Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# DUAL SPACES OF CERTAIN VECTOR SEQUENCE SPACES

Ronald C. Rosier

Vol. 46, No. 2 December 1973

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 46, No. 2, 1973

# DUAL SPACES OF CERTAIN VECTOR SEQUENCE SPACES

RONALD C. ROSIER

**This article is an investigation of certain spaces of sequences with values in a locally convex space analogous to the generalized sequence spaces introduced by Pietsch in his monograph** *Verallgemeinerte Volkommene Folgenräume* **(Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1962). Pietsch combines a perfect sequence space $\Lambda$ and a locally convex space $E$ to obtain the space $\Lambda(E)$ of all $E$ valued sequences $x = (x_n)$ such that the scalar sequence $(\langle a, x_n \rangle)$ is in $\Lambda$ for every $a \in E'$. Define $\Lambda\{E\}$ to be the space of all $E$ valued sequences $x = (x_n)$ such that the scalar sequence $(p(x_n))$ is in $\Lambda$ for every continuous seminorm $p$ on $E$. The spaces $\Lambda(E)$ and $\Lambda\{E\}$ are topologized using the topology of $E$ and a certain collection $\mathscr{M}$ of bounded subsets of $\Lambda^x$, the $\alpha$ — dual of $\Lambda$.**

**The criteria for bounded sets, compact sets, and completeness are similar for both spaces. The significant difference lies in the duality theory. The dual of $\Lambda(E)_{\mathscr{M}}$ is difficult to represent, but the dual of $\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}$ is shown to be easily representable for general $\Lambda$ and $E$. For many special cases of $\Lambda$ and $E$ the dual of $\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}$ is of the form $\Lambda^x\{E'\}$ where $\Lambda^x$ is the $\alpha$ — dual of $\Lambda$ and $E'$ is the strong dual of $E$.**

We begin by recalling basic definitions and elementary facts about sequence spaces and establishing some notation. After defining the space $[\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}]$ and deriving some elementary properties, we proceed to a description of its dual space. We show that the notion of a "fundamentally $\Lambda$-bounded" space $E$ provides sufficient conditions for the duality relationship $\Lambda\{E\}' = \Lambda^x\{E\}$. We next show that there are large classes of $\Lambda$ and $E$ satisfying these conditions and we conclude by applying our results to the case $\Lambda = l^p$ obtain, for example, that the strong dual of $l^p\{E\}$ is $l^q\{E'\}$ for $E$ a normed, Frechet, or $(DF)$-space, $1 \leqq p < \infty$, $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$.

I would like to thank Professor G. M. Köthe for his encouragement during the preparation of this work.

**2. Definitions and notations.** A sequence space $\Lambda$ is a vector space of real or complex sequences with the usual coordinatewise operations. To each sequence space $\Lambda$ there corresponds another sequence space $\Lambda^x$, called the $\alpha$ — dual of of $\Lambda$, consisting of all $\alpha = (\alpha_n)$, such that the scalar products $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \sum \alpha_n \beta_n$ converge absolutely, that is $\sum |\alpha_n \beta_n| < \infty$, for all $\beta$ in $\Lambda$. Letting $\Lambda^{xx}$ denote the $\alpha$ — dual of

$\Lambda^x$, we have $\Lambda \subset \Lambda^{xx}$. If $\Lambda^{xx} = \Lambda$, then $\Lambda$ is called a perfect sequence space.

Every perfect sequence space $\Lambda$ satisfies $\phi \subset \Lambda \subset \omega$, where $\phi$ is the space of all sequences with only a finite number of nonzero coordinates and $\omega$ is the space of all scalar sequences. Henceforth we shall consider only perfect spaces $\Lambda$.

A subset $B$ of $\Lambda$ is called bounded if for every $\alpha$ in $\Lambda^x$ there exists a positive constant $\rho$ such that $\sum |\alpha_n \beta_n| \leqq \rho$ for all $\beta$ in $B$. A subset $M$ of $\Lambda$ is called normal if whenever $M$ contains $\alpha$ it also contains all $\beta$ satisfying $|\beta_n| \leqq |\alpha_n|$ for all $n$. The normal hull $N(M)$ of a set $M$ is the set of all sequences $\beta$ such that $|\beta_n| \leqq |\alpha_n|$ for all $n$, for some $\alpha$ in $M$. A simple consequence of these definitions is that the normal hull of a bounded set is bounded. Also every perfect sequence space is normal.

The bilinear form $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \sum \alpha_n \beta_n$ on $\Lambda^x \times \Lambda$ places $\Lambda^x$ and $\Lambda$ in duality with each other. If $M$ is any bounded subset of $\Lambda^x$, then $M^0 = \{\beta \in \Lambda \mid |\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle| = |\sum \alpha_n \beta_n| \leqq 1 \text{ for all } \alpha \in M\}$ is an absorbing absolutely convex subset of $\Lambda$. A family $\mathscr{M}$, consisting of bounded subsets of $\Lambda^x$, is called a normal topologizing system for $\Lambda$ if $\mathscr{M}$ has the following properties: (i) if $M_1, M_2 \in \mathscr{M}$, then there exists $M \in \mathscr{M}$ such that $M_1 \cup M_2 \subset M$. (ii) if $M \in \mathscr{M}$ and $\rho > 0$, then $\rho M \in \mathscr{M}$. (iii) if $\alpha \in \Lambda^x$, then $\alpha \in M$ for some $M \in \mathscr{M}$. (iv) the normal hull of every set in $\mathscr{M}$ is in $\mathscr{M}$.

(1) *If $\mathscr{M}$ is a normal topologizing system for $\Lambda$, then the collection of all $M^0$, $M \in \mathscr{M}$, forms a neighborhood base at* 0 *for a locally convex topology on $\Lambda$. A base of seminorms for this $\mathscr{M}$-topology on $\Lambda$ is given by the seminorms*

$$p_{M^0}(\beta) = \sup\{|\textstyle\sum \alpha_n \beta_n| \mid \alpha \in M\}$$
$$= \sup\{\textstyle\sum |\alpha_n \beta_n| \mid \alpha \in M\}$$

*where $M$ ranges over the normal sets in $\mathscr{M}$.*

It is the normality of $M$ that allows the absolute value to be brought inside the summation above.

The two extreme cases of $\mathscr{M}$ are the class $\mathscr{B} = \mathscr{B}(\Lambda^x)$ consisting of all normal bounded subsets of $\Lambda^x$ and the class $\mathscr{N} = \mathscr{N}(\Lambda^x)$ consisting of all normal hulls $N(\alpha)$ of single elements of $\Lambda^x$. The $\mathscr{B}$-topology on $\Lambda$ is the so called strong or $T_b(\Lambda^x)$-topology on $\Lambda$ and the $\mathscr{N}$-topology on $\Lambda$ is the normal topology on $\Lambda$ in the sense of Köthe, [1. §30]. Note that we always have $\mathscr{N} \subset \mathscr{M} \subset \mathscr{B}$.

We shall need the following result due to Pietsch [2. Satz 1.4].

(2) *A subset $A$ of $\Lambda$ is bounded if, and only if, it is bounded for*

*some (every) $\mathscr{M}$-topology on $\Lambda$.*

Let $\alpha$ be any scalar sequence. We denote by $\alpha(\leqq i)$ the $i$th finite section of $\alpha$, that is the sequence with coordinates $\alpha_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \cdots i$ and 0 for $n > i$. $\alpha(\leqq i) = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots \alpha_i, 0 \cdots)$. Now let $\Lambda_{\mathscr{M}}$ denote $\Lambda$ equipped with an $\mathscr{M}$-topology and define $[\Lambda_{\mathscr{M}}]$ to be that subspace of $\Lambda_{\mathscr{M}}$ consisting of all sequences $\alpha$ which are the $\mathscr{M}$-limit of their finite sections.

(3) *For any normal topologizing system $\mathscr{M}$, $\Lambda_{\mathscr{M}}$ is complete. $[\Lambda_{\mathscr{M}}]$ is a closed subspace of $\Lambda_{\mathscr{M}}$ and hence also complete.*

(4) (a) $[\Lambda_{\mathscr{N}}] = \Lambda_{\mathscr{N}}$ *for every perfect space $\Lambda$.*
(b) *If $\Lambda_{\mathscr{B}}$ is reflexive, then $[\Lambda_{\mathscr{B}}] = \Lambda_{\mathscr{B}}$.*

The proof of (3) is in Pietsch [2. Satz 1.13, 1.14]. The proofs of (4) are in Köthe [1. § 30.5(8) and § 30.7(1), (5)].

Our terminology for locally convex spaces will be that of Köthe [1]. $E$ will always denote a locally convex Hausdorff space. $E$ has a fundamental system of absolutely convex closed neighborhoods of zero which we denote by $\mathscr{U}(E)$. For every $U \in \mathscr{U}(E)$ there is a continuous seminorm on $E$ denoted by $p_U$ and defined by the formula

$$p_U(x) = \sup \{|\langle u, x\rangle| \,|\, u \in U^o\} .$$

We shall always consider $E'$, the topological dual of $E$, to be equipped with the strong topology, that is, the topology defined by the neighborhoods $B^o$ or seminorms

$$p_{B^o}(u) = \sup \{|\langle u, x\rangle| \,|\, x \in B\}$$

where $B$ ranges over the bounded subsets of $E$.

Let $U \in \mathscr{U}(E)$ and $p_U$ be the corresponding seminorm. Let $N(U)$ denote the kernel of $p_U$ and let $E_U = E/N(U)$ be the normed quotient space formed by equipping $E/N(U)$ with the quotient norm induced by $p_U$. Dually, let $B$ be a closed absolutely convex bounded subset of $E$ and let $E_B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} nB$. Then $E_B$ is a linear subspace of $E$ and the Minkowski functional $q_B$ of $B$ is a norm on $E_B$. In particular we may perform these constructions in the dual space $E'$. If $B$ is bounded in $E$ then $B^o$ is an absolutely convex closed neighborhood of $o$ in $E'$ and we can form the quotient space $E'_{B^o}$ which is a normed space with norm $p_{B^o}(a) = \sup \{|\langle a, x\rangle| \,|\, x \in B\}$. Dually if $U \in \mathscr{U}(E)$ then $U^o$ is an absolutely convex closed bounded (weakly compact) subset of $E'$ and we can form the subspace $E'_{U^o}$ which is a $(B)$-space with norm $q_{U^o}(a) = \sup \{|\langle a, x\rangle| \,|\, x \in U\}$. The next proposition is an easy conseqence of these definitions

(5) (a) *$E'_{U^\circ}$ is a (B)-space with norm $q_{U^\circ}$ and can be identified with the dual space of $E_U$, $p_U$.*

(b) *$E_B$ is a norm space with norm $q_B$ and can be identified with a linear subspace of the dual space of $E'_{B^\circ}$, $p_{B^\circ}$.*

3. The space $\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}$. Let $\Lambda$ be a perfect sequence space and let $E$ be a locally convex space. $\Lambda\{E\}$ is the vector space of all $E$-valued sequences $x = (x_n)$ such that the sequence of scalars $p_U(x_n)$ is in $\Lambda$ for every $U \in \mathscr{U}(E)$. If $\mathscr{M}$ is a normal topologizing system for $\Lambda$, $\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}$ will denote $\Lambda\{E\}$ equipped with the locally convex Hausdorff $\mathscr{M}$-topology defined by the family of seminorms

(1) $\pi_{M,U}(x) = \sup\{\Sigma|\alpha_n|p_U(x_n)\,|\,\alpha \in M\}$ where $M \in \mathscr{M}$, $U \in \mathscr{U}(E)$.

The following two statements are simple consequences of these definitions.

(2) *$I_n$: $\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}} \to E$ defined by $I_n(x) = x_n$ is a continuous linear map for every $n = 1, 2, \cdots$.*

(3) *$I_U$: $\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}} \to \Lambda_{\mathscr{M}}$ defined by $I_U(x) = (p_U(x_n))$ is uniformly continuous for every $U \in \mathscr{U}(E)$.*

A subset $A$ of $\Lambda\{E\}$ is called bounded if for every $\alpha \in \Lambda^x$ and $U \in \mathscr{U}(E)$ there exists a constant $\rho$ such that $\Sigma|\alpha_n|p_U(x_n) \leqq \rho$ for all $x \in A$. For each $x \in \Lambda\{E\}$, define $N(x) = \{(\lambda_n x_n)\,|\,|\lambda_n| \leqq 1 \text{ all } n\}$. A subset $A$ of $\Lambda\{E\}$ is called normal if $x \in A$ implies $N(x) \subset A$. The set $N(A) = \bigcup_{x \in A} N(x)$ is called normal hull of $A$. We observe that $\Lambda\{E\}$ is itself normal since $\Lambda$ is normal.

(4) *The following statements are equivalent for a subset $A$ of $\Lambda\{E\}$.*

(a) *$A$ is bounded.*

(b) *The normal hull of $A$ is bounded.*

(c) *$A$ is $\mathscr{M}$-bounded for some (every) $\mathscr{M}$-topology on $\Lambda\{E\}$.*

(d) *For every $U \in \mathscr{U}(E)$, $I_U(A)$ is bounded in $\Lambda$.*

(e) *For every $U \in \mathscr{U}(E)$, $I_U(A)$ is $\mathscr{M}$-bounded in $\Lambda$ for some (every) $\mathscr{M}$-topology on $\Lambda$.*

*Proof.* The equivalences (a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (b), (a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (d), and (c) $\Leftrightarrow$ (e) follow directly from the definitions. (d) $\Leftrightarrow$ (e) is a consequence of 2.(2).

(5) *If $E$ is complete, then $\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}$ is complete.*

*Proof.* Let $x^{(\nu)}$ be a Cauchy net in $\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}$. Continuity of the linear map $I_n$ implies $x_n^{(\nu)}$ is a Cauchy net in $E$ for each fixed $n$ and hence must converge to some $x_n$ in $E$. Uniform continuity of the map $I_U$ implies $(p_U(x_n^{(\nu)}))$ is a Cauchy net in $\Lambda_{\mathscr{M}}$ and hence must converge to some $\alpha^{(U)} = (\alpha_n^{(U)})$ in $\Lambda_{\mathscr{M}}$. Because of the coordinatewise convergence of $x^{(\nu)}$ to $x = (x_n)$ we have $p_U(x_n) = \alpha_n^{(U)}$. Thus $(p_U(x_n))$ is in $\Lambda$ and $x$ is therefore in $\Lambda\{E\}$. Finally $x^{(\nu)}$ converges to $x$ in the $\mathscr{M}$-topology for if $\varepsilon > 0$ is given and $\nu_0$ is such that

$$\pi_{M,U}(x^{(\nu)} - x^{(\mu)}) = \sup \{\Sigma |\alpha_n| p_U(x_n^{(\nu)} - x_n^{(\mu)}) | \alpha \in M\} < \varepsilon$$

for all $\nu, \mu \geqq \nu_0$, then

$$\pi_{M,U}(x^{(\nu)} - x) \leqq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all} \quad \nu \geqq \nu_0 .$$

We denote by $x(\leqq n) = (x_1, \cdots, x_n, 0 \cdots)$ the $n$th finite section of a sequence $x$ in $\Lambda\{E\}$. Let $[\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}]$ be the subspace of $\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}$ consisting of all those $x$ in $\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}$ which are the $\mathscr{M}$-limit of their finite sections; that is $[\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}]$ consists of those $x$ for which $\pi_{M,U}(x - x(\leqq n))$ converges to zero for every $M \in \mathscr{M}$ and $U \in \mathscr{U}(E)$.

(6) *A sequence $x$ in $\Lambda\{E\}$ is in $[\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}]$ if, and only if, for every $U \in \mathscr{U}(E)$, $I_U(x) = (p_U(x_n))$ is in $[\Lambda_{\mathscr{M}}]$.*

In general $[\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}]$ will be a proper subspace of $\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}$, but using (6) and 2.(4) we obtain

(7) (a) $[\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{N}}] = \Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{N}}$.
(b) *If $\Lambda_{\mathscr{B}}$ is reflexive then $[\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{B}}] = \Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{B}}$.*

(8) *$[\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}]$ is a closed subspace of $\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}$ and hence complete if $E$ is complete.*

*Proof.* If $x \in \Lambda\{E\}$ is the limit of a net $x^{(\nu)}$ in $[\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}]$, then for each $U \in \mathscr{U}(E)$ $I_U(x) = \lim_\nu I_U(x^{(\nu)})$ is in $[\Lambda_{\mathscr{M}}]$ since $[\Lambda_{\mathscr{M}}]$ is closed in $\Lambda_{\mathscr{M}}$. But then by (6) $x$ is in $[\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}]$.

4. **The dual space of $[\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}]$.** The $\alpha$ – dual of $\Lambda\{E\}$, denoted $\Lambda\{E\}^x$, is the vector space of all $E'$-valued sequences $a = (a_n)$ such that $\Sigma |\langle a_n, x_n \rangle| < \infty$ for all $x = (x_n)$ in $\Lambda\{E\}$.

(1) *For every $a$ in $\Lambda\{E\}^x$ and for every bounded set $B$ in $E$, $(p_{B^0}(a_n))$ is in $\Lambda^x$. That is $\Lambda\{E\}^x \subset \Lambda^x\{E'\}$.*

*Proof.* Let $B \in \Lambda$ be arbitrary. For each $n$, there exists $x_n \in B$ such that

$$|\beta_n| p_{B^0}(a_n) = p_{B^0}(\beta_n a_n) \leqq |\langle \beta_n a_n, x_n \rangle| + 2^{-n} .$$

Since $(x_n)$ is a bounded sequence in $E$, $(\beta_n x_n)$ is in $\Lambda\{E\}$ and therefore

$$\Sigma|\beta_n|p_{B^o}(a_n) \leqq \Sigma|\langle a_n, \beta_n x_n\rangle| + 2^{-n} < \infty .$$

Since $\beta \in \Lambda$ was arbitrary, $p_{B^o}(a_n)$ is in $\Lambda^x$.

(2) *If* $x \in \Lambda\{E\}$ *and* $\gamma \in c_0$ ($c_0$ = *scalar sequences convergent to zero*), *then* $\gamma x = (\gamma_n x_n)$ *is in* $[\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}]$.

*Proof.* It follows easily from the definition of the seminorms $\pi_{M,U}$ that

$$\pi_{M,U}(\gamma x(> i)) \leqq \sup_{n>i} |\gamma_n| \pi_{M,U}(x)$$

and the right side converges to zero as $i \to \infty$, so $\gamma x$ is the limit of its finite sections.

(3) *Every continuous linear form* $F$ *on* $[\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}]$ *has a unique representation of the form*

$$\langle F, x\rangle = \langle a, x\rangle = \Sigma\langle a_n, x_n\rangle$$

*with* $a = (a_n)$ *in* $\Lambda\{E\}^x$.

*Proof.* Define linear forms on $E$ by $\langle a_n, x\rangle = \langle F, e_n x\rangle$, $x \in E$, $e_n$ is the $n$th unit coordinate vector in $\Lambda$. Continuity of $F$ implies $|\langle F, x\rangle| \leqq \pi_{M,U}(x)$ for some seminorm $\pi_{M,U}$ and for every $x$ in $[\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}]$. Since $M$ is bounded, we have for each $n$, $\rho_n = \sup\{|\alpha_n| \,|\, \alpha \in M\} < \infty$. For every $x$ in $E$ we have therefore $|\langle a_n, x\rangle| = |\langle F, e_n x\rangle| \leqq \pi_{M,U}(e_n x) = \sup\{|\alpha_n| p_U(x) \,|\, \alpha \in M\} = \rho_n p_U(x)$ and the continuity of $a_n$ is established.

Clearly $a = (a_n)$ represents $F$ since $\langle F, x\rangle = \lim_{i\to\infty}\langle F, x(\leqq i)\rangle = \lim_{i\to\infty}\langle F, \sum_{n=1}^{i} e_n x_n\rangle = \lim_{i\to\infty}\sum_{n=1}^{i}\langle a_n, x_n\rangle = \sum\langle a_n, x_n\rangle$.

Finally we show $a \in \Lambda\{E\}^x$. Let $x \in \Lambda\{E\}^x$ be arbitrary. For every $\gamma \in c_0$, we can choose $\lambda = (\lambda_n)$ with $|\lambda_n| = 1$ so that $|\gamma_n\langle a_n, x_n\rangle| = \lambda_n\gamma_n\langle a_n, x_n\rangle$. By (2), $\lambda\gamma x = (\lambda_n\gamma_n x_n)$ is in $[\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}]$ and hence $\sum|\gamma_n||\langle a_n, x_n\rangle| = \sum\lambda_n\gamma_n\langle a_n, x_n\rangle = \langle F, \lambda\gamma x\rangle < \infty$. Since $\gamma \in c_0$ was arbitrary, this shows that $\sum|\langle a_n, x_n\rangle| < \infty$ and hence that $a \in \Lambda\{E\}^x$.

REMARKS. Combining (1) and (3) yields $[\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}]' \subset \Lambda\{E\}^x \subset \Lambda^x\{E'\}$. Conditions sufficient for the equality of these spaces are given in the next section. We now proceed to an explicit characterization of $[\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}]'$.

(4) *If* $a \in \Lambda\{E\}^x$ *defines a continuous linear form on* $[\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}]$, *then there exists* $U \in \mathscr{U}(E)$ *such that* $a_n \in E'_{U^o}$ *for all* $n$ *and moreover* $(q_{U^o}(a_n)) \in \Lambda^x$.

*Proof.* Continuity of $a$ implies $|\langle a, x\rangle| \leqq \pi_{M,U}(x)$ for some seminorm $\pi_{M,U}$ and for all $x \in [\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}]$. As in the proof of (3), we obtain that for every $n$, and for every $u \in E$, $|\langle a_n, u\rangle| \leqq \rho_n p_U(u)$ from which it follows that $a_n \in E'_{U^o}$ and $q_{U^o}(a_n) \leqq \rho_n$. We must show that $(q_{U^o}(a_n)) \in \Lambda^x$.

Let $\beta \in \Lambda$ be arbitrary and set $\rho = \sup\{\sum |\alpha_n\beta_n| \,|\, \alpha \in M\}$. For each $n$, there exists $y_n \in U$ such that $q_{U^o}(\beta_n a_n) \leqq \langle \beta_n a_n, y_n\rangle + 2^{-n}$. For each $i$, the finite section $\beta y(\leqq i)$ of the sequence $(\beta_n y_n)$ is in $[\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}]$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=1}^{i} \langle \beta_n a_n, y_n\rangle &= \langle a, \beta y(\leqq i)\rangle \leqq \pi_{M,U}(\beta y(\leqq i)) \\ &= \sup\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{i} |\alpha_n| p_U(\beta_n y_n) \,\middle|\, \alpha \in M\right\} \\ &\leqq \sup\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{i} |\alpha_n\beta_n| \,\middle|\, \alpha \in M\right\} \leqq \rho\,.\end{aligned}$$

Since $i$ was arbitrary, $\sum\langle\beta_n a_n, y_n\rangle < \infty$. It follows that $\sum|\beta_n| q_{U^0}(a_n) = \sum q_{U^0}(\beta_n a_n) < \infty$ and therefore that $(q_{U^0}(a_n)) \in \Lambda^x$ since $\beta \in \Lambda$ was arbitrary.

(5) *The dual space of* $[\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}]$ *is the space of all* $E'$*-valued sequences* $a = (a_n)$ *which have a representation of the form* $a = \alpha u = (\alpha_n u_n)$ *with* $\alpha \in \Lambda^x$ *and* $(u_n)$ *an equicontinuous sequence in* $E'$.

*Proof.* If we set $\alpha_n = q_{U^0}(a_n)$ and $u_n = (1/\alpha_n)a_n$, ($u_n = 0$ if $\alpha_n = 0$), then (4) says that every element in the dual of $[\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}]$ has the given form.

Conversely, if $a = \alpha u = (\alpha_n u_n)$ with $\alpha \in \Lambda^x$ and $(u_n)$ equicontinuous, then, choosing $M$ with $\alpha \in M$ and $U \in \mathscr{U}(E)$ with $(u_n) \subset U^o$, we obtain

$$|\langle a, x\rangle| \leqq \sum |\alpha_n|\,|\langle u_n, x_n\rangle| \leqq \pi_{M,U}(x)$$

for all $x$ in $[\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}]$ and hence $a$ is continuous.

Using the methods of the proofs of (4) and (5), one can show

(6) *The equicontinuous subsets of* $[\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}]'$ *are the sets of the form*

$$\{\alpha u \,|\, \alpha = (\alpha_n) \in M,\ u = (u_n) \subset U^o\}$$

*where* $M \in \mathscr{M}$ *and* $U \in \mathscr{U}(E)$.

**5. Fundamentally $\Lambda$-bounded spaces.** In the previous section, we saw that $[\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}]' \subset \Lambda\{E\}^x \subset \Lambda^x\{E'\}$. In this section we establish conditions sufficient for the equality $\Lambda\{E\}^x = \Lambda^x\{E'\}$ and for the more interesting equality $[\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}]' = \Lambda^x\{E'\}$. We also give conditions which insure the strong dual of $[\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}]$ is $\Lambda^x\{E'\}_{\mathscr{B}}$. Finally we give sufficient conditions for $\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{B}}$ to be reflexive.

The important concept in all these conditions is that of a "fundamantally $\Lambda$-bounded" space $E$. A locally convex space $E$ is fundamentally $\Lambda$-bounded if all the bounded subsets of $\Lambda\{E\}$ can be obtained in a natural way from the bounded subsets of $\Lambda$ and $E$.

Let $R$ be a normal bounded subset of $\Lambda$ and let $B$ be a closed absolutely convex bounded subset of $E$. Define $[R, B] = \{x \in \Lambda\{E\} \mid x_n \in E_B \text{ and } (q_B(x_n)) \in R\}$.

The following are simple consequences of this definition.

(1) *$[R, B]$ is a bounded subset of $\Lambda\{E\}$.*

(2) *If $R \subset R'$ and $B \subset B'$, then $[R, B] \subset [R', B']$.*

Let $V$ be a vector space in which the notion of a bounded set has been defined. A collection $\mathscr{B}$ of subsets of $V$ is called a fundamental system of bounded sets for $V$ if every bounded set in $V$ is contained in some set in $\mathscr{B}$.

We shall say that a locally convex space $E$ is fundamentally $\Lambda$-bounded if the collection of all sets of the form $[R, B]$ form a fundamental system of bounded sets for $\Lambda\{E\}$, where $R$ and $B$ run through a fundamental system of bounded sets for $\Lambda$ and $E$ respectively.

(3) *If $E$ is fundamentally $\Lambda$-bounded, then $\Lambda\{E\}^x = \Lambda^x\{E'\}$.*

*Proof.* We need only show the inclusion $\Lambda^x\{E'\} \subset \Lambda\{E\}^x$. Let $a \in \Lambda^x\{E'\}$ and let $x \in \Lambda\{E\}$. Then there exist $R$ and $B$ with $x \in [R, B]$ and hence $(q_B(x_n)) \in \Lambda$. But $(p_{B^0}(a_n)) \in \Lambda^x$, and therefore

$$\sum |\langle a_n, x_n\rangle| \leqq \sum p_{B^0}(a_n) q_B(x_n) < \infty .$$

Since $x$ was arbitrary, this shows $a \in \Lambda\{E\}^x$.

Recall that a locally convex space $E$ is called $\sigma$-infrabarreled if every countable strongly bounded subset of $E'$ is equicontinuous. Clearly every infrabarreled space is $\sigma$-infrabarreled.

The next theorem is the main result of this section.

(4) *Let $E$ be a $\sigma$-infrabarreled space and let $\Lambda$ be a perfect sequence space.*

(a) *If $E'$ is fundamentally $\Lambda^x$-bounded, then the dual of $[\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}]$ is $\Lambda^x\{E'\}$.*

(b) *If moreover $E$ is fundamentally $\Lambda$-bounded, then the strong dual of $[\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}]$ is $\Lambda^x\{E'\}_{\mathscr{B}}$.*

*Proof.* (a) We need only show the inclusion $\Lambda^x\{E'\} \subset [\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}]$. Let $a \in \Lambda^x\{E'\}$. By hypothesis there exists a bounded set $D$ in $E'$ such that $(q_D(a_n)) \in \Lambda^x$. For each $n$, set $u_n = q_D(a_n)^{-1} a_n$ ($u_n = 0$ if $q_D(a_n) = 0$).

Then $u_n$ is in $D$ for each $n$. Since $E$ is $\sigma$-infrabarreled, $\{u_n \mid n = 1, 2, \cdots\}$ is equicontinuous and hence $a = (a_n) = (q_D(\alpha_n)u_n)$ is in $[\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}]'$ by 4.(5).

(b) If $E$ is fundamentally $\Lambda$-bounded, then the strong topology on $[\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}]' = \Lambda^x\{E'\}$ is defined by the seminorms

$$\sigma_{[R,B]}(a) = \sup |\sum \langle a_n, x_n\rangle| = \sup \sum |\langle a_n, x_n\rangle|$$

where the sup is taken over $x$ in $[R, B] \cap [\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}]$. The topology on $\Lambda^x\{E'\}_{\mathscr{B}}$ is defined by the seminorms

$$\pi_{R,B^0}(a) = \sup \{\sum |\alpha_n| p_{B^0}(a_n) \mid \alpha \in R\} .$$

In both cases, $R$ ranges over all normal bounded subsets of $\Lambda$ and $B$ over all absolutely convex bounded subsets of $E$. We show these seminorms coincide.

One inequality is easy:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{[R,B]}(a) &= \sup \{\sum |\langle a_n, x_n\rangle| \mid x \in [R, B] \cap [\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}]\} \\ &\leqq \sup \{\sum p_{B^0}(a_n)p_B(x_n) \mid x \in [R, B] \cap [\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}]\} \\ &\leqq \sup \{\sum |\alpha_n| p_{B^0}(a_n) \mid \alpha \in R\} \\ &= \pi_{R,B^0}(a) .\end{aligned}$$

Now the reverse inequality. Let $a \in \Lambda^x\{E'\}$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. By definition of $\pi_{R,B^0}$ there exists $\alpha \in R$ with $\pi_{R,B^0}(a) \leqq \varepsilon + \sum |\alpha_n| p_{B^0}(a_n)$. For each $n$ there exists $y_n \in B$ such that $p_{B^0}(a_n) \leqq |\langle a_n, y_n\rangle| + \varepsilon 2^{-n}|\alpha_n|^{-1}$. (If $a_n$ or $\alpha_n$ is zero, let $y_n$ be any element in $B$.) Let $z_n = \alpha_n y_n$. Then $z \in [R, B]$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{R,B^0}(a) &\leqq \varepsilon + \sum |\alpha_n| p_{B^0}(a_n) \\ &\leqq \varepsilon + \sum |\alpha_n| |\langle a_n, y_n\rangle| + \varepsilon 2^{-n} \\ &= 2\varepsilon + \sum |\langle a_n, z_n\rangle| \\ &= 2\varepsilon + \sup_\gamma \{\sum |\gamma_n| |\langle a_n, z_n\rangle| \mid \gamma \in c_0, \|\gamma\|_\infty \leqq 1\} \\ &= 2\varepsilon + \sup_\gamma \{\sum |\langle a_n, \gamma_n z_n\rangle| \mid \gamma \in c_0, \|\gamma\|_\infty \leqq 1\} \\ &\leqq 2\varepsilon + \sigma_{[R,B]}(a) .\end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows from the fact that $\gamma z \in [R, B] \cap [\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}]$. Since $\varepsilon$ was arbitrary the theorem is proved.

(5) *Let $E$ be locally convex and let $\Lambda$ be a perfect sequence space such that*

(i) *$\Lambda_{\mathscr{B}}$ and $E$ are both reflexive, and*

(ii) *$E$ is fundamentally $\Lambda$-bounded and $E'$ is fundamentally $\Lambda^x$-bounded. Then both $\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{B}}$ and its strong dual $\Lambda^x\{E'\}_{\mathscr{B}}$ are reflexive.*

*Proof.* Since $E$ is reflexive, both $E$ and $E'$ are $\sigma$-infrabarreled.

Also $E''$ is fundamentally $\Lambda^{\times\times}$-bounded since $E = E''$ and $\Lambda = \Lambda^{\times\times}$. Since $\Lambda_{\mathscr{B}}$ is reflexive, so also is its strong dual $\Lambda^{\times}_{\mathscr{B}}$. It follows from 2.(7)(b) that $[\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{B}}] = \Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{B}}$ and $[\Lambda^{\times}\{E'\}_{\mathscr{B}}] = \Lambda^{\times}\{E'\}_{\mathscr{B}}$. This theorem now follows by applying (4) twice, first to $[\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{B}}]$ and then to $[\Lambda^{\times}\{E'\}_{\mathscr{B}}]$.

**6. Examples of fundamentally $\Lambda$-bounded spaces.** In this section, we show that there exist nontrivial classes of spaces $E$ and $\Lambda$ for which $E$ is fundamentally $\Lambda$-bounded.

(1) *Every normed space $E$ is fundamentally $\Lambda$-bounded for every perfect sequence space $\Lambda$.*

*Proof.* Let $A$ be any bounded subset of $\Lambda\{E\}$, and let $B$ denote the unit ball of $E$. Then $I_B(A) = \{(\|x_n\|) \mid x \in A\}$ is a bounded subset of $\Lambda$ and hence contained in some normal bounded set $R$. Thus $A \subset [R, B]$.

(2) (a) *If $E$ is normed and if $\Lambda$ is any perfect sequence space, then the strong dual of $[\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{M}}]$ is $\Lambda^{\times}\{E'\}_{\mathscr{B}}$.*

(b) *If $E$ is reflexive $(B)$-space and if $\Lambda_{\mathscr{B}}$ is reflexive, then $\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathscr{B}}$ and its strong dual $\Lambda^{\times}\{E'\}_{\mathscr{B}}$ are reflexive.*

This follows from (1) above and 5.(4), (5).

The next lemma is due to Pietsch [3. Satz 1.5.8].

(3) *Every metrizable locally convex space $E$ is fundamentally $l^1$-bounded.*

We shall also use the following well-known fact. (See e.g. [1. §29.1.(5)].)

(4) *If $E$ is a metrizable locally convex space, and if $B_k$ is a sequence of bounded subsets of $E$, then there always exist positive scalars $\lambda_k$ such that $B = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k B_k$ is also bounded.*

(5) *Let $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda^{\times}$ be perfect sequences spaces which are $\alpha$ — dual to one another. Suppose $\Lambda^{\times}$ has a countable fundamental system of bounded sets $N_1 \subset N_2 \subset N_3 \subset \cdots$. Then:*

(a) *Every metrizable locally convex space is fundamentally $\Lambda$-bounded.*

(b) *Every $(DF)$-space is fundamentally $\Lambda^{\times}$-bounded.*

See [1. §29], for example, for the definition and basic properties of $(DF)$-spaces.

*Proof.* (a) Let $E$ be metrizable and let $A$ be a bounded subset of $\Lambda\{E\}$. Then by $A$ is $\mathscr{B}$-bounded in $\Lambda\{E\}$. Thus for each $k$ and each $U \in \mathscr{U}(E)$, there exists a constant $\rho_{k,U}$ such that for all $x \in A$,

$$\pi_{N_k,U}(x) = \sup\{\sum |\alpha_n| p_U(x_n) \,|\, \alpha \in N_k\} \leqq \rho_{k,U} .$$

This implies that the set $A_k = \{\alpha x = (\alpha_n x_n) \,|\, \alpha \in N_k,\ x \in A\}$ is a bounded subset of $l^1\{E\}$. By Lemma (3), there exists a bounded set $B_k$ in $E$ such that $A_k \subset [R_1, B_k]$ where $R_1$ denotes the unit ball of $l^1$, or equivalently

$$(*) \qquad \sum |\alpha_n| q_{B_k}(x_n) = \sum q_{B_k}(\alpha_n x_n) = \|(q_{B_k}(\alpha_n x_n))\|_{l^1} \leqq 1$$

for all $\alpha \in N_k$, $x \in A$. By (4) there exist positive scalars $\lambda_k$ such that $B = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k B_k$ is bounded. Since $B_k \subset \lambda_k^{-1} B$ we have for all $x \in E_{B_k}$ that $q_B(x) \leqq \lambda_k^{-1} q_{B_k}(x)$. Thus for every $k$ and for all $x \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_{N_k^\circ}(q_B(x_n)) &= \sup\{\sum |\alpha_n| q_B(x_n) \,|\, \alpha \in N_k\} \\ &\leqq \sup\{\sum |\alpha_n| \lambda_k q_{B_k}(x_n) \,|\, \alpha \in N_k\} \\ &\leqq \lambda_k \end{aligned}$$

by (*). This implies that the set $\{(q_B(x_n)) \,|\, x \in A\}$ is $\mathscr{B}$-bounded and hence bounded in $\Lambda$, and is therefore contained in some normal bounded subset $R$ of $\Lambda$. Thus $A \subset [R, B]$ and (a) is proved.

(b) Let $E$ be a $(DF)$-space. Then $E$ has a countable fundamental system of bounded sets $B_1 \subset B_2 \subset B_3 \subset \cdots$.

Suppose $E$ is not fundamentally $\Lambda^x$-bounded, then there exists a bounded subset $A$ in $\Lambda^x\{E\}$ such that $A$ is not contained in any of the sets $[N_k, B_k]$, $k = 1, 2, \cdots$. We show this leads to a contradiction.

For every index $k$, $A$ not a subset of $[N_k, B_k]$ implies that there exists $x^{(k)} \in A$ such that $(q_{B_k}(x_n^{(k)})) \notin N_k$. Thus there exists $\beta^{(k)} \in N_k^\circ$ such that $\sum \beta_n^{(k)} q_{B_k}(x_n^{(k)}) > 1$. In fact for each $k$, there exists a finite set $\{u_n^{(k)}\} \subset B_k^\circ$, $n = 1, 2, \cdots, f_k$, such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{f_k} \beta_n^{(k)} |\langle u_n^{(k)}, x_n^{(k)} \rangle| > 1 .$$

Let $G = \{u_n^{(k)} \,|\, k = 1, 2, \cdots,$ and $n = 1, 2, \cdots, f_k\}$. Then $G$ is a countable subset of $E'$. If $G$ is strongly bounded in $E'$, then $G$ is equicontinuous since $E$ is a $(DF)$-space. We show $G$ is strongly bounded. Fix $m$. Since $\{u_n^{(k)} \,|\, k = 1, 2, \cdots, m,\ n = 1, 2, \cdots, f_k$ is finite, there exists a positive constant $\rho_m \geqq 1$ with $u_n^{(k)} \in \rho_m B_m^\circ$ for $k = 1, \cdots, m$ and $n = 1, \cdots, f_k$, since $B_m^\circ$ is an absorbing subset of $E'$. For $k > m$, $B_k \supset B_m$ and hence $B_k^\circ \subset B_m^\circ$ so $u_n^{(k)} \in B_k^\circ \subset B_m^\circ$ for all $k > m$ and $n = 1, 2, \cdots, f_k$. Thus for every $m$, there exists a positive constant $\rho_m$ with $G \subset \rho_m B_m^\circ$. The sets $B_1^\circ \supset B_2^\circ \supset B_3^\circ \supset \cdots$ form a neighborhood base for the strong topology on $E'$, so $G$ is strongly bounded and hence equi-continuous.

Let $U \in \mathscr{U}(E)$ be such that $G \subset U^{\circ}$. Since $A$ is bounded in $\Lambda^{x}\{E\}$, the set $\{p_U(x_n)) \mid x \in A\}$ is bounded in $\Lambda^{x}$ and hence contained in some $N_k$. Since $\beta^{(k)} \in N_k^{0}$, this implies $\sum \beta_n^{(k)} p_U(x_n) \leqq 1$ for all $x \in A$. But taking $x = x^{(k)}$, we obtain $\sum \beta_n^{(k)} p_U(x_n^{(k)}) > \sum_{n=1}^{f_k} \beta_n^{(k)} |\langle u_n^{(k)}, x_n^{(k)} \rangle| > 1$ which is a contradiction.

As in theorem (5), let $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda^{x}$ be $\alpha$ – dual perfect sequence spaces such that $\Lambda^{x}$ has a countable fundamental system of bounded sets. The results of (5) cannot be improved to include either of the following assertions.

(a) Every $(DF)$-space is fundamentally $\Lambda$-bounded.

(b) Every metrizable locally convex space is fundamentally $\Lambda^{x}$-bonnded.

Counterexamples are provided by (9) and (8) below.

Recall that $\omega$ is the space of all scalar sequences and $\phi$ is the space of all scalar sequences with only finitely many nonzero coordinates. $\phi$ and $\omega$ are perfect and $\alpha$ – dual to each other. Moreover $\phi$ has a countable fundamental system of bounded sets $N_1 \subset N_2 \subset \cdots$, where $N_k = \{\alpha \in \phi \mid |\alpha_n| \leqq k \text{ if } n \leqq k \text{ and } \alpha_n = 0 \text{ if } n > k\}$. The following lemma is due to Pietsch [2, Satz 3.19].

(6) *Let $E$ be a metrizable locally convex space which has no continuous norm. Then there exists $x \in \phi\{E\}$ such that for every index $n$, $x_n \neq 0$.*

*Proof.* Let $p_1 \leqq p_2 \leqq \cdots$ be a fundamental system of seminorms for $E$. No $p_k$ is a norm. Thus for each integer $k$ there exists $x_k \in E$ with $x_k \neq 0$ but $p_k(x_k) = 0$. *Set* $x = (x_n)$. Fix $k$. For all $n \geqq k$ we have $p_n(x_n) = 0$ but $p_k \leqq p_n$, so $p_k(x_n) = 0$ for all $n \geqq k$. Thus $(p_k(x_n)) \in \phi$ for each seminorm $p_k$.

(7) *For any locally convex space $E$, $\omega\{E\}$ is the space of all $E$-valued sequences.*

(8) *There exist metrizable locally convex spaces $E$ such that $E$ is not fundamentally $\phi$-bounded.*

*Proof.* Let $E$ be a metrizable space with no continuous norm. By (6) there exists $x \in \phi\{E\}$ with $x_n \neq 0$ for all $n$. Therefore there exist $a_n \in E'$ with $\langle a_n, x_n \rangle = 1$. But by (7), $a = (a_n) \in \omega\{E'\}$. Since $\langle a, x \rangle = \sum \langle a_n, x_n \rangle = \infty$, we conclude $\phi\{E\}^{x} \neq \omega\{E'\} = \phi^{x}\{E'\}$. By 5.(3) this implies $E$ is not fundamentally $\phi$-bounded.

(9) *There exist $(DF)$-spaces $E$ such that $E$ is not fundamentally $\omega$-bounded.*

*Proof.* Let $E$ be a $(DF)$-space whose strong dual $E'$ is an $(F)$-space with no continuous norm. By (6) there exists $a \in \phi\{E'\}$ such that $a_n \neq 0$ for all $n$. Let $x_n \in E$ be such that $\langle a_n, x_n\rangle = 1$. Then $x = (x_n) \in \omega\{E\}$ but $\langle a, x\rangle = \sum \langle a_n, x_n\rangle = \infty$ so we conclude $\omega\{E\} \neq \phi\{E'\} = \omega^x\{E'\}$. By 5.(3) this implies $E$ is not fundamentally $\omega$-bounded.

The space $\omega$ may be viewed as a topological product of countably many copies of the scalar field. With the product topology it is a $(F)$-space with no continuous norm. It is the strong dual of the $(DF)$-space $\phi$ viewed as a locally convex direct sum of countably many copies of the scalar field. Thus the examples in (8) and (9) can be made more explicit by taking $E = \omega$ in (8) and $E = \phi$ in (9).

7. The spaces $l^p\{E\}$ $1 \leqq p \leqq \infty$. It is well known that for $1 \leqq p \leqq \infty$ the $\alpha$ – dual of $l^p$ is $l^q$ where $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$. The bounded subsets of $l^p$ are easily seen to be the sets which are bounded in $l^p$-norm $||\alpha||_p = (\sum |\alpha_n|p)^{1/p}$. Thus every $l^p$ space has a countable fundamental system of bounded sets consisting of positive integer multiples of the unit ball.

A sequence $x = (x_n)$ in a locally convex space $E$ is called absolutely $p$-summable, $1 \leqq p < \infty$, if for every continuous seminorm $p_U$ on $E$, $\sum p_U(x_n)^p < \infty$.

(1) *$l^p\{E\}, 1 \leqq p < \infty$, is the vector space of all absolutely $p$-summable sequences in $E$. $l^\infty\{E\}$ is the vector space of all bounded sequences in $E$.*

The seminorms defining the $\mathscr{B} = \mathscr{B}(l^q)$ topology on $l^p\{E\}$, $1 \leqq p < \infty$, are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{kB,U}(x) &= \sup\{\textstyle\sum |\alpha_n|\, p_U(x_n) \mid \alpha \in kB\}\\ &= \sup\{\textstyle\sum |\alpha_n|\, p_{k^{-1}U}(x_n) \mid \alpha \in B\}\\ &= (\textstyle\sum p_{k^{-1}U}(x_n)^p)^{1/p}\end{aligned}$$

where $k$ is a positive integer, $B$ is the unit ball in $l^q$, and $U$ is any absolutely convex neighborhood of 0. Since $k^{-1}U$ is also such a neighborhood, we have

(2) $1 \leqq p < \infty$. *A base of seminorms for $l^p\{E\}_{\mathscr{B}}$ is given by the family of seminorms*

$$\pi_U^{(p)}(x) = (\textstyle\sum p_U(x_n)^p)^{1/p} \qquad U \in \mathscr{U}(E)\,.$$

A similar argument for the case $p = \infty$ yields

(3) *A base of seminorms for $l^\infty\{E\}_{\mathscr{B}}$ is given by the family of*

*seminorms*

$$\pi_U^{(\infty)}(x) = \sup\{p_U(x_n) \mid n = 1, 2, \cdots\}.$$

It follows that an element $x$ in $l^\infty\{E\}_{\mathscr{B}}$ will be the limit of its finite sections if and only if $p_U(x_n)$ converges to 0 for every $U \in \mathscr{U}(E)$. Clearly every element of $l^p\{E\}_{\mathscr{B}}$ is the limit of its finite sections.

(4) $[l^p\{E\}_{\mathscr{B}}] = l^p\{E\}_{\mathscr{B}}$ for $1 \leqq p < \infty$
$[l^\infty\{E\}_{\mathscr{B}}] = c_0\{E\}_{\mathscr{B}}$ = *vector space of all sequences in $E$ converging to* 0.

We now show how the results of the previous sections can be applied to the duality theory of the $l^p\{E\}$ spaces.

(5) *Every metrizable locally convex space and every $(DF)$-space is fundamentally $l^p$-bounded for every $p, 1 \leqq p \leqq \infty$.*

*Proof.* Since every $l^q, 1 \leqq q \leqq \infty$, has a countable fundamental system of bounded sets, and since $(l^p)^x = l^q$ with $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, this result follows immediately from 6.(5).

(6) *Let $E$ be a metrizable locally convex space or a $(DF)$-space. For $1 \leqq p < \infty$, the strong dual of $l^p\{E\}_{\mathscr{B}}$ is $l^q\{E'\}_{\mathscr{B}}$, and the strong dual of $[l^\infty\{E\}_{\mathscr{B}}] = c_0\{E\}_{\mathscr{B}}$ is $l^1\{E'\}_{\mathscr{B}}$.*

*Proof.* This is a direct application of (5) above and 5.(4). (We are also using the facts that the dual of a metrizable space is a $(DF)$-space and the dual of a $(DF)$-space is metrizable.)

(7) *If $E$ is a reflexive $(B)$-, $(F)$-, or $(DF)$-space, then for $1 < p < \infty$, $l^p\{E\}_{\mathscr{B}}$ is a reflexive $(B)$-, $(F)$-, or $(DF)$-space respectively.*

*Proof.* By (6) above and 5.(5), $l^p\{E\}_{\mathscr{B}}$ is reflexive. If $E$ is a $(B)$- or $(F)$-space, then it is clear from the fact that the seminorms $\pi_U^{(p)}$, $U \in \mathscr{U}(E)$, define the $\mathscr{B}$-topology on $l^p\{E\}$, that $l^p\{E\}$ is a $(B)$- or $(F)$-space respectively. If $E$ is a reflexive $(DF)$-space, then $E'$ is an $(F)$-space and $l^p\{E\}_{\mathscr{B}}$ as the strong dual of the $(F)$-space $l^q\{E'\}_{\mathscr{B}}$ must be a $(DF)$-space.

## REFERENCES

1. G. Köthe, *Topological Vector Spaces* I, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenchaften, vol. 159, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1969.

2. A. Pietsch, *Verallgemeinerte Vollkommene Folgenräume*, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1962.

3. A. Pietsch, *Nukleare Lokalkonvexe Räume*, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1965.

Received April 18, 1972.

GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS




Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.


The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: $48.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: $24.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 46, No. 2 December, 1973

Christopher Allday, *Rational Whitehead products and a spectral sequence of Quillen* . . . 313
James Edward Arnold, Jr., *Attaching Hurewicz fibrations with fiber preserving maps* . . . 325
Catherine Bandle and Moshe Marcus, *Radial averaging transformations with various metrics* . . . 337
David Wilmot Barnette, *A proof of the lower bound conjecture for convex polytopes* . . . 349
Louis Harvey Blake, *Simple extensions of measures and the preservation of regularity of conditional probabilities* . . . 355
James W. Cannon, *New proofs of Bing's approximation theorems for surfaces* . . . 361
C. D. Feustel and Robert John Gregorac, *On realizing* HNN *groups in 3-manifolds* . . . 381
Theodore William Gamelin, *Iversen's theorem and fiber algebras* . . . 389
Daniel H. Gottlieb, *The total space of universal fibrations* . . . 415
Yoshimitsu Hasegawa, *Integrability theorems for power series expansions of two variables* . . . 419
Dean Robert Hickerson, *Length of period simple continued fraction expansion of* $\sqrt{d}$ . . . 429
Herbert Meyer Kamowitz, *The spectra of endomorphisms of the disc algebra* . . . 433
Dong S. Kim, *Boundedly holomorphic convex domains* . . . 441
Daniel Ralph Lewis, *Integral operators on* $\mathcal{L}_p$*-spaces* . . . 451
John Eldon Mack, *Fields of topological spaces* . . . 457
V. B. Moscatelli, *On a problem of completion in bornology* . . . 467
Ellen Elizabeth Reed, *Proximity convergence structures* . . . 471
Ronald C. Rosier, *Dual spaces of certain vector sequence spaces* . . . 487
Robert A. Rubin, *Absolutely torsion-free rings* . . . 503
Leo Sario and Cecilia Wang, *Radial quasiharmonic functions* . . . 515
James Henry Schmerl, *Peano models with many generic classes* . . . 523
H. J. Schmidt, *The* $\mathcal{F}$*-depth of an* $\mathcal{F}$*-projector* . . . 537
Edward Silverman, *Strong quasi-convexity* . . . 549
Barry Simon, *Uniform crossnorms* . . . 555
Surjeet Singh, $(KE)$*-domains* . . . 561
Ted Joe Suffridge, *Starlike and convex maps in Banach spaces* . . . 575
Milton Don Ulmer, $C$*-embedded* $\Sigma$*-spaces* . . . 591
Wolmer Vasconcelos, *Conductor, projectivity and injectivity* . . . 603
Hidenobu Yoshida, *On some generalizations of Meier's theorems* . . . 609