Pacific Journal of Mathematics

THE PRODUCT OF F-SPACES WITH P-SPACES

NEIL HINDMAN

Vol. 47, No. 2

February 1973

THE PRODUCT OF F-SPACES WITH P-SPACES

NEIL HINDMAN

A condition on a basically disconnected space X is known which is necessary and sufficient for the product space $X \times Y$ to be basically disconnected for every P-space Y. This same condition, when applied to an F'-space X, guarantees that $X \times Y$ is an F'-space whenever Y is a P-space and is necessary for this result. The principal result of this paper establishes that this condition is not sufficient when applied to F-spaces. A condition which is sufficient but not necessary is also derived.

1. Introduction. The notation and general point of view are those of the Gillman and Jerison textbook [5]. In particular, all hypothesized spaces are completely regular Hausdorff. The reader should recall from [4] the following characterizations. A space X is: a P-space if and only if each cozero set is closed; a basically disconnected space if and only if each cozero set has open closure; a U-space if and only if disjoint cozero sets can be separated by an open-andclosed set; an F-space if and only if disjoint cozero sets can be completely separated; and an F"-space if and only if disjoint cozero sets have disjoint closures. It is clear from these characterizations that the conditions named grow progressively weaker.

In [3] Gillman asked for a necessary and sufficient condition that a product of two spaces be an *F*-space and, parenthetically, for a necessary and sufficient condition that a product of two spaces be a basically disconnected space. Curtis had shown [2] that if $X \times Y$ is an *F*'-space then either X or Y must be a *P*-space. It is easily seen that if $X \times Y$ has any of the properties listed above so must both X and Y for X and Y nonempty. Observing also that the product of a space X with a discrete space Y has any of the above mentioned properties which X has, one can rephrase the question in the form: For which spaces X with property A does the product $X \times Y$ have property A for every *P*-space Y?

This question was answered for the properties F' and basically disconnected in [1]. The condition was that the space be countably locally weakly Lindelöf (appreviated CLWL). That is, for every countable collection $\{\Gamma_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of open covers of X and each point x of X there must be a neighborhood V of x and, for each n, a countable subfamily Δ_n of Γ_n such that $V \subseteq cl \cup \Delta_n$.

Since F-spaces are F'-spaces the condition that X be CLWL is

NEIL HINDMAN

clearly necessary for $X \times Y$ to be an *F*-space for each *P*-space *Y*. The obvious question, since basically disconnected spaces are *F*-spaces, is whether that condition is also sufficient [1, 4.7]. It is shown in §3 the answer is no. That is, there are a CLWL *F*-space *X* and a *P*-space *Y* such that $X \times Y$ is not an *F*-space.

In §2 sufficient conditions for the product of two spaces to be an F-space are derived. The same conditions suffice when "F-space" is replaced by "U-space" throughout.

2. Conditions guaranteeing that a product space is an F-space. We shall have need of the following lemma from [1, 3.2].

LEMMA 2.1. Let $f \in C^*(X \times Y)$, where X is CLWL and Y is a P-space. If $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times Y$ then there is a neighborhood $U \times V$ of (x_0, y_0) such that $f(x, y) = f(x, y_0)$ whenever $(x, y) \in U \times V$.

It is shown in [6] that this in fact characterizes CLWL spaces in the sense that if X is not CLWL then there is some P-space Y such that the conclusion of Lemma 2.1 fails. (The proof is a slight modification of the "necessity" proof in [1, 3.3].)

DEFINITION 2.2. A point x of X is a basically disconnected point of X if whenever U is a cozero set of X and $x \in clU$ then in fact $x \in$ int clU.

It is clear that X is basically disconnected if and only if every point of X is a basically disconnected point. The proof of the following lemma can be taken verbatim from [1, 3.4].

LEMMA 2.3. If X is CLWL and x is a basically disconnected point of X and Y is a P-space then (x, y) is a basically disconnected point of $X \times Y$ for every y in Y.

The reader should recall that a space X is weakly Lindelöf if each open cover of X has a countable subfamily whose union is dense in X.

THEOREM 2.4. If X is a CLWL F-space (respectively U-space) and there is a weakly Lindelöf subspace D of X such that every point of X\D is a basically disconnected point and if Y is a P-space then $X \times Y$ is an F-space (respectively U-space).

Proof. Let $f \in C^*(X \times Y)$. By Theorem 3.3 of [1] $X \times Y$ is an F'-space so closs $f \cap \text{clneg } f = \emptyset$. (Here pos $f = \{(x, y): f(x, y) > 0\}$ and neg $f = \{(x, y): f(x, y) < 0\}$.) To show that $X \times Y$ is an F-space it suffices to show that pos f and neg f can be completely separated.

Define an equivalence relation on Y by agreeing that $y_1 \sim y_2$ if and only if the following three conditions hold for every x in D: (1) $f(x, y_1) = f(x, y_2)$; (2) $(x, y_1) \in \text{cl pos } f$ if and only if $(x, y_2) \in \text{cl pos } f$; and (3) $(x, y_1) \in \text{cl neg } f$ if and only if $(x, y_2) \in \text{cl neg } f$. It is clear that \sim is an equivalence relation. Let Γ be the set of \sim equivalence classes.

We claim that each element V of Γ is open. To see this let $V \in \Gamma$ and $y_0 \in V$. For each x in D there is a neighborhood $U_x \times V_x$ of (x, y_0) such that $f(x', y') = f(x', y_0)$ whenever $(x', y') \in U_x \times V_x$, by Lemma 2.1. Further, since X and Y are completely regular, U_x and V_x may be chosen to be cozero sets in X and Y. Now $\{U_x : x \in D\}$ is an open cover of D so there exists a countable subset $\{x(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of D such that $D \subseteq \operatorname{cl} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_{x(n)}$. Let $V_0 = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_{x(n)}$. Since Y is a P-space V_0 is a neighborhood of y_0 . We claim that $V_0 \subseteq V$, and hence that V is open as desired. To see this, let $y_1 \in V_0$. We will show that $y_1 \sim y_0$. To see that condition (1) holds suppose instead that $f(x, y_0) \neq f(x, y_1)$ for some x in D. Without loss of generality we may assume that $f(x, y_0) < f(x, y_1)$ so that there exist neighborhoods $U' \times V'$ of (x, y_0) and $U'' \times V''$ of (x, y_1) such that f(x', y') < f(x'', y'') whenever (x', y') $\in U' \times V'$ and $(x'', y'') \in U'' \times V''$. Now $U' \cap U''$ is a neighborhood of x, a point of D, so there is some n and some \overline{x} such that $\overline{x} \in$ $U_{x(n)}\cap U'\cap U''$. Now $(\overline{x}, y_1)\in U_{x(n)} imes V_{x(n)}$ and $(\overline{x}, y_0)\in U_{x(n)} imes V_{x(n)}$ so $f(\overline{x}, y_1) = f(\overline{x}, y_0)$. But $(\overline{x}, y_0) \in U' \times V'$ and $(\overline{x}, y_1) \in U'' \times V''$ so $f(\bar{x}, y_0) < f(\bar{x}, y_1)$, a contradiction.

To see that condition (2) holds suppose instead that there is some x in D such that either $(x, y_1) \in cl pos f$ and $(x, y_0) \notin cl pos f$ or $(x, y_1) \notin cl pos f$ and $(x, y_0) \in cl pos f$. Suppose that the former case holds. Then there is a neighborhood $U' \times V'$ of (x, y_0) , where U' and V' are cozero sets, such that $(U' \times V') \cap \text{pos } f = \emptyset$. For each n in N let $U_n = U_{x(n)} \cap U'$. Then U_n is a cozero set in X so $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$ is a cozero set of X. Also, since Y is a P-space, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_{x(n)} = V_0$ is a cozero set. Therefore, $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n \times V_0$ is the cozero set of some continuous function on $X \times Y$, say $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n \times V_0 = \cos g$. Further, if $(x', y') \in$ $igcup_{n=1}^{\infty}U_n imes V_0$ then $f(x',y')=f(x',y_0)$, since $(x',y')\in U_{x(n)} imes V_{x(n)}$ for some n, and $f(x', y_0) \leq 0$ since $(x', y_0) \in U' \times V'$. Thus $f(x', y') \leq 0$ and so $\cos g$ and $\cos f$ are disjoint cozero sets. Consequently $\operatorname{cl} \cos g \cap$ cl pos $f = \emptyset$ and so there is a neighborhood $U'' \times V''$ of (x, y_1) which misses $\cos g$. But $U'' \cap U'$ is a neighborhood of x, an element of D, so that there is some n and some \overline{x} such that $\overline{x} \in U_{x(n)} \cap U' \cap U'' =$ $U_n \cap U''$. Now $(\bar{x}, y_1) \in U_n \times V_0$ so $(\bar{x}, y_1) \in \cos g$ while $(\bar{x}, y_1) \in U'' \times V''$ so $(\bar{x}, y_1) \notin \cos g$, a contradiction. By interchanging y_1 and y_0 in the above argument one sees that it is also impossible to have (x, y_0) in cl pos f while $(x, y_1) \notin cl pos f$.

One also sees in an identical fashion that condition (3) holds. Thus

 $y_1 \sim y_0$ as desired.

Now choose y_V in V for every V in Γ and define f_V in $C^*(X)$ by the rule $f_V(x) = f(x, y_V)$. Now X is an F-space so, for each V in Γ , there exists g_V in $C^*(X)$ such that $g_V = 0$ on neg $f_V, g_V = 1$ on pos f_V and $0 \leq g_V \leq 1$. Define g in $C^*(X \times Y)$ by the rule $g(x, y) = g_V(x)$ where V is that element of Γ in which y lies. (The function g is continuous since each V in Γ is open.) Let h_1 and h_2 be the characteristic functions of cl pos f and $(X \times Y)$ cl neg f respectively. Define a function k on $X \times Y$ by $k = (g \vee h_1) \wedge h_2$. Then k = 1 on cl pos f and k = 0 on cl neg f so to complete the proof it remains only to show that k is continuous.

Let $(x, y) \in X \times Y$. If $x \notin D$ then x is a basically disconnected point of X and so, by Lemma 2.3, (x, y) is a basically disconnected point of $X \times Y$. Consequently each of g, h_1 , and h_2 are continuous at (x, y), and so k is continuous at (x, y). If $x \in D$ and $(x, y) \notin cl \operatorname{pos} f \cup$ cl neg f then there is a neighborhood of (x, y) on which k agrees with the continuous function g so that k is continuous at (x, y). If $x \in D$ and $(x, y) \in cl \operatorname{pos} f$ then $(x, y_v) \in cl \operatorname{pos} f$ where V is the member of Γ in which y lies. Therefore, $g(x, y) = g_v(x) = 1$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there is a neighborhood U of x on which $g_v > 1 - \varepsilon$. Let $U' \times$ V' be a neighborhood of (x, y) which misses cl neg f. Then $(U \cap U') \times$ $(V \cap V')$ is a neighborhood of (x, y) on which $k > 1 - \varepsilon$ and hence k is continuous at (x, y). Similarly if $x \in D$ and $(x, y) \in cl$ neg f and $\varepsilon >$ 0 one can find a neighborhood of (x, y) on which $k < \varepsilon$. Thus k is continuous and hence $X \times Y$ is an F-space.

To prove the parenthetical theorem it is only necessary to note that if X is a U-space one can choose the functions g_V in the above argument to assume only the values 0 and 1. (The characteristic function of an open-and-closed set is continuous.) Consequently the function k assumes only the values 0 and 1 and the set $A = \{(x, y):$ $k(x, y) = 0\}$ is an open-and-closed set containing neg f and missing pos f. Thus $X \times Y$ is a U-space.

Corollary 2.5 is the strongest result we have been able to obtain. It is shown in Example 3.2 that the conditions given on X are still not necessary in order for its product with every P-space to be an F-space.

COROLLARY 2.5. If X is a CLWL F-space (respectively U-space), and there are a subset D of X and a partition Δ of X into open-and-closed sets such that every point of X\D is a basically disconnected point and $U \cap D$ is weakly Lindelöf for each U in Δ , and if Y is a P-space, then $X \times Y$ is an F-space (respectively U-space). **Proof.** Let $f \in C^*(X \times Y)$ and for each U in \varDelta let $k_{U} \in C^*(U \times Y)$ such that $k_{U} = 1$ on pos $f \cap (U \times Y)$ and $k_{U} = 0$ on neg $f \cap (U \times Y)$. Define k in $C^*(X \times Y)$ by the rule $k(x, y) = k_{U}(x, y)$ where $x \in U$. The parenthetical statement is similarly proved.

Corollary 2.6 appears in [6] and Corollary 2.7 appears in [8].

COROLLARY 2.6. If X is a weakly Lindelöf F-space (respectively U-space) and Y is a P-space then $X \times Y$ is an F-space (respectively U-space).

COROLLARY 2.7. If X is a compact F-space and Y is a P-space then $X \times Y$ is an F-space.

3. EXAMPLES. The first example establishes that the condition that a U-space be CLWL is not sufficient to guarantee that its product with each P-space is an F-space.

EXAMPLES 3.1. A CLWL U-space X and a P-space Y such that $X \times Y$ is not an F-space.

Let $\omega_2 + 1$ have the order topology and let $D = \{\sigma \in \omega_2 + 1: \sigma \text{ is} \text{ not the supremum of countably many predecessors} with the relative topology from <math>\omega_2 + 1$. (The space D differs from the space of [5, 9L] only by the inclusion of the endpoint, ω_2 .) Since we have deleted all non P-points of $\omega_2 + 1$ we have that D is a P-space. Following the hints in [5, 9L] one easily sees that elements of $C^*(D \setminus \{\omega_2\})$ are constant on a tail.

Let p be a free ultrafilter on N, the set of natural numbers. Let $E = N \cup (\omega_3 + 1)$ where every point of E is isolated except ω_3 . Let basic neighborhoods of ω_3 be of the form $Z \cup [\gamma, \omega_3]$ where $Z \in p$ and $\gamma < \omega_3$. (We shall use the interval notation to indicate subsets of $\omega_2 + 1$ and $\omega_3 + 1$. Thus the interval $[0, \gamma[$ in E is $\{\sigma \in \omega_3 + 1: 0 \leq \sigma < \gamma\}$ and does not include points of N.)

Let $X = (E \times D) \setminus ((N \cup \{\omega_3\}) \times \{\omega_3\})$ and let X have the relative topology. The reader will observe that the space X bears a strong resemblance to the space constructed in [4, 8.14]. Both E and D are Hausdorff spaces with bases of open-and-closed sets so X is a completely regular Hausdorff space. It is easily verified that E is CLWL, that the product of a CLWL space with a P-space is CLWL and that open subspaces of CLWL spaces are CLWL. Consequently, since D is a P-space, one has that X is CLWL. Note that E satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.4 and so $E \times D$ is a U-space. Consequently, to show that X is a U-space it suffices to show that X is C^* -embedded in $E \times D$. To this end let $f \in C^*(X)$. For each n in N there exists $\gamma_n < \omega_2$ such that f is constant on $\{n\} \times (]\gamma_n, \omega_2[\cap D)$. (We have observed that continuous functions on $D \setminus \{\omega_2\}$ are constant on a tail.) Define the extension f^* of f to have this constant value at (n, ω_2) . Similarly there is some $\gamma_0 < \omega_2$ such that f is constant on $\{\omega_3\} \times (]\gamma_0, \omega_2[\cap D)$ and we may define f^* to have this constant value at (ω_3, ω_2) . The extension f^* of f is clearly continuous at every point of $E \times D$ except possibly (ω_3, ω_2) .

For each σ in $D \setminus \{\omega_2\}$ there is an $\alpha_{\sigma} < \omega_3$ such that f is constant on $]\alpha_{\sigma}, \omega_3] \times \{\gamma\}$ (since ω_3 is a *P*-point of $E \setminus N$). Let $\gamma = \sup \{\gamma_n : n \in N \cup \{0\}\}$ and let $\alpha = \sup \{\alpha_{\sigma} : \sigma \in D \setminus \{\omega_2\}\}$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given and let $n \in N$ such that $|f(m, \gamma + 1) - f(\omega_s, \gamma + 1)| < \varepsilon$ whenever $m \in N$ and m > n. Then on $(]\alpha, \omega_s] \cup \{m: m \in N \text{ and } m > n\} > n\} > [\gamma, \omega_s] f^*$ differs from $f^*(\omega_s, \omega_s)$ by less than ε . Consequently f^* is continuous as desired.

Now, let $Y = \omega_2 + 1$, where every point is isolated except ω_2 , whose basic neighborhoods are as in the interval topology. Since ω_2 is not the supremum of countably many predecessors we have that Y is a *P*-space.

We claim that $X \times Y$ is not an *F*-space. To see this define f in $C^*(X \times Y)$ by the rule $f((n, \tau), \gamma) = 1/n$ if $n \in N, \gamma$ is even and $\tau > \gamma$, $f((n, \tau), \gamma) = -1/n$ if $n \in N, \gamma$ is odd and $\tau > \gamma$ and f = 0 elsewhere. (An ordinal is even if it is a limit ordinal or the sum of a limit ordinal and an even finite ordinal.)

For each $\gamma < \omega_2 f$ is clearly continuous on the open subset $X \times \{\gamma\}$ of $X \times Y$. Also, for each $\tau < \omega_2 f$ is identically 0 on the open subset $(E \times ([0, \tau[\cap D]) \times]\tau, \omega_2[$ of $X \times Y$. Finally, for each $\delta < \omega_3, f$ is identically 0 on the open subset $(\{\delta\} \times D) \times Y$ of $X \times Y$. Thus f is continuous on all of $X \times Y$.

Now let U and V be open sets with cl pos $f \subseteq U$ and cl neg $f \subseteq U$. We claim that cl $U \cap$ cl $V \neq \emptyset$ and consequently that pos f and neg f are not completely separated. Let γ be even, with $\gamma < \omega_2$. For each $\tau \in D$ such that $\tau > \gamma$ one has $((\omega_3, \tau), \gamma) \in$ cl pos f so there is some $\eta_\tau < \omega_3$ such that $(]\eta_\tau, \omega_3] \times \{\tau\}) \times \{\gamma\} \subseteq U$. Let $\mu_{\tau} = \sup \{\eta_{\tau}: \tau \in]\gamma, \omega_2[\cap D\}$. Then $(]\mu_{\tau}, \omega_3[\times \{\omega_2\}) \times \{\gamma\} \subseteq$ cl U. Similarly, for each odd $\gamma < \omega_2$ there is some $\mu_{\tau} < \omega_3$ such that $(]\mu_{\tau}, \omega_3[\times \{\omega_2\}) \times \{\gamma\} \subseteq$ cl V. Let $\mu = \sup \{\mu_r: \gamma < \omega_2\}$. Then $\mu < \omega_3$ and $((\mu + 1, \omega_2), \omega_2) \in \operatorname{cl} U \cap \operatorname{cl} V$ as desired.

The following example shows that the sufficient condition obtained in Corollary 2.5 is not necessary.

EXAMPLE 3.2. A U-space which does not satisfy the hypotheses of Corollary 2.5 but whose product with each P-space is a U-space.

Let p be a free ultrafilter on N. Let $B = N \cup (\omega_2 + 1)$ with every point of B isolated except ω_2 whose basic neighborhoods are of the form $Z \cup \{\sigma: \gamma < \sigma \leq \omega_2\}$ where $Z \in p$ and $\sigma < \omega_2$. (This is the space T of [7].) Note that B is a CLWL U-space with only one non basically disconnected point. Consequently by Theorem 2.4, its product with any P-space is a U-space.

Let $C = \omega_2 + 1$ with every point of C isolated except ω_2 and with basic neighborhoods of ω_2 as in the interval topology. Then C is a *P*-space. Let $X = B \times C$. Then X is a U-space. If Y is any P-space then $X \times Y$ is homeomorphic to $B \times (C \times Y)$ and $C \times Y$ is a P-space so $X \times Y$ is a U-space, by Theorem 2.4.

Suppose X satisfies the hypotheses of Corollary 2.5 and let D and Δ be as given there. There is some member U of Δ such that $(\omega_2, \omega_2) \in U$. Note also that $D \supseteq \{\omega_2\} \times C$ since (ω_2, γ) is a non basically disconnected point of X whenever $\gamma \in C$. Since U is open there is some δ in C such that $\delta < \omega_2$ and $\{\omega_2\} \times \{\gamma \in C : \delta < \gamma\} \subseteq U \cap D$. Let $\mu \in C$ such that $\mu < \omega_2$ and $\{\gamma \in C : \delta < \gamma < \mu\}$ is uncountable. Let $\Gamma = \{B \times \{\gamma\} : \gamma \leq \mu\} \cup \{B \times \{\gamma \in C : \gamma > \mu\}\}$. Let $\Pi = \{V \cap (U \cap D): V \in \Gamma\}$. Then Π is an open over of $U \cap D$, no countable subfamily of which has dense union in $U \cap D$. This is a contradiction since $U \cap D$ is weakly Lindelöf.

The author wishes to express his gratitude to the referee for his constructive and thoughtful criticism.

References

^{1.} W. W. Comfort, Neil Hindman, and S. Negrepontis, F'-spaces and their product with *P*-spaces, Pacific J. Math., **28** (1969), 489-502.

^{2.} Philip C. Curtis, Jr., A note concerning certain product spaces, Archiv der Math., 11 (1960), 50-52.

^{3.} Leonard Gillman, A P-space and an extremely disconnected space whose product is not an F-space, Archiv der Math., 11 (1960), 53-55.

^{4.} Leonard Gillman and Melvin Henriksen, Rings of continuous functions in which every finitely generated ideal is principal, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 82 (1956), 366-391.

NEIL HINDMAN

5. Leonard Gillman and Meyer Jerison, Rings of Continuous Functions, D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc., Princeton, N. J., 1960.

6. Neil Hindman, On P-like Spaces and their Product with P-Spaces, Thesis, Wesleyan University, 1969.

7. Carl W. Kohls, Hereditary properties of some special spaces, Archiv der Math., 12 (1960), 199-133.

8. S. Negrepontis, On the product of F-spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 135 (1969), 443-457.

Received June 30, 1972.

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, LOS ANGELES

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM

Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

University of Washington

Seattle, Washington 98105

J. DUGUNDJI*

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E.F. BECKENBACH

R. A. BEAUMONT

B.H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. Yoshida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON * * * * AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$48.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$24.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270. 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

* C. DePrima will replace J. Dugundji until August 1974.

Copyright © 1973 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics All Rights Reserved

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 47, No. 2 February, 1973

| David Parham Bellamy, Composants of Hausdorff indecomposable continua; a mapping approach | 303 |
|---|-----|
| Colin Bennett, A Hausdorff-Young theorem for rearrangement-invariant | |
| spaces | 311 |
| Roger Daniel Bleier and Paul F. Conrad, The lattice of closed ideals and | |
| a [*] -extensions of an abelian l-group | 329 |
| Ronald Elroy Bruck, Jr., Nonexpansive projections on subsets of Banach | |
| spaces | 341 |
| Robert C. Busby, <i>Centralizers of twisted group algebras</i> | 357 |
| M. J. Canfell, Dimension theory in zero-set spaces | 393 |
| John Dauns, One sided prime ideals | 401 |
| Charles F. Dunkl, Structure hypergroups for measure algebras | 413 |
| Ronald Francis Gariepy, Geometric properties of Sobolev mappings | 427 |
| Ralph Allen Gellar and Lavon Barry Page, A new look at some familiar | |
| spaces of intertwining operators | 435 |
| Dennis Michael Girard, <i>The behavior of the norm of an automorphism of the</i> unit disk | 443 |
| George Rudolph Gordh Ir Terminal subcontinua of hereditarily | |
| unicoherent continua | 457 |
| Joe Alston Guthrie, <i>Mapping spaces and cs-networks</i> | 465 |
| Neil Hindman, The product of F-spaces with P-spaces | 473 |
| M. A. Labbé and John Wolfe, <i>Isomorphic classes of the spaces</i> $C_{\sigma}(S)$ | 481 |
| Ernest A. Michael, On k-spaces, $k_{\rm B}$ -spaces and $k(X)$ | 487 |
| Donald Steven Passman. Primitive group rings | 499 |
| C. P. L. Rhodes, A note on primary decompositions of a | |
| pseudovaluation | 507 |
| Muril Lynn Robertson, A class of generalized functional differential | |
| equations | 515 |
| Ruth Silverman, Decomposition of plane convex sets. I | 521 |
| Ernest Lester Stitzinger, On saturated formations of solvable Lie | |
| algebras | 531 |
| B. Andreas Troesch, Sloshing frequencies in a half-space by Kelvin | |
| inversion | 539 |
| L. E. Ward, <i>Fixed point sets</i> | 553 |
| Michael John Westwater, Hilbert transforms, and a problem in scattering | |
| theory | 567 |
| Misha Zafran, On the spectra of multipliers | 609 |