

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

DENDRITIC COMPACTIFICATIONS OF CERTAIN DENDRITIC SPACES

BENNIE JAKE PEARSON

DENDRITIC COMPACTIFICATIONS OF CERTAIN DENDRITIC SPACES

B. J. PEARSON

A dendritic space is a connected space in which every two points are separated by a third point. In this paper we describe a very natural method for obtaining a dendritic compactification of any connected space for which a dendritic compactification exists. The method is an extension of the familiar process of compactifying E^1 by adjoining $-\infty$ and $+\infty$.

In what follows, an *arc* is a Hausdorff continuum with only two noncut points. A *ray* is an arc minus one of its noncut points. The space X is *semi-locally connected* at the point p if each open set containing p contains an open set V containing p such that $X - V$ has at most finitely many components.

LEMMA. *If the space X is arcwise connected but is not semi-locally connected at the point p , then there exists an open set U containing p such that if V is an open set containing p and lying in U , then $X - V$ has infinitely many components that intersect both \bar{V} and $X - U$.*

Proof. There exists an open set U containing p such that for each open set V containing p and lying in U , $X - V$ has infinitely many components. Let V be an open set containing p and lying in U , and let \mathcal{S} be the collection of all components of $X - V$ that intersect both \bar{V} and $X - U$. Suppose \mathcal{S} is finite. Let W be the union of V and all components of $X - V$ lying in U . It follows from the arcwise connectivity of X that each component of $X - V$ intersects \bar{V} . Therefore $W = X - \cup \mathcal{S}$, so that W is open. But

$$W \subseteq U, X - W = \cup \mathcal{S},$$

and C is a component of $X - W$ if and only if $C \in \mathcal{S}$. Therefore \mathcal{S} is infinite.

THEOREM 1. *If the connected space X has a dendritic compactification, then X is arcwise connected and semi-locally connected.*

Proof. Suppose X has a dendritic compactification X^* . Since X^* is a dendritic continuum, it is locally connected, and it then follows from Theorem 7.1 of [3] that the interval ab of X^* , which consists

of a and b and the set of all points of X^* separating a from b , is an arc. Suppose ab contains a point x not in X . Then $X^* - \{x\}$ is the union of two disjoint open sets U and V such that $a \in U$ and $b \in V$. But then X is a connected subset of $U \cup V$, so that $X \subseteq U$ or $X \subseteq V$. Therefore $ab \subseteq X$.

Suppose X is not semi-locally connected at p . There exist open sets U and V in X^* such that $p \in V \subseteq \bar{V} \subseteq U$ and an infinite net $\{C_\alpha\}$ of distinct components of $X - V$ intersecting both \bar{V} and $X - U$, where the closures are taken in X^* . For each α let $x_\alpha \in C_\alpha \cap \bar{V}$. Some subnet $\{x_{\alpha_n}\}$ of $\{x_\alpha\}$ converges to a point x in X^* . For each n let $y_{\alpha_n} \in C_{\alpha_n} \cap (X - U)$. Since X^* is compact, the net $\{y_{\alpha_n}\}$ has a cluster point y in X^* . Now $x \in \bar{V}$ and $y \in X^* - U$, so that $x \neq y$. But then no point separates x from y in X^* .

THEOREM 2. *If the space X is dendritic, semi-locally connected, and arcwise connected, and each ray in X is a subset of some arc in X , then X is compact.*

Proof. Let $p \in X$. Suppose $\{x_\alpha\}$ is a net of points in $X - \{p\}$ with no cluster point. Suppose that for each α and each point x of the arc px_α different from p there is a $\beta > \alpha$ such that $x \notin px_\beta$. Let U be an open set containing p such that for each α there is a $\beta > \alpha$ such that $x_\beta \in U$. There is an open set V containing p and lying in U such that $X - V$ has at most finitely many components. There is an α_0 such that $x_{\alpha_0} \in X - V$. Let $x_0 \in px_{\alpha_0}$ such that $px_0 \subseteq V$. There is an $\alpha_1 > \alpha_0$ such that $x_{\alpha_1} \in X - V$ and $x_0 \notin px_{\alpha_1}$. Let $x_1 \in px_{\alpha_1}$ such that $px_1 \subseteq V$. There is an $\alpha_2 > \alpha_1$ such that $x_{\alpha_2} \in X - V$, $x_0 \notin px_{\alpha_2}$, and $x_1 \notin px_{\alpha_2}$. Continue this process. There exist m and n such that $m \neq n$ and some component of $X - V$ contains both x_{α_m} and x_{α_n} . But then no point of X separates x_{α_m} from x_{α_n} . This is a contradiction, and hence the set R of all points x in $X - \{p\}$ such that for some α , $x \in px_\beta$ for each $\beta > \alpha$ is nonempty. Let $x, y \in R$. There is an α_1 such that $x \in px_\beta$ for $\beta > \alpha_1$. There is an α_2 such that $y \in px_\beta$ for $\beta > \alpha_2$. Hence if $\beta > \alpha_1, \alpha_2$, then $x, y \in px_\beta$. It follows that if $x, y \in R$, then either $px \subseteq py$ or $py \subseteq px$. Therefore there exists a point q of X distinct from p such that $R = pq$ or $R = pq - \{q\}$. For each α let y_α be the last point of px_α on pq . Let U be an open set containing q . There is a point y such that pyq and $yq \subseteq U$. Since $y \in R$, there is an α such that if $\beta > \alpha$, then $y \in px_\beta$. Hence $y_\beta \in yq$ for $\beta > \alpha$, so that the net $\{y_\alpha\}$ converges to q . It follows that there is a subnet $\{y_{\alpha_n}\}$ of $\{y_\alpha\}$ converging to q such that if $m < n$, then y_{α_m} precedes y_{α_n} on pq and $x_{\alpha_n} \notin pq$. There exists an open set V containing q and

lying in U such that $X - V$ has only finitely many components. Hence there is an m such that if $n > m$, then $x_{\alpha_n} \in V$. It follows that q is a cluster point of $\{x_\alpha\}$.

An incorrect version of the following lemma is stated as Lemma 3 to Theorem 3 in [1]. The lemma stated here may be used as a substitute without altering the proof of that theorem.

LEMMA. *If H and K are two separated connected sets in the arcwise connected dendritic space X , then some point of X separates H from K .*

Proof. Let $a \in H$ and $b \in K$. Since H and K are separated, there exists a point p of the arc ab not in $H \cup K$. Let U be the set of all points $x \neq p$ such that px contains a point of $ap - \{p\}$, and let $V = X - (U \cup \{p\})$. Suppose the point x of U is a limit point of V . Then there exists a net $\{x_\alpha\}$ of points in $V - pb$ converging to x and a net $\{y_\alpha\}$ of points in pb such that for each α , y_α is the last point of pb on px_α . Some point y of pb is a cluster point of $\{y_\alpha\}$, and hence no point of X separates x from y . This is a contradiction. Therefore U is open, and it follows by a similar argument that V is open. Since H and K are connected, $H \subseteq U$ and $K \subseteq V$. Therefore p separates H from K .

THEOREM 3. *The dendritic space X has a dendritic compactification if and only if X is arcwise connected and semi-locally connected.*

Proof. Suppose X is arcwise connected and semi-locally connected. Let $p \in X$, and let X^* be the union of X and the collection of all maximal rays in X starting from p . Let \mathcal{S} be the collection of all open sets U in X such that $X - U$ has at most finitely many components. For each U in \mathcal{S} let U^* be the union of U and the collection of all maximal rays starting from p and having a subray lying in U . Let $\mathcal{S}^* = \{U^* \mid U \in \mathcal{S}\}$. It is easily seen that if $U, V \in \mathcal{S}$, then $U \cap V \in \mathcal{S}$ and $(U \cap V)^* = U^* \cap V^*$. Therefore \mathcal{S}^* is a base for a topology of X^* , and X with its original topology is a subspace of X^* . Now for each maximal ray R in X starting from p the point R of X^* is a limit point of the point set R . Therefore X is dense in X^* . Furthermore $R \cup \{R\}$ is an arc from p to R . Therefore X^* is arcwise connected. Suppose $U \in \mathcal{S}$ and C is a component of $X^* - U^*$ containing a point R of $X^* - X$. If R has a subray in U , then $R \in U^*$. Hence there is a point x in $R - U$. Let K be the component of $X - U$ containing x . Since

$$X - U \subseteq X^* - U^* ,$$

it follows that $K \subseteq C$. Hence each component of $X^* - U^*$ contains a component of $X - U$. Therefore X^* is semi-locally connected. Let a and b be points of X . There is a point x of X such that $X - \{x\}$ is the union of two disjoint open sets U and V in X such that $a \in U$ and $b \in V$. Since the only component of $X - U$ is $V \cup \{x\}$, it follows that $U \in \mathcal{S}$ and similarly that $V \in \mathcal{S}$. Since $U \cap V = \emptyset$, it follows that $U^* \cap V^* = \emptyset$. If $R \in X^* - X$, then there is a subray S of R such that $x \notin S$. Hence $S \subseteq U$ or $S \subseteq V$, so that $R \in U^*$ or $R \in V^*$. Therefore $X^* - \{x\} = U^* \cup V^*$. It follows that x separates a from b in X^* . Now let $a \in X$ and $R \in X^* - X$. There is a subray S of R such that $a \notin S$. Some point x of X separates a from S in X , and it follows as before that x separates a from R in X^* . Finally, let P and R be two elements of $X^* - X$. There exist disjoint rays Q and S such that $Q \subseteq P$ and $S \subseteq R$. Some point x of X separates Q from S in X . It follows that x separates P from R in X^* . Therefore X^* is dendritic. It remains to be proved that X^* is compact. Suppose R is a ray in X^* starting from a point q in X^* . Now $X^* - X$ is totally disconnected since each two points of $X^* - X$ are separated by a point of X , and if x and y are points of X , then the arc xy in X^* is a subset of X . It follows that $R - \{q\} \subseteq X$. Hence there is a maximal ray S in X starting from p and containing a subray of R . Since $S \cup \{S\}$ is an arc in X^* , R is contained in some arc in X^* . It now follows from Theorem 2 that X^* is compact. This completes the proof.

Two other methods for obtaining dendritic compactifications of dendritic spaces may be found in the literature. In [2] Ward proves, by embedding in a Tychonoff cube, that every locally connected dendritic space satisfying a certain convexity condition has a dendritic compactification. In [1] Proizvolov proves, by considering maximal collections of closed connected sets having the finite intersection property, that every locally peripherally compact dendritic space has a dendritic compactification.

REFERENCES

1. V. V. Proizvolov, *Peripherally bicomact tree-like spaces*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **189** (1969), 724-727.
2. L. E. Ward, Jr., *On dendritic sets*, Duke Math. J., **25** (1958), 505-514.
3. G. T. Whyburn, *Cut points in general topological spaces*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, **61** (1968), 380-387.

Received April 28, 1972 and in revised form July 13, 1972.

UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

J. DUGUNDJI*
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

* C. DePrima will replace J. Dugundji until August 1974.

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

K. Adachi, Masuo Suzuki and M. Yoshida, <i>Continuation of holomorphic mappings, with values in a complex Lie group</i>	1
Michael Aschbacher, <i>A characterization of the unitary and symplectic groups over finite fields of characteristic at least 5</i>	5
Larry Eugene Bobisud and James Calvert, <i>Energy bounds and virial theorems for abstract wave equations</i>	27
Christer Borell, <i>A note on an inequality for rearrangements</i>	39
Peter Southcott Bullen and S. N. Mukhopadhyay, <i>Peano derivatives and general integrals</i>	43
Wendell Dan Curtis, Yu-Lee Lee and Forrest Miller, <i>A class of infinite dimensional subgroups of $\text{Diff}^r(X)$ which are Banach Lie groups</i>	59
Paul C. Eklof, <i>The structure of ultraproducts of abelian groups</i>	67
William Alan Feldman, <i>Axioms of countability and the algebra $C(X)$</i>	81
Jack Tilden Goodykoontz, Jr., <i>Aposyndetic properties of hyperspaces</i>	91
George Grätzer and J. Płonka, <i>On the number of polynomials of an idempotent algebra. II</i>	99
Alan Trinler Huckleberry, <i>The weak envelope of holomorphy for algebras of holomorphic functions</i>	115
John Joseph Hutchinson and Julius Martin Zelmanowitz, <i>Subdirect sum decompositions of endomorphism rings</i>	129
Gary Douglas Jones, <i>An asymptotic property of solutions of $y''' + py' + qy = 0$</i>	135
Howard E. Lacey, <i>On the classification of Lindenstrauss spaces</i>	139
Charles Dwight Lahr, <i>Approximate identities for convolution measure algebras</i>	147
George William Luna, <i>Subdifferentials of convex functions on Banach spaces</i>	161
Nelson Groh Markley, <i>Locally circular minimal sets</i>	177
Robert Wilmer Miller, <i>Endomorphism rings of finitely generated projective modules</i>	199
Donald Steven Passman, <i>On the semisimplicity of group rings of linear groups</i>	221
Bennie Jake Pearson, <i>Dendritic compactifications of certain dendritic spaces</i>	229
Ryōtarō Satō, <i>Abel-ergodic theorems for subsequences</i>	233
Henry S. Sharp, Jr., <i>Locally complete graphs</i>	243
Harris Samuel Shultz, <i>A very weak topology for the Mikusinski field of operators</i>	251
Elena Stroescu, <i>Isometric dilations of contractions on Banach spaces</i>	257
Charles W. Trigg, <i>Versum sequences in the binary system</i>	263
William L. Voxman, <i>On the countable union of cellular decompositions of n-manifolds</i>	277
Robert Francis Wheeler, <i>The strict topology, separable measures, and paracompactness</i>	287