

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A COMPARISON OF c -DENSITY AND k -DENSITY

ARTHUR E. OLSON

A COMPARISON OF c -DENSITY AND k -DENSITY

ARTHUR E. OLSON, JR.

In this paper a comparison is made between c -density and k -density in the general setting of Freedman density spaces in additive number theory. The comparison is motivated by the following question of Freedman: Does there exist a density space and a set such that the c -density of that set is positive and the k -density is zero? The answer is yes. More generally, there exists a density space such that for any two real numbers ρ_1 and ρ_2 with $0 \leq \rho_1 \leq \rho_2 < 1$, a set can be constructed such that the k -density of the set is ρ_1 while the c -density is ρ_2 .

Let S be any nonempty subset of an abelian group G with binary operation $+$ and identity element 0 . We define a relation $<$ on S by saying $y < x$ whenever $x - y \in S \setminus \{0\}$. The set S is called an s -set whenever the following conditions hold:

- (s.1) $0 \in S$
- (s.2) $S \setminus \{0\} \neq \phi$
- (s.3) $S \setminus \{0\}$ is closed with respect to $+$.
- (s.4) $L(x) = \{y \mid y \in S, y < x \text{ or } y = x\}$ is finite for each $x \in S \setminus \{0\}$.

Corresponding to each $x \in S \setminus \{0\}$, let $H(x)$ be a subset of S satisfying the following three conditions:

- (c.1) $\{0, x\} \subseteq H(x)$
- (c.2) $H(x) \subseteq L(x)$
- (c.3) if $y \in H(x) \setminus \{0\}$, then $H(y) \subseteq H(x)$.

Let $\mathcal{F}(H) = \{F \mid F \subseteq S, F \text{ finite}, 0 \in F, F \setminus \{0\} \neq \phi, x \in F \setminus \{0\} \text{ implies } H(x) \subseteq F\}$.

Then $\mathcal{F}(H)$ is said to be a *fundamental family on S* .

Freedman [1] calls the ordered pair $(S, \mathcal{F}(H))$ a *density space* whenever S is an s -set and $\mathcal{F}(H)$ is a fundamental family on S .

For any two sets $X, D \subseteq S$ with D finite, let $X(D)$ denote the number of nonzero elements in $X \cap D$.

DEFINITION. The k -density of a set $A \subseteq S$ with respect to $\mathcal{F}(H)$, written α_k , is

$$\alpha_k = \text{glb} \left\{ \frac{A(F)}{S(F)} \mid F \in \mathcal{F}(H) \right\}.$$

DEFINITION. The c -density of a set $A \subseteq S$ with respect to $\mathcal{F}(H)$, written α_c , is

$$\alpha_c = \text{glb} \left\{ \frac{A(H(x))}{S(H(x))} \mid x \in S \setminus \{0\} \right\}.$$

We begin our comparison of k -density and c -density by stating without proof the following two results of Freedman.

THEOREM 1. *Let $(S, \mathcal{F}(H))$ be a density space. For any set $A \subseteq S$ we have $0 \leq \alpha_k \leq \alpha_c \leq 1$.*

THEOREM 2. *Let $(S, \mathcal{F}(H))$ be a density space and A be a subset of S with $0 \in A$. The following three conditions are equivalent: (i) $\alpha_k = 1$, (ii) $\alpha_c = 1$, and (iii) $A = S$.*

For the remainder of this paper we suppose that $\alpha_c < 1$. Freedman posed the following question [1]: Does there exist a density space $(S, \mathcal{F}(H))$ and a subset A of S such that $\alpha_c > 0$ and $\alpha_k = 0$? The answer is yes.

EXAMPLE 1. Let I be the set of nonnegative integers with the usual addition and let d be any positive integer. Let $H(x)$ be defined by

$$H(x) = \begin{cases} \{0, 1, 2, \dots, d\} \cup \{x\} & \text{if } x \geq d + 1, \\ \{0, x\} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $x \in I \setminus \{0\}$. Then $(I, \mathcal{F}(H))$ is a density space. Let $A = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, d\}$. Then $\alpha_k = 0$, but $\alpha_c = d/(d + 1) > 0$.

Example 1 shows that there are density spaces for which $\alpha_k = 0$ and α_c is arbitrarily close to (but not equal) 1. Example 1 also answers a second question of Freedman [1]: Does $0 \in A$ and $\alpha_c > 0$ imply that A is a basis for I ? The answer is, of course, no. The set A has finite cardinality and hence cannot be a basis for I .

EXAMPLE 2. Let I^∞ denote the set of all zero terminating sequences of nonnegative integers. Then $(I^\infty, \mathcal{F}(L))$ is a density space. For any positive integer $N \geq 2$, let

$$I^\infty \setminus A = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots) \mid x_i \leq N \text{ for all } i \text{ and } x_i = N \text{ for exactly one } i\}.$$

Then $\alpha_k = 0$ and $\alpha_c = (N - 1)/N$ which again answers Freedman's first question. This density space is less artificial than the space in Example 1. However, it does not serve as an answer to Freedman's second question.

In the final theorem of this paper we show that it is possible to create a density space for which there exist sets having any k -density and c -density we want as long as Theorems 1 and 2 are not violated.

THEOREM 3. *There exists a density space $(I, \mathcal{F}(H))$ such that if $0 \leq \rho_1 \leq \rho_2 < 1$, then there is a set $A \subseteq I$ such that $\alpha_k = \rho_1$ and $\alpha_c = \rho_2$.*

Proof. Let $\{(d_i, b_i)\}$ be a sequence of ordered pairs of positive integers satisfying $1 < d_i \leq b_i$ where all possible such pairs occur and occur infinitely often.

For all $x \in I \setminus \{0\}$, define

$$(1) \quad H(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \{0, 1, 2, \dots, x\} & \text{if } 1 \leq x \leq d_1 - 1, \\ \{0, 1, 2, \dots, d_1 - 1, x\} & \text{if } d_1 \leq x \leq b_1, \\ \{0, b_1 + 1, b_1 + 2, \dots, x\} & \text{if } b_1 + 1 \leq x \leq b_1 + d_2 - 1, \\ \{0, b_1 + 1, b_1 + 2, \dots, b_1 + d_2 - 1, x\} & \text{if } b_1 + d_2 \leq x \leq b_1 + b_2, \\ \vdots & \\ \{0, \sum_{i=1}^j b_i + 1, \sum_{i=1}^j b_i + 2, \dots, x\} & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^j b_i + 1 \leq x \leq \sum_{i=1}^j b_i + d_{j+1} - 1, \\ \{0, \sum_{i=1}^j b_i + 1, \sum_{i=1}^j b_i + 2, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^j b_i + d_{j+1} - 1, x\} & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^j b_i + d_{j+1} \leq x \leq \sum_{i=1}^{j+1} b_i, \\ \vdots & \end{array} \right.$$

The space $(I, \mathcal{F}(H))$ is a density space.

Let ρ_1 and ρ_2 satisfy the hypothesis of the theorem. Let $\{u_i\}$ and $\{v_i\}$ be strictly decreasing sequences of positive rational numbers less than 1 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1 &= \text{glb } \{u_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}, \\ \rho_2 &= \text{glb } \{v_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}, \text{ and } u_i \leq v_i \text{ for each } i. \end{aligned}$$

Since u_i and v_i are positive rationals, there exist positive integers a_i, b'_i , and d'_i such that $u_i = a_i/b'_i$ and $v_i = a_i/d'_i$. Since $0 < u_i \leq v_i < 1$, we have $1 \leq a_i < d'_i \leq b'_i$. Furthermore, there is a strictly increasing function $r(s)$ such that $b'_s = b_{r(s)}$ and $d'_s = d_{r(s)}$ for $s = 1, 2, \dots$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} A = \{0\} \cup \left\{ x \mid x \in I, \sum_{i=1}^j b_i + 1 \leq x \leq \sum_{i=1}^{j+1} b_i, \text{ where } j \geq 0 \text{ and} \right. \\ \left. j + 1 \neq r(s) \text{ for all } s \right\} \\ \cup \bigcup_{s=1}^{\infty} \left\{ x \mid x \in I, \sum_{i=1}^{r(s)-1} b_i + 1 \leq x \leq \sum_{i=1}^{r(s)-1} b_i + a_s \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We now show that $\alpha_c = \rho_2$ and $\alpha_k = \rho_1$.

For each positive integer s , we have

$$\frac{A\left(H\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r(s)-1} b_i + d_{r(s)}\right)\right)}{I\left(H\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r(s)-1} b_i + d_{r(s)}\right)\right)} = \frac{a_s}{\bar{d}_{r(s)}} = v_s.$$

Since $\rho_2 = \text{glb}\{v_s \mid s = 1, 2, \dots\}$, we have $\alpha_c \leq \rho_2$. Also for any positive integer m there is an integer $j \geq 0$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^j b_i + 1 \leq m \leq \sum_{i=1}^{j+1} b_i$. If $j + 1 = r(s)$ for some s , then

$$\frac{A(H(m))}{I(H(m))} \geq \frac{A\left(H\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r(s)-1} b_i + d_{r(s)}\right)\right)}{I\left(H\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r(s)-1} b_i + d_{r(s)}\right)\right)} = \frac{a_s}{\bar{d}_{r(s)}} = v_s.$$

Otherwise $A(H(m)) = I(H(m))$.

Therefore,

$$\alpha_c = \text{glb}\left\{\frac{A(H(m))}{I(H(m))} \mid m = 1, 2, \dots\right\} \geq \text{glb}\{v_s \mid s = 1, 2, \dots\} = \rho_2.$$

Hence we have $\alpha_c = \rho_2$.

It is more difficult to show that $\alpha_k = \rho_1$. For each integer $j \geq 0$, define that set F_j by

$$F_j = \left\{0, \sum_{i=1}^j b_i + 1, \sum_{i=1}^j b_i + 2, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{j+1} b_i\right\}.$$

By formula (1) we have

$$F_j = \bigcup_{m=m_1(j)}^{m_2(j)} H(m),$$

where $m_1(j) = \sum_{i=1}^j b_i + 1$ and $m_2(j) = \sum_{i=1}^{j+1} b_i$, and hence $F_j \in \mathcal{F}(H)$. If $j + 1 = r(s)$ for some s , then

$$(2) \quad \frac{A(F_j)}{I(F_j)} = \frac{a_s}{b_{j+1}} = \frac{a_s}{b_{r(s)}} = u_s.$$

Since $\rho_1 = \text{glb}\{u_s \mid s = 1, 2, \dots\}$ we have $\alpha_k \leq \rho_1$. Now consider any $F \in \mathcal{F}(H)$. For each integer $j \geq 0$, let $G_j = F \cap F_j$. Now since $F \in \mathcal{F}(H)$ and $F_j \in \mathcal{F}(H)$, we have $G_j \in \mathcal{F}(H) \cup \{\emptyset\}$. Now $i \neq j$ implies $F_i \cap F_j = \{\emptyset\}$ and hence $G_i \cap G_j = \{\emptyset\}$. Also F is finite. Hence there is a finite integer

$$J = \max\{j \mid G_j \setminus \{\emptyset\} \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Now if $G_j \setminus \{\emptyset\} \neq \emptyset$, then $G_j \in \mathcal{F}(H)$. If $G_j \setminus A \neq \emptyset$, then $j + 1 = r(s)$ for some s and

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{r(s)-1} b_i + 1, \sum_{i=1}^{r(s)-1} b_i + 2, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{r(s)-1} b_i + a_s \right\} \subseteq G_j \subseteq F_j,$$

and so

$$(3) \quad \frac{A(G_j)}{I(G_j)} \geq \frac{A(F_j)}{I(F_j)}.$$

If $G_j \setminus A = \phi$, then $A(G_j) = I(G_j)$ and inequality (3) still holds. Therefore, by statement (3) and since $G_i \cap G_j = \{0\}$ for $i \neq j$, we have

$$\frac{A(F)}{I(F)} = \frac{A(\bigcup_{j=1}^J G_j)}{I(\bigcup_{j=1}^J G_j)} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^J A(G_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^J I(G_j)} \geq \frac{A(G_i)}{I(G_i)} \geq \frac{A(F_i)}{I(F_i)}$$

for some i ($1 \leq i \leq J$). If $i + 1 = r(s)$ for some s , then by statement (2), we have

$$\frac{A(F_i)}{I(F_i)} = u_s.$$

If $i + 1 \neq r(s)$ for all s , then $A(F_i) = I(F_i)$. In either case, $A(F)/I(F) \geq u_s$ for some s . Therefore,

$$\alpha_k = \text{glb} \left\{ \frac{A(F)}{I(F)} \mid F \in \mathcal{F}(H) \right\} \geq \text{glb} \{u_s \mid s = 1, 2, \dots\} = \rho_1.$$

Hence we have $\alpha_k = \rho_1$.

REFERENCE

1. Allen R. Freedman, *Some generalizations in additive number theory*, *Reine Angew. Math.*, **235**: (1969), 1-19.

Received June 22, 1972. The author is indebted to the referee who provided Example 2 and made suggestions which strengthened Theorem 3.

U. S. AIR FORCE ACADEMY, COLORADO

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI*
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

* C. R. DePrima California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91109, will replace J. Dugundji until August 1974.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 48, No. 1

March, 1973

Jan Aarts and David John Lutzer, <i>Pseudo-completeness and the product of Baire spaces</i>	1
Gordon Owen Berg, <i>Metric characterizations of Euclidean spaces</i>	11
Ajit Kaur Chilana, <i>The space of bounded sequences with the mixed topology</i>	29
Philip Throop Church and James Timourian, <i>Differentiable open maps of $(p + 1)$-manifold to p-manifold</i>	35
P. D. T. A. Elliott, <i>On additive functions whose limiting distributions possess a finite mean and variance</i>	47
M. Solveig Espelie, <i>Multiplicative and extreme positive operators</i>	57
Jacques A. Ferland, <i>Domains of negativity and application to generalized convexity on a real topological vector space</i>	67
Michael Benton Freeman and Reese Harvey, <i>A compact set that is locally holomorphically convex but not holomorphically convex</i>	77
Roe William Goodman, <i>Positive-definite distributions and intertwining operators</i>	83
Elliot Charles Gootman, <i>The type of some C^* and W^*-algebras associated with transformation groups</i>	93
David Charles Haddad, <i>Angular limits of locally finitely valent holomorphic functions</i>	107
William Buhmann Johnson, <i>On quasi-complements</i>	113
William M. Kantor, <i>On 2-transitive collineation groups of finite projective spaces</i>	119
Joachim Lambek and Gerhard O. Michler, <i>Completions and classical localizations of right Noetherian rings</i>	133
Kenneth Lamar Lange, <i>Borel sets of probability measures</i>	141
David Lowell Lovelady, <i>Product integrals for an ordinary differential equation in a Banach space</i>	163
Jorge Martinez, <i>A hom-functor for lattice-ordered groups</i>	169
W. K. Mason, <i>Weakly almost periodic homeomorphisms of the two sphere</i>	185
Anthony G. Mucci, <i>Limits for martingale-like sequences</i>	197
Eugene Michael Norris, <i>Relationally induced semigroups</i>	203
Arthur E. Olson, <i>A comparison of c-density and k-density</i>	209
Donald Steven Passman, <i>On the semisimplicity of group rings of linear groups. II</i>	215
Charles Radin, <i>Ergodicity in von Neumann algebras</i>	235
P. Rosenthal, <i>On the singularities of the function generated by the Bergman operator of the second kind</i>	241
Arthur Argyle Sagle and J. R. Schumi, <i>Multiplications on homogeneous spaces, nonassociative algebras and connections</i>	247
Leo Sario and Cecilia Wang, <i>Existence of Dirichlet finite biharmonic functions on the Poincaré 3-ball</i>	267
Ramachandran Subramanian, <i>On a generalization of martingales due to Blake</i>	275
Bui An Ton, <i>On strongly nonlinear elliptic variational inequalities</i>	279
Seth Warner, <i>A topological characterization of complete, discretely valued fields</i>	293
Chi Song Wong, <i>Common fixed points of two mappings</i>	299