

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

THE BEHAVIOR OF THE NORM OF AN AUTOMORPHISM OF THE UNIT DISK

DENNIS MICHAEL GIRARD

THE BEHAVIOR OF THE NORM OF AN AUTOMORPHISM OF THE UNIT DISK

D. M. GIRARD

For $f(z)$ analytic on the closed unit disk,

$$f(z) = \sum a_k z^k,$$

let

$$\|f\| = \sum |a_k|.$$

In this paper the following result is obtained: **Theorem.** Let $f(z)$ be an automorphism of the unit disk:

$$f(z) = e^{i\zeta} \frac{z - \alpha}{1 - \bar{\alpha}z}, \quad 0 < |\alpha| < 1, \zeta \text{ real}.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \|f^n\| \sim \frac{8\sqrt{2}}{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)} (1 - \beta^2)^{1/2} F\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}; \frac{3}{2}; 1 - \beta^2\right)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ where $F = {}_2F_1$ is the hypergeometric function and

$$\beta = \frac{1 - |\alpha|}{1 + |\alpha|}.$$

1. **Introduction.** In a more general context we denote by A the class of all functions with absolutely convergent Fourier series and define

$$\|f\|_A = \sum_{-\infty < k < \infty} |\hat{f}(k)|.$$

If $f(z)$ is analytic on the closed unit disk, then $f(e^{it}) \in A$ and $\|f\| = \|f\|_A$.

The asymptotic behavior of $\|f^n\|_A$ has been studied in several recent papers. Kahane [5] has shown that if f is real, analytic, periodic of period 2π , and nonconstant, then there exist two positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that $C_1 \sqrt{n} < \|e^{inf}\|_A < C_2 \sqrt{n}$. More recently in [3] the behavior of $\|f^n\|_A$ has been studied in the case where $f \in A$, $|f(t)| \leq 1$ and $|f(t)| = 1$ for at most a finite number of points in $[0, 2\pi]$. Further results and connections with summability methods, the stability of difference schemes and the structure theory of A may be found, respectively, in [3], [4] and the recent monograph by Kahane [6].

2. **Preliminary lemmas.** In this section we give several results

which will be needed in the proof of the theorem. The first is a weak form of Laplace's estimate for integrals (see, for instance [1]).

LEMMA 1. *Let $\phi(t)$ be real valued and twice differentiable on $[a, b]$ and suppose that c is the unique point in $[a, b]$ satisfying $\phi'(c) = 0, \phi''(c) < 0$. Then*

$$\int_a^b e^{n\phi(t)} dt = O[e^{n\phi(c)}(n|\phi''(c)|)^{-1/2}], \quad n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

A proof of the following lemma, due to van der Corput, may be found in [8, p. 61].

LEMMA 2. *Let $h(t)$ be differentiable, $h'(t)$ monotone and suppose that $h'(t) \geq m > 0$ (or that $h'(t) \leq -m < 0$) in $[a, b]$. Then*

$$\left| \int_a^b e^{ih(t)} dt \right| < \frac{4}{m}.$$

The next result is a modification of Exercise 173 in [7].

LEMMA 3. *Let $s_{nk}, na \leq k \leq nb, 0 < a < b$, be such that*

(i) $0 \leq s_{nk} \leq 1$,

(ii) *for each $k, na \leq k \leq nb$, and any integer $j \neq 0$,*

$$\sum_{na < m \leq k} \exp(2\pi i j s_{nm}) = o(n), \quad n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

Further, let (α_k) be a positive increasing sequence such that $\alpha_{nb}M^{-1} = O(n^{-1}), n \rightarrow \infty$ where $M = \sum_{na < k \leq nb} \alpha_k$. Then, if $g(x)$ is a continuous function on $[0, 1]$ with $g(0) = g(1)$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M^{-1} \sum_{na < k \leq nb} \alpha_k g(s_{nk}) = \int_0^1 g(x) dx.$$

Proof. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there are trigonometric polynomials

$$p(x) = \sum_{|j| \leq N} c_j \exp(2\pi i j x), \quad P(x) = \sum_{|j| \leq N} d_j \exp(2\pi i j x)$$

such that for $x \in [0, 1]$ $p(x) \leq g(x) \leq P(x)$ and

$$\int_0^1 [P(x) - p(x)] dx \leq \varepsilon.$$

We now write

$$M^{-1} \sum_{na < k \leq nb} \alpha_k p(s_{nk}) = \sum_{|j| \leq N} c_j M^{-1} \sum_{na < k \leq nb} \alpha_k \exp(2\pi i j s_{nk})$$

and by applying Abel's summation formula and (ii) to these inner

sums we get, for $j \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & M^{-1} \sum_{na < k \leq nb} \alpha_k \exp(2\pi i j s_{nk}) \\ &= o(\alpha_{nb} n M^{-1}) + o(n M^{-1}) \sum_{na < k \leq nb-1} (\alpha_k - \alpha_{k+1}) \\ &= o(\alpha_{nb} n M^{-1}) \\ &= o(1), \quad n \longrightarrow \infty . \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M^{-1} \sum_{na < k \leq nb} \alpha_k p(s_{nk}) = c_0 = \int_0^1 p(x) dx$$

and in a similar fashion,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M^{-1} \sum_{na < k \leq nb} \alpha_k P(s_{nk}) = d_0 = \int_0^1 P(x) dx .$$

Taking limits in the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & M^{-1} \sum_{na < k \leq nb} \alpha_k p(s_{nk}) - \int_0^1 g(x) dx \\ & \leq M^{-1} \sum_{na < k \leq nb} \alpha_k g(s_{nk}) - \int_0^1 g(x) dx \\ & \leq M^{-1} \sum_{na < k \leq nb} \alpha_k P(s_{nk}) - \int_0^1 g(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

we obtain the limit of the middle term bounded below by

$$\int_0^1 [p(x) - g(x)] dx ,$$

which is greater than $-\varepsilon$, and bounded above by

$$\int_0^1 [P(x) - g(x)] dx ,$$

which is less than ε . The result follows.

LEMMA 4. *Let s_{nk} and $g(x)$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 3. Then, for any polynomial $p(x)$,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{na < k \leq nb} p\left(\frac{k}{m}\right) g(s_{nk}) \frac{1}{n} = \int_a^b p(x) dx \cdot \int_0^1 g(x) dx .$$

Proof. It is sufficient to establish the result in the case when $p(x) = x^m, m \geq 0$ an integer.

In Lemma 3 we take $\alpha_k = k^m$ and then

$$\sum_{na < k \leq nb} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^m g(s_{nk}) \frac{1}{n} = \frac{M}{n^{m+1}} \cdot M^{-1} \sum_{na < k \leq nb} k^m g(s_{nk})$$

where $M = \sum_{na < k \leq nb} k^m$. Taking limits yields the result since

$$Mn^{-m-1} = \sum_{na < k \leq nb} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^m \frac{1}{n} \sim \int_a^b x^m dx .$$

LEMMA 5. *Let s_{nk} and $g(x)$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 3 and let $f(x)$ be continuous on $[a, b]$. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{na < k \leq nb} g(s_{nk}) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \frac{1}{n} = \int_0^1 g(x) dx \cdot \int_a^b f(x) dx .$$

The proof follows directly by approximating $f(x)$ uniformly by a polynomial $p(x)$ on $[a, b]$. Our last lemma, also due to van der Corput, is Theorem 5.9 in [8].

LEMMA 6. *If $\phi(t)$ is twice differentiable and real, and $0 < \lambda < \phi''(t) < \mu\lambda$ (or $\lambda < -\phi''(t) \leq \mu\lambda$) throughout the interval (c, d) and $d \geq c + 1$, then*

$$\sum_{c < k \leq d} \exp(2\pi i \phi(k)) = O[\mu(d - c)\lambda^{1/2}] + O[\lambda^{-1/2}] .$$

3. Proof of the theorem. We first show that it suffices to prove the result when $\zeta = 0$ and α is real and positive. Indeed, if we let $\text{Arg } \alpha = \theta$ and

$$\left(\frac{z - |\alpha|}{1 - |\alpha|z}\right)^n = \sum a_{nk} z^k$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(e^{i\zeta} \frac{z - \alpha}{1 - \bar{\alpha}z}\right)^n &= e^{in(\theta + \zeta)} \left(\frac{ze^{-i\theta} - |\alpha|}{1 - |\alpha|ze^{-i\theta}}\right)^n \\ &= \sum a_{nk} e^{in(\theta + \zeta) - ik\theta} z^k \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\left\| e^{i\zeta} \left(\frac{z - \alpha}{1 - \bar{\alpha}z}\right)^n \right\| = \left\| \left(\frac{z - |\alpha|}{1 - |\alpha|z}\right)^n \right\| .$$

Thus, we will assume that $0 < \alpha < 1$.

We want to determine the asymptotic behavior of

$$\|f^n\| = \sum |a_{nk}| .$$

The essential ideas are these: using Cauchy's theorem and Laplace's method for real integrals we will show that, depending on n and α , there is only a small range of summation which is significant; then over this range of summation we will apply a modification of the method of stationary phase to further estimate the coefficients. For convenience the proof is divided into three parts.

We shall omit the phrase "for n sufficiently large" finitely many times in the course of the proof.

PART 1

Since $0 < \alpha < 1$, there is an $R > 1$ such that $f(z) = (z - \alpha)/(1 - \alpha z)$ is analytic in the disk $|z| \leq R$ and so for any $r, 0 < r \leq R$

$$\|f^n\| = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=r} f^n(z) z^{-k-1} dz \right|.$$

In this section we show that

$$\|f^n\| = \sum_{k \in T(n)} |a_{nk}| + O(\sqrt{n}/\log n), \quad n \longrightarrow \infty,$$

where $T(n) = \{k: n[\beta + \epsilon_n] \leq k \leq n[1/\beta - \epsilon_n]\}$, for

$$\beta = \frac{1 - \alpha}{1 + \alpha}$$

and

$$\epsilon = \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

For simplicity set $n[1/\beta - \epsilon_n] = M$. Then, if $r > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \geq M} |a_{nk}| &= \sum_{k \geq M} \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f^n(re^{it})(re^{it})^{-k} dt \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k \geq M} \frac{1}{2\pi} r^{-k} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \exp [n\psi(t)] dt \\ &\leq \left[\frac{r^{-M+1}}{(r-1)} \right] \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \exp [n\psi(t)] dt, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\psi(t) = \log \left| \frac{re^{it} - \alpha}{1 - \alpha re^{it}} \right|$$

and by writing

$$\psi(t) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{r^2 + \alpha^2 - 2\alpha r \cos t}{1 + \alpha^2 r^2 - 2\alpha r \cos t}$$

the following properties are easily verifiable:

$$\Psi'(0) = \Psi'(\pi) = \Psi'(-\pi) = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi''(0) &= \alpha r(1 - r^2)(1 - \alpha^2)(r - \alpha)^{-2}(1 - r\alpha)^{-2} \\ \Psi''(\pi) &= -\alpha r(1 - r^2)(1 - \alpha^2)(r + \alpha)^{-2}(1 + r\alpha)^{-2}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $r > 1$,

$$\Psi''(0) < 0, \Psi''(\pi) > 0, \Psi''(-\pi) > 0;$$

for $r < 1$,

$$\Psi''(0) > 0, \Psi''(\pi) < 0, \Psi''(-\pi) < 0.$$

Applying Lemma 1 in the case when $r > 1$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \exp[n\Psi(t)]dt &= 0 \left[\left(\frac{r - \alpha}{1 - \alpha r} \right)^n n^{-1/2} (r - \alpha)(1 - \alpha r) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cdot [\alpha r(1 - r^2)(1 - \alpha^2)]^{-1/2} \right]\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\sum_{k \geq M} |a_{nk}| = 0 \left[n^{-1/2} \frac{(r - \alpha)^{n+1}}{(1 - \alpha r)^{n-1}} \frac{r^{-M+1/2}}{(r - 1)^{3/2}} (r + 1)^{-1/2} \right], \quad n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

we choose our path of integration so that $r = 1 + (n\varepsilon_n)^{-1}$ and then this last expression is asymptotic to

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} n^{-1/2} (n\varepsilon_n)^{3/2} \exp \left[(n\varepsilon_n)^{-1} \left[\left(\frac{1 + \alpha}{1 - \alpha} \right) n - M \right] \right], \quad n \longrightarrow \infty$$

and this finally yields

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k \geq M} |a_{nk}| &= 0 [n^{1/4} (\log n)^{3/2}] \\ &= 0 [n^{1/2} / \log n], \quad n \longrightarrow \infty.\end{aligned}$$

By choosing a path of integration $|z| = 1 - (n\varepsilon_n)^{-1}$ we can, in a similar way, show that

$$\sum_{k \leq N} |a_{nk}| = 0 [n^{1/2} / \log n], \quad n \longrightarrow \infty,$$

where $N = n[\beta + \varepsilon_n]$.

PART 2

Unless otherwise noted all sums in this part will be for $k \in T(n)$.

To estimate a_{nk} for $k \in T(n)$ we integrate along the unit circle so that

$$|a_{nk}| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f^n(e^{it}) e^{-ikt} dt \right|$$

and by setting

$$b_{nk} = \int_0^{\pi} \exp [ih(t)] dt ,$$

where

$$h(t) = h_{nk}(t) = -in \log \left(\frac{e^{it} - \alpha}{1 - \alpha e^{it}} \right) - kt$$

we have

$$(1) \quad \sum |a_{nk}| = \sum \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| b_{nk} + \bar{b}_{nk} \right| .$$

We will determine the behavior of b_{nk} and show that

$$(2) \quad \sum |b_{nk} + \bar{b}_{nk}| = 2\sqrt{2\pi} \sum |h''(t_{nk})|^{-1/2} \left| \cos \left[h(t_{nk}) - \frac{\pi}{4} \right] \right| + O(n^{1/2}/\log n) , \quad n \longrightarrow \infty$$

where t_{nk} is the root of $h'(t) = 0$.

We begin by listing some properties of $h(t)$: $h(t)$ is real valued and from the derivatives

$$h'(t) = n(1 - \alpha^2)(1 + \alpha^2 - 2\alpha \cos t)^{-1} - k ,$$

$$h''(t) = - [2n\alpha(1 - \alpha^2) \sin t] (1 + \alpha^2 - 2\alpha \cos t)^{-2}$$

we obtain that: $h''(t) < 0$ for $0 < t < \pi$, $h''(0) = h''(\pi) = 0$ and $h'(t)$ is a decreasing function on $[0, \pi]$. For $k \in T(n)$, $h'(t)$ has a unique zero in $(0, \pi)$, say t_{nk} , given by

$$(3) \quad \cos t_{nk} = [1 + \alpha^2 - n(1 - \alpha^2)/k]/2\alpha$$

and there are two constants $C_\alpha, C'_\alpha > 0$ and independent of n and k such that $-1 < -1 + C_\alpha \varepsilon_n < \cos t_{nk} < 1 - C'_\alpha \varepsilon_n < 1$ which implies

$$0 < C'_\alpha \varepsilon_n < t_{nk} < \pi - C_\alpha \varepsilon_n < \pi .$$

A direct calculation, using the expression for $\cos t_{nk}$ above, shows that

$$(4) \quad h''(t_{nk}) = -k(k/n - \beta)^{1/2}(1/\beta - k/n)^{1/2}$$

which yields, for $k \in T(n)$,

$$|h''(t_{nk})| \geq n\varepsilon_n\beta .$$

Finally, for $x \in [0, \pi]$, $|h'''(x)| \leq K_\alpha n$ where K_α is a constant depending on α alone.

We set $\delta_n = c\varepsilon_n$ where $0 < c < \min [C_\alpha, C'_\alpha, \beta/K_\alpha]$ and define the intervals

$$\begin{aligned} I_{nk} &= (t_{nk} - \delta_n, t_{nk} + \delta_n) , \\ I'_{nk} &= [0, t_{nk} - \delta_n] , \\ I''_{nk} &= [t_{nk} + \delta_n, \pi] . \end{aligned}$$

This choice of c guarantees that $t_{nk} - \delta_n > 0$ and $t_{nk} + \delta_n < \pi$. The equality (2) will be established in two steps. First we replace

$$\sum |b_{nk} + \bar{b}_{nk}|$$

by

$$\sum \left| \int_{I_{nk}} \exp [ih(t)]dt + \int_{I_{nk}} \exp [-ih(t)]dt \right| .$$

To do this it suffices to show that

$$\sum \left[|b_{nk} + \bar{b}_{nk}| - \left| \int_{I_{nk}} + \int_{I_{nk}} \right| \right] = 0(n^{1/2}/\log n) ,$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This expression is bounded above by

$$\begin{aligned} &2 \sum \left| b_{nk} - \int_{I_{nk}} \right| \\ &\leq 2 \sum \left[\left| \int_{I'_{nk}} \right| + \left| \int_{I''_{nk}} \right| \right] , \end{aligned}$$

the integrands in each of these cases being $\exp [ih(t)]$.

On the interval I'_{nk} $h'(t)$ is decreasing and $h'(t) \geq h'(t_{nk} - \delta_n) > 0$ for fixed n and k . From Lemma 2 we then infer that

$$\left| \int_{I'_{nk}} \exp [ih(t)]dt \right| \leq 4/|h'(t_{nk} - \delta_n)| ;$$

but for some value of ζ , $t_{nk} - \delta_n < \zeta < t_{nk}$,

$$|h'(t_{nk} - \delta_n)| = \left| \int_{t_{nk} - \delta_n}^{t_{nk}} h''(t)dt \right| = |h''(\zeta)|\delta_n .$$

Using the lower and upper bounds on $|h''(t_{nk})|$ and $|h''(\zeta)|$ respectively, given above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |h''(t_{nk}) - h''(\zeta)|/|h''(t_{nk})| &\leq K_\alpha n\delta_n/n\varepsilon_n\beta \\ &= K_\alpha c/\beta \\ &< 1 \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} |h''(\zeta)| &\geq |h''(t_{nk})| |1 - |h''(t_{nk}) - h''(\zeta)||/|h''(t_{nk})|| \\ &\geq M|h''(t_{nk})| \end{aligned}$$

where M is a constant depending only upon α .

From this inequality we obtain

$$\sum \left| \int_{I'_{nk}} \exp [ih(t)] dt \right| = 0(\delta_n^{-1}) \sum |h''(t_{nk})|^{-1}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. On the interval I'_{nk} $h'(t)$ is again decreasing and in an entirely similar fashion, now using the alternative hypothesis in van der Corput's lemma, we obtain the above estimate for this interval of integration.

We now show that this last sum is $0(1)$. Equation (4) allows us to rewrite it as

$$\sum (k/n)^{-1}(k/n - \beta)^{-1/2}(1/\beta - k/n)^{-1/2}n^{-1}$$

and by recalling that $k/n > \beta$ for $k \in T(n)$ we can majorize this by

$$\beta^{-1} \sum (k/n - \beta)^{-1/2}(1/\beta - k/n)^{-1/2}n^{-1}.$$

The function $(x - \beta)^{-1/2}(1/\beta - x)^{-1/2}$ takes its minimum value at the point $\gamma = (\beta + 1/\beta)/2$ and by splitting the sum we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k/m < \gamma} (k/m - \beta)^{-1/2}(1/\beta - k/m)^{-1/2}n^{-1} \\ &\leq (1/\beta - \gamma)^{-1/2} \sum_{k/n < \gamma} (k/n - \beta)^{-1/2}n^{-1} \\ &= 0 \left[\int_{\beta}^{\gamma} (x - \beta)^{-1/2} dx \right] \\ &= 0(1) \end{aligned}$$

and similarly the sum for $k/n \geq \gamma$ is $0(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, we may write

$$(5) \quad \sum |b_{nk} + \bar{b}_{nk}| = \sum \left| \int_{I_{nk}} \exp [ih(t)] dt + \int_{J_{nk}} \exp [-ih(t)] dt \right| + 0(n^{1/2}/\log n).$$

Expanding $h(t)$ about the point $t = t_{nk}$ we can write

$$\exp [ih(t)] = A(t) + G^*(t)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(t) &= \exp [ih(t_{nk}) + ih''(t_{nk})(t - t_{nk})^2/2] \cdot \\ &\cdot [1 + ih'''(t_{nk})(t - t_{nk})^3/6] \end{aligned}$$

and $G^*(t) = 0[n(t - t_{nk})^4]$, $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$\left| \sum \left| \int_{I_{nk}} \exp [ih(t)] dt + \int_{I_{nk}} \exp [-ih(t)] dt \right| - \sum \left| \int_{I_{nk}} A(t) dt + \int_{I_{nk}} \bar{A}(t) dt \right| \right|$$

is bounded above by

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \sum \left| \int_{I_{nk}} \exp [ih(t)] dt - \int_{I_{nk}} A(t) dt \right| \\ &= 2 \sum \left| \int_{I_{nk}} G^*(t) dt \right| \\ &= 0 \left[\sum_{k \in T(n)} n \delta_n^5 \right] \\ &= 0(n^2 \delta_n^5) = o(1) \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and so we may write, using (5),

$$(6) \quad \sum |b_{nk} + \bar{b}_{nk}| = \sum \left| \int_{I_{nk}} [A(t) + \bar{A}(t)] dt \right| + 0(n^{1/2}/\log n).$$

We first note that

$$\int_{I_{nk}} A(t) dt = 2 \int_0^{2n} \exp [ih(t_{nk}) + ih''(t_{nk})u^2/2] du$$

and a further change of variable, $(1/2) |h''(t_{nk})| u^2 = v$, produces

$$\int_{I_{nk}} A(t) dt = \sqrt{2} |h''(t_{nk})|^{-1/2} \exp [ih(t_{nk})] \int_0^{w_{nk}} e^{-iv} v^{-1/2} dv$$

where $w_{nk} = |h''(t_{nk})| \delta_n^2/2$. Thus, the sum on the right hand side of equation (6) may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{2} \sum |h''(t_{nk})|^{-1/2} \left| \exp [ih(t_{nk})] \int_0^{w_{nk}} e^{-iv} v^{-1/2} dv \right. \\ & \left. + \exp [-ih(t_{nk})] \int_0^{w_{nk}} e^{iv} v^{-1/2} dv \right|. \end{aligned}$$

As a last step in establishing equation (2), we replace the integrals in the expression above by the integrals $\int_0^\infty e^{-iv} v^{-1/2} dv$ and $\int_0^\infty e^{iv} v^{-1/2} dv$ whose values are, respectively, $\sqrt{\pi} e^{i\pi/4}$ and $\sqrt{\pi} e^{-i\pi/4}$. To do this it suffices to show that

$$\sum |h''(t_{nk})|^{-1/2} \left| \int_{w_{nk}}^\infty e^{-iv} v^{-1/2} dv \right| = 0(n^{1/2}/\log n);$$

but this follows rather easily. Integration by parts yields an estimate for the absolute value of the integral of $2/\sqrt{w_{nk}}$. The above sum is then

$$\begin{aligned} &0[\sum |h''(t_{nk})|^{-1/2} \omega_{nk}^{-1/2}] \\ &= \delta_n^{-1} 0[\sum |h''(t_{nk})|^{-1}] \\ &= \delta_n^{-1} 0(1) \\ &= 0(n^{1/2}/\log n), n \longrightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

as we have shown above. Equation (6) now becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \sum |b_{nk} + \bar{b}_{nk}| &= \sum \sqrt{2\pi} |h''(t_{nk})|^{-1/2} \\ &\cdot |\exp [i(h(t_{nk}) - \pi/4)] + \exp [-i(h(t_{nk}) - \pi/4)]| \\ &+ 0(n^{1/2}/\log n) \end{aligned}$$

and this reduces to equation (2).

PART 3

We now complete the proof of the theorem by applying Lemma 5. By setting

$$F(x) = x^{-1/2}(x - \beta)^{-1/4}(1/\beta - x)^{-1/4}$$

we can write

$$n^{-1/2}|h''(t_{nk})|^{-1/2} = F(k/n)n^{-1}$$

by using equation (4). Further, since $0 \leq t \leq \pi$,

$$\begin{aligned} -i \log [(e^{it} - \alpha)/(1 - \alpha e^{it})] &= \text{Arg} [(e^{it} - \alpha)(1 - \alpha e^{it})^{-1}] \\ &= \cos^{-1} \left[\frac{(1 + \alpha^2) \cos t - 2\alpha}{1 + \alpha^2 - 2\alpha \cos t} \right] \end{aligned}$$

and we then get, by (3),

$$-i \log [(e^{it_{nk}} - \alpha)/(1 - \alpha e^{it_{nk}})] = \cos^{-1} [- [1 + \alpha^2 - k(1 - \alpha^2)/n]/2\alpha] .$$

We now define

$$G(x) = \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{2\alpha} \left(x - \frac{1 + \alpha^2}{1 - \alpha^2} \right)$$

and

$$H(x) = \cos^{-1}[G(x)] - x \cos^{-1}[-G(1/x)]$$

and write

$$h(t_{nk}) = nH(k/n) .$$

By combining (1) and (2) we then get

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \|f^n\| = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sum |\cos [nH(k/n) - \pi/4]| F(k/n) \frac{1}{n} + o(1/\log n)$$

where this sum is for $k \in T(n)$. The application of Lemma 5 to this sum is delicate. For $0 < \eta < 1/\beta$ we set

$$T'(n) = \{k: n[\beta + \eta] \leq k \leq n[1/\beta - \eta]\}$$

and write

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sum_{k \in T'(n)} |\cos [nH(k/n) - \pi/4]| F(k/n)n^{-1} - \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{3/2} \int_{\beta}^{1/\beta} F(x)dx \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^5 K_j \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &= \int_{\beta}^{\beta+\eta} F(x)dx, & K_2 &= \int_{1/\beta-\eta}^{1/\beta} F(x)dx, \\ K_3 &= \sum_{\substack{k < n(\beta+\eta) \\ k \in T'(n)}} F(k/n)n^{-1}, & K_4 &= \sum_{\substack{k > n(1/\beta-\eta) \\ k \in T'(n)}} F(k/n)n^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} K_5 &= \left| \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \sum_{k \in T'(n)} |\cos [nH(k/n) - \pi/4]| F(k/n)n^{-1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{3/2} \int_{\beta+\eta}^{1/\beta-\eta} F(x)dx \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Since the integral of $F(x)$ over the interval $[\beta, 1/\beta]$ is convergent, for $\epsilon > 0$ we can choose η sufficiently small so that both K_1 and K_2 will be less than $\epsilon/5$. Likewise, if $\eta < 1/\beta - \beta$,

$$\begin{aligned} K_3 &< \beta^{-1/2}(1/\beta - \beta - \eta)^{-1/4} \sum_{k < n(\beta+\eta)} (k/n - \beta)^{-1/4}n^{-1} \\ &= 0 \left[\int_{\beta}^{\beta+\eta} (x - \beta)^{-1/4}dx \right] \\ &= o(1), \quad \eta \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

A similar dominance argument applies to K_4 and thus, if we pick η sufficiently small, we have $\sum_{j=1}^4 K_j < 4\epsilon/5$.

With η sufficiently small and fixed, we now show that $K_5 < \epsilon/5$ for n sufficiently large. In Lemma 5 we take $g(x) = \cos(2\pi x - \pi/4)$ and $s_{nk} = nH(k/n)/2\pi - [nH(k/n)/2\pi]^*$. $F(x)$ is continuous on the interval $[\beta + \eta, 1/\beta - \eta]$ and so we must show that for each integer $j \neq 0$ and each $k, na \leq k \leq nb$

$$\sum_{na < m \leq k} \exp [2\pi ijs_{nm}] = o(n), \quad n \longrightarrow \infty$$

* Here $[\cdot]$ denotes the greatest integer function.

where $a = \beta + \eta, b = 1/\beta - \eta$. For each n we apply Lemma 6 with $c = na, d = k$ and $2\pi\phi(x) = jH_n(x) = njH(x/n)$.

First, $d - c \leq n(1/\beta - \eta) - n(\beta + \eta) < 4\alpha n/(1 - \alpha^2)$. Next, with the aid of the identity

$$1 - G^2(x) = x^2(1 - G^2(1/x))$$

we compute

$$\begin{aligned} H_n''(x) &= n^{-1}H''(x/n) \\ &= [(1 - \alpha^2)/2\alpha][x\sqrt{1 - G^2(x/n)}]^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} H_n''(x) &\geq [(1 - \alpha^2)/2\alpha]/(n/\beta) \\ &= (1 - \alpha)^2/2\alpha n \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H_n''(x) &\leq [(1 - \alpha^2)/2\alpha][\min x]^{-1} \\ &\quad \cdot [\min \sqrt{1 - G^2(x/n)}]^{-1} \\ &< [(1 - \alpha^2)/2\alpha][n(\beta + \eta)]^{-1} \\ &\quad \cdot [1 - G^2(1/\beta - \eta)]^{-1/2} \\ &= K_{\alpha,\eta}(1 - \alpha)^2/2\alpha n \end{aligned}$$

where $K_{\alpha,\eta}$ depends only on α and η . Thus

$$(1 - \alpha)^2/2\alpha n \leq H_n''(x) \leq K_{\alpha,\eta}(1 - \alpha)^2/2\alpha n$$

and for $j \geq 1$

$$(1 - \alpha)^2/2\alpha n \leq jH_n''(x) \leq jK_{\alpha,\eta}(1 - \alpha)^2/2\alpha n$$

so that if we put $2\pi\lambda = (1 - \alpha)^2/2\alpha n$ and $\mu = jK_{\alpha,\eta}$, then, since j is bounded in magnitude, by Lemma 6

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{na < m \leq k} \exp [2\pi i j s_{\beta nm}] &= 0[jK_{\alpha,\eta}[4\alpha n/(1 - \alpha^2)](1 - \alpha)/\sqrt{2\alpha n}] \\ &\quad + 0[n^{1/2}] \\ &= 0(n^{1/2}), \quad n \longrightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

If $j < 0$ we apply the alternate form of van der Corput's estimate to obtain the same result.

We now need only to calculate the integral. If we let

$$t = (1/\beta - x)/(x - \beta),$$

then

$$\int_{\beta}^{1/\beta} F(x) dx = (1 - \beta^2)^{1/2} \int_0^{\infty} t^{-1/4} (t+1)^{-1} (1 + \beta^2 t)^{-1/2} dt.$$

However, this is an integral representation of the hypergeometric function. From equation (5) in [2] we have, for $\operatorname{Re} c > \operatorname{Re} b > 0$ and $|\arg z| < \pi$,

$$F(a, b; c; 1 - z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b)} \int_0^{\infty} t^{b-1} (1+t)^{a-c} (1+zt)^{-a} dt$$

and taking $a = 1/2$, $b = 3/4$, and $c = 3/2$ gives

$$\int_{\beta}^{1/\beta} F(x) dx = [\Gamma(3/4)]^2 [\Gamma(3/2)]^{-1} (1 - \beta^2)^{1/2} F\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}; \frac{3}{2}; 1 - \beta^2\right).$$

Using the relations $\Gamma(z)\Gamma(1-z) = \pi/\sin \pi z$,

$$\Gamma(z+1) = z\Gamma(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma(1/2) = \sqrt{\pi} \quad \text{gives}$$

$$[\Gamma(3/4)]^2 / \Gamma(3/2) = 4\pi^{3/2} / [\Gamma(1/4)]^2$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} & (2/\pi)^{3/2} \int_{\beta}^{1/\beta} F(x) dx \\ &= 8\sqrt{2} \Gamma^{-2}(1/4) (1 - \beta^2)^{1/2} F\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}; \frac{3}{2}; 1 - \beta^2\right). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

The author would like to thank Professor Bogdan Baishanski for originally suggesting this problem.

REFERENCES

1. A. Erdélyi, *Asymptotic Expansions*, Dover Publications Inc., New York, 1956.
2. A. Erdélyi, ed., *Higher Transcendental Functions, Volume I*, McGraw-Hill Book Company Inc., New York, 1953, p. 115.
3. Dennis M. Girard, *The asymptotic behavior of norms of powers of absolutely convergent Fourier series*, Pacific J. Math., **37** (1971), 357-381.
4. G. W. Hedstrom, *Norms of powers of absolutely convergent Fourier series*, Michigan J. Math., **13** (1966), 393-416.
5. J. P. Kahane, *Sur certaines classes de séries de Fourier absolument convergentes*, J. Math. Pure Appl., (9) **135** (1956), 249-259.
6. ———, *Séries de Fourier absolument convergentes*, *Ergebnisse der Math und ihrer Grengebriete*, Band 50, Springer Verlag, New York, 1970.
7. G. Pólya and G. Szegő, *Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis, Erster Band*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1964, p. 72.
8. E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*, Oxford University Press, London, 1951.

Received May 8, 1972 and in revised form January 2, 1972.

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI*

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT

University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$48.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$24.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

* C. DePrima will replace J. Dugundji until August 1974.

Copyright © 1973 by
Pacific Journal of Mathematics
All Rights Reserved

David Parham Bellamy, <i>Composants of Hausdorff indecomposable continua; a mapping approach</i>	303
Colin Bennett, <i>A Hausdorff-Young theorem for rearrangement-invariant spaces</i>	311
Roger Daniel Bleier and Paul F. Conrad, <i>The lattice of closed ideals and a^*-extensions of an abelian l-group</i>	329
Ronald Elroy Bruck, Jr., <i>Nonexpansive projections on subsets of Banach spaces</i>	341
Robert C. Busby, <i>Centralizers of twisted group algebras</i>	357
M. J. Canfell, <i>Dimension theory in zero-set spaces</i>	393
John Dauns, <i>One sided prime ideals</i>	401
Charles F. Dunkl, <i>Structure hypergroups for measure algebras</i>	413
Ronald Francis Gariepy, <i>Geometric properties of Sobolev mappings</i>	427
Ralph Allen Gellar and Lavon Barry Page, <i>A new look at some familiar spaces of intertwining operators</i>	435
Dennis Michael Girard, <i>The behavior of the norm of an automorphism of the unit disk</i>	443
George Rudolph Gordh, Jr., <i>Terminal subcontinua of hereditarily unicoherent continua</i>	457
Joe Alston Guthrie, <i>Mapping spaces and cs-networks</i>	465
Neil Hindman, <i>The product of F-spaces with P-spaces</i>	473
M. A. Labbé and John Wolfe, <i>Isomorphic classes of the spaces $C_\sigma(S)$</i>	481
Ernest A. Michael, <i>On k-spaces, k_R-spaces and $k(X)$</i>	487
Donald Steven Passman, <i>Primitive group rings</i>	499
C. P. L. Rhodes, <i>A note on primary decompositions of a pseudovaluation</i>	507
Muril Lynn Robertson, <i>A class of generalized functional differential equations</i>	515
Ruth Silverman, <i>Decomposition of plane convex sets. I</i>	521
Ernest Lester Stitzinger, <i>On saturated formations of solvable Lie algebras</i>	531
B. Andreas Troesch, <i>Sloshing frequencies in a half-space by Kelvin inversion</i>	539
L. E. Ward, <i>Fixed point sets</i>	553
Michael John Westwater, <i>Hilbert transforms, and a problem in scattering theory</i>	567
Misha Zafran, <i>On the spectra of multipliers</i>	609