f\colon M \to X$ be a map such that $f_*\colon \pi_1(M) \to \pi_1(X)$ is one-to-one $f\,\mathrm{bd}(M)$ does not meet S. Then there is a homotopy, constant on bd (M), *of f to a map g such that $g^{-1}(S)$ is an incompressible surface in M.*

LEMMA 2. *Let S_1 and S_2 be disjoint, incompressible, connected, two-sided surfaces which are embedded in a P^2-irreducible 3-maifold M. Then if S_1 is homotopic to S_2 in M, $S_1 \cup S_2$ bounds an $S_1 \times I$ embedded in M.*

LEMMA 3. *Let M_1 be a compact, connected, 3-manifold, X a connected complex, and F and S incompressible connected surfaces in M_1 and X respectively. We suppose that S is neither a 2-sphere or projective plane and $\pi_i(X) = 0$ for $i \geqq 2$.*

Let $f\colon (M_1, F) \to (X, S)$ be a map of pairs such that for some $x \in F$

$$f_*\pi_1(M_1, x) \subset \pi_1(S, f(x)) .$$

Then f is homotopic under a deformation, constant on F, to a map into S.

Proof of Theorem 1. It is a consequence of Remark 1 in [9] that we may assume that M is irreducible.

Let $(M_A, \hat{x}, p)$ be the covering space of (M, x) associated with $A \subset \pi_1(M, x)$. Let $f_1, f_2; (S, y) \to (M, x)$ be maps such that $f_{j*}(\pi_1(S, y)) = A_j$, for $j = 1, 2$. Since $f_{j*}(\pi_1(S, y)) \subset p_*\pi_1(M_A, \hat{x})$, there is a map $\hat{f}_j\colon (S, y) \to (M_A, \hat{x})$ such that $p\hat{f}_j = f_j$ for $j = 1, 2$. Let X be the union of M_A and $S \times I$ with identifications $\hat{f}_1(s) = (s, 0)$ and $\hat{f}_2(s) = (s, 1)$. We note that the arc $\{y\} \times [0, 1] \subset S \times I$ becomes a simple loop $\hat{l}$ after the identification above since $\hat{f}_1(y) = \hat{f}_2(y) = \hat{x}$. Let $\Phi\colon A \cup \{k\} \to \pi_1(X, \hat{x})$ be a function defined by $\Phi(k) = [l]$ and $\Phi(a) = P_*^{-1}(a)$ for $a \in A$. Then Φ can be extended to an isomorphism of $\pi_1(M, x)$ onto $\pi_1(X, \hat{x})$ since X has been constructed so that $\pi_1(X, \hat{x})$ will have a presentation identical to the given presentation of $\pi_1(M, x)$.

It can be shown as in the proof of the theorem in [2] that $\pi_i(X) = \pi_i(X - S) = 0$ for $i \geqq 2$.

We denotes $S \times \{1/2\} \subset X$ by S.

Let the boundary of M be expressed as $\bigcup_{m=1}^{n} F_m$ where F_m is a closed connected 2-manifold. Then some conjugate of $\rho_*\pi_1(F_m)$ is contained in A for $m = 1, \cdots, n$. Thus we can find a collection $\{\alpha_m \mid m = 1, \cdots, n\}$ of simple arcs embedded in M such that intersection of each pair of these arcs is x, α_m meets F_m in a single point, and there is a map $\hat{\rho}\colon \bigcup_{m=1}^{n} (F_m \cup \alpha_m) \to M_A$ such that $p\hat{\rho} = \rho$. Note that for each loop l_0 in $\bigcup_{m=1}^{n} (F_m \cup \alpha_m)$ based at x, $[\hat{\rho}l_0] = \Phi[l_0]$. Since $\hat{\rho}_*\rho_* = \Phi\rho_*\colon \pi_1(\bigcup_{m=1}^{n} (F_m \cup \alpha_m), x) \to \pi_1(X, \hat{x})$, we can extend $\hat{\rho}$ to a map $f\colon M \to X$ such that $\Phi = f_*\colon \pi_1(M, x) \to \pi_1(X, \hat{x})$ by using standard techniques from obstruction theory. (See [2] or [3] for the details of this construction.) It is a consequence of Lemma 1 that there is a map g_1 homotopic to f such that $g_1^{-1}(S)$ is an incompressible surface in M and $g_1 = f$ on the boundary of M.

Since $g_1^{-1}(S)$ and S are incompressible in M and X respectively, if S_0 is any component of $g_1^{-1}(S)$, the homomorphism $(g_1 | S_0)_*\colon \pi_1(S_0) \to \pi_1(S)$ is one-to-one. Thus by Theorem 1 in [6] $g_1 | S_0$ is homotopic to a covering map. Thus after a deformation, constant outside of a small neighborhood of S_0, we may assume that $g_1 | S_0$ is a local homeomorphism. Thus we may assume that g_1 is a local homeomorphism on $g_1^{-1}(S)$.

Let z be a point on S_0. Suppose that the isomorphism $\Phi_0 = g_{1*}\colon \pi_1(M, z) \to \pi_1(X, g_1(z))$ does not carry $\pi_1(S_0, z)$ onto $\pi_1(S, g_1(z))$. It is a consequence of the result in [1] that M is P^2-irreducible. Since $\Phi_0^{-1}\pi_1(S, g_1(z))$ would properly contain $\pi_1(S_0, x)$, we would have by Theorem 6 in [7] that S_0 bounds a twisted line bundle $N \subset M$. One can easily show using the techniques of [7], as has been done in [5], that $\rho_*\pi_1(N, z)$ may be taken to be $\Phi_0^{-1}(\rho_*\pi_1(S, g_1(z)))$. It follows from Lemma 3 that there is a deformation of g_1 to a map g_2 which pushes $g_1(N)$ first onto S and then to one side of S so that $g_2^{-1}(S) = g_1^{-1}(S) - S_0$. Thus we can assume that $(g_1 | S_0)_*\colon \pi_1(S_0) \to \pi_1(S)$ is an epimorphism for each component S_0 of $g_1^{-1}(S)$.

Since $\pi_1(M) \not\subset A$, $g_1^{-1}(S)$ is not empty.

Let S_0 and S_1 be components of $g_1^{-1}(S)$. We claim that $S_0 \cup S_1$ bounds a copy of $S_0 \times [0, 1]$ embedded in M. Since M is P^2-irreducible, this will follow from Lemma 2 after we show that S_0 and S_1 are homotopic. Let z_0 be a point on S_0. Since $g_1 | S_0$ and $g_1 | S_1$ are assumed to be homeomorphisms, there is a unique point z_1 on S_1 such that $g_1(z_0) = g_1(z_1)$. Let α be an arc running from z_0 to z_1. Since g_{1*} is an isomorphism, we can find a loop l_1 based at z_0 such that the loops $g_1(l_1)$ and $g_1(\alpha)$ represent the same element in $\pi_1(X, g_1(z_0))$. Thus we may assume that $[g_1(\alpha)] = 1 \in \pi_1(X)$. Let λ_0 be a loop on S_0 based at z_0 and λ_1 a loop on S_1 such that $g_1(\lambda_0) = g_1(\lambda_1)$. Since the loop $g_1(\lambda_0)g_1(\alpha)(g_1(\lambda_1))^{-1}(g_1(\alpha))^{-1}$ is nullhomotopic and $\pi_2(X) = 0$, we can show as in the proof of Theorem 1 in [3] that S_0 and S_1 are homotopic. Our claim follows.

We wish to show that we may assume $g_1^{-1}(S)$ contains exactly one component.

Suppose there is more than one component in $g_1^{-1}(S)$ and that the number of components of $g_1^{-1}(S)$ cannot be decreased by a small deformation of g_1. Let $l: S^1 \to M$ be a loop in M such that $g_{1*}[l] = [\hat{l}]$. We may assume that

(i) $g_1(l)$ meets S since the intersection number of $[\hat{l}]$ and S is one. Thus we can take our basepoint to lie on one of the surfaces in $g_1^{-1}(S)$.

(ii) l crosses $g_1^{-1}(S)$ at each point in $l \cap g^{-1}(S)$ and thus $(g_1 l)^{-1}(S)$ is a finite set whose cardinality cannnot be reduced.

(iii) $g_1(l \cap g_1^{-1}(S))$ is a single point.

Let D be a disk and β_1 and β_2 arcs in the boundary of D such that $\beta_1 \cap \beta_2 = \text{bd}\,(\beta_1)$. Then we can define a map $\gamma: D \to X$ such that $\gamma(\beta_1)$ is the loop $g_1 l(S^1)$ and $\gamma(\beta_2)$ is the loop $\hat{l}$.

We wish to show that $g_1^{-1}(S)$ may be taken to be homeomorphic to S (connected). Assume that $g_1^{-1}(S)$ is not connected; then it has been shown that each pair of distinct surfaces in $g_1^{-1}(S)$ bounds a copy of $S \times I$ embedded in M. If this is the case, it is clear that $l^{-1}g_1^{-1}(S)$ contains more than one point. Let $\delta_1, \cdots, \delta_v$ be the closures of the components of $S^1 - l^{-1}g_1^{-1}(S)$. After a general position argument we may assume $\gamma^{-1}(S)$ contains an arc β_3 which cuts off an arc $\beta_4 \subset \beta_1$ and that $g_1 l(\delta_1) = \gamma(\beta_4)$. Now l carries bd (δ_1) to one or two components of $g_1^{-1}(S)$.

If $l(\text{bd}\,(\delta_1))$ is a single point, the loop $l(\delta_1)$ is homotopic to a loop $l_1 \subset g_1^{-1}(S)$ such that $g_1(l_1) = \gamma(\beta_3)$ since the restriction of g_1 to each component of $g_1^{-1}(S)$ is a homeomorphism and g_{1*} is an isomorphism. It would follow that the number of points in $l^{-1}g_1^{-1}(S)$ could have been reduced by a different choice of l. Thus we conclude that l carries the points of bd (δ_1) to distinct components of $g_1^{-1}(S)$.

Let N be closure of the component of $M - g_1^{-1}(S)$ which meets $l(\delta_1)$. Let S_0 be a component of bd (N). Since $g_1 | S_0$ is a homeomorphism and the loop $g_1 l(\delta_1)$ is homotopic to a loop in S, we may assume that the loop $g_1 l(\delta_1)$ is homotopic to a point. (One alters the image of l in a neighborhood of S_0.)

Since the loop $g_1 l(\delta_1)$ is nullhomotopic in X, it can be shown that the map $g_1 | N$ is homotopic mod bd (N) to a map into S; full details of a similar argument appear in [3]. It follows after an argument by induction that there exists a map $g: M \to X$ homotopic to g_1 mod bd (M) such that $g^{-1}(S)$ contains exactly one component S_0 and $g | S_0$ is a homeomorphism. After an argument similar to the one given above, we can find a loop l meeting S_0 in a single point and based at $x \in M$ such that $g_*[l] = [\hat{l}]$.

We observe that S_0 and l induce an expression of $\pi_1(M, x)$ as an extension of a subgroup B of $\pi_1(M, x)$. Let B_1 and B_2 be the associated subgroups of $\pi_1(M, x)$. Then we see that our map g induces an isomorphism $g_*: \pi_1(M, x) \to \pi_1(M, x)$ such that

(1) $g_*(B) \subset A$

(2) $g_*(B_1) = A_1$

(3) $g_* B_2 = A_2$.

Thus Theorem 1 is an immediate consequence of the remark preceding Lemma 2 on page 238 in [8] which shows that g_* sends B onto A.

REMARK 1. The remark mentioned above allows us to strengthen the statement of the theorem in [2] so that the splitting and the cutting are both actually realized.

REMARK 2. We can also realize geometrically more general presentations of $\pi_1(M)$ as an HNN group. In particular one might have that $\pi_1(M)$ has a presentation as in the first definition in §4 in [8] where each of the subgroups L_i of K is isomorphic to the fundamental group of a closed connected surface other than S^2 or the projective plane and there are only finitely many of the t_i. The proof of this result varies only slightly from the one given above.

REMARK 3. Theorem 1 in this paper together with Theorem 1 in [3] or [4] give us a sort of converse to Van Kampen's theorem as applied to a closed, connected, incompressible surface, other than S^2 or the projective plane, embedded in the interior of a compact 3-manifold.

REMARK 4. This paper is in some sense a generalization of Stalling's work in [11].

REFERENCES

1. C. D. Feustel, *M^3 admitting a certain embedding of P^2 is a pseudo P^3*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **26** (1970), 215–216.

2. ———, *On replacing proper Dehn maps with proper embeddings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **166** (1972), 261–267.

3. ———, *A splitting theorem for closed orientable 3-manifolds*, Topology, **11** (1972), 151–158.

4. ———, *A generalization of Kneser's conjecture*, Pacific J. Math., to appear.

5. ———, *On S-maximal subgroups of $\pi_1(M^2)$*, Canad. J. Math., **24** (1972), 439–449.

6. W. Heil, *On P^3-irreducible 3-manifolds*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **75** (1969), 772–775.

7. W. Jaco, *Finitely presented subgroups of three-manifold groups*, Inventions Math., **13** (1971), 335–346.

8. A. Karrass and D. Solitar, *The subgroups of a free product of two groups with an amalgamated subgroups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **150** (1970), 227–255.

9. J. Milnor, *A unique decomposition theorem for 3-manifolds*, Amer. J. Math., **84** (1962), 1–7.

10. G. P. Scott, *On sufficiently large 3-manifolds*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford, **23** (1972), 159–172.

11. J. Stallings, *On Fibering Certain 3-Manifolds*, Topology of 3-manifolds, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1962, 95–100.

Received April 28, 1972 and in revised form October 3, 1972.

VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE AND STATE UNIVERSITY
AND
IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: $48.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: $24.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 46, No. 2 December, 1973