

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**POSITIVE-DEFINITE DISTRIBUTIONS AND INTERTWINING
OPERATORS**

ROE WILLIAM GOODMAN

POSITIVE-DEFINITE DISTRIBUTIONS AND INTERTWINING OPERATORS

ROE GOODMAN

An example is given of a positive-definite measure μ on the group $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{R})$ which is extremal in the cone of positive-definite measures, but the corresponding unitary representation L^μ is *reducible*. By considering positive-definite *distributions* this anomaly disappears, and for an arbitrary Lie group G and positive-definite distribution μ on G a bijection is established between positive-definite distributions on G bounded by μ and positive-definite intertwining operators for the representation L^μ . As an application, cyclic vectors for L^μ are obtained by a simple explicit construction.

Introduction. The use of positive-definiteness as a tool in abstract harmonic analysis has a long history, the most striking early instance being the Gelfand-Raikov proof via positive-definite functions of the completeness of the set of irreducible unitary representations of a locally compact group [5]. More recently, it was observed by R. J. Blattner [1] that the systematic use of positive-definite *measures* gives very simple proofs of the basic properties of induced representations, and the cone of positive-definite measures on a group was subsequently studied by Effros and Hahn [4].

The purpose of this paper is two-fold. First, we give an example to show that positive-definite measures do not suffice for the study of intertwining operators and irreducibility of induced representations, despite the claim to the contrary in [4]. Specifically, we exhibit a positive-definite measure μ on $G = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{R})$ such that μ lies on an extremal ray in the cone of positive-definite measures on G , but the associated unitary representation L^μ is *reducible*, contradicting Lemma 4.16 of [4].

Our second aim is to show that when G is any Lie group, then the correspondence between intertwining operators and positive functionals on G asserted by Effros and Hahn does hold, provided one deals throughout with positive-definite *distributions* instead of just measures. The essential point is the validity of the Schwartz Kernel Theorem for the space $C_0^\infty(G)$, together with a result of Bruhat [3] about distributions on $G \times G$, invariant under the diagonal action of G . Using this correspondence, we obtain cyclic vectors for representations defined by positive-definite distributions, using a modification of the construction in [7]. (The proof of cyclicity given in [7] is invalid, since it assumes the existence of a measure on G corresponding to

an arbitrary intertwining operator. Cf. [6] for a proof of cyclicity using von Neumann algebra techniques.)

1. **Notation and statement of theorems.** Let G be a Lie group, and denote by $\mathcal{D}(G)$ the space $C_0^\infty(G)$ with the usual inductive limit topology [10]. Fix a left Haar measure dx on G ; then $d(xy) = \Delta_G(y)dx$, where Δ_G is the modular function for G . If $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(G)$, define $\phi^*(x) = \overline{\phi(x^{-1})\Delta_G(x)^{-1}}$. Denote by $\mathcal{D}'(G)$ the space of Schwartz distributions on G . A distribution α is *positive-definite* if $\alpha(\phi^{**}\phi) \geq 0$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(G)$, where convolution is defined as usual by

$$(\psi * \phi)(x) = \int_G \psi(y)\phi(y^{-1}x)dy .$$

If α and β are distributions, say that $\alpha \ll \beta$ if $\beta - \alpha$ is positive-definite.

Given a positive-definite distribution μ , one obtains a unitary representation L^μ of G by a standard construction: Let $L_y\phi(x) = \phi(y^{-1}x)$ be the left action of G on $\mathcal{D}(G)$. Then $(L_y\phi)^{**}(L_y\psi) = \phi^{**}\psi$, so the semi-definite inner product $\mu(\phi^{**}\psi)$ is invariant under left translations. Define $I_\mu = \{\phi \in \mathcal{D}(G): \mu(\phi^{**}\phi) = 0\}$. The quotient space $\mathcal{D}_\mu = \mathcal{D}(G)/I_\mu$ is then a pre-Hilbert space with inner product $(\tilde{\psi}, \tilde{\phi})_\mu = \mu(\phi^{**}\psi)$, where $\phi \rightarrow \tilde{\phi}$ is the natural mapping of $\mathcal{D}(G)$ onto \mathcal{D}_μ . Let \mathcal{H}_μ be the completion of \mathcal{D}_μ . The operators L_y pass to the quotient to give a strongly continuous unitary representation $y \rightarrow L_y^\mu$ of G on \mathcal{H}_μ .

Suppose now that $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}'(G)$ satisfies $0 \ll \alpha \ll \mu$. Then $I_\alpha \supseteq I_\mu$, and there exists a unique self-adjoint operator A on \mathcal{H}_μ such that

$$(1.1) \quad (A\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\psi})_\mu = \alpha(\psi^{**}\phi) .$$

The operator A obviously satisfies

$$(1.2) \quad 0 \leq A \leq I$$

$$(1.3) \quad L_x^\mu A = AL_x^\mu ,$$

since the Hermitian form $\alpha(\phi^{**}\phi)$ is nonnegative, bounded by $(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\phi})_\mu = \|\tilde{\phi}\|_\mu^2$, and invariant under left translations by G . It was asserted (without proof) by Effros and Hahn in [4, §4] that when μ is a *measure*, then every operator A satisfying (1.2) and (1.3) is given by formula (1.1), where α is a positive-definite *measure*. Unfortunately, this is false in general, as shown by the following example:

THEOREM 1. *There is a positive-definite measure μ on the group $G = \text{SL}(2, \mathbf{R})$ such that:*

(i) *The only measures α satisfying $0 \ll \alpha \ll \mu$ are the measures $c\mu$, $c \in [0, 1]$.*

(ii) *The representation L^μ of G defined by μ is reducible.*

If we allow positive-definite *distributions* in formula (1.1), however, then we obtain all intertwining operators, as follows:

THEOREM 2. *Let G be a Lie group, and let μ be a positive-definite distribution on G . Suppose A is an operator on \mathcal{L}_μ satisfying (1.2) and (1.3). Then there exists a unique positive-definite distribution α on G such that (1.1) holds. Furthermore, the local order of α can be bounded in terms of the local order of μ and the dimension of G .*

REMARKS 1. Theorems 1 and 2 show that the cone of positive-definite measures on $\text{SL}(2, \mathbf{R})$ is not a *face* of the cone of positive-definite distributions.

2. For a study of *unbounded* intertwining operators, cf. [9].

3. In case μ is a positive-definite *measure*, then the distribution α in Theorem 2 has finite global order at most $2(\dim G + 1)$.

A sequence $\{\phi_n\} \subset \mathcal{D}(G)$ will be called a δ -*sequence* if $\phi_n(x) \geq 0$, $\lim_n \int_G \phi_n(x) dx = 1$, and $\text{Supp } \phi_n \rightarrow \{1\}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Any δ -sequence is an approximate identity under convolution, of course.

COROLLARY. *Let $\{\phi_n\}$ be a delta sequence, and set $w_n = \phi_n^* * \phi_n$. Then the vector $\xi = \Sigma \lambda_n \tilde{w}_n$ will be a cyclic vector for the representation L^μ , provided $\lambda_n > 0$ and $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ sufficiently fast as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

2. *Proof of Theorem 1.* Let $G = \text{SL}(2, \mathbf{R})$ in this section. We distinguish two closed subgroups of G : the subgroup B consisting of all matrices $b = \begin{pmatrix} s & t \\ 0 & s^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$, with s, t real, $s \neq 0$, and the subgroup V consisting of all matrices $v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, x real. One has $B \cap V = \{1\}$, while $V \cdot B$ consists of all unimodular matrices $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ such that $a \neq 0$. The map $v, b \rightarrow v \cdot b$ is a diffeomorphism from $V \times B$ to the open subset $V \cdot B$ of G . Let dv and db be left Haar measures on V and B , respectively, and let Δ_B be the modular function of B . Left Haar measure dx on G is then given by the formula

$$(2.1) \quad \int_G f(x) dx = \int_V \int_B f(vb) \Delta_B(b^{-1}) db dv = \int_B \int_V f(bv) db dv$$

[2, Chap. VII, §3, Proposition 6].

Suppose that p is a unitary character of B . Then $p(b)db$ is a positive-definite measure on B , and the measure μ on G defined by

$$\int_G f(x)d\mu(x) = \int_B f(b)\Delta_B(b)^{-1/2}p(b)db$$

is positive-definite [1]. As in §1, we denote by L^μ the corresponding representation of G on \mathcal{H}_μ . The representation L^μ is equivalent to the "principal series" representation of G induced from the one-dimensional representation p of B . Using the integration formula (2.1), we can identify the representation space \mathcal{H}_μ with $L_2(V, dv)$. (This gives the so-called "non-compact picture" for the principal series [8].) Indeed, if $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}(G)$, then an easy calculation using (2.1) shows that

$$(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\psi})_\mu = \int_V \varepsilon(\phi)\overline{\varepsilon(\psi)}dv,$$

where

$$\varepsilon(\phi)(v) = \int_B \phi(vb)\Delta_B(b)^{-1/2}p(b)db.$$

The restriction of L^μ to the subgroup V becomes simply the left regular representation of V in this picture.

LEMMA 1. *Let A be a bounded operator on $L_2(V)$ which commutes with left translations by V , and suppose that there exists a Radon measure α on G such that*

$$(2.2) \quad (A\varepsilon(\phi), \varepsilon(\psi))_{L_2(V)} = \alpha(\psi^* * \phi)$$

for all $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}(G)$. Then there is a Radon measure ν on V such that $Af = f * \nu$, for $f \in \mathcal{D}(V)$.

Proof. Since A is translation invariant, it is enough to establish an estimate

$$(2.3) \quad |(Af)(1)| \leq C_K \|f\|_\infty,$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{D}(V)$ supported on an arbitrary compact set $K \subset V$ ($\|f\|_\infty$ denoting the sup norm). Let $\mathcal{H}^\infty(V)$ be the space of C^∞ vectors for the left regular representation of V . By Sobolev's lemma, $\mathcal{H}^\infty(V) \subset C^\infty(V)$, and A leaves the space $\mathcal{H}^\infty(V)$ invariant. Hence, $A\varepsilon(\phi)$ is a C^∞ function for every $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(G)$.

If $f \in \mathcal{D}(V)$ and $g \in \mathcal{D}(B)$, write $f \otimes g$ for the function $f(v)g(b)$. Via the map $v, b \rightarrow vb$ we may consider $f \otimes g$ as an element of $\mathcal{D}(G)$. Then $\varepsilon(f \otimes g) = \lambda_g f$, where $\lambda_g = \int_B g(b)\Delta_B(b)^{-1/2}p(b)db$. In particular,

if $\{f_n\}$ and $\{g_n\}$ are δ -sequence in $\mathcal{D}(V)$ and $\mathcal{D}(B)$ respectively, then $\lambda_{g_n} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $f_n \otimes g_n$ is a δ -sequence on G (by the integration formula (2.1)). Hence, we deduce from (2.2) that

$$A\varepsilon(\phi)(1) = \alpha(\phi)$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(G)$. Fix $g \in \mathcal{D}(B)$ such that $\lambda_g = 1$. Then for any $f \in \mathcal{D}(V)$ we have $f = \varepsilon(f \otimes g)$, and hence

$$(2.4) \quad (Af)(1) = \alpha(f \otimes g) .$$

Since α is a Radon measure, the right side of (2.4) satisfies (2.3), which proves the lemma. (In fact, ν is the measure $f \rightarrow \alpha(f \otimes g)$.)

Completion of proof of Theorem 1. Now take for p the character $p(b) = \text{sgn}(s)$, when $b = \begin{pmatrix} s & t \\ 0 & s^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$. Then it is known [8] that the induced representation L^μ in this case splits into two parts, and when \mathcal{H}_μ is realized as $L_2(V)$, then any nontrivial intertwining operator is a scalar multiple of the classical Hilbert transform

$$Af(x) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|y| > \delta} f(x - y)y^{-1} dy .$$

(We identify V with \mathbf{R} via the map $x \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.)

The Hilbert transform does not satisfy estimate (2.3). For example, if

$$f_n(x) = \phi(x) \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{\sin(kx)}{k \log k} ,$$

where $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R})$ is fixed with $\phi(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq 1$, then $\text{Supp}(f_n) \subseteq \text{Supp}(\phi)$ and $\sup_n \|f_n\|_\infty < \infty$ [11, p. 182].

On the other hand,

$$Af_n(0) = \sum_{k=2}^n c_k (k \log k)^{-1} + O(1)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$c_k = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 x^{-1} \sin(kx) dx .$$

Since $c_k \rightarrow 1$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, and since $\sum (k \log k)^{-1} = +\infty$, it follows that

$$\sup_n |Af_n(0)| = \infty .$$

3. Proof of Theorem 2 and Corollary. Let G be an arbitrary Lie group (assumed countable at infinity), and let μ be a given positive-

definite distribution on G . If we set $\|\phi\|_\mu = \mu(\phi^* * \phi)^{1/2}$, then $\phi \rightarrow \|\phi\|_\mu$ is a continuous seminorm on $\mathcal{D}(G)$. Suppose now that A is a bounded operator on the representation space \mathcal{H}_μ . We may associate with A a bilinear form B_A on $\mathcal{D}(G)$ by the formula

$$(3.1) \quad B_A(\psi, \phi) = (A\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{J}\psi)_\mu.$$

Here $\phi \rightarrow \tilde{\phi}$ is the canonical map from $\mathcal{D}(G)$ into \mathcal{H}_μ as in §1, and $J\phi = \tilde{\phi}$ (complex conjugate). By the Schwarz inequality and the boundedness of A we see that

$$(3.2) \quad |B_A(\psi, \phi)| \leq \|A\| \|\phi\|_\mu \|J\psi\|_\mu.$$

Clearly, $\psi \rightarrow \|J\psi\|_\mu$ is also a continuous seminorm on $\mathcal{D}(G)$. Although $\|J\psi\|_\mu$ need not be bounded in terms of $\|\psi\|_\mu$, nevertheless, the local order of this seminorm is the same as the local order of $\|\cdot\|_\mu$. (If $K \subset G$ is a compact set and ρ is a continuous seminorm on $\mathcal{D}(G)$, we say that ρ has order $\leq r$ on K if there is a finite set of differential operators $\{D_j\}$ on G each of order $\leq r$, such that $\rho(\phi) \leq \max_j \|D_j\phi\|_\infty$ for all ϕ with $\text{Supp}(\phi) \subseteq K$.)

The main analytic fact we need is the following version of the “kernel theorem” for continuous bilinear forms:

LEMMA 2. *Suppose B is a bilinear form on $\mathcal{D}(G)$, and ρ_1, ρ_2 are continuous seminorms on $\mathcal{D}(G)$ such that*

$$(3.3) \quad |B(\phi, \psi)| \leq \rho_1(\phi)\rho_2(\psi).$$

Then there is a distribution T on $G \times G$ such that

$$B(\phi, \psi) = T(\phi \otimes \psi).$$

Furthermore, if K_1 and K_2 are compact subsets of G , and if ρ_j has order $\leq r_j$ on K_j ($j = 1, 2$), then T has order $\leq r_1 + r_2 + 2(\dim G + 1)$ on any compact set $M \subset \text{Interior}(K_1 \times K_2)$.

Proof. Since multiplication by a C^∞ function is an operator of order zero, we may use a partition of unity and local coordinates to reduce the problem to a local one in \mathbf{R}^d , $d = \dim G$, such that $K_j = \{|x| \leq 2\} \subseteq \mathbf{R}^d$ and $M = \{|x| \leq 1, |y| \leq 1\} \subseteq \mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^d$.

Let $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ satisfy $\phi_0 = 1$ on $\{|x| \leq 1\}$ and $\text{Supp}(\phi_0) \subseteq K_1$. Set $e_n(x) = \phi_0(x)e^{in \cdot x}$, where $n \in \mathbf{N}^d$ and $n \cdot x = n_1x_1 + \cdots + n_dx_d$. Then if D is a differential operator of order r , one has $\|De_n\|_\infty \leq C(1 + |n|)^r$. Hence, the a priori estimate (3.3) implies that for some constant $C > 0$,

$$(3.4) \quad |B(e_m, e_n)| \leq C(1 + |m|)^{r_1}(1 + |n|)^{r_2}$$

for all $m, n \in \mathbf{N}^d$.

Suppose now that f is a C^∞ function on $\mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^d$ with $\text{Supp}(f) \subseteq M$. Then the Fourier series of f can be written as

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{m, n} \hat{f}(m, n) e_m(x) e_n(y),$$

where $\{\hat{f}(m, n)\}$ are the Fourier coefficients of f . Define

$$(3.5) \quad T(f) = \sum_{m, n} \hat{f}(m, n) B(e_m, e_n).$$

The series (3.5) is absolutely convergent, and by (3.4) we have the estimate

$$(3.6) \quad |T(f)| \leq C_1 \sup_{m, n} \{|\hat{f}(m, n)| (1 + |m|)^{r_1+d+1} (1 + |n|)^{r_2+d+1}\},$$

where $C_1 = C \sum_{m, n} (1 + |m|)^{-d-1} (1 + |n|)^{-d-1} < \infty$. Since the right side of (3.6) is a seminorm of order $r_1 + r_2 + 2d + 2$ on M , this proves the lemma.

Completion of proof of Theorem 2. Suppose now that the operator A in formula (3.1) commutes with the representation L^μ . Then the distribution T on $G \times G$ such that $B_A(\phi, \psi) = T(\phi \otimes \psi)$, which was constructed in Lemma 2, satisfies for all $z \in G$,

$$(3.7) \quad T(\delta_z f) = T(f), \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(G \times G),$$

where $\delta_z f(x, y) = f(z^{-1}x, z^{-1}y)$.

The structure of distributions satisfying (3.7) was determined by Bruhat [3, Prop. 3.3]. Let ι denote the distribution on G determined by left Haar measure, and let $\Phi: G \times G \rightarrow G \times G$ be the map $\Phi(x, y) = (x, xy)$. Then (3.7) forces T to have the form

$$T(f) = (\iota \otimes \alpha)(f \circ \Phi),$$

where α is a distribution on G . Symbolically,

$$T(f) = \iint f(x, xy) dx d\alpha(y).$$

In particular, if $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}(G)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (A\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\psi})_\mu &= T(J\psi \otimes \phi) \\ &= \iint \overline{\psi(x)} \phi(xy) dx d\alpha(y) \\ &= \alpha(\psi^{**}\phi). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, α serves to represent the intertwining operator A , and is obviously positive-definite if $A \geq 0$. Since Φ is a diffeomorphism, the order of $\iota \otimes \alpha$ on a compact set $M \subset G \times G$ is the same as the order of T on $\Phi^{-1}(M)$. By Lemma 2 and inequality (3.2), the local order

of $\iota \otimes \alpha$ (and, hence, the local order of α) can, therefore, be bounded in terms of the local order of μ and the dimension of G , as claimed.

Proof of Corollary. Using Theorem 2, we are able to rehabilitate the attempted proof of cyclicity in [7]. Given a δ -sequence $\{\psi_n\}$ on G , let $K \subset G$ be a compact set such that $K = K^{-1}$ and $\text{Supp}(\psi_n) \subseteq K$ for all n . Since $\|\psi\|_\mu$ is a continuous seminorm on $\mathcal{D}(G)$, there are right-invariant differential operators D_1, \dots, D_r on G such that

$$(3.8) \quad \|\psi\|_\mu \leq \max_j \|D_j \psi\|_\infty$$

for all ψ supported on the set K^2 .

Now set $w_n = \psi_n^* * \psi_n$, and let $\{\lambda_n\}$ be any sequence such that $\lambda_n > 0$ and

$$(3.9) \quad \sum_n \lambda_n \max_j \|D_j \psi_n\|_\infty^2 < \infty .$$

The series $\xi = \sum \lambda_n \tilde{w}_n$ then converges absolutely in \mathcal{H}_μ (since $\|w_n\|_\mu \leq \|\psi_n\|_\mu^2$). Let \mathcal{N} be the G -cyclic subspace generated by ξ , and let A be the projection onto \mathcal{N}^\perp . Since $A\xi = 0$, we have $\sum \lambda_n (A\tilde{w}_n, \tilde{\phi})_\mu = 0$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(G)$. But $\tilde{\phi} * \psi = L_\mu(\phi)\tilde{\psi}$, where $L_\mu(f) = \int f(x)L_\mu(x)dx$ is the integrated form of the representation. Since A commutes with L_μ , this gives $(A\tilde{w}_n, \tilde{\phi})_\mu = (A\tilde{\psi}_n, \tilde{\psi}_n * \phi)_\mu$. Thus taking $\phi = \psi_k$ and letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, we see that

$$(3.10) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (A\tilde{w}_n, \tilde{\psi}_k)_\mu = (A\tilde{\psi}_n, \tilde{\psi}_n)_\mu$$

(note that $\phi \rightarrow \tilde{\phi}$ is continuous from $\mathcal{D}(G)$ to \mathcal{H}_μ). Furthermore, by the Schwartz inequality, the boundedness of A , and the calculation just made, we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |(A\tilde{w}_n, \tilde{\psi}_k)_\mu| &\leq \|\psi_n\|_\mu \|\psi_n * \psi_k\|_\mu \\ &\leq C \max_j \|D_j \psi_n\|_\infty^2 . \end{aligned}$$

(Here we have used estimate (3.8), the right-invariance of D_j , and the inequality $\|f * g\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty \|g\|_{L_1}$.) Thus we may apply the dominated convergence theorem to conclude from (3.9) and (3.10) that $\sum \lambda_n (A\tilde{\psi}_n, \tilde{\psi}_n)_\mu = 0$. But $\lambda_n > 0$ and $A \geq 0$, so in fact $(A\tilde{\psi}_n, \tilde{\psi}_n)_\mu = 0$ for all n . (So far we have simply followed the line of proof of [7], replacing uniform convergence of the series $\sum \lambda_n w_n$ by the stronger condition (3.9), in return for allowing μ which are distributions rather than measures.) Finally let α be the positive-definite distribution on G representing A , which exists by Theorem 2. Then $\alpha(\psi_n^* * \psi_n) = 0$ for all n . By the Schwarz inequality, this implies that $\alpha(\phi * \psi_n) = 0$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(G)$ and all n . Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that $\alpha = 0$.

REFERENCES

1. R. J. Blattner, *Positive-definite measures*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **14** (1963), 423-428.
2. N. Bourbaki, *Intégration*, Chap. VII-VIII (Act. Sc. Ind., No. 1306, Hermann, Paris, 1963).
3. F. Bruhat, *Sur les représentations induites des groupes de Lie*, Bull. Soc. Math., France, **84** (1956), 97-205.
4. E. G. Effros and F. Hahn, *Locally compact transformation groups and C^* algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., No. 75, 1967.
5. I. M. Gelfand and D. Raikov, *Irreducible unitary representations of locally compact groups*, Mat. Sbornik, **13** (1943), 301-316.
6. F. Greenleaf and M. Moskowitz, *Cyclic vectors for representations of locally compact groups*, Math. Ann., **190** (1970), 265-288.
7. A. Hulanicki and T. Pytlik, *Cyclic vectors of induced representations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **31** (1972), 633-634.
8. A. W. Knap and E. M. Stein, *Intertwining operators for semisimple groups*, Ann. of Math., (2) **93** (1971), 489-578.
9. Neils Skovhus Poulsen, *On C^∞ vectors and intertwining bilinear forms for representations of Lie groups*, J. Functional Analysis, **9** (1972), 87-120.
10. F. Trèves, *Topological Vector Spaces, Distributions, and Kernels*, Academic Press, New York, 1967.
11. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series*, Vol. I, 2nd. Ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1968.

Received June 6, 1972 and in revised form November 3, 1972. Partially supported by NSF Grant GP 33567.

RUTGERS UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI*
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

* C. R. DePrima California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91109, will replace J. Dugundji until August 1974.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 48, No. 1

March, 1973

Jan Aarts and David John Lutzer, <i>Pseudo-completeness and the product of Baire spaces</i>	1
Gordon Owen Berg, <i>Metric characterizations of Euclidean spaces</i>	11
Ajit Kaur Chilana, <i>The space of bounded sequences with the mixed topology</i>	29
Philip Throop Church and James Timourian, <i>Differentiable open maps of $(p + 1)$-manifold to p-manifold</i>	35
P. D. T. A. Elliott, <i>On additive functions whose limiting distributions possess a finite mean and variance</i>	47
M. Solveig Espelie, <i>Multiplicative and extreme positive operators</i>	57
Jacques A. Ferland, <i>Domains of negativity and application to generalized convexity on a real topological vector space</i>	67
Michael Benton Freeman and Reese Harvey, <i>A compact set that is locally holomorphically convex but not holomorphically convex</i>	77
Roe William Goodman, <i>Positive-definite distributions and intertwining operators</i>	83
Elliot Charles Gootman, <i>The type of some C^* and W^*-algebras associated with transformation groups</i>	93
David Charles Haddad, <i>Angular limits of locally finitely valent holomorphic functions</i>	107
William Buhmann Johnson, <i>On quasi-complements</i>	113
William M. Kantor, <i>On 2-transitive collineation groups of finite projective spaces</i>	119
Joachim Lambek and Gerhard O. Michler, <i>Completions and classical localizations of right Noetherian rings</i>	133
Kenneth Lamar Lange, <i>Borel sets of probability measures</i>	141
David Lowell Lovelady, <i>Product integrals for an ordinary differential equation in a Banach space</i>	163
Jorge Martinez, <i>A hom-functor for lattice-ordered groups</i>	169
W. K. Mason, <i>Weakly almost periodic homeomorphisms of the two sphere</i>	185
Anthony G. Mucci, <i>Limits for martingale-like sequences</i>	197
Eugene Michael Norris, <i>Relationally induced semigroups</i>	203
Arthur E. Olson, <i>A comparison of c-density and k-density</i>	209
Donald Steven Passman, <i>On the semisimplicity of group rings of linear groups. II</i>	215
Charles Radin, <i>Ergodicity in von Neumann algebras</i>	235
P. Rosenthal, <i>On the singularities of the function generated by the Bergman operator of the second kind</i>	241
Arthur Argyle Sagle and J. R. Schumi, <i>Multiplications on homogeneous spaces, nonassociative algebras and connections</i>	247
Leo Sario and Cecilia Wang, <i>Existence of Dirichlet finite biharmonic functions on the Poincaré 3-ball</i>	267
Ramachandran Subramanian, <i>On a generalization of martingales due to Blake</i>	275
Bui An Ton, <i>On strongly nonlinear elliptic variational inequalities</i>	279
Seth Warner, <i>A topological characterization of complete, discretely valued fields</i>	293
Chi Song Wong, <i>Common fixed points of two mappings</i>	299