

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**A CLASS OF INFINITE DIMENSIONAL SUBGROUPS OF Diff^r
(X) WHICH ARE BANACH LIE GROUPS**

WENDELL DAN CURTIS, YU-LEE LEE AND FORREST MILLER

A CLASS OF INFINITE DIMENSIONAL SUBGROUPS OF $\text{Diff}^r(X)$ WHICH ARE BANACH LIE GROUPS

W. D. CURTIS, Y. L. LEE, AND F. R. MILLER

It is known that if X is a compact C^∞ -manifold then $\text{Diff}^r(X)$ with the usual manifold structure is a Banach manifold but not a Banach Lie group. In this paper we construct a class of infinite dimensional subgroups of $\text{Diff}^r(X)$ which are Banach Lie groups.

If X and Y are C^∞ -manifolds and X is compact the construction of a Banach manifold structure on $C^r(X, Y)$, the space of mappings of class C^r , has been given in [1]. The elementary theory of abstract Banach Lie groups has been given in [3]. In this paper we show that if $Y = G$, a finite dimensional Lie group, then $C^r(X, G)$ is a Banach Lie group. Now suppose that $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ is a principal G -bundle. We show that the group of C^r -self-equivalences of π is a closed subgroup of $C^r(X, G)$ which inherits a natural Banach Lie group structure. This gives a class of examples of effective infinite dimensional Banach Lie group actions on compact manifolds.

The Lie group structure. Let X be a compact connected C^∞ -manifold and G be a finite dimensional Lie group. There is a canonical right invariant C^∞ spray, $s: TG \rightarrow TTG$, on G which is defined as follows. If $v \in T_x G$ let \bar{v} be the unique right invariant vector field which satisfies $\bar{v}(x) = v$. Then $T\bar{v}: TG \rightarrow TTG$ and we have $s(v) = T\bar{v}(v)$. Now s determines an exponential mapping whose domain is all of TG , $\exp: TG \rightarrow G$, and which satisfies $\exp(TR_g(v)) = R_g(\exp(v))$ where R_g is right translation by g . If we define $\text{Exp}: TG \rightarrow G \times G$ by $\text{Exp}(v) = (\pi(v), \exp(v))$, where $\pi: TG \rightarrow G$ is the natural projection, then it is well known that Exp maps some open neighborhood of the 0-section in TG diffeomorphically onto an open neighborhood of $D = \{(g, g) \mid g \in G\}$ in $G \times G$ [2].

LEMMA 1. *There is an open neighborhood S of the 0-section in TG and an open neighborhood U of D such that*

- (a) Exp maps S diffeomorphically onto U
- (b) for all g in G we have that $TR_g(S) = S$ and $\{(hg, kg) \mid (h, k) \in U\} = U$.

Proof. Note that $\exp|_{T_x G} = \exp_x$ is the classical exponential mapping for the Lie group G . Choose an open set V in $T_x G$ which contains 0, and which satisfies

(1) there is a set M which is open in TG , which is mapped by Exp diffeomorphically onto an open subset of $G \times G$, and which satisfies $M \cap T_e G = V$.

(2) V is mapped diffeomorphically by \exp_e onto an open set W in G which contains e .

Let $S = \bigcup \{TR_g(V) \mid g \in G\}$ and $U = \bigcup \{g \times Wg \mid g \in G\}$. It is easily checked that S and U have the desired properties.

The differential structure on $C^r(X, G)$ is now constructed in the usual way [1]. Let $f \in C^r(X, G)$. A manifold chart about f is constructed as follows. f^*TG , the pull-back of TG under f , is a bundle over X , and $f^*S = \{(x, v) \in f^*TG \mid v \in S\}$ is an open subset of this bundle. Let $N_f = \{g \in C^r(X, G) \mid (f(x), g(x)) \in U \text{ for all } x \in X\}$ and define $a_f: N_f \rightarrow \Gamma^r(f^*TG)$ by $a_f(g)(x) = (x, \text{Exp}^{-1}(f(x), g(x)))$. a_f maps N_f bijectively onto an open subset of $\Gamma^r(f^*TG)$ and (N_f, a_f) gives a chart at f .

The coordinate chart at e is particularly nice. We use e to denote the identity in G and also to denote the constant map $e: X \rightarrow G$, $e(x) = e$ for all x . $N_e = \{g \in C^r(X, G) \mid (e, g(x)) \in U \text{ for all } x \in X\} = \{g \in C^r(X, G) \mid g(x) \in W \text{ for all } x \in X\}$. Here W is the set used in the proof of Lemma 1 to construct S and U . Now $e^*TG = X \times T_e G$ so we may identify $\Gamma^r(e^*TG)$ with $C^r(X, T_e G)$. With this identification we see that $a_e: N_e \rightarrow C^r(X, T_e G)$ is given by $a_e(g)(x) = \exp_e^{-1}(g(x))$.

THEOREM 1. *$C^r(X, G)$ is a Banach Lie group with respect to pointwise multiplication and inversion. If $E: C^r(X, T_e G) \rightarrow C^r(X, G)$ is the exponential of this Lie group then we have $E(f) = \exp \circ f$ where $\exp: T_e G \rightarrow G$ is the exponential of G . The Lie bracket in $C^r(X, T_e G)$ is the pointwise bracket; $[f, g](x) = [f(x), g(x)]$.*

Proof. Let $g \in C^r(X, G)$. We show that $R_g: C^r(X, G) \rightarrow C^r(X, G)$ is smooth. Fix $f \in C^r(X, G)$ and consider the chart (N_f, a_f) . We will show that $R_g: C^r(X, G) \rightarrow C^r(X, G)$, $R_g(f) = fg$, is smooth. At fg there is the chart (N_{fg}, a_{fg}) and we first note that $R_g(N_f) = N_{fg}$. This follows from the definition of the coordinate neighborhoods and the property of U which is given in (b) of Lemma 1. To show the smoothness of R_g we need only show smoothness of the composite $a_{fg} R_g a_f^{-1}: a_f(N_f) \rightarrow \Gamma^r((fg)^*TG)$. Let $\xi \in \Gamma^r(f^*TG)$. Then $\xi(x) = (x, \xi_1(x))$ where $\xi_1: X \rightarrow TG$ is C^r and $\pi \xi_1 = f$. If $\xi \in a_f(N_f)$ then for each x in X we have $(a_{fg} R_g a_f^{-1}(\xi))(x) = (x, \text{Exp}^{-1}(f(x)g(x), (R_g a_f^{-1}(\xi))(x)))$ and $(R_g a_f^{-1}(\xi))(x) = a_f^{-1}(\xi)(x)g(x) = \exp(\xi_1(x))g(x) = \exp(TR_{g(x)}(\xi_1(x)))$. Now $TR_{g(x)}(\xi_1(x))$ is in $T_{f(x)g(x)}G$ so that $\text{Exp}^{-1}(f(x)g(x), \exp(TR_{g(x)}(\xi_1(x)))) = TR_{g(x)}(\xi_1(x))$. We thus have $(a_{fg} R_g a_f^{-1}(\xi))(x) = (x, TR_{g(x)}(\xi_1(x)))$ which shows that $a_{fg} R_g a_f^{-1}$ is a continuous linear map, hence smooth.

In a similar manner we can use the canonical left invariant spray on G to show that left translation in $C^r(X, G)$ is smooth. Here we make use of the fact the Banach manifold structure on $C^r(X, G)$ does not depend upon the choice of spray [1]. Now to prove that multiplication $m: C^r(X, G) \times C^r(X, G) \rightarrow C^r(X, G)$ is smooth we need only prove smoothness in a neighborhood of (e, e) where $e: X \rightarrow G$ is the constant mapping which is the identity for $C^r(X, G)$. The coordinate neighborhood around e is given by $N_e = \{h \in C^r(X, G) \mid h(X) \subset W\}$. Let W_1 be a neighborhood of e in G such that $W_1^2 \subset W$, and $M = \{h \in C^r(X, G) \mid h(X) \subset W_1\}$, $V_1 = \exp^{-1}(W_1) \subset V$, $M_0 = \{g \in C^r(X, T_e G) \mid g(X) \subset V_1\}$. Then $a_e(M) = M_0$, and $a_e m(a_e^{-1} \times a_e^{-1}): M_0 \times M_0 \rightarrow a_e(N_e)$, $M_0 \subset a_e(N_e)$. We have $(a_e m(a_e^{-1} \times a_e^{-1})(h, k))(x) = \text{Exp}^{-1}(e, a_e^{-1}(h)(x) a_e^{-1}(k)(x)) = \exp^{-1}(\exp(h(x)) \exp(k(x)))$. Now there is a C^∞ -map $\nu: V_1 \times V_1 \rightarrow V$ given by $\nu = \exp^{-1} \bar{m}(\exp \times \exp)$ where \bar{m} denotes the group multiplication in G . A basic result of [1] is that the mapping $\Omega_\nu: C^r(X, V_1 \times V_1) \rightarrow C^r(X, V)$ which is given by $\Omega_\nu(h) = \nu \cdot h$ is C^∞ . Let $\psi: M_0 \times M_0 \rightarrow C^r(X, T_e G \times T_e G)$ be defined by $\psi(h, k)(x) = (h(x), k(x))$. Then ψ is continuous and linear so that $\Omega_\nu \psi: M_0 \times M_0 \rightarrow C^r(X, T_e G)$ is smooth. But we get that $(\Omega_\nu \psi(h, k))(x) = \exp^{-1}(\exp(h(x)) \exp(k(x))) = (a_e m(a_e^{-1} \times a_e^{-1})(h, k))(x)$ so that we have the joint smoothness of multiplication in $C^r(X, G)$.

We could prove directly that inversion is smooth but since $C^r(X, G)$ is a Banach manifold this can be deduced from the implicit function theorem [4].

Now consider $E: C^r(X, T_e G) \rightarrow C^r(X, G)$ given by $E(f) = \exp \circ f$. Again, since \exp is smooth we get that E is smooth. Let $h \in C^r(X, T_e G)$. Now the mapping $g: R \rightarrow C^r(X, G)$ given by $g(t) = E(th)$ satisfies $g(t_1 + t_2)(x) = \exp((t_1 + t_2)h(x)) = \exp(t_1 h(x)) \exp(t_2 h(x)) = g(t_1)(x) g(t_2)(x) = g(t_1) g(t_2)(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Thus g is a one-parameter subgroup of $C^r(X, G)$. To show that E is the exponential map as asserted it is enough to show, $(d/dt)(a_e E(th))|_{t=0} = h$. But for small t , $th \in C^r(X, V)$ so that $a_e(th) = th$ and the result is immediate.

It remains to verify the Lie bracket formula as given in the theorem. We leave this as an exercise for the reader.

The group of self-equivalences of a principal bundle. Let X be as before, G be a compact Lie group, and suppose that $(x, g) \rightarrow xg$ is a free differentiable right action of G on X . Then the orbit projection has the structure of a principal G -bundle. Thus X/G has a differentiable structure making $\pi: X \rightarrow X/G$ a smooth map and for every $\pi(x) \in X/G$ there is an open set U in X/G , $\pi(x) \in U$, and an equivariant diffeomorphism $\varphi: \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times G$ such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \pi^{-1}(U) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & U \times G \\
 \searrow \pi & & \swarrow \pi_1 \\
 & U &
 \end{array}$$

Here G acts on the right of $U \times G$ by $(u, g)g' = (u, gg')$. A self-equivalence of this bundle is a G -equivariant diffeomorphism $f: X \rightarrow X$ so that $\pi f = \pi$.

LEMMA 2. *Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a C^r -self-equivalence of π . Then there is a unique C^r -map $\varphi: X \rightarrow G$ such that $f(x) = x\varphi(x)$ for each x in X . Conversely, suppose that $\varphi: X \rightarrow G$ is a C^r -map and define $f: X \rightarrow X$ by $f(x) = x\varphi(x)$. Then f is C^r and it is equivariant if and only if $\varphi(xg) = g^{-1}\varphi(x)g$ for all $x \in X, g \in G$.*

Proof. Given $f: X \rightarrow X$ a C^r -self-equivalence. The existence and uniqueness of φ are immediate from the assumptions that $\pi f = \pi$ and that the action is free. Smoothness is easily verified using the local triviality of π . Now suppose that we are given a C^r -map $\varphi: X \rightarrow G$ and we define $f: X \rightarrow X$ by $f(x) = x\varphi(x)$. Assume that f is equivariant. Then $f(xg) = (xg)\varphi(xg) = x(g\varphi(xg))$ and $f(xg) = f(x)g = (x\varphi(x))g = x(\varphi(x)g)$. Since the action is free we get the equation $g\varphi(xg) = \varphi(x)g$ which is the desired result. Conversely, if φ satisfies the stated condition then we have $f(xg) = (xg)\varphi(xg) = (xg)(g^{-1}\varphi(x)g) = (x\varphi(x))g = f(x)g$ so that f is equivariant.

We define $\mathcal{H} = \{f \in C^r(X, G) \mid f(xg) = g^{-1}f(x)g \text{ for all } x, g\}$, and $\mathcal{H}^* = \{h \in C^r(X, T_e G) \mid h(xg) = \text{ad}(g^{-1})(h(x)) \text{ for all } x, g\}$. Here $\text{ad}: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T_e G)$ is the adjoint representation of G . Now \mathcal{H}^* is a Lie subalgebra of $C^r(X, T_e G)$ which is the Lie algebra of $C^r(X, G)$.

THEOREM 2. *\mathcal{H} is a closed subgroup of $C^r(X, G)$. In fact \mathcal{H} is an imbedded submanifold, hence a Banach Lie group, with Lie algebra \mathcal{H}^* .*

Proof. Clearly \mathcal{H} is a subgroup of $C^r(X, G)$ and since the manifold topology on $C^r(X, G)$ is finer than pointwise convergence it follows that \mathcal{H} is closed. Similarly \mathcal{H}^* is a closed subalgebra of $C^r(X, T_e G)$. Consider the exponential $E: C^r(X, T_e G) \rightarrow C^r(X, G)$. We will show that there is a neighborhood M_0^* of 0 in $C^r(X, T_e G)$, and a neighborhood M^* of e in $C^r(X, G)$ such that E maps M_0^* diffeomorphically onto M^* and $E(M_0^* \cap \mathcal{H}^*) = M^* \cap \mathcal{H}$. Using the sets constructed in the proof of Theorem 1, we know that E maps M_0 diffeomorphically onto M . If h is in $M_0 \cap \mathcal{H}^*$ then $E(h)$ is in $M \cap \mathcal{H}$ since $E(h)(xg) = \exp(h(xg)) = \exp(\text{ad}(g^{-1})(h(x))) = g^{-1} \exp(h(x))g = g^{-1}E(h)(x)g$.

Now using the continuity of the adjoint representation and the compactness of G there is a neighborhood V^* of 0 in $T_e G$ such that $V^* \subset V_1$ and if $v \in V^*$, $g \in G$ then $ad(g)(v) \in V_1$. Let $M_0^* = \{h \in C^r(X, T_e G) \mid h(X) \subset V^*\}$ and $M^* = E(M_0^*)$. Then E maps M_0^* diffeomorphically onto M^* and $E(M_0^* \cap \mathcal{H}^*) \subset M^* \cap \mathcal{H}$. If, conversely, $E(h) \in M^* \cap \mathcal{H}$, $h \in M_0^*$, then for g in G , x in X we have $E(h)(xg) = \exp(h(xg)) = g^{-1} \exp(h(x))g = \exp(ad(g^{-1})(h(x)))$. Now $h(xg) \in V^* \subset V$, $ad(g^{-1})(h(x)) \subset V$ and since \exp is injective on V we can conclude that $h(xg) = ad(g^{-1})(h(x))$. Thus $h \in \mathcal{H}^*$ which completes the proof.

Now \mathcal{H} acts on X by $f * x = xf(x)$. Since the evaluation map $C^r(X, G) \times X \rightarrow G$ is smooth and the group action is smooth it follows that $*$: $\mathcal{H} \times X \rightarrow X$ is smooth.

LEMMA 3. ** is an effective action of the Banach Lie group \mathcal{H} on X .*

Proof. $f * (h * x) = (h * x)f(h * x) = (xh(x))f(xh(x)) = x(h(x)f(xh(x))) = x(f(x)h(x))$ where the last equality follows from the assumption that $f \in \mathcal{H}$. We have shown that $f * (h * x) = x((fh)(x)) = (fh) * x$ so that $*$ is a group action. Suppose that $f * x = x$ for all x in X . Then $xf(x) = x$ for all x and since the original action of G on X is free we get that $f(x) = e$ for all x . Thus f is the identity in $C^r(X, G)$ and we have shown that the action of $*$ is effective.

This effective action of \mathcal{H} on X allows us to identify \mathcal{H} with a subgroup of $\text{Diff}^r(X)$. As noted before, this subgroup is precisely the group of self-equivalences of the bundle $\pi: X \rightarrow X/G$. We have shown:

THEOREM 3. *Let the compact Lie group G act freely and smoothly on a compact C^∞ -manifold X . Let $E^r(X, G)$ be the group of C^r -self-equivalences as defined above. Then $E^r(X, G)$ has the structure of a Banach Lie group.*

Concluding remarks. In addition to the assumptions made above suppose that compact Lie group G is abelian. Then the condition $\varphi(xg) = g^{-1}\varphi(x)g$ simplifies to $\varphi(xg) = \varphi(x)$ so that we get $\mathcal{H} = \{f \mid f \in C^r(X, G) \text{ and } f \text{ is constant on the } G\text{-orbits}\}$. Thus \mathcal{H} is isomorphic to $C^r(X/G, G)$ and the Lie algebra of \mathcal{H} can be identified with $C^r(X/G, T_e G)$. An example of this is given by the standard action of S^1 on S^{2n+1} . The action is obtained by representing S^{2n+1} as $\{(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) \in C^{n+1} \mid |z_0|^2 + |z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_n|^2 = 1\}$ and defining $(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n)z = (z_0z, z_1z, \dots, z_nz)$. This action satisfies all of our hypothesis and the

orbit manifold is CP^n , complex projective n -space. Thus $C^r(CP^n, S^1)$ acts on S^{2n+1} and the resulting subgroup of $\text{Diff}^r(S^{2n+1})$ consists of the equivariant diffeomorphisms of S^{2n+1} which cover the identity map on CP^n .

If the Lie group P acts differentiably on the manifold X and $p \in P$ is in the image of the exponential map then we know that the diffeomorphism $f_p: X \rightarrow X$ by $f_p(x) = xp$ is imbeddable in a smooth flow. Since we have the Banach Lie group \mathcal{H} acting on X we can say that any self-equivalence which is of the form $f(x) = x\varphi(x)$, $\varphi \in \text{image}(E)$, is imbeddable in a flow. More specifically we have

THEOREM 4. *Let G be a compact, abelian, connected Lie group (i.e., a torus). Let X be a compact, connected, simply connected, C^∞ -manifold and suppose that G acts freely and differentiably on X . Then every C^r -self-equivalence of the action is imbeddable in a C^r -flow.*

Proof. $C^r(X/G, G)$ acts on X by $\varphi * x = x\varphi(\pi(x))$ where $\pi: X \rightarrow X/G$ is the projection. Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a C^r -self-equivalence of the action of G . Choose φ such that $f(x) = \varphi * x$ for all x . It is enough to show that there is an h in $C^r(X/G, T_e G)$ such that $E(h) = \varphi$. But this is just the lifting problem for φ . Since $\exp: T_e G \rightarrow G$ is a covering and X is 1-connected it follows that given $\varphi \in C^r(X/G, G)$ there is h in $C^r(X/G, T_e G)$ so that $\exp h = \varphi$; i.e., $E(h) = \varphi$.

We now comment on our assumption that G acts on the right of X . If G acts on the left we still get a subgroup of $C^r(X, G)$ acting as a group of diffeomorphisms of X . The appropriate subgroup is $H_1 = \{f \in C^r(X, G) \mid f(gx) = f(x) \text{ for all } g \in G, x \in X\}$. However, the diffeomorphism $x \rightarrow f * x$, $f \in H_1$, is G -equivariant if and only if $f(X)$ is contained in the center of G . Thus H_1 does not act as group of equivariant diffeomorphisms and, conversely, not every equivariant diffeomorphism which covers the identity of X/G is representable as $x \rightarrow f * x$ for some $f \in H_1$. Letting G act on the right and $C^r(X, G)$ act on the left obviates these difficulties.

Finally let us note a comparison between our results and those in N. Kopell's paper, "Commuting Diffeomorphisms". Assume G is a compact, connected Lie group acting smoothly and freely on the compact connected manifold X with $\dim(G) < \dim(X)$. Suppose a diffeomorphism f is imbedded in this action, that is, there exists $g \in G$ such that $f(x) = xg$. Then there is a whole Banach manifold of diffeomorphisms which commute with f . To see this choose a torus $T \subset G$ with $g \in T$. Then for $h \in C^r(X/T, T)$ the diffeomorphism $x \rightarrow h * x$ commutes with f . (Of course we need $\dim(T) > 0$ in order that $C^r(X/T, T)$ be infinite dimensional.) Since $\dim(X/T) > 0$ we can

easily define $h \in C^r(X/T, T)$ so that $h(V) = \{e\}$ for a nonvoid open $V \subset X/T$ but h is not globally constant. Then $x \rightarrow h*x$ is the identity on an open set but not globally. In contrast if f is a special M.-S. diffeomorphism and $h: X \rightarrow X$ is a diffeomorphism which commutes with f then if $h|V = id.$ for some open set $V \neq \emptyset$ then Kopell shows that h is the identity diffeomorphism. It follows for example that no special M.-S. diffeomorphism is imbeddable in a group action of the type we have considered. The authors wish to thank the referee for directing our attention to Kopell's paper.

REFERENCES

1. R. Abraham, *Lectures of Smale on Differential Topology*, Columbia Univ., New York, 1962.
2. N. Kopell, *Commuting diffeomorphisms*, Proc. Symp. in Pure Math. 14, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence (1970), 164-184.
3. S. Lang, *Introduction to Differentiable Manifolds*, Interscience, New York, 1962.
4. B. Maissen, *Lie-gruppen mit Banachräumen als Parameterräume*, Acta Mathematica, vol. **108** (1962), 229-269.
5. S. Sternberg, *Lectures on Differential Geometry*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1964.

Received March 7, 1972.

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI*
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

* C. DePrima will replace J. Dugundji until August 1974.

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 47, No. 1

January, 1973

K. Adachi, Masuo Suzuki and M. Yoshida, <i>Continuation of holomorphic mappings, with values in a complex Lie group</i>	1
Michael Aschbacher, <i>A characterization of the unitary and symplectic groups over finite fields of characteristic at least 5</i>	5
Larry Eugene Bobisud and James Calvert, <i>Energy bounds and virial theorems for abstract wave equations</i>	27
Christer Borell, <i>A note on an inequality for rearrangements</i>	39
Peter Southcott Bullen and S. N. Mukhopadhyay, <i>Peano derivatives and general integrals</i>	43
Wendell Dan Curtis, Yu-Lee Lee and Forrest Miller, <i>A class of infinite dimensional subgroups of $\text{Diff}^r(X)$ which are Banach Lie groups</i>	59
Paul C. Eklof, <i>The structure of ultraproducts of abelian groups</i>	67
William Alan Feldman, <i>Axioms of countability and the algebra $C(X)$</i>	81
Jack Tilden Goodykoontz, Jr., <i>Aposyndetic properties of hyperspaces</i>	91
George Grätzer and J. Płonka, <i>On the number of polynomials of an idempotent algebra. II</i>	99
Alan Trinler Huckleberry, <i>The weak envelope of holomorphy for algebras of holomorphic functions</i>	115
John Joseph Hutchinson and Julius Martin Zelmanowitz, <i>Subdirect sum decompositions of endomorphism rings</i>	129
Gary Douglas Jones, <i>An asymptotic property of solutions of $y''' + py' + qy = 0$</i>	135
Howard E. Lacey, <i>On the classification of Lindenstrauss spaces</i>	139
Charles Dwight Lahr, <i>Approximate identities for convolution measure algebras</i>	147
George William Luna, <i>Subdifferentials of convex functions on Banach spaces</i>	161
Nelson Groh Markley, <i>Locally circular minimal sets</i>	177
Robert Wilmer Miller, <i>Endomorphism rings of finitely generated projective modules</i>	199
Donald Steven Passman, <i>On the semisimplicity of group rings of linear groups</i>	221
Bennie Jake Pearson, <i>Dendritic compactifications of certain dendritic spaces</i>	229
Ryōtarō Satō, <i>Abel-ergodic theorems for subsequences</i>	233
Henry S. Sharp, Jr., <i>Locally complete graphs</i>	243
Harris Samuel Shultz, <i>A very weak topology for the Mikusinski field of operators</i>	251
Elena Stroescu, <i>Isometric dilations of contractions on Banach spaces</i>	257
Charles W. Trigg, <i>Versum sequences in the binary system</i>	263
William L. Voxman, <i>On the countable union of cellular decompositions of n-manifolds</i>	277
Robert Francis Wheeler, <i>The strict topology, separable measures, and paracompactness</i>	287