Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A NOTE ON PRIMARY DECOMPOSITIONS OF A PSEUDOVALUATION

C. P. L. Rhodes

Vol. 47, No. 2 February 1973

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 47, No. 2, 1973

A NOTE ON PRIMARY DECOMPOSITIONS OF A PSEUDOVALUATION

C. P. L. RHODES

Some connections are established between a primary decomposition of a pseudovaluation v on a commutative ring and a primary decomposition of the zero ideal of the associated graded ring of v. The primary decomposition of a certain pseudovaluation v_q on a one-dimensional local ring Q is described in terms of the extensions of v_q to monoidal transforms of Q.

1. Primary decompositions and the associated graded ring. Let R be a commutative ring with an identity. We consider a *pseudovaluation* v on R. By this we mean that v is a mapping from R to $\boldsymbol{P}$, the set of all real numbers together with ∞, such that

$$v(0) = \infty\ , \quad v(1) = 0\ ,$$

and, for $x,\ y \in R$,

$$v(xy) \geqq v(x) + v(y)\ ,$$

and

$$v(x - y) \geqq \min\{v(x), v(y)\}\ .$$

For each $a \in \boldsymbol{P}$, write $v_a = \{x \in R \mid v(x) \geqq a\}$ and $v_{\bar{a}} = \{x \in R \mid v(x) > a\}$. The *associated graded ring of* v, introduced by Szpiro in [11], is $G = \bigoplus_{a \in R} v_a/v_{\bar{a}}$. We shall use $-$ to denote the natural mapping from R into G.

Let u be a pseudovaluation such that $u \geqq v$ (this means that $u(x) \geqq v(x)$ for all x). We denote by $T(u)$ the set of all x, not in u_∞, such that $u(x^n) = nu(x)$ for all positive integers n, and by $S(u)$ the set of all x, not in u_∞, such that $u(xy) = u(x) + u(y)$ for all $y \in R$. As in [10], we call u *primary* if $T(u) = S(u)$. We denote by $F(u, v)$ the set of all x such that either $u(x) > v(x)$ or $u(x) = \infty$, and we put $T(u, v) = T(u)\backslash F(u, v)$.

Let $I(u, v)$ be the ideal generated in G by $\overline{F(u, v)}$.

LEMMA 1. *$\overline{F(u, v)}$ is the set of all homogeneous elements of $I(u, v)$, and $\overline{T(u, v)}$ is the set of all homogeneous elements of $G\backslash\mathrm{rad}\, I(u, v)$. If the pseudovaluation u is primary then the ideal $I(u, v)$ is primary.*

Proof. Let $r \in R$ and $s \in F(u, v)$. Either $\bar{r}\bar{s} = \bar{0}$ or $\bar{r}\bar{s} = \overline{rs}$. In the latter case either $v(s) = \infty$ or

$$v(rs) = v(r) + v(s) < u(r) + u(s) \leqq u(rs)\ .$$

Thus, in each case, $\bar{r}\bar{s} \in \overline{F(u, v)}$. If we suppose, also, that $r \in F(u, v)$ and that $\bar{r}$ and $\bar{s}$ have the same degree, then either $\bar{r} - \bar{s} = \bar{0}$ or $\bar{r} - \bar{s} = \overline{r - s}$. In the latter case either $v(r) = \infty$ or

$$v(r - s) = v(r) = v(s) < \min\{u(r), u(s)\} \leqq u(r - s) .$$

Hence, in each case, $\bar{r} - \bar{s} \in \overline{F(u, v)}$. It is now clear that $\overline{F(u, v)}$ is the set of homogeneous elements of $I(u, v)$.

Let $r \in T(u, v)$ and let n be a positive integer. Then it is easy to see that $u(r^n) = v(r^n) = nv(r) \neq \infty$; i.e., $r^n \notin F(u, v)$. Therefore, $\bar{r}^n = \overline{r^n} \notin I(u, v)$. Now suppose that $r \notin T(u, v)$. If $r \notin T(v)$ then there exists m such that $\bar{r}^m = \bar{0}$. Suppose that $r \in T(v)$. Then, by 4.1 of [10], there exists n such that $r^n \in F(u, v)$. Hence $\bar{r}^n = \overline{r^n} \in I(u, v)$.

Finally let u be primary, and suppose that r, s are elements of R such that $r \in T(u, v)$, $\bar{s} \neq \bar{0}$, and $\bar{r}\bar{s} \in I(u, v)$. Either $\bar{r}\bar{s} = \bar{0}$ and so $v(r) + v(s) < v(rs) \leqq u(rs) = u(r) + u(s) = v(r) + u(s)$, or $\bar{r}\bar{s} = \overline{rs}$ and so $v(r) + v(s) = v(rs) < u(rs) = u(r) + u(s) = v(r) + u(s)$. In each case $v(s) < u(s)$ and, hence, $\bar{s} \in I(u, v)$. Therefore, $I(u, v)$ is primary.

REMARK. The set $S(u)\backslash F(u, v)$ is contained in the set $S_0(u, v)$ of all $x \notin F(u, v)$ such that $u(xy) = u(x) + u(y)$ for all $y \notin F(u, v)$. These sets and their images in G are multiplicatively closed, and $\overline{S_0(u, v)}$ is the set of all homogeneous elements of G which are relatively prime to $I(u, v)$.

If W is a collection of pseudovaluations the lower envelope $w_0 = \bigwedge W$ is defined by $w_0(x) = \inf\{w(x) \mid w \in W\}$. From Lemma 1 we deduce

THEOREM 1. *If $u_1 \wedge u_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge u_n$ is a primary decomposition of v then $I(u_1, v) \cap I(u_2, v) \cap \cdots \cap I(u_n, v)$ is a primary decomposition of 0_G.*

COROLLARY. *Let $u_1 \wedge u_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge u_n$ be an irredundant primary decomposition of v, and suppose that G is Noetherian. Then, for each i, there exists $r_i \in R$ such that $T(u_i, v)$ is the set of x, not in v_∞, for which $v(xr_i) = v(x) + v(r_i)$.*

Proof. The decomposition $0_G = I(u_1, v) \cap \cdots \cap I(u_n, v)$ is clearly irredundant. It follows that the homogeneous elements of G not in $\overline{T(u_i, v)}$ generate a prime ideal which belongs to 0_G and which, therefore, takes the form $0_G\colon (G\bar{r}_i)$ for some homogeneous element $\bar{r}_i$ in G.

REMARK. For each positive $b \in \boldsymbol{P}$, denote by $F(u, v, b)$ the set of all $r \in R$ such that either $u(r) = \infty$ or $u(r) - v(r) \geqq b$. The proof of Lemma 1 shows that $\overline{F(u, v, b)}$ is the set of homogeneous elements of the ideal $I(u, v, b)$ which it generates in G, and that $\overline{T(u, v)}$ is the set of homogeneous elements of $G\backslash \text{rad}\, I(u, v, b)$. It is easy to verify that, for a (possibly infinite) collection of pseudovaluations $v_i \geqq v$, $v = \bigwedge_i v_i$ if and only if, for every $b > 0$, $0_G = \bigcap_i I(v_i, v, b)$.

For all $b > 0$ and $c > 0$,

$$I(u, v, b)\, I(u, v, c) \subseteqq I(u, v, b + c) .$$

Hence each $u \geqq v$ naturally induces a (nonnegative) pseudovaluation u' on G. Thus $v = \bigwedge_i v_i$ if and only if $\bigwedge_i v'_i$ is the trivial pseudovaluation on G.

When v is homogeneous the following result may be regarded as a special case of [11, Théorème 1]. Recall that v is said to be *discrete* if $v(R\backslash v_\infty)$ generates a discrete subgroup of $\boldsymbol{R}$.

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that v is a discrete pseudovaluation. If 0_G has a finite primary decomposition without embedded components then v has a primary decomposition.*

Proof. Suppose that $H_1 \cap H_2 \cap \cdots \cap H_k$ is the primary decomposition of 0_G. For each i, write $\text{rad}\, H_i = P_i$ and denote by S_i the set of elements $r \in R$ such that $\bar{r} \notin P_i$; then $v(ab) = v(a) + v(b)$ for all a and b in S_i, and S_i is multiplicatively closed. Mappings v_i are defined, for all $x \in R$, by

$$v_i(x) = \sup \{v(xa) - v(a) \mid a \in S_i\} .$$

Observe that if $a, b \in S_i$ then

$$v_i(x) \geqq v(xab) - v(ab) \geqq v(xa) - v(a) \geqq v(x) .$$

By 3.1 and 3.2 of [6], v_i is a pseudovaluation.

Let $x \in R\backslash v_\infty$. Then there exists i such that $\bar{x} \notin H_i$. If $c \in S_i$ then $\bar{x}\bar{c} \neq \bar{0}$, and so $v(xc) - v(c) = v(x)$. Thus $v_i(x) = v(x)$, and so $\bigwedge_i v_i = v$.

We shall now show that v_1, being a typical v_i, is primary. Let $x \notin S(v_1)$ and suppose that $v_1(x) \neq \infty$. Then there exists $y \in R$ such that $v_1(xy) > v_1(x) + v_1(y)$. Therefore, we may choose $a \in S_1$ such that

$$v_1(x) = v(xa) - v(a) ,$$

and

$$v_1(xy) \geqq v(xya) - v(a) > v_1(x) + v_1(y) .$$

Now write $\bigcap_{i>1} P_i = K$ and choose $c \in R$ such that $\bar{c} \in K \backslash P_1$. Then $\bar{a}\bar{c} \notin P_1$, and so $\overline{ac} = \bar{a}\bar{c} \in K \backslash P_1$. We may therefore assume (by replacing a by ac) that $\bar{a} \in K \backslash P_1$. This implies that $\overline{a^2} = \bar{a}\bar{a} \in K \backslash P_1$. Since $v_1(x) = v(xa^2) - v(a^2) = v(xa) - v(a)$, it follows that

$$v(xa^2) = v(xa) + v(a) .$$

Therefore, $\overline{xa^2} = \overline{xa}\bar{a} \in K$, and so (replacing a by a^2) we may also assume that $\overline{xa} \in K$. If $\overline{xa} \notin P_1$ then

$$v(xya) - v(a) = v(yxa) - v(xa) + v(xa) - v(a) \leqq v_1(y) + v_1(x) ,$$

which is false. Therefore, $\overline{xa} \in \bigcap_{i \geqq 1} P_i$, and so, for some n, $(\overline{xa})^n = 0_G$. Since $v_1(x) \neq \infty$, we have $v(xa) \neq \infty$ and so $v((xa)^n) > nv(xa)$. Therefore, $v_1(x^n) \geqq v(x^n a^n) - v(a^n) > nv(xa) - v(a^n) = nv_1(x)$. Thus $x \notin T(v_1)$. Therefore, $S(v_1) = T(v_1)$; i.e., v_1 is primary.

2. **Extensions of pseudovaluations.** In this section we introduce some terminology for use in § 3, and we prove a result pertinent to [2].

We suppose the definition of a pseudovaluation u to be modified as follows:

(i) $u \geqq 0$.

(ii) It is not required that $u(1) = 0$ (this facilitates the statement of Lemma 2; moreover, the rings in this section need not contain an identity).

We consider a homomorphism f from a commutative ring R to a commutative ring S. If I is an ideal of S(resp. R) then I^c (resp. I^e) will denote $f^{-1} I$(resp. the ideal generated by $f(I)$ in S). Suppose that v is a pseudovaluation on R. Define v^e to be the mapping from S to $\boldsymbol{P}$ such that, for all $x \in S$,

$$v^e(x) = \sup \{a \in \boldsymbol{P} \mid x \in (v_a)^e\} .$$

LEMMA 2. *The mapping v^e is a pseudovaluation on S.*

Proof. It is clear that $v^e(0) = \infty$.

Let $x, y \in S$, and suppose that $x \in (v_a)^e$ and $y \in (v_b)^e$ where $a, b \in \boldsymbol{P}$. Then $xy \in (v_a)^e(v_b)^e \subseteqq (v_a v_b)^e \subseteqq (v_{a+b})^e$. Thus

$$v^e(xy) \geqq a + b .$$

It follows that $v^e(xy) \geqq v^e(x) + v^e(y)$.

Similarly, assuming that $a \geqq b$, $x - y \in (v_a)^e + (v_b)^e = (v_a + v_b)^e = (v_b)^e$. Thus $v^e(x - y) \geqq b$. It follows that

$$v^e(x-y) \geqq \min\{v^e(x), v^e(y)\} .$$

Let w be a pseudovaluation on S. We shall denote wf by w^c. It is easy to verify that w^c is a pseudovaluation on R which is primary if w is primary.

LEMMA 3. (i) *The pseudovaluation v on R satisfies $v \leqq v^{ec}$.* (ii) *The pseudovaluation w on S satisfies $w \geqq w^{ce}$.*

Proof. (i) Let x be an element of R such that $v(x) = a$. Then $f(x) \in (v_a)^e$ and so $a \leqq v^e(f(x)) = v^{ec}(x)$.

(ii) Let y be an element of S such that $y \in ((w^c)_a)^e$. Since $(w^c)_a \subseteqq (w_a)^c$, $y \in (w_a)^{ce} \subseteqq w_a$ and so $w(y) \geqq a$. It follows that $w(y) \geqq w^{ce}(y)$.

THEOREM 3. $v = v^{ec}$ *if and only if* $v_a = (v_a)^{ec}$ *for each* $a \in \boldsymbol{R}$.

Proof. If $v = v^{ec}$ then, for each $a \in \boldsymbol{P}$,

$$(v_a)^{ec} \subseteqq \{x \in R \mid v^e(f(x)) \geqq a\} = (v^{ec})_a = v_a ,$$

and so $(v_a)^{ec} = v_a$. Conversely, suppose that $v_a = (v_a)^{ec}$ for each $a \in \boldsymbol{R}$. Let $x \in R$ and let $f(x) \in (v_a)^e$ where $a < \infty$. Then $x \in (v_a)^{ec} = v_a$, that is $v(x) \geqq a$. It follows that $v \geqq v^{ec}$, and hence that $v = v^{ec}$.

We refer to [2, p. 296, Definition 2] for the definition of a *best filtration.* If v has a best filtration $\{A_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ then, by [2, p. 297, Lemma 1], the set of all distinct A_i's is the same as the set of all distinct v_a's where $a < \infty$. Thus, taking f to be an inclusion map, our theorem includes, in the case of nonnegative pseudovaluations, Theorem 2, p. 299, and Theorem 4, p. 301, of [2].

3. An example in a one-dimensional ring.

Let $Q, \mathfrak{m}$ be a one-dimensional local ring and let $\mathfrak{q}$ be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal of Q. We shall consider the pseudovaluation $v = v_{\mathfrak{q}}$ determined by the powers of $\mathfrak{q}$ according to the rule

$$v_{\mathfrak{q}}(x) = \sup\{n \mid x \in \mathfrak{q}^n\} .$$

By considering the associated graded ring G of v and proceeding as in Theorem 2, we could show that v decomposes into primary pseudovaluations corresponding to the isolated primary components of 0_G together with an "irrelevant" component. Apart from the irrelevant component this decomposition is unique (by [10]). We shall now show how the theory of monoidal transformations developed by Northcott and Kirby provides an alternative description of this decomposition.

Let A denote the intersection of the primary components of 0_Q of rank nought, and write $Q/A = Q'$ and $\mathfrak{q}Q' = \mathfrak{q}'$. Then not every element of $\mathfrak{m}Q'$ is a zero divisor. Let $\mathfrak{R}$ be the $\mathfrak{q}'$*-resolute of* Q', for the definition of which see p. 136 of [4]; let $Q_1, \cdots, Q_r$ be the *monoidal transforms of* Q' *with respect to* $\mathfrak{q}'$, i.e., the rings of quotients of $\mathfrak{R}$ with respect to the maximal ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1, \cdots, \mathfrak{p}_r$ of $\mathfrak{R}$; and, for $i = 1, \cdots, r$, let f_i be the composition of the natural homomorphisms $Q \rightarrow Q' \rightarrow Q_i$. Using the symbols e_i and c_i to relate to f_i in the same way that e and c were related to f in § 2, we observe that v^{e_i} is the pseudovaluation on Q_i determined the powers of the ideal $\mathfrak{q}^{e_i}$. However, by [4, Theorems 1 and 8, and Lemma 3] $\mathfrak{q}^{e_i}$ is a principal ideal of Q_i. Therefore, by an example in § 3 of [10], v^{e_i} is primary, and so $v^{e_i c_i}$ is primary.

Now, denoting by $\mathfrak{q}_i$ the restriction to $\mathfrak{R}$ of $\mathfrak{q}^{e_i}$, $\operatorname{rad} \mathfrak{q}_i = \mathfrak{p}_i$ and $\mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \mathfrak{q}_2 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{q}_r$ is the primary decomposition of $\mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{q}$ (by the corollary on p. 142 of [4] and since $\mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{m} \subseteqq \operatorname{rad} \mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{q}$). Therefore, for all n,

$$\mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{q}^n = \mathfrak{q}_1^n \cap \mathfrak{q}_2^n \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{q}_r^n .$$

By an argument on p. 88 of [8], $\mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{q}^n = \mathfrak{q}'^n$ for all sufficiently large n. Therefore, for $n \geqq h$ say,

$$\mathfrak{q}^n + A = (v^{e_1 c_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v^{e_r c_r})_n .$$

However, we may choose h such that $A \cap \mathfrak{q}^h = 0_Q$ and, hence, for $n \geqq h$, $\mathfrak{q}^h \cap (\mathfrak{q}^n + A) = q^n$. Therefore, using e_0 and c_0 to relate to the natural map f_0 from Q to $Q/\mathfrak{q}^h$, we have, for all n,

$$\mathfrak{q}^n = (v^{e_0 c_0})_n \cap (v^{e_1 c_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v^{e_r c_r})_n .$$

Finally we show that v^{e_0}, $= w$ say, is primary. If $x \in f_0(\mathfrak{m})$ then, for some k, $w(x^k) = \infty$ and so $x \notin T(w)$. On the other hand, if x is a unit of $Q/\mathfrak{q}^h$ then $w(x) = 0$ and, for any y,

$$w(xy) = w(y) = w(y) + w(x) ;$$

i.e., $x \in S(w)$. Thus $T(w) = S(w)$.

It is now clear that

THEOREM 4. *In the notation developed above*

$$v^{e_0 c_0} \wedge v^{e_1 c_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v^{e_r c_r}$$

is a primary decomposition of v.

It is easy to extend this theorem and obtain a primary decomposition of the pseudovaluation v_I determined by an ideal I of rank 1 in a 1-dimensional Noetherian ring R. Let $M_1, \cdots, M_m$ be the associated prime ideals (necessarily maximal) of I, and, for $j = 1, \cdots, m$, let g_j be the natural homomorphism from R to the ring R_j of quotients of R with respect to M_j. For each positive integer n,

$$I^n = \bigcap_j (I^n)^{e_j c_j},$$

where e_j, c_j relate to g_j, and so

$$v_I = \bigwedge_j v_I^{e_j c_j},$$

which yields a primary decomposition of v_I on application of Theorem 4 to each $v_I^{e_j}$.

We conclude by describing a result, in the same vein as the foregoing, which is implicit, as a special case, in [9]. Suppose that our ring R is a domain; let $\bar{v}_I$ denote the least homogeneous pseudovaluation $\geqq v_I$; and let $\bar{R}_1, \cdots, \bar{R}_h$ be the rings of quotients with respect to the maximal ideals of the integral closure of R which contain I. Then $\bar{v}_I$ decomposes into valuations

$$\bar{v}_I = \bigwedge_i (\overline{v_I^{e_i}})^{c_i}$$

where, for each i, e_i, c_i refer to the natural mapping $R \rightarrow \bar{R}_i$.

REFERENCES

1. G. M. Bergman, *A weak nullstellensatz for valuations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **28** (1971), 32-38.
2. J. A. Huckaba, *Extensions of pseudo-valuations*, Pacific J. Math., **29** (1969), 295-302.
3. ———, *Some results on pseudo-valuations*, Duke Math. J., **37** (1970), 1-9.
4. D. Kirby, *Monoidal transformations in a one-dimensional local ring*, Mathematika, **9** (1962), 135-144.
5. K. Kiyek, *Ein Struktursatz für Pseudobewertungen*, Math. Z., **118** (1970), 139-156.
6. ———, *Homogene Pseudobewertungen*, Arch. Math., **22** (1971), 602-611.
7. D. G. Northcott, *On the notion of a first neighbourhood ring with an application to the $AF + B\Phi$ theorem*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **53** (1957), 43-56.
8. ———, *The reduction number of a one-dimensional local ring*, Mathematika, **6** (1959), 87-90.
9. D. Rees, *Valuations associated with ideals* (II), J. London Math. Soc., **31** (1956), 221-228.
10. C. P. L. Rhodes, *The partial uniqueness of a primary decomposition of a pseudo-valuation*, J. London Math. Soc., (2), **6** (1973), 231-240.
11. L. Szpiro, *Fonctions d'ordre et valuations*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **94** (1966), 301-311.

Received May 15, 1972.

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE, CARDIFF, WALES, U. K.

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: $48.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: $24.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

* C. DePrima will replace J. Dugundji until August 1974.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Vol. 47, No. 2 February, 1973